City Attorney Performance Evaluation

December 2014

RATING SCALE DEFINITIONS (1-5)

Unsatisfactory (1) - The employee's work performance is inadequate and definitely inferior to the standards of performance required for the job. Performance at this level cannot be allowed to continue. Improvement (2) The employee's work performance does not consistently meet the standards of the position. Serious effort is needed to improve performance. Needed The employee's work performance consistently meets the standards of the Meets Job (3) Standard position. The employee's work performance is frequently or consistently above the Exceeds Job (4) Standard level of a satisfactory employee. The employee's work performance is consistently excellent when compared to Outstanding (5) the standards of the job. Not evaluated (NE) The employee's work performance was not observed during this evaluation period.

I. Performance Evaluation and Achievements

1.	City Commission/ Boards Relationships	<u>NE</u>	1	2	_3_	4_	_5
A.	Provides sound legal advice to the City Commission, Boards, Commissions and City staff.				_	_	
В.	Reporting to the City Commission, Boards, and City staff is timely, clear, concise and thorough.	_	_	· -	_		1
C.	Accepts direction/instructions in a positive manner.	<u></u>			_	() 	
D.	Keeps the City Commission, Boards, and City staff informed of issues relevant to the requirements of the position.			_	_	$\underline{\nu}$	
E.	Dedicates the time necessary to the responsibilities of the position and is readily available to Commissioners.			·	_		
		1					

Co	comments:								_
2.	Legal Research and Review	.110	<u>NE</u>	1	_2_	_3_	4	5_	_
A.	 Effectively identifies legal issues as research and investigations. 	nd performs		all-all-of-all-all-of-th-				/	
В.	. Effectively reviews and interprets leading reports and documents prepared by		, ,	=	=		V	_	
Co	omments:								-
3.	Employee/Public Relations		<u>NE</u>	1	2	3	4	_5_	
A.	. Works well with other employees.							<u>~</u>	
B.	Meeting and handling the public wherecognizing ethical obligation to the		,			_	V		
Co	omments:								-
8	•								
	9								
4.	Communication		<u>NE</u>	1	_2_	3	4_	_5_	<u></u>
Α.	Oral communication is clear, concis	e and articulate.		_				V	
В,	Written communications (e.g.) contrand other legal documents are clear, accurate.					Northeline (MASS)		<u>/</u>	<i></i>
Co:	omments:	9/101	TOB				_		-
									-

5. Quantity/Quality	<u>NE</u>	1	2	_3_	4	5/	
A. Amount of work performed.					_	<u>_</u>	
B. Completion of work on time.							/
C. Accuracy.	<u></u> .	==				~	/
D. Thoroughness.			_	_	-	2	
Comments:			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
			- Y				
6. Personal Traits	<u>NE</u>	_1_	2	_3_	_4_	5	
A. Initiative.				-	_	LV	
B. Judgement.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	-				~	<u></u>
C. Fairness and Impartiality.			_	_	****	~	
D. Analytical Ability.	_			Andrews and the second second			-
Comments: Out 54	And	log					
NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE PARTY O		0					
7. Litigation/Administrative Proceedings	NE NE	_1_	_2_	. 3	4	5_	
A. Provides timely and effective representation of the City's interest in litigation.	-	******	<u></u>	-	r		
B. Controls and monitors costs and performance of retained outside legal counsel.	_	<u>inde-concessors</u>				C	
Comments:							and agreement of the second

-				
II. Summ	ary Rating			
Overall Perform as well as overa	ance Rating – Considerin Il job performance, the fo	ng the results obtained a llowing rating is provid	gainst established perform ed (circle one):	nance standards
Unsatisfactory	Improvement Needed	Meets Job Standards	Exceeds Job Standards	Outstanding
Comments:	001.	STANDIN		
	Goals and Objective		on period:	
Specific goals a	nd objectives to be active	Yed III the next evaluation	in period.	* •
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	****		
	ER MARK/ROSSI			
ATTEST:	IITH, CITY ATTORNEY	m = 1		
CHERYL SMIT	TH, CITY CLERK		Dated 12-	-2-14