EXCERPT

2.0

2.4

CHAIRMAN KLITENICK: And just so that we are clear, Ms. Wheeler coming up as a member of the public is not a participant, is not subject to cross-examination, and neither can she cross-examine the Board, staff, the applicant, or anyone else.

Who has signed up first?

BOARD CLERK: Ms. Wheeler has signed up first.

CHAIRMAN KLITENICK: Okay. There we go.

Start her ten minutes right now before she gets up there.

MS. WHEELER: Hello, Board members. Thank you for being here tonight.

BOARD CLERK: Can you please talk into the microphone?

MS. WHEELER: Oh, I'm sorry.

My name is Linda Wheeler and my husband and I live at 1213 White Street, which is directly across the street. That's what I look out of my bedroom and living room window every day.

I -- we have, of course, been very, very involved in this project from the beginning. We have welcomed Mr. Mills, along with the rest of our neighborhood. I'm also an attorney here in town, and I represent 23 neighbors who are the closest in

vicinity, and, therefore, the most directly impacted by the proposals that this -- the reincarnations that this thing has gone through.

I'm sorry I'm so low tech. I do not have this map on a DVD. I'm just going to fold and unfold it and one of the materials -- some of the materials that you've already been given, there is a list of the neighbors who are the surrounding neighbors who I have been requested to speak on their behalf.

Some of the other neighbors are here, too, but I will just hold this up briefly, not to delay the issue.

Mr. Mills' property is the small yellow one in the center, and all the red marks, kind of in a big wagon train around it, are all the people that are on this list and have filed written objections to the issues as they have been initially proposed. I have submitted a copy of that into the record.

I want to start right away, because I don't have very much time, addressing what Mr. Trepanier said about the pie-shape analysis that he gave you. We have very, very different opinions about what Mr. Mills can do at that property, and I spoke again today with the planning department, and I would ask you after my time is up if you have any questions to

confirm what I'm going to tell you now.

2.0

2.4

Mr. Mills is entitled to use 100 percent of his property: two apartments upstairs, 5,400 square feet downstairs that's commercial space. He's entitled to use that all for permitted purposes, which is retail sales, and its professional office space, doctor's offices, real estate offices.

And he does not have to come before you and get one parking waiver. Not one. He's entitled to every bit of that land, the use -- 100-percent use. Not 90. Not two percent or 15 percent. One hundred percent use, reasonable use of his property if he sticks to permissible or permitted by right uses.

It is only because Mr. Mills wants to have different uses, conditional uses, uses that are not permitted unless they're given by special exception by this panel. And because of that, then it triggers a whole other analysis, and then we have to start counting how many for the upstairs and how many for the retail.

But if Mr. Mills would confine his use of that property to permitted by right uses, he wouldn't need to be here at all for any kind of a parking variance. So Mr. Trepainer's representation that 90 -- 85 to 99 percent use of his building would be

lost and he would have this hardship, is not an accurate statement, and I don't want you to be left with that impression.

2.0

2.4

Under the variances there is a very specific criteria that's required. It's a seven-part step and it starts off describing that the proposed conditional uses in the parking variances, the protection is for exactly the people that I showed you, all of the neighbors around it.

The zoning protection is intended to protect those that are going to be the most severely and most closely impacted. Section 122-61 of our codes says a conditional use will only -- shall only be permitted on a specific site where it may be adequately accommodated, and this is the key language, without generating adverse impact on the properties and the land uses within the immediate vicinity.

When we go to the parking variances, there is several criteria. One is the existence of special conditions. Mr. Mills is unable to meet this criteria. There are no special conditions. Every property on that block in the 1200 and 1100 block doesn't have off-street parking. They're all subject to the same zoning code as Mr. Mills.

The conditions cannot be created by the applicant. This is one of the criteria he simply cannot meet. He has created the conditions because he's attempting to use -- to have conditional uses rather than permitted uses at that site.

2.0

2.4

The third -- it's only because he wants to put a restaurant where he's entitled to put retail or professional offices that we're even having to have these discussions. So he has created this need for a condition.

If you were to give it, number criteria three is that you cannot give special privileges. If you were to approve this, you would be giving Mr. Mills special privileges that none of the other properties in those blocks, or in our HNC1 district are permitted. HNC1 is residential and light commercial.

The fourth thing is hardship conditions, and there's a very long log of case law on this, and I didn't know any of this law until I was involved in this case. And the applicant simply cannot create the hardship. It can't be because he wants to do things and if he doesn't get it he'll have a hardship. In fact, it's the reverse. If you were to grant the variance, you would be submitting a

hardship on all the people that have to live with 15 less parking spaces on a block that only has 19 to begin with.

2.0

2.4

So for those reasons -- I'm sorry. The last one, number five. The fifth criteria is that there can only be minimum variances. Minimum variance granted. The very least that's possible. And as I said at the beginning of this, the very least that's possible is zero. Mr. Mills can use 100 percent of his property for reasonable use, which is what the ordinance calls for. With zero.

And if there is an issue that he wants to have a larger space for his moped sales, which, fine, he's entitled to do that. It would only be the difference. He wants I think it's 270 -- 2,700 square feet of retail space, and he's entitled to have 2,500 without any problem.

So we're really only looking at about 295, the footprint's a little bit larger. That would be one space that you would have to grant in order for him to have that larger retail space. Not 18. Not 18 whatsoever.

The last thing is number six, which is that it would not be injurious to the public welfare, and I'm sorry to say that my neighbors and I think that

inserting a restaurant on that corner would be injurious. There are only 19 spaces on that entire block. He needs 15 of them. We had a small restaurant -- a bakery many years ago. It was opened from about 7:00 in the morning till 3:00 in afternoon. Now we're facing something at 11:00 at night.

2.0

2.4

His parking lot is simply not large enough to accommodate what's going on if you -- I like going to little neighborhood restaurants, but if I lived next to El Siboney and I had to have the smell of garlic and roast pork in my closet and in my clothes, I would be very unhappy. This nuisance is trying to move into my neighborhood. I didn't move to El Siboney. A restaurant is trying to come into our neighborhood.

And for that reason, and many others, pollution, inability to contain all the waste on his site, a restaurant is just not a good idea at that site, whereas retail sales and a professional building is a really good idea at that site. We're just about to get City Hall three doors down from us.

There's going to be a huge -- there's already a need and they'll be more for court reporters and

surveyors and many uses that Mr. Mills can use with this property without trying to shoehorn in a restaurant that's just not -- it can't sit on the site that he has.

2.4

He bought a building that's a single building with three parking places and he has to accept the limitations of what he's purchased and not try to exploit it at the expense of the rest of the neighbors.

Last point. There are already several little restaurants that are non-conforming, but they're grandfathered in. Were you to say to Mr. Mills, go ahead, You can get a 15-parking waiver on White Street in the 1100 and 1200 block to introduce a new business. What are you saying to Mo's, to Matthessen's, to the other little restaurants that are all struggling, have the same parking problem.

And you're just deciding to allow someone new to come in and take a very finite portion of parking places. It's just like not having -- you can't have unlimited fishing boats in one small lake nobody needs. You can't just keep slicing the parking pie into tinier pieces, otherwise no one can survive.

So I would ask you to deny the parking variance, or at the very most, if it becomes

necessary through recalculations to give Mr. Mills
whatever he needs in order to have permitted uses at
that site.
BOARD CLERK: Time.
MS. WHEELER: And that we ask that the

MS. WHEELER: And that we ask that the restaurant be denied. Thank you.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

2.4

25

CHAIRMAN KLITENICK: All right. Thank you.

Before we get to our next speaker, Larry, I have a question for you. Linda just got up here and made a blanket legal statement that permitted uses have no parking requirements. I mean, I open up a 150-seat restaurant in New Town, I don't have to have any parking spaces?

MR. ERSKINE: In New Town?

CHAIRMAN KLITENICK: Wherever. Wherever it's permitted as of right. I don't need parking?

MR. ERSKINE: I'm not exactly sure that's what she --

CHAIRMAN KLITENICK: You're saying if their use is permitted as of right there is no parking requirements.

MR. ERSKINE: I think that's probably a question for Don, but I don't think that's correct. I mean, I think it doesn't hinge on whether or not it is a permitted use or whether you need to get a

conditional use for it. Whatever parking it generates I believe has to be accounted for.

CHAIRMAN KLITENICK: That's what I'm saying. That was my question.

MR. ERSKINE: Right.

2.0

2.4

CHAIRMAN KLITENICK: Yeah. Okay. Who's next?

BOARD CLERK: Helen Garcia.

CHAIRMAN KLITENICK: Good evening.

MS. GARCIA: Good evening. Helen Garcia. 3310 Harriet Avenue.

A few months ago I came before the Planning
Board on behalf Mr. Mills and I still support his
goals. The property of 1200 to 1212 White Street is
the old location of the La Dichosa Bakery. I lived
at 1212 Catherine Street for over 29 years of my
life and I've seen good changes in the neighborhood.
We all know what happens to an idle building when it
just sits there.

Mr. Mills has purchased this rundown building and lot. Everyone was surprised and happy with the improvements he made. He cleaned up the vagrants, debris, and improved the property value of all other establishments in the area.

There was no dispute over that. And, needless to say, it was not cheap. And he is still trying to

bring the property into code compliance and hopes to regenerate income and continue his business that he's had for 52 years.

The majority of neighbors are in favor of him having the plans to bring to life, but on the flip side he has been constantly harassed and intruded upon and has had his tires slashed as a disagreement because he wanted to continue the dream of having a business at this location, which includes a bakery and a restaurant.

I believe that White Street is a public street. Locals always find a way to get along and are usually courteous to one another regardless. White Street has become mostly a commercial area, and even businesses conducted out of homes. And I'm sure that when Coldwell Banker took over the Firestone Building there was doubt about that building, as well as other changes have been good for White Street.

Victor has done everything to be in compliance. To accommodate the neighborhood he has withdrawn the use of electric cars, reduced the number of motorcycles and mopeds almost to the point that there's nothing there anymore.

So I ask the Board to give Victor the same

2.0

2.4

opportunity as other establishments to complete the 1 project and help give people employment. Thank you. 3 CHAIRMAN KLITENICK: Thank you, ma'am. 4 next? 5 BOARD CLERK: Bob Lieb. 6 CHAIRMAN KLITENICK: And who follows him? 7 MR. LIEB: Good evening, everyone. I'm Bob --8 CHAIRMAN KLITENICK: One second, sir. Who's 9 following Mr. Bob here? 10 BOARD CLERK: It's Richard Fowler. 11 CHAIRMAN KLITENICK: All right. Rick, you want 12 to come up to the other one? Go ahead. 13 14 MR. LIEB: Shall I continue? Bob Lieb. I'm a 15 neighbor. I've had a 23-year presence on White 16 Street as a business owner as well. 17 It's taken up to this moment in our lives for 18 White Street to come into its own. It's grown 19 naturally. It's grown organically. And it's done so by complying with existing codes. There's no 2.0 21 reason to grant conditional rights approval. 22 Mr. Mills is not suffering. He purchased the 23 property knowing what the code was at that time. 2.4 I'm sure enough money can be made simply by 25 complying with code. Please don't tamper with our

quality of life. Thank you very much.

CHAIRMAN KLITENICK: Thank you, sir.

All right. Rick, you're representing several people tonight?

MR. FOWLER: I'm actually here on behalf of Robert Koske as well as myself. Just a couple of comments or observations that --

CHAIRMAN KLITENICK: Would you like the five minutes or three?

MR. FOWLER: No. I think I can do it in three.

I'll talk fast.

I've listened to staff stand here and say, okay, we have 17 conditions. And I listened to those conditions, and Ms. Wheeler, one of them, the good news is you won't hear garbage trucks after 10:30 at night.

And the thought that occurred to me as I was listening to the conditions is how enforceable are they going to be. Once the cat's out of the bag, you're there. And what occurred to me -- and I don't know. I don't do this kind of stuff -- but it seemed to be that a proper question might be what's the history of the applicant in terms of compliance. And I leave that to the board.

I lived at 1115 Georgia Street for almost two

2.0

2.4

decades. I know the neighborhood. I also know what parking is like in that neighborhood. And I think Ms. Wheeler raised a great point that may have been missed. There's only so many spaces. To put in another business competing for existing spaces — and they're aren't going to be anymore — is going to damage the rest of the neighbors, Not just the businesses, but the residents of that area who are going to end up with cars in their front yard.

It occurs to me that to perpetuate a non-conforming use is not to make progress.

2.0

2.4

And I'll just read Mr. Koske's letter to you.

"Dear Planning Board members: I live at 1208
Duncan Street, which is around the corner and three
doors away from the proposed project. I've lived
here for more than 20 years. For the last year my
neighbors and I have been subjected to visual
pollution and illegal activities at the site.

"The applicant has illegally rented mopeds and motorcycles, operated an engine repair shop, and continues without proper permits to perform construction work behind his taped windows.

"He has transformed his three-car parking lot into an unsightly junkyard. He is indifferent to the visual pollutions and health hazards he has

created for his neighbors. In short, he is not a good neighbor. He's not a worthy candidate for special exemptions or for waiver of parking requirements which the rest of the neighborhood must abide by."

2.0

2.4

CHAIRMAN KLITENICK: I'll let you finish the letter.

MR. FOWLER: "The size of his building, the shape and location of his parking lot and the permitted uses in our HNC1 district have not changed since Mr. Mills purchased the property. He now proposes to increase the value of his property, but he proposes to do so by decreasing the value of his neighbor's properties.

"His proposed conditional uses will have a permanent and negative impact on the quality of life of his nearby neighbors. The lack of parking is a huge issue for our neighborhood, but it's not the only quality of life issue. The rear of my property is adjacent to the now demolished Biamante's Restaurant on the corner of White and United. The smell of cooking odors and fried foods, the stink of rotten food in dumpsters (picked up by 10:30), the sound of idling delivery trucks were a constant and unpleasant reminder of what nearby neighbors

experience when living next to or near a food service establishment.

"The applicant should use his property for permitted by right businesses. There's several retail shops and real estate and professional offices in the blocks of White Street. Many more will be needed when City Hall opens its doors four doors away. Please deny any change of zoning which would introduce a restaurant at this site, and please limit any parking variances given to this applicant solely for the parking spaces needed for the operation of permitted by right businesses.

"I've attended several neighborhood meetings and every previous hearing on this matter. I fully intended to be present at this hearing on March 20th. I've been called to Miami unexpectedly. I ask that my letter be read entirely into the hearing minutes. Thank you for attention to this important issue. Sincerely, Robert Koske."

CHAIRMAN KLITENICK: Thank you.

MR. FOWLER: Thank you.

BOARD CLERK: Mr. Chairman, the next two are Steve Trippe and Cindy Dean.

CHAIRMAN KLITENICK: Okay. Pick a podium.

MR. TRIPPE: Who's first?

2.0

2.4

CHAIRMAN KLITENICK: That's you.

2.0

2.4

MR. TRIPPE: My name is Steve Trippe and I Live at 623 William Street with my wife, Sara. We consider White Street one of the few remaining streets where commercial businesses haven't squeezed out the livability of a mixed-use neighborhood.

White Street is one of the last downtown areas you can ride your bike and feel you're still in a small town, instead of a tourist town. I ride my bike down White Street several times a week.

My wife goes to yoga classes. We shop at Fausto's. We sip coffee at Sandy's and we bicycle to the Diary Queen, at the disapproval of our waistlines.

We also have friends who live and work in the 1200 block of White Street, and they've invested their money and energies into making their neighborhood liveable. You need to protect these homeowners and existing business owners who have played by the rules from commercial intrusions when the profit to be gained by one property owner directly injures the economic survival or the quality of life of the neighboring property owners.

When Mr. Mills bought the property on White Street, he knew what it was zoned for. Victor is a

smart businessman and surely the real estate contract clearly stated what the property was zoned for. Surely he knew that there would be plenty of objections to his plans by his neighbors.

He alone owns that risk. It is neither the responsibility of this Planning Board or his neighbors to make his business decision a sound one. In fact, after this zoning board I would say it is exactly the opposite. Your obligation is to uphold the code for zoning laws as stated earlier by Ms. Wheeler in Section 122-61.

This applicant's past practices give his neighbors no confidence that he would now play by the rules. The applicant is now trying to squeeze in two professional offices, two apartments, 2800-square feet of retail space and a 15-seat restaurant into this site. It is simply too much for this location and this neighborhood.

White Street is pretty much built out. Some of the neighbors are present here tonight. They have written letters and filed objections describing how this proposed restaurant will be harmful to their quality of life and will diminish their property values.

It is your decision whether they will be heard

2.0

2.4

or ignored. The applicant is trying to adversely possess certain property rights he doesn't currently have at the expense of his neighbors and this should not be permitted.

You should vote no on the parking waiver and the restaurant. Mr. Mills should operate only permitted by use businesses at his site. My concern, beyond White Street, and that of my neighborhood surrounding William Street, is what will be the precedent that you set if you allow this.

We have the Harris School for sale in our neighborhood and you will set a new lax standard that other applicants will now point to if you approve these requests. This is surely the camel's nose under the tent. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN KLITENICK: Thank you. That was a most perfectly timed presentation.

Yes, ma'am.

MS. DEAN: Hi. My name is Cindy Dean and I live at 1327 Duncan Street for my entire life, three generations right there on that same property, my mother included, right next door. We're just a block away from that.

I own a restaurant and it impacts the

2.0

neighborhood, which is Seven Fish. So I understand what the neighbors feel, and I try to do everything I can to help the neighbors around there because they do complain.

My thing is that we're impacted already with Glad Tidings, with all the parking around there. And what's going to happen when they can't park there? When you go to El Siboney and they have designated parking, where do you park after that? You park in front of people's homes in the surrounding neighborhoods.

And when you come home with groceries, you can't even park. So it does impact the neighborhood. And I just want you to just think about before you make that decision the hardship of changing that, so you're going to create another restaurant in a neighborhood where it will be forever, just like the one I have in El Siboney.

It does impact the neighbors and I just have a problem with the restaurant. I think he's done a great job with the building and everything. And that's all I have to say. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN KLITENICK: Thank you. Who's our next two?

BOARD CLERK: Tom Maxwell and Margaret Romero.

CHAIRMAN KLITENICK: Yes, sir.

2.0

2.4

MR. MAXWELL: Good evening. My name is Tom Maxwell. I've been a resident of Key West for more than 20 years. By way of background I have been State's Attorney in another jurisdiction and also a practicing attorney for 57 years.

As a practical matter, I've been asked to read a letter from friends, Georgia and Tom Favelli, who reside at 1108 White Street. Georgia is very active and on the board of the SPCA and they're getting ready for their big fund raiser coming up.

In any event, if I have time I would like offer my own comments, too, after the letter.

CHAIRMAN KLITENICK: You have two minutes and 20 seconds.

MR. MAXWELL: Sir?

CHAIRMAN KLITENICK: A little over two minutes.

MR. MAXWELL: "We own commercial property in the next block at 1108 White Street. Parking is a terrible problem in these blocks. Even a small change will greatly affect customer traffic patterns.

"Giving a new business approval to introduce a high traffic, high parking demand business into the existing mix is unfair and dangerous to everyone.

"You can't keep slicing the existing parking into smaller and smaller pieces. We've owned many properties through the years in Old Town. Parking has always been a problem. It gets worse for all existing businesses every time you give some away.

2.4

"Victor is entitled to operate whatever businesses are permitted in this neighborhood, but he isn't entitled to special privileges and parking waivers that makes it difficult for his neighbors to survive and employ their properties.

"We ask that Victor be made to clean up his parking lot, finish his building, and use it properly only for permitted in right business. If it works it always plays fairly." Then it's signed by the Favellis.

On a personal note, Mr. Mills comes before you and asks you or states that if given the application, the application is given, he in fact will comply with the code regulations. And I think one of the only ways to see whether in fact he will comply with code is look at his past conduct.

And most of this I have been informed of this information and have seen some of it myself, that during the time he has been there awaiting the approval of this application, he's operated a moped

and motorcycle rental business and an engine repair shop at this site without a certificate of occupancy and without any permits or licenses allowing him to do so.

2.0

2.4

After numerous complaints and mixed efforts by the code compliance department, he was finally ordered to cease operation of these un permitted and illegal business activities in January, 2014.

Since his acquisition of the property, the applicant has used his, quotes, "parking lot" for nothing more than a junkyard --

CHAIRMAN KLITENICK: Mr. Maxwell, that's your time, sir.

MR. MAXWELL: I can go on and on and on as to the violations. In any event, I hope somebody — and I'll end with this — takes notice of the past violations and it goes to the credibility of the occupant.

CHAIRMAN KLITENICK: Thank you.

MR. MAXWELL: Thank you, sir.

CHAIRMAN KLITENICK: Who is next?

MS. ROMERO: Thank you. I'm Margaret Romero.

1615 Washington Street. So I'm not that far away
from this proposed property. And I'm going to be
very candid. I am a customer of Victor's. I buy my

Cathy H. Webster RPR

generators from him. He has serviced them in the past and we laughingly say I bought my big Honda Mahongagilla (phonetic) lawnmower so I can use it. So I want it very clear up front I am a customer of his.

2.4

But as I've listened and watched this thing unfold over the last couple of months, I think what we're hearing here is NIMBY. Not in my back yard.

The people who have talked about things that this is an injurious public welfare, I don't see how it's injurious to public welfare. You all talk about the parking. You talk about the businesses. Does that mean you're going to be against Glynn Archer moving in with all the additional traffic and all the people coming and going?

I keep hearing you folks talk about you don't want the restaurant smell and that things have changed in the neighborhood since you all have bought your properties. Well, folks, how many restaurants have been put down in Bahama Village where people have lived, and their families, for 50, 60, 70 years, and they have to deal with the restaurants coming in and the parking. And those neighbors aren't spared anything.

How many of us live in neighborhoods right now

where things have changed just shortly and our families have lived there for years? And we have to deal with the lack of parking caused by these other things.

2.4

Damaging the neighbor's property and things, I don't think so. Take a look at how much better that building is now than it was before. How many, shall we say, unfortunate people that used to loiter the sidewalk there aren't there any more since the improvements.

And for saying that it's built out on White Street? I don't get it. I mean, Firestone used to be there. Dairy Queen used to be there. People seem to find the time and the place to park and to get where they need to go.

This is a businessman who's been in the community a long time. And I'm just sitting back there and I'm hearing 23 people are neighbors. I mean, I didn't think 23 people lived that close to the business that are going to be that affected.

So as someone who is a long time and native here, I'm asking you guys: Are you going to use that later on when the people at the golf course come to you and say that they don't want the homeless shelter in the yards, in their backyard?

Does that mean those 390 people at the golf course are going to be able to prevent the homeless shelter from being built there just because it's nearby and it may devalue their property?

2.0

2.4

I think, if anything, Mr. Mills has benefited the uplifting of the property in that neighborhood. And as such I'm hoping you will grant him the parking variances. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN KLITENICK: Thank you. Who's next?

BOARD CLERK: Adele Williams and Shawn Cowles.

CHAIRMAN KLITENICK: Ms. Adele.

MS. WILLIAMS: Good evening, sir.

CHAIRMAN KLITENICK: Before you get started, would you put your name and address on the record, please, into the microphone.

MS. WILLIAMS: My name is Adele Williams. And I live at 1216 White Street, right next door to Victor's property. I've got the blue property next door.

CHAIRMAN KLITENICK: Okay.

MS. WILLIAMS: We've owned the property for almost five years and spent a fortune upgrading the property. Victor has moved in and done a sterling job making it look very attractive. I question how much has been done legally. I walk past the

property at 9:30 at night and see people doing construction on the property.

2.0

2.4

I'm really aware of what goes on at that property 24 hours a day. I'm raising a family right next door. When the wall between the two properties was taken down, I question was there a permit. When the asbestos tiles were taken off the building, I question was there a permit for that. Was anybody watching that?

I've talked to Victor at great length about what he wants to do with the property, and I am in support of a business. You with the board have a tremendous opportunity here. You have this multimillion-dollar project going on down the road. White Street could really be something to be reckoned with.

I'm concerned about a motorcycle rental or a motorcycle business sale business there. I'm concerned about flammables. I have children next door. I'm concerned about the runoff of all the fuel into my lot. Now that the wall has been taken down, every time there's rainfall, the rain just trickles down into my lot because his lot is actually elevated compared to my lot.

I have a family, too. We're asked to consider

Victor's family. I have a family and my family lives next door to Victor. If you look at that photo, it shows my property and the improvements that I've made on my property, our property, the big U-shaped building, the tin roof. And you can see Victor's car park speckled with motorbikes and scooters.

2.4

And you can say, okay, Victor, you can have a property that is just going to be motorcycle sales inside the property, but look at how the property was downtown. Is it going to look like that again? Is it going to look like the property down opposite the Green Parrot? I'm worried about that. I'm worried about what I'm going to see every time I wake up in the morning.

What was my last point? Let me look at my notes here. I'm sorry.

I want you to take into consideration how much we all in the area have spent on our properties, not just what Victor has spent on his property. I want you to consider a motorcycle business moving in next to you after you've spent hundreds of thousands of dollars on your property.

It's a residential area. It's not just a commercial area. We're really restricted with what

we can do with our property. We have building inspectors, code enforcement breathing down our neck 24/7. I don't know how Victor's gotten away with as much as he's gotten away with.

I don't want to quote bad blood. As a matter of fact, he's really done wonderful things to that corner of the street. It was an atrocity before he moved in there. So please consider us, and please consider the dynamic of the neighborhood. You've got a powerful position. That you.

CHAIRMAN KLITENICK: Thank you.

2.0

2.4

MR. COWLES: Hello. My name is Shawn Cowles.

I live at 1216 White Street, and, yeah, I've been in

Key West 22 years as well, as most people up here

have said. So I'm very aware of all that goes on in

Key West, and being neighborly.

And I've known Victor for a lot of those years. Always been good friends with Victor and had a great relationship with him. I think he's done great things with the outside of the building, but again, as we're all saying here, I think it doesn't seem like we have to get all these variances.

It seems like there's plenty that he could do legally inside that property without asking for these variances and everybody would be copacetic.

Cathy H. Webster RPR

Everybody would be happy. I don't really see why we have to have all of these different things that he needs in there and why he needs to get all those variances. Why can't we just go with what's legal.

2.0

2.4

I know that's what we're doing on our property.

I mean, I have to be bound by doing everything
that's legal on my property. Why doesn't everybody
else around me?

I will reiterate about some of the things. The big issue for me health wise is the property at 1216 White Street shares the property line with Victor. And the first thing -- one of the first things that Victor did was take down the retaining wall.

My property is lower than that property. So all the runoff now doesn't have a retaining wall to push it to the street. It goes right into my property.

That's a bad situation. I understand the plans. I've talked with Victor and he showed me the plans as to how that will all be changed. It's been a few years, so, or a couple of years at least.

I'd like to see all those things happen, but, again, I think the parking issue is an issue. I come home at 5:00 with groceries and I got nowhere to park, that's going to be a problem. I've got to

park on another street and then walk home with my groceries? I don't have any off-street parking. I live on White Street. So, again, I hope that it will work out well. I love what Victor's done with the outside of the property.

Seems to me that we should be able to do everything that's legal and everybody get along great, and that's what I'm hoping will happen. So, in my opinion, I think giving that many parking spaces is just going to be a big problem for the neighborhood.

Thank you for your time.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

2.4

25

CHAIRMAN KLITENICK: Thank you. Who's next?

BOARD CLERK: Tim Sullivan, Anne Sullivan.

CHAIRMAN KLITENICK: Just Mr. Sullivan?

MR. SULLIVAN: Yes.

CHAIRMAN KLITENICK: Only one of them.

MR. SULLIVAN: I think Anne will pass --

CHAIRMAN KLITENICK: Ok.

BOARD CLERK: The next one then is Ken Higgins.

MR. SULLIVAN: My name is Tim Sullivan. My wife, Anne, and I live at 1204-1206 Duncan Street, which is within 300 feet of Mr. Mills' property. The points that have been made by others I simply

want to reiterate, but two in particular.

The first is that there is no reason why

Mr. Mills cannot live with the rules and the

regulations which apply to his property in its

present situation. He's entitled to a fair return

on a considerable investment, and there is no reason

I can imagine that that cannot be accomplished

without operating a business in the context of the

current code provisions of the City of Key West.

The second point I would like to make is that Mr. Mills is asking for special privileges.

Entitled to ask. The question is: Should he be given special privileges. And my answer is, no, and I have a number of reasons for saying that, but it boils down to this.

He does not come before you with clean hands. His record of ignoring the requirements of the City in the conduct of his businesses in a prior location, and in the present one, is clear and vivid for all to see.

To reward that kind of conduct by acceding to his request for privileges not available to others would send a message to our neighborhood and to the rest of the citizens of Key West that I don't think you really want to send.

So I would ask you to please consider that in

2.0

2.4

the context of the decision you're about to make. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN KLITENICK: Thank you.

MR. HIGGINS: Ladies and gentlemen, I'm Ken Higgins, 401 Margaret Street. I concur with this gentlemen, as well as Mr. Maxwell and Mr. Fowler.

This guy has -- what was he thinking when he bought the property? Apparently he's just gone and done whatever he wanted and in an inconsiderate manner. I understand that when he owned a motorcycle shop on Southard Street, all the parking spots on the north side of the street were totally occupied by his vehicles. I please hope you guys will deny any requests that he has. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN KLITENICK: Thank you.

BOARD CLERK: The next two is George Korn and Ross Williams.

MR. KORN: My name is George Korn. I have properties at 1313 and 1315 Eliza Street. I have nothing else to add to the comments, but I want to reiterate that I think to grant variance for the parking and the other things that are here should not be done.

CHAIRMAN KLITENICK: Thank you, sir.

MR. WILLIAMS: Ross Williams, 1217 White

2.0

Street. My wife and I own the building directly across the street from the subject property on the south side of Duncan.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

2.4

25

And I personally would like to see this project move forward. I would like to see the construction completed, but I also share the concerns of my neighbors. So I just hope that you'll take those concerns seriously before expanding the use of this property. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN KLITENICK: Thank you, Ross.

BOARD CLERK: The last speaker is John Starew.

MR. STAREW: My name is John Starew and I live at 919 White Street. And I will say I used to work for Victor Mills and he is a friend.

There's been a lot of things said here and a lot of them are misleading. He wouldn't have bought that building -- he's a smart man -- if he couldn't have the permit to be there when the City issued him his licenses to be there.

As to regard to Southard Street, that was like that way before he even owned the property or bought the business. You're judging a man on things that don't matter. He took a building that was rundown and turned it into something that I don't think anybody ever thought it could be. Thank you.

	30
1	CHAIRMAN KLITENICK: Thanks very much, sir.
2	Any one else no one else signed up?
3	BOARD CLERK: No, Mr. Chairman.
4	CHAIRMAN KLITENICK: Anyone else not signed up
5	like to speak?
6	Okay. We'll close the public discussion and
7	let the applicants have some rebuttal.
8	(Excerpt concluded.)
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1 COURT REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE 2 3 STATE OF FLORIDA 4 COUNTY OF MONROE 5 6 I, Cathy H. Webster, Registered Professional 7 Reporter, certify that I was authorized to and did 8 stenographically transcribe the foregoing excerpt of 9 proceedings and that the transcript, pages 2 through 10 36, is a true and complete record of my stenographic 11 notes. 12 I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not a relative, 13 employee, or attorney, or counsel of any of the parties, 14 nor am I a relative or employee of any of the parties' 15 attorney or counsel connected with the action, nor am I 16 financially interested in the action. 17 DATED this 15th day of April, 2014. 18 19 2.0 21 Cathy H. Webster, RPR 22 Courthouse Business Center 302 Southard Street, Suite 107 23 Key West, Florida 33040 2.4 25