Combined City Attorney Performance Evaluation

December 2, 2014

RATING SCALE D	EFINITIONS (1-5)						
Unsatisfactory (1)-	The employee's work performs standards of performance requestant be allowed to continue.	ance is ina nired for ti	dequat he job.	e and o Perfor	lefinite mance	ly infer at this	ior to the level
Improvement (2) Needed	The employee's work performs standards of the position. Seri	ance does ous effort	not con is need	sistent ed to ir	ly meet nprove	the perfor	mance.
Meets Job (3) Standard	The employee's work performs position.	ance consi	stently	meets 1	the star	ndards	of the
Exceeds Job (4) Standard	The employee's work performation level of a satisfactory employee		quently	or con	sistent	ly abov	e the
Outstanding (5)	The employee's work performs the standards of the job.	ince is con	sistent	y exce	llent wi	nen con	apared to
Not evaluated (NE)	The employee's work performs period.	ince was n	ot obse	rved d	uring t	his eval	luation
I. <u>Performan</u>	ce Evaluation and Achieven	nents					
1 City Commission	/ Pagede Deletionshins	NE	1	2	3	4	5

1.	City Commission/ Boards Relationships	NE				4	
A.	Provides sound legal advice to the City Commission, Boards, Commissions and City staff.	-					
В.	Reporting to the City Commission, Boards, and City staff is timely, clear, concise and thorough.		_				/
C.	Accepts direction/instructions in a positive manner.			-			
D.	Keeps the City Commission, Boards, and City staff informed of issues relevant to the requirements of the position.	_		-	samplikalishinkin		\
E.	Dedicates the time necessary to the responsibilities of the position and is readily available to Commissioners.	_		***			/

		in a positive manner, except when he knows that it is not in the City's best legal								
		interest. He will make that opinion know in advance if indeed there is an issue.								
		So, the "exceeds" here is actually a technical term used in the most literal sense								
2.	Legal Rese	arch and	Review		<u>NE</u>	_1_	2	3	_4_	5_
A.	Effectively research an		egal issues and perfor tions.	ms	_			==		<u> </u>
B.			d interprets legal instr prepared by departm		Name of the Association of the A	_	-			\
Со	mments:				hamounion a					
						- Andre				
3.	Employee/	Public Rel	ations		NE	1	2	3	4	5
										_
A.	Works well	with other	employees.					2-0		
В.	_	-	the public while igation to the City.		_=					✓_
Co	mments:	This past	year has been esp	ecially cha	allengi	ng for	he CA	's offic	e. Sha	wn stepped up
	-	when ned	essary to do the job	that he h	nad to	do dur	ng a pa	articula	arly diffi	cult time.
	-	There is	historical record of	a particula	ar situa	ation in	which	Shawr	n could	not work
	-	'nuff sai	d.			<u> </u>				
4.	Communic	ation			NE	1		_3_	4	5
A.	Oral commu	unication is	clear, concise and art	iculate.	-		_	_	-	-
B.			ns (e.g.) contracts, researts are clear, concise		275 Marco	N-de-Salaman PSIsa	_			
Co	mments:									
		–								

"C" was exceeds instead of outstanding, because shawn will usually accept direction

Comments:

5. Quantity/Quality	NE	1	_2_	3	4	_5_
A. Amount of work performed.	_	_	-			
B. Completion of work on time.	_	_			Y	
C. Accuracy.						<u> </u>
D. Thoroughness.			-	_	-	_
Comments:	w whitelife v				ye	
	, ALF ALL					
6. Personal Traits	<u>NE</u>	_1_	2	3	4	5
A. Initiative.						V
B. Judgement.				-	******	V
C. Fairness and Impartiality.					Alexander or a second	<u> </u>
D. Analytical Ability.						V
Comments: have all the confidence in the world tenacity for accuracy is certainly ne			<u> </u>		delicadorigado e so encresco e se	
7. <u>Litigation/Administrative Proceedings</u>	NE	1	2	3	4	_5
A. Provides timely and effective representation of the City's interest in litigation.		_				V
B. Controls and monitors costs and performance of retained outside legal counsel.						✓
Comments:	_					

Until Shawn, we had no way to measure costs of litigation. I sincerely believe that the City's legal department is more efficient than ever! Thanks to Shawn and the staff he has assembled in that office!

H.	Summary	Rating

Overall Performance Rating - Considering the results obtained against established performance standards as well as overall job performance, the following rating is provided (circle one):

Unsatisfactory	Improvement Needed	Meets Job Standards	Exceeds Job Standards	Outstanding
Comments:	Once again, I can thin	k of no other rating to	give Shawn other tha	n outstanding!
	Goals and Objective	-		
	ad objectives to be achievon the current path!	ed in the next evaluatio	n period:	
DISTRICT.	L. LOPUR COMM	issirati		
SHAWN D. SM	ITH, CHTY ATTORNEY			
CHERVI SMIT	TH CITY CLERK	Smith	Dated 12/02/	2014