City Attorney Performance Evaluation

December 2014

RATING	SCALE	DEFINI	ITIONS	(1-5)

Uı	nsatisfactory (1) -	The employee's work performan standards of performance requir cannot be allowed to continue.						
	nprovement (2) eeded	The employee's work performan standards of the position. Seriou						mance.
	eets Job (3) andard	The employee's work performan position.	ce cons	istently	meets	the sta	ndards	of the
	cceeds Job (4) andard	The employee's work performance level of a satisfactory employee.	ce is fre	equentl	y or co	nsistent	tly abov	e the
Oı	itstanding (5)	The employee's work performance the standards of the job.	ce is co	nsisten	tly exce	llent w	hen con	npared to
No	ot evaluated (NE)	The employee's work performand period.	ce was 1	not obs	erved d	uring 1	this eva	luation
I.	Performanc	ce Evaluation and Achieveme	<u>nts</u>					
1.	City Commission	/ Boards Relationships	<u>NE</u>	1		3	4	_5_
A.	Provides sound leg Boards, Commissi	gal advice to the City Commission, ons and City staff.	-					V
В.		City Commission, Boards, mely, clear, concise and thorough.		-		-	_	<u></u>
C.	Accepts direction/	instructions in a positive manner.						
D.	7	mmission, Boards, and City ssues relevant to the requirements		_		_	_	
E.		necessary to the responsibilities is readily available to						1

Comme	ents:	Shown is up to date on all Whon a commissioner has que at that minute, but will have	thon	be &	as to	he am	weur	ours.
2. <u>Lee</u>	al Res	earch and Review	<u>NE</u>	1	_2_	3	4_	_5_
		videntifies legal issues and performs and investigations.	=			~==	_	
	•	reviews and interprets legal instruments, documents prepared by departments.			-			_
Comm€	ents:	He will give his legal opio correct to benefit the eil	réon 1	and	mak	e su	re it	is
		/Public Relations I with other employees.	<u>NE</u>	1	_2_	3_	_4_	5
В. Мес	eting an ognizing	and handling the public while g ethical obligation to the City.	and	will	mel	t wi	th a	ny_
		City employee						
4. <u>Cor</u>	<u>nmuni</u>	<u>cation</u>	<u>N</u> E	1	2	3	_4_	_5_
A. Ora	l comm	nunication is clear, concise and articulate.					<u> </u>	
and accu	other le	mmunications (e.g.) contracts, resolutions, egal documents are clear, concise and	,	-			28	_
Comme	ents:	Arted and I'm contracto,	he m	nsker	sure	all	the i	Gore

5.	Quantity/0	Quality	<u>NE</u>	1	_2_	_3_	_4_	_5_
A.	Amount of	work performed.	,	7-2	-	,		
B.	Completion	n of work on time.	-		<u> </u>	_		1
C.	Accuracy.				_	-	_	
D.	Thoroughn	ess.	_					
Со	omments:	We receive E-mails or ple about City issues, even who	one e	e is	24/7 siek	from	Shaw	en
6.	Personal T	<u>raits</u>	<u>NE</u>	1	_2_	3	_4_	_5_
A.	Initiative.		2				_	1
B.	Judgement.				-			
C.	Fairness and	d Impartiality.	_				_	V
D.	Analytical .	Ability.	===	-				
Co	mments:							
7.	Litigation/	Administrative Proceedings	<u>NE</u>	1	_2_	3	4	_5_
A.		nely and effective representation of the est in litigation.				-	_	
В.		d monitors costs and performance outside legal counsel.		_				_
Coı	mments:			_				

II. <u>Sumn</u>	mary Rating
Overall Perfor as well as over	rmance Rating – Considering the results obtained against established performance standa erall job performance, the following rating is provided (circle one):
Unsatisfactory	y Improvement Needed Meets Job Standards Exceeds Job Standards Outstanding
Comments:	Don't know if we could find a better employee
III. <u>Futur</u>	re Goals and Objectives
Specific goals	and objectives to be achieved in the next evaluation period:
Belly &	Wedler ONER BILLY WARDLOW
SHAWN D. SI	SMITH, CITY ATTORNEY
ATTEST:	red Smith
CHERYL SMI	IITH, CITY CLERK Dated/1-27-/4