

Cheri Smith

From: Margaret Romero
Sent: Wednesday, February 22, 2017 4:42 PM
To: Cheri Smith
Cc: nelsonkeywest@gmail.com
Subject: FW: SOS kitchen at city hall property

Cheri,
Mr. Nelson has requested that this be added to the documents for this related resolution.
Margaret

-----Original Message-----

From: nelsonkeywest [mailto:nelsonkeywest@gmail.com]
Sent: Wednesday, February 22, 2017 3:07 PM
To: Margaret Romero <mromero@cityofkeywest-fl.gov>; jweekley@cityofkeywes-[fl.gov](mailto:jweekley@cityofkeywes-fl.gov); Samuel Kaufman <skaufman@cityofkeywest-fl.gov>; Billy Wardlow <bwardlow@cityofkeywest-fl.gov>; Richard Payne <rpayne@cityofkeywest-fl.gov>; Clayton Lopez <clopez@cityofkeywest-fl.gov>; Craig Cates <ccates@cityofkeywest-fl.gov>
Subject: SOS kitchen at city hall property

Mayor Cates and Commissioners,

My name is Roger Nelson. My wife Nancy and I live full time at 902 Seminary St, Key West. We have been residents of Key West for 19 years and have lived on Seminary for 12 years. We believe that the City Hall renovation was a terrific use of the property and couldn't be happier about the end result. The new City Hall represents a tremendous improvement to our neighborhood and was a job well done. We are especially happy with the environmental consideration that were incorporated into the design and build.

That said, we are opposed to the installation of the SOS kitchen in the locker room building. I strongly believe that the proposed kitchen would significantly impact our street and neighborhood as a result of the food preparation odors (think El Seboney), increases traffic, parking issues (I have been informed that they are only being allocated 2 parking spaces which means significant street parking), and the increased fire hazard to name just a few of the negatives. A review of the proposed kitchen plan shows all cooking equipment at the SW corner of the building which will presumably result in venting onto Seminary at that corner.

Since the City acquired the property I have heard about structural problems with that building. It doesn't make sense the the proposed lease would saddle the City with maintenance of structural integrity and roof on a less than fair market value lease.

There are far better uses for this property more in line with the city hall use/impact. I believe that approval requires a supermajority vote and I urge you to reject this request.

Thank you, Roger Nelson

Sent from my iPad