

AMENDED EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Date:	March 15, 2022
To:	City Commission
CC:	Patti McLauchlin, City Manager Shawn D. Smith, City Attorney
From:	Nathalia A. Mellies, Assistant City Attorney Jim Young, Director of Code Compliance
Re:	1109 Fleming Street Lien Mitigation

<u>Background</u>

The City of Key West received a mitigation request from Robert Cintron on behalf of Michael Coppola ("Owner"), the former owner of the property, for liens recorded against 1109 Fleming Street. The total amount of the lien is Two Hundred and Thirty-One Thousand Dollars, (\$231,000.00.) Mr. Coppola is offering Thirty-Five Thousand Dollars (\$35,000.00), for the release of the lien placed by the City of Key West pursuant to City of Key West Code of Ordinances Sec 2-635 and FL Statute 162.09 (to place the lien) and Sec. 2-646 (to remove lien). The Bank which foreclosed on the property requested and previously received a partial lien release in the amount of Fifty Thousand Dollars (\$50,000.00) which was applied to the total balance.



The subject property is a single-family residential property purchased on March 24, 2000 by Michael C. Coppola, Jr. (the "Former Owner").¹ Subsequently, due to a foreclosure of the property, ownership eventually transferred to the Bank.² The histories of the ownership transfers, as available by public record, are shown below in **Table 1**.

During Mr. Coppola's ownership, Code Compliance staff processed Code Case No. 02-1032, as detailed in **Table 2**.

Table 1: Property Ownership and Foreclosure		
DATE	NARRATIVE	
03/24/2000	Michael C. Coppola purchases 1109 Fleming Street.	
11/27/2012	Deutsche Bank National Trust Company ("Bank"), as assignee of the	
	original mortgagor, filed a Real Property Mortgage Foreclosure Action	
	against the Former Owner and the City of Key West (Case no. 2012-	
	СА-1316-К).	
11/29/2012	Notice of Lis Pendens recorded by Bank.	
02/13/2014	Bank and Former Owner file a Stipulation for Consent Final	
	Judgment against Former Owner in the amount of \$1,401,022.76.	
02/19/2014	The Court grants the request from the Bank and the Former Owner	
	for a Consent Final Judgment. The City was not named in the	
	Consent Final Judgment.	
06/26/2014	5 0	
07/03/2014	C. COPPOLA ET AL the Defendant(s)".	
07/28/2014	Certificate of Title issued to Bank.	
12/16/2014	Bank sold the subject property to the current Owner (not the	
	applicant) for \$1,300,600.00.	

Tuble 1. Tropercy Ownership and Toreerosure	Table 1: Property Ow	nership and Foreclosure
---	----------------------	-------------------------

Table 2: Case History, CC02-10323

DATE	NARRATIVE	
08/28/2002	Code Compliance received an anonymous complaint of a new two	
	story structure being built without permits.	
09/07/2002	A stop work order is posted at the subject property for construction	
	work being performed without the required permits.	
09/12/2002	Notice of Code Violation/Notice of Administrative Hearing	
	("NOCV/NOAH") was issued, via certified mail, to the property owner.	
	The property owner was charged with violating the following Sections	
	of the City's Code of Ordinances: Sec. 14-37, Building Permits	
	Required, Sec. 14-40, HARC Certificate of Appropriateness Required	
	and Sec. 14-31/14-40 Working over a Stop Work Order. The initial	
	hearing date was scheduled for September 25, 2002. The hearing was	
	later continued to December 5, 2002.	

¹ Monroe County Property Appraiser's Office

² Monroe County Clerk of the Courts

³ All facts are taken from available public records. However, due to the passage of time, some information may not be available.

00/06/0000				
09/26/2002	-			
	hammering emanating from the rear of the subject property. The code			
		performing construction work over		
	the stop work order.			
12/05/2002		subject property owner in violation		
	of Sec. 102-158(a) and Sec. 102-158(b), working over two stop work			
	orders. The Special Magistrate assessed an Administrative Cost of			
	One Hundred Dollars, (\$100.00), and a Fine of Two Hundred and			
	Fifty Dollars, (\$250.00), per day, per count if compliance was not			
	achieved by January 22, 2003.			
07/08/2003				
	violations and found the subject property owner in violation of City of			
	Key West Code of Ordinances Sec. 14-37, Building Permits Required.			
	The Special Magistrate assessed a One Hundred Dollar, (\$100.00),			
	Administrative Fee and a Fine of Ten Thousand Dollars, (\$10,000.00).			
09/15/2003	The property owner filed an appea	The property owner filed an appeal to the Special Magistrate's ruling		
	to Circuit Court (Case no. 03-AP-1083-K).			
11/19/2004	The property owner achieved compliance.			
02/02/2006	The following Liens were recorded with the Monroe County Clerk of			
	Courts against the former property owner:			
		_		
	Book/Page No.	Amount		
	_2184/125	\$281,100.00		
	TOTAL	\$281,100.00		
01/19/2007	The Appeal was dismissed for Failure to Prosecute.			
02/20/2015	The Bank paid Fifty Thousand Dollars (\$50,000.00) to the City which			
	granted a partial release of the lien (Res. 15-012). According to the			
	available records, this reduced the amount of the lien to Two			
	Hundred and Thirty-One Thousand One Hundred Dollars,			
	(\$231,100.00).			

The applicant is requesting a reduction in the judgement. With credit for the previous \$50,000 payment made by the Bank and the current offer of \$35,000 for a total payment of \$85,000 would result in an overall reduction of the amount to approximately 30% of the total balance of \$281,100.00.

Mitigation Factors

The following criteria was considered by Staff in evaluating the lien mitigation request:

A. The nature and gravity of the violation.

Failure to obtain building permits is typically considered to be a violation with a component of hazard, not only to the property owner but to the surrounding neighborhood due to the fact there is no guarantee proper City and building codes were followed during construction. Additionally, the former owner disobeyed a work stop order.

B. Any actions taken by the Respondent to correct the violation.

The former owner appealed the Special Magistrate's findings and did not come into compliance until November 19, 2004, over two years after the initial violation.

C. The length of time between the previously ordered compliance date and the date the violation was brought into compliance.

The code violation was brought into compliance on November 19, 2004, 667 days after the deadline imposed by the Special Magistrate. The Respondent did appeal to Circuit Court and the appeal lasted several years before it was dismissed by the Court for non-prosecution.

D. Any actual costs expended by the Respondent to cure the violation as provided by supporting documentation.

The Bank which took possession of the property requested and received a partial lien release and paid the City \$50,000 towards the total fine.

E. Any other prior or current violations committed by the Respondent on the subject property or upon any other property owned by the Respondent within the City.

There are currently no code violations on the property or any other properties owned by the Former Owner. Additionally, this property also had an outstanding sewer account which was settled by the Bank for \$5,000.00 when the Bank requested a partial lien release back in 2015.

Lastly, the Former Owner and current applicant went before the Special Magistrate recently with respect to an unrelated code case fine in the amount of \$16,250.00. The Special Magistrate reduced the fine to \$8,125.00, payable within thirty (30) days.

F. Owner's Justification for the requested lien mitigation.

None has been provided to staff at this time.

G. Any other factors.

None that staff is aware of or that Applicant has provided to staff.

Recommendation

Staff recommends the Commission hear from the applicant/representative, and determine the appropriate mitigation amount for the lien, if any.

Should the Commission approve a mitigated amount, the Commission should require full payment of that mitigated amount on or before April 15, 2022, and direct Staff to provide the applicant/representative with a Release of Lien for recording with the Monroe County Clerk of the Courts but only upon receipt of the entire mitigated amount on or before April 15, 2022.