EXHIBIT B RFP 22-005 Scoring Worksheet: Grant Writing and Grant Administration Services Firm Name:					
Company experience,	0.00				
staffing, and capabilities Unresponsive	0-30		Submittal lacks required information to evaluate the proposal or firm demonstrates no experience in grant writing or grant administration.		
Acceptable	5-10		Consultant team members have less than 5 years experience writing and administering grants. Firm has some directly related experience and has completed limited grant writing and grant administration		
Advantageous	11-20		Consultant team members have at least 5 years experience writing and administering grants. Firm has significant experience completing multiple projects that reflect the scope in RFP Section B.		
			Consultant team members have experience that surpasses aforementioned standards. Highly experienced firm has completed extensive work on complex grant writing and grant admininstration projects. Firm has a significant track record of highly successful work demonstrating expert knowledge and skill.		
Highly advantageous	21-30				
Criterion score		0			
Approach and Methodology	0-20				
Unresponsive	0-4		Submittal lacks required information to evaluate the proposal or approach and methodology are not clearly stated.		
Acceptable	5-10		Firm's approach and methodology includes a satisfactory description of a plan to perform grant writing and ARPA grant administration as well as how they will implement that plan.		
Advantageous	11 - 15		Firm's approach and methodology includes a clear and concise description of a plan to perform grant writing and ARPA grant administration as well as implementation of that plan.		
Highly advantageous	15 - 20		Firm's approach and methodology provides comprehensive planning and implementation of grant consulting services surpassing aforementioned standards.		
Criterion score 0					
Qualifications and References	0-30				
Unresponsive	0-4		Submittal lacks required information to evaluate the proposal or firm demonstrates minimal qualifications and references.		
Acceptable	5-10		Firm provided some information showing team's performance, capacity to work successfully together and depth of experience on similar assignments; submittal includes less than 5 examples of successfully funded grants and less than 5 examples of administered grants; submittal includes 3 references		

			EXHIBIT B	
	2-005 Scoring V	Vorkshee	et: Grant Writing and Grant Administration Service	ces
Firm Name:	Range of		T	
	possible scores per criterion	Score assigned		Comments/considerations
Advantageous	11-20		Firm's narrative demonstrates team's performance, capacity to work successfully together and depth of experience on similar assignments; submittal includes 5 examples of successfully funded grants and 5 examples of administered grants; submittal includes 3 references	
			Firm's performance, capacity to work successfully together and depth of experience surpasses aforementioned standards demonstrating explemplary grant writing and grant administration services; submittal includes 3 references	
Highly advantageous	21-30			
Criterion score		0		
Cost/Price	0-15			
			Cost scores are determined by the proposal with the lowest total cost; lowest total cost gets the maximum number of cost points; remaining proposals are rated using the following formula: [1 - (B-A)/A] x C = Final Cost Score A = lowest Offeror's cost	
Formula score between 0 & 5 (Score determined by formula; negative score gets 0)	0-5		B = Offeror's cost being scored C = maximum number of cost points available	
Formula score between 6 & 10 (Score determined by formula)	6-10		Cost scores are determined by the proposal with the lowest total cost; lowest total cost gets the maximum number of cost points; remaining proposals are rated using the following formula: [1 - (B-A)/A] x C = Final Cost Score A = lowest Offeror's cost B = Offeror's cost being scored C = maximum number of cost points available	
	0.10		Cost scores are determined by the proposal with the lowest total cost; lowest total cost gets the maximum number of cost points; remaining proposals are rated using the following formula: [1 - (B-A)/A] x C = Final Cost Score A = lowest Offeror's cost B = Offeror's cost being scored	
Formula score between 11 & 14 (Score determined by formula)	11-14		C = maximum number of cost points available Cost scores are determined by the proposal with the lowest	
Lowest cost proposal			total cost; lowest total cost gets the maximum number of cost points; remaining proposals are rated using the following formula: [1 - (B-A)/A] x C = Final Cost Score A = lowest Offeror's cost B = Offeror's cost being scored	
(Gets max points)	15		C = maximum number of cost points available	
Criterion score		0		

EXHIBIT B						
RFP 22-005 Scoring Worksheet: Grant Writing and Grant Administration Services						
Firm Name:						
	Range of possible scores per criterion	Score assigned		Comments/considerations		
Use of MBE's/WBE's	0-5					
Unresponsive	0		Submittal lacks required information to evaluate the proposal or use of DBE's/MBE's is not planned.			
Acceptable	1-2		Response includes a plan to comply with the six (6) affirmative steps outlined in 2 CFR 200.321, including requiring subconsultants to take these affirmative steps.			
Advantageous	3-4		Response includes a plan to comply with the six (6) affirmative steps outlined in 2 CFR 200.321, including requiring subconsultants to take these affirmative steps and respondent lists 1 potential MBE/WBE to contract with.			
Highly advantageous	5		Response includes a plan to comply with the six (6) affirmative steps outlined in 2 CFR 200.321, including requiring subconsultants to take these affirmative steps and respondent lists 2 or more potential MBEs/WBEs to contract with.			

0

Total	firm score	0

Criterion score