Historic Architectural Review Commission

Staff Report Item 4a

Meeting Date: December 16, 2014

Applicant: Pike Architects

Application Number: H14-01-0947

Address: #1212, 1220, and 1222 Simonton Street

Description of Work: Major Development Plan – Construction of a new

boutique hotel and rooftop pool.

Building Facts: Located on Simonton Street at the intersections of

Louisa and United Street, the site in question contains two buildings. One, originally the Floridian Motel and currently the Spindrift motel, is considered a contributing resource according to the survey and was constructed in 1948. The other, built c. 1950s, is not considered a contributing structure, but is historic.

The proposed project is for a two-story hotel. On October 16th, this project received Planning Board approval. The project also requires a height variance

for the elevator shaft.

Guidelines Cited in

Review: New construction (pages 36-38a), specifically

guidelines 1 through 7 of pages 38-38a.

Staff Analysis

The Certificate of Appropriateness in review proposes a new design for a boutique hotel. The design proposes a two story building over parking with a roof top that will contain a swimming pool, deck, and concierge area. The structure will be made of concrete and will have a stucco finish. The design of the new hotel is contemporary containing elements of Bauhaus and International Style, with a focus on simple forms, clean lines, and minimal details. The use of projecting overhangs, curves, and glass – the balconies, entryway roof, and landscaping – help lighten the building and break up the rectangular massing.

A water feature on the southeast corner of the building, which also helps break up the massing, complements the hotel's new name "H20." The center of the building on Simonton Street includes two projecting walls to mimic the water feature, to identify the main entrance, and create the roof-top structure. A curved roof with a v-shaped support will cover the structure, which will be utilized as restrooms and as a concierge area. The design includes an elevator located on the west façade, which projects a few feet above the allowable 35' height. The main mass of the building will be 23' tall.

The applicant has proposed circular walls and landscaping to create screening and privacy around the first floor of the hotel, which in turn will add depth to the building and create a more interesting pedestrian experience. The curved balconies contribute to the creation of depth, and will utilize clear glass, which won't weigh the building down. Other materials to be used will be aluminum impact windows with a grey tint, silver impact resistant storefront system, a clear glass railing system, and spandrel glass on the guestroom exteriors on Simonton Street.

The building will be located on a part of the historic district where the majority of the surrounding buildings are not-historic or their period of significance are from the late 1940s through 1960s, and the location also contains many hotels and motels. Due to this factor, the contemporary design will be harmonious with the surrounding urban fabric.

Consistency with Guidelines

- 1. The proposed new hotel will have an appropriate scale and mass for the area. There is a small one-story building next to the hotel on Louisa Street, which will have the largest difference in scale and massing. The building will be approximately 20 feet setback from the property line, which will provide some spacing between the hotel and small historic structure. On United Street, there are three one-story houses that are fairly small, but the back building will be 23' tall, which will not tower over the houses, which appear to be around 15' to 20' tall.
- 2. The proposed design for a two-story hotel will be appropriate for the surrounding area.
- 3. The plans proposes a new design that does not mimic any traditional structure in the historic district. The design is sensible to the surrounding structures.
- 4. The proposed materials and textures, although not traditional, will be appropriate to the specific urban context where the building is intended to be built.

The proposed design complies with the guidelines for new construction. The design is an effective interpretation of a contemporary hotel. The proposed mass, scale, proportions, materials and vocabulary are in keeping with the surrounding structures. The guidelines promote contemporary design as a means to create new structures in the historic district. The proposed structure will be an appropriate and sensible addition to the urban fabric for which it was designed.

APPLICATION



CITY OF KEY WEST

CERTIFICATE OF APPROPRIATION APPLICA	_	S -03-2014 010947		
OWNER'S NAME: See exhibit A	DATE:	06-02-14		
owner's address: See exhibit A	PHONE #:	202-309-1313		
APPLICANT'S NAME: Pike Architects Inc.	PHONE #:	296-1692		
APPLICANT'S ADDRESS: 471 US Highway 1, Suite 101	Key W	est FI 33040		
ADDRESS OF CONSTRUCTION: 1212 Simonton St. / 1220-22 Si	monton S	St. # OF UNITS 22		
THERE WILL BE A FINAL INSPECTION REQUIRED UN	DER THIS PI	ERMIT		
DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF WORK: Demolition of existing "Spindrift Hotel" and Revit commercial building - Reconstruct a new 22 unit boutique hotel along with a guest check-in and roof top swimming pool. New parking structure under guest units. Seeking Certificate of no Contributing Value Chapter 837.06 F.SFalse Official Statements - Whoever knowingly makes a false statement in writing with the intent to mislead a public servant in the performance of his or her official duty shall be guilty of a misdemeanor of the second degree punishable as provided for in s. 775.082 or 775.083				
This application for Certificate of Appropriateness must precede applications for building permits, right of way permits, variances, and development review approvals. Applications must meet or exceed the requirements outlined by the Secretary of the Interior's Standards for Rehabilitation and Key West's Historic Architectural Guidelines.	TWO SE OF FLO EX (for nex TREE REM PHOT BUILDING (TS OF SCALED DRAWINGS OR PLAN, SITE PLAN AND TERIOR ELEVATIONS w buildings and additions) MOVAL PERMIT (if applicable) TOGRAPHS OF EXISTING (repairs, rehabs, or expansions)		
application does not ensure approver as submitted.	(new ILLUSTRATIC PRODUCTS SHUTTERS, E COLOR CHIE	DGRAPHS OF ADJACENT BUILDINGS buildings and additions) DNS OF MANUFACTURED B TO BE USED SUCH AS DOORS, WINDOWS, PAINT PS, AND AWNING FABRIC SAMPLES OF TO THE TOTAL TO THE TOTAL TO THE TOTAL T		
be considered incomplete and will not tild reviewed for approval.	Trans det Staf	5100.5 300546 31 - 100.5 5/Approvaltine: 18:30:4		
Applicant's Signature:	Fee	Due:\$		

EXHIBT "A"

Spindrift Motel property

Owner - Harbor Side Motel Marina Inc.

1212 Simonton Street, Key West, Florida 33040

Rivet Property

Rivet Enterprises Inc.

17188 Flying Fish Lane West

Summerland Key, Fl. 33042-3630

HISTORIC ARCHITECTURAL REVIEW COMMISSION USE ONLY

Approved	Denied		Deferred
Reason for Deferral o	r Denial:		
		77	
ARC Comments:			
7 ACC COMMONS.			- ,
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		, , ,
imit of Work Approv Changes:	red, Conditions of Ap	oproval and/or Suggeste	d
Date:	Signature:	Historic Architectur	
		Review Commissio	

Ms. Enid Torregrosa, Historic Preservation Planner City of Key West 3140 Flagler Avenue Key West, FL 33040

Re: 1212-1220 Simonton Street
Certificate of No Contributing Value
(SHPO Master Site File #MO 04088)



Dear Ms. Torregrosa,

We are currently seeking a Certificate of No Contributing Value for the building located at 1212-1220 Simonton Street known as the "Spindrift Hotel". We seek to demolish the existing substandard structure and replace it with a HARC-approved architecturally-designed hotel.

Trepanier & Associates sought the opinions of local Florida-licensed Engineer, Mr. Rick Milelli and local Florida-licensed Architect, Mr. Peter Pike, regarding the existing physical conditions, alterations, and architectural significance of the existing structure. According to the attached architectural and engineering reports¹ the structure has had "major renovation with multiple additions", has significant structural deficiencies with regard to life-safety, hurricane protection, building codes and ADA access. The Pike Analysis concludes that given the deteriorated state and the history of poorly executed but substantial additions, alterations, and renovations restoration would be both "unfeasible" and "fake".

The additions, alterations, renovations, and structural deficiencies include:

- The addition of a large Mansard roof over the office portion of the building;
- Second story wood addition to the CMU building with mansard roof addition;
- Out-of-scale and nontraditional dormer elements;
- The original single story rooms have been altered with an additional sloped roof over the original flat roofs;
- Non-compliant structural aspects of the building including stairs, emergency egress, 2nd story deck, hurricane tie downs, stingers and railings;
- Non-compliant ADA access;
- Structural Spalling;
- Concrete delamination.

A Certificate of No Contributing Value requires a finding of the following criteria (Sec. 102-125):

 Embodies no distinctive characteristics of a type, period, or method of construction of aesthetic or historic significance in the city and is not a significant and distinguishable building entity whose components may lack individual distinction;

As identified in the Pike Analysis the original architectural construction did not embody distinctive characteristics other than a "slight gesture to a Deco Style". Then over time through a combination of poorly designed and poorly constructed

¹ Architectural and Engineering reports ("Pike Analysis" & "Milelli Analysis") (Attachments B & C)

402 Appelrouth Lane • P.O. Box 2155 • Key West, FL • 33045-2155

Phone: 305-293-8983 • Fax: 305-293-8748 • Email: Patrick@OwenTrepanier.com

additions, alterations and renovations, even the "ever so slight" Deco Style presentation has been obliterated creating the situation where today this building clearly "embodies no distinctive characteristics of a type, period, or method of construction of aesthetic or historic significance in the city and is not a significant and distinguishable building entity whose components may lack individual distinction".

2. Is not specifically associated with events that have made a significant contribution to local, state, or national history;

We researched the City archives, the Monroe County Library, and the Library of Congress. We found no event that occurred on this property that has made a significant contribution to local, state, or national history.

3. Has no significant character, interest, or value as part of the development, heritage, or cultural characteristics of the city, state or nation, and is not associated with the life of a person significant in the past;

We researched the City archives, the Monroe County Library, and the Library of Congress. We found no significant character, interest, or value as part of the development, heritage, or cultural characteristics of the city, state or nation, and the property is not associated with the life of a person significant in the past.

4. Is not the site of a historic event with a significant effect upon society;

We researched the City archives, the Monroe County Library, and the Library of Congress. We found no indication that the hotel is the site of a historic event with a significant effect upon society.

5. Does not exemplify the cultural, political, economic, social, or historic heritage of the city;

Based on the historical research and the Pike Analysis the hotel structure does not exemplify the cultural, political, economic, social, or historic heritage of the city.

6. Does not portray the environment in an era of history characterized by a distinctive architectural style;

Based on the historical research and the Pike Analysis the hotel structure does not portray the environment in an era of history characterized by a distinctive architectural style.

7. If a part of or related to a square, park, or other distinctive area, nevertheless should not be developed or preserved according to a plan based on the area's historic, cultural, natural, or architectural motif;

The hotel structure is not part of or related to a square, park or other distinctive area.

8. Does not have a unique location or singular physical characteristic which represents an established and familiar visual feature of its neighborhood or of the city, and does not exemplify the best remaining architectural type in a neighborhood; and

As mentioned above the hotel structure has been so compromised it no longer has even the slightest of significance. It does not have a unique location or singular physical characteristic which represents an established and familiar visual feature of its neighborhood or of the city, and does not exemplify the best remaining architectural type in a neighborhood.

9. Has not yielded, and is not likely to yield, information important in history.

Based on the historical research the hotel structure has not yielded, and is not likely to yield, information important in history

Thank you for your time and consideration in this matter.

Please don't hesitate to call me if you have any questions or need additional information.

Sincerely,

Owen Trepanier



First Site Form Recorded for this Site? YES

HISTORICAL STRUCTURE FORM

Electronic Version 1.1.0

Attachment A

Site #8 MO04088

Recorder #

Field Date 5/25/2004

Form Date

FormNo 200402

FormNo = Field Date (YYYYMM)

	SECTION REPORTS	CARE MESTER WATER		
Site Name (address if none) SPINDRI	FT MOTEL		Multiple Listing (DHP only)
- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		S N. F. C. P. S.		
Survey or Project Name Key West B				
National Register Category Buildin		our sel		_ Survey# '111 57
	LLOCATI	engyapanalagya	NON ki ik	· 是是一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一
Address			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Street No. Direction	Street Name	Stree	et Type Direction	on Suffix
1212	SIMONTON	Str	ceet	
	-			
Cross Streets (nearest/ between) LOUI				
City / Town (within 3 miles) KEY WEST			ent City Limits? <u>YES</u>	
County Monroe Subdivision Name				_
Ownership Private Individual		Block	Lot	
Name of Public Tract (o.g. pork)				
Route to (especially if no street address)				
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		<u> </u>		
				
	William to	*MARPINIC #3		
USGS 7.5' Map Name			>> KEY WESTST \$712	
	Section: 1/4 sec	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		- 700 TELL TO A STATE OF THE ST
Irregular Section Name:	Jection 1/4 Sect		>> 675 (; 25E)	5月19月11日11日 (1) (1)
Landgrant				
UTM: Zone 0 Easting 0	Northing 0			
Plat or Other Map (map's name, location)	-			
(1) Constitution (inspection)				
		<u> </u>	The state of the s	· 使数据 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Style Masonry Vernacular	Other Style			
Exterior Plan U-shaped		rior Plan		
Number of Stories 2			/// */X	
Structural System(s)	>> Cont &			
Other Structural System(s)	FR. 1 - WHEN 1-1			
Foundation Type(s)	>> (55%) it			
Other Foundation Types	Con processing and a second and			
Foundation Material(s)		All the second s	A Subset	
Other Foundation Material(s)	2500 CP-001 CP-0		**************************************	
Exterior Fabric(s)	>> BH600			
Other Exterior Fabric(s)	ET 1725 November 1975 August 1			
Other Roof Type(s)Roof Material(s)				
Other Roof Material(s)				
Roof Secondary Structure(s) (dormers et	cl		AGIDPOSTIL: LAZO	
Other Boot Cosendary Ct., setup (-)				ŧ
Number of Chimneys 0		•		
Chimney Material Not applicable				
Other Chimney Material(s)				
Chimney Location(s)				

HISTORICAL STRUCTURE FORM

Main Entrance Description (stylistic	letails)
	letails)
Porches: #open #closed _	#incised Location(s)
Porch Roof Types(s)	
Exterior Ornament MOLDED TRI	
Interior Plan <u>Unspecified</u> Condition Good	Other Interior Plan
Structure Surroundings	
Commercial: ALL this categ	
Institutional: NONE of this o	ategory Undeveloped: NONE of this category
Ancillary Features (Number / type of ou	tbuildings, major landscape features)
	wallanigs, major iamuscape leatures)
A. L. J.	
Archaeological Remains (describe): : If archaeological remains are present	NONE OBSERVED , was an Archaeological Site Form completed? NO
Narrative Description (optional)	, was all Archaeological Site Form completed? <u>NO</u>
An Adams Carabitation	AND THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPER
Construction year 1948	
Architect (last name first): UNKNOW	N Builder (last name first): UNKNOWN
Changes in Locations or Conditions	
Type of Change	Year of Change Date Change Noted Description of Changes
» <u>1111</u>	
Structure Use History	
Use	Year Use Started Year Use Ended >>
Other Structure Uses MOTEL	
Auto Andorate Caed WOLFD	
Ownership History (especially origina	l owner, dates, profession, etc.) UNSPECIFIED
Service Control	TO THE STATE OF THE PROPERTY O
Research Methods	>> Examine Good! az saed as all
Other research methods Sanborn	
	SULMEYOR'S EVALUATION OF SITE I LEE I LEE I LEE I LEE
otentially Eligible for a Local Registe	
lividually Eligible for National Registo	YES
otentially Eligible for a Local Registe dividually Eligible for National Registo otential Contributor to NR District? Area(s) of historical significance	YES

HISTORICAL STRUCTURE FORM

ptographic Negatives or Other Collections No	ot Filed with FMSF, Including Field Notes, Plans, other Important Documents.
Document type:	Maintaining Organization:
ile or Accession #:	Descriptive Information:

RECORDERINGORIANONS WERE THE TAXABLE PROPERTY.
Recorder Name (Last, First) Geoff Henry, Shelby Spillers, Heather Yost
Recorder Address/Phone 200 Orchard Ridge Dr., Suite 101, Gaithersburg, MD 20878 (301)258-9700
Recorder Affiliation Other Other Affiliation URS Corporation
Is a Text-Only Supplement File Attached (Surveyor Only)? NO

REQUIRED PAPER ATTACHMENTS

- (1) USGS 7.5" MAP WITH STRUCTURE PINPOINTED IN RED
- (2) LARGE SCALE STREET OR PLAT MAP
- (3) PHOTO OF MAIN FACADE, B&W, AT LEAST 3"X8".

MO04088-200402

Supplementary Printout

>	(Other	name((s)	ŀ
---	--------	-------	-----	---

> USGS map name/year of publication or revision:

KEY WEST:1971

> Township/Range/Section/Qtr:

67S;25E;34;UNSP

> Foundation types:

Continuous

> Foundation materials:

Poured Concrete Footing

> Exterior fabrics:

Stucco

> Roof types:

Mansard

> Roof materials:

Composition shingles

> Roof secondary structures (dormers etc):

Not applicable

> Change status/year changed/date noted/nature:

Unspecified;;;

> Original, intermediate, present uses/year started/year ended:

Commercial unspecified;;

> Research methods:

Examine local tax records

> Area(s) of historical significance:

Architecture


> Repositories: Collection/Housed/Accession#/Describe

;;;Photographs (Archived)

> Structural system(s):

Concrete block







PIKE ARCHITECTS INC.

ARCHITECTECTS - PLANNERS - CONSULTANTS

Key West . Orlando . Bahamas



47 | US Highway # |
Suite | O |
Key West, Florida, 33040
Ph 305-296-1692
Fax 305-296-4106
pparchkw@aol.com

9/29/2014

Owen Trepanier

Trepanier & Associates, Inc.

1421 | ST Street Key West, Florida, 33040

Ref. Spindrift Motel architectural and historic values.

Mr. Trepanier – This letter is in response to your request that I provide an historic analysis of the existing "Spindrift Hotel." Particular on how the existing structure has been altered over time, diminishing its original architectural form and appearance. My observations are based on a site visit on September 12th 2014.

The motel appears to be constructed in 1948. When constructed the design appearance was indicative of small "mom and pop" motels of that period. Please see Exhibit A. This is a photo provided to me by the HARC director of Key West. The photo shows the lobby entry and its relationship to Simonton Street. It depicts the motel as the "Floridian Motel" and judging by the automobile the date is assumed around to have been taken around 1960. The motel appears to be a single story building, with a large rectangular façade showing the motels name. The structure was masonry with a glass façade at the lower entry level. The building makes a slight gesture to a Deco Style presentation but ever so slightly.

When compared to the existing photograph one can determine that the structure has had a major renovation with multiple additions added to the original construction. Please see exhibit "B" This renovation occurred in 1958.



Exhibit A – Original front façade on Simonton Street



Exhibit B - Front façade on Simonton Street as seen today.

As one may see the architectural presentation to the street has changed significantly. A large "Mansard Roof" structure has been attached to the front of the building. The entry location has not been changed however the glazing component is not as originally constructed. It is almost half the size with a different mullion system. Also please note that a 2^{nd} story addition has been constructed to the left of the lobby area. It also has a mansard roof (see other photos).

The dormer elements are not traditional in any fashion and appear awkward and out of scale. As mentioned, the original single story rooms have been altered with an additional sloped roof over the original flat roofs.

It is my opinion that a restoration to its original appearance would be very difficult to achieve. It would also be impractical. The structure is suffering from structural spalling and concrete delamination. To restore this building would require a 90% demolition. This would result in a duplication of this questionable style. This is not what the HARC guidelines require. It would be a new structure representing a period in time duplicating the architectural style. It would also result in a "Disney type" façade that is not of true representation of a somewhat blurry architectural design type.

Considering the condition of the building, the significant alterations in the past and the result of a restoration would be unfeasible and somewhat "fake". In my opinion this structure should be removed. In its place should be a modern structure that can make gestures to the past construction but in a modern application. The structure that replaces this should be of an architectural style that clearly states a modern approach. It should be a design concept that reflects the architecture of our generation. There should be no confusion to a person of general architectural knowledge can quickly determiner that the new hotel is not historic and that it represents a period of current design concepts and technologies.

I would be more than happy to discuss this in further detail with you. Please contact me at your convenience

Regards

Peter Pike Architect

Additional photos attached.



Simonto Street looking north.



Louisa Street looking West.



Rear structure addition and roof. Electrical systems are out of code.

BUILDING EVALUATION

SPINDRIFT MOTEL

1212 Simonton Street, Key West, FL



Prepared For:

Trepanier and Associates 619 Front Street Key West, FL 33040

Prepared By:

Richard J. Milelli, P.E. P.E. # 58315 Meridian Engineering, LLC 201 Front St. Ste. 203 Key West, FL 33040 (305) 293-3263

Certificate of Authorization # 26120

SEPTEMBER 2014

Introduction:

As requested by Trepanier and Associates, I visited the site on September 12, 2014 to give my opinion on the existing structures at 1212 Simonton Street. The site was unoccupied at the time of the visit but it was formerly operated as the Spindrift Motel. The motel is located at the corner of Simonton and Louisa Street in Key West. The Monroe County property record card states that the original structure along Simonton Street was built in 1948. A second floor was added in 1958 to the original structure as well as a separate L-shaped building that fronts Louisa Street. There have been numerous renovations since then.

The first floor of the original 1948 structure is concrete masonry unit (CMU) construction. The second floor addition that was built in 1958 is a wood frame structure. The L-shaped building on Louisa Street is a CMU structure as well.

The Florida Building Code (FBC) occupancy for the building is Residential R-2. The site also falls under the Existing Hotels and Dormitories of the National Fire Protection Association (NFPA) Life Safety Code 101. None of the structures are protected by an automatic sprinkler system.

Observations 1948 Structure and Second Story Addition:

The following observations were made during the site visit to the 1948 structure and the 1958 second story addition.

- 1. The stairs located along the south wall of the building are made of wood which is not allowed by the NFPA 101 Life Safety Code. The stairs should be made of a noncombustible material such as concrete or metal. The stairs also do not meet the code requirements for width and rise. The maximum allowable height for a commercial stair riser is 7 inches. The existing stair risers are approximately 7 3/4" high. The stairs do not have any hand rails. A commercial stair should have hand rails on both sides. The railing along the stairs also is too short. The average height is approximately 29 inches above the tread.
- 2. There is only a single means of egress for the second story. There should be two means of egress because the common path of travel is greater than 35 ft.
- 3. The second floor deck also has numerous issues. The guard rail along the outside of the deck is only 40 inches high. The FBC requires it to be 42 inches high. The deck does not appear to be adequately lit.
- 4. The doors to the units on the second floor open in towards the main room. This violates the Life Safety Code since the doors should open in the egress direction. This also probably means that the doors do not meet the current wind load criteria since most doors are required to swing out to meet the required design pressures.
- 5. The plumbing that is visible for the second story does not have an end of the line cleanout.
- 6. The 6x6 post base hurricane tie downs only have (3) three nails in them. The builders did not use through bolts to secure the 6x6s. This means that the structure is not properly

- anchored. The 6x6 post bases appear to be installed in the sidewalk slab which is probably not thick enough to resist uplift.
- 7. The stringers for the second floor deck do not appear to have the proper fire blocking and are cantilevered from the building. The stringers are showing signs of water damage as well.
- 8. The existing motel lobby is not ADA accessible.
- 9. The units have smoke detectors but do not have carbon monoxide detectors.
- 10. Based on historical photographs, the mansard roof was added when the second story addition was built in 1958.
- 11. There is water heater located adjacent to the lobby that does not have a code compliant exhaust. The exhaust pipe should extend past the eave and have a compliant end treatment.

Observations 1958 One Story L Shaped Structure:

The following observations were made during the site visit to the 1958 single story L shaped structure.

- 1. The windows in the exterior wall along Louisa Street have an air conditioning unit in them. The Life Safety Code requires two means of egress. The air conditioning unit blocks the second means of egress.
- 2. The units have smoke detectors but do not have carbon monoxide detectors.
- 3. There is not adequate fire separation between the southern end of the building and the adjacent structure.
- 4. The masonry structure has settling cracks and signs of concrete spalling in the beams.
- 5. There is a 5 v crimp roof over the structure. It appears that the 5 v crimp roof was built over rolled roofing.
- 6. The soffits and exposed wood appears to have water damage and possible termite damage.

Photographs of 1948 Structure and second story addition:



Photograph 1 showing the stairs to the second story addition.



at less than 40"

Photograph 2 showing the guard rail on the second story addition



Photograph 3 showing entrance door swinging in on one of the rooms in the second story addition.

Photographs of 1958 Single Story L Shaped Structure:



blocked.

Photograph 4 of typical ground level room with egress window



conditioning unit.

Photograph 5 showing the egress window blocked with an air



Photograph 6 showing inadequate fire separation between the L shaped building on the left and the adjacent structure. Note the non-code compliant egress windows.



Photograph 7 showing the 5 v crimp roof over the L shaped

building which appears to be constructed over rolled roofing.




Photograph 8 of the exterior of the L shaped building on Louisa Street. Note the egress window blocked by the air conditioning unit. It appears that the units had an egress door to Louisa Street that was in-filled at some point.




Photograph 9 of what appears to be an end of the line cleanout for the L shaped structure. The pipe appears to be made of cast iron and does not have a clean out box around it.




Photograph 10 of the exhaust of the water heater. The exhaust should be located over the eave of the roof and have a code compliant end treatment.



1948 Sanborn Map



1962 Sanborn Map




Google Earth Aerial Photo

PROJECT PHOTOS



The Floridian Motel, now the Spindrift Motel. It's mid-century elements are apparent. Property Appraisers Photo, c. 1965. Monroe County Public Library.



The Florida Motel today. Other than the parapet wall, all other character defining features are gone.





1220 Simonton Street, c. 1965. Photo taken by Property Appraiser's office. Monroe County Public Library.



1220 Simonton Street, photo from Google Earth.



1220 Simonton Street, photo from Google Earth.

PLANNING BOARD RESOLUTION

PLANNING BOARD RESOLUTION NO. 2014-70

A RESOLUTION OF THE KEY WEST PLANNING BOARD DEVELOPMENT GRANTING MAJOR **PLAN** LANDSCAPE MODIFICATION / WAIVER APPROVALS **PURSUANT TO SECTIONS 108-91.A.2.(A) AND 108-517 OF** THE LAND DEVELOPMENT REGULATIONS OF THE CODE OF ORDINANCES OF THE CITY OF KEY WEST, FLORIDA FOR THE RECONSTRUCTION OF A 22-ROOM HOTEL AND ONE NON-TRANSIENT DWELLING UNIT AND FOR THE REDUCTION AND/OR WAIVER OF REQUIRED LANDSCAPING ON PROPERTY LOCATED AT 1212, 1220 & 1222 SIMONTON STREET (RE # 00028730-000000, 00028750-000000; AK # 1029513, 1029530) WITHIN THE HISTORIC NEIGHBORHOOD COMMERCIAL -TRUMAN / SIMONTON (HNC-1) ZONING DISTRICT; PROVIDING FOR AN EFFECTIVE DATE

WHEREAS, Section 108-91 of the Code of Ordinances (the "Code") of the City of Key West, Florida (the "City") provides that within the Historic District, a Major Development Plan is required for the reconstruction of five or more residential units; and

WHEREAS, modifications and waivers to reduce the City's landscaping requirements are requested or required pursuant to City Code Section 108-517; and

WHEREAS, Code Sections 108-196(a) and 122-62(a) require the Planning Board to review and approve, approve with conditions or deny the proposed Major Development Plan in an advisory capacity to the City Commission; and

WHEREAS, Code Section 108-517(a) requires the Planning Board to consider the landscape modification / waiver request and render the final action; and

WHEREAS, this matter came before the Planning Board at a duly noticed public hearing on Page 1 of 6
Resolution No. 2014-70

Vice-Chairman

Planning Director

October 16, 2014; and

WHEREAS, the granting of a Major Development Plan and Landscape Modification

Waiver application is consistent with the criteria of the Code of Ordinances; and

WHEREAS, the Planning Board finds that the granting of a Major Development Plan and

Landscape Modification / Waiver application is in harmony with the general purpose and intent of

the Land Development Regulations, and will not be injurious to the neighborhood, or otherwise

detrimental to the public welfare.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Planning Board of the City of Key West,

Florida:

Section 1. That the above recitals are incorporated by reference as if fully set forth

herein.

Section 2. The Major Development Plan and Landscape Modification / Waiver for the

reconstruction of a 22-room motel and one non-transient dwelling unit on property located at 1212,

1220 & 1222 Simonton Street (RE # 00028730-000000, 00028750-000000; AK # 1029513,

1029530) in the Historic Neighborhood Commercial – Truman / Simonton (HNC-1) Zoning District

pursuant to Sections 108-91.A.2.(a) and 108-517 of the Land Development Regulations of the Code

of Ordinances of the City of Key West, Florida, as shown in the attached plans, is hereby approved

with the following conditions:

General conditions:

1. The proposed development shall be consistent with the plans dated September

18, 2014 by Peter M. Pike, Architect, the civil plans dated May 30, 2014 by Allen E. Perez,

Page 2 of 6

Resolution No. 2014-70

Vice-Chairman

Planning Director

P.E., and the landscape plans dated August 20, 2014 by Tyler Nielsen, Landscape Architect;

notwithstanding the revisions requested and recommended by staff.

2. Although subject to a separate City approval, the City shall not bear any of the

costs of the proposed sidewalk and any landscaping improvements within City right-of-way.

3. During all phases of demolition and construction, temporary fencing and

erosion barriers shall be installed and maintained. All adjacent City streets and sidewalks

shall be kept clean and clear of construction debris.

Conditions prior to the City Commission hearing:

4. The applicant shall address all of staff's and the DRC's concerns as outlined

in the October 16, 2014 staff letter and the June 26, 2014 DRC minutes.

5. The applicant shall submit an irrigation plan pursuant to City Code Section

108-243(d).

6. The applicant shall submit an outdoor lighting plan pursuant to City Code

Section 108-284.

7. The architectural, civil and landscaping plans shall be revised and corrected in

order to eliminate any and all inconsistencies between the plans to the satisfaction of the City

Planner.

Conditions prior to issuance of a building permit:

8. Approval of a Public Art Plan shall be obtained from the AIPP Board,

pursuant to City Code Section 2-487, and may include payment of an in-lieu fee.

Conditions prior to issuance of a Certificate of Occupancy:

Page 3 of 6 Resolution No. 2014-70

Vice-Chairman

Planning Director

9. On-site artwork shall be installed and inspected by the City pursuant to Code

Section 2-487.

10. The property owner shall obtain and maintain a Conditional Approval Permit,

pursuant to City Code Chapter 18, Article XII, Division 1. The City Code Compliance shall

inspect the property on an annual basis upon reasonable notice to determine compliance with

the above conditions.

Conditions subject to a Conditional Approval Permit, and subject to an associated

annual inspection:

11. The rooftop pool and bar shall be for use of registered hotel guests only and

shall not be open to the general public.

12. No sound from amplified or live music may cross any adjacent residential

property line.

13. Any sale of alcohol shall be charged to a hotel room. No cash service.

14. No advertising of a public-access bar shall be allowed.

15. All outdoor lighting shall meet Dark Sky standards and guidelines.

16. A minimum of nine (9) off-street bicycle parking spaces shall be installed and

maintained.

Section 3. Full, complete and final application for all permits required for which this

resolution is wholly or partly necessary, shall be submitted in its entirety within 12 months after the

date hereof.

Section 4. This Major Development Plan and Landscape Modification / Waiver

Page 4 of 6 Resolution No. 2014-70

Vice-Chairman

Planning Director

application approval by the Planning Board does not constitute a finding as to ownership or right to

possession of the property, and assumes, without finding, the correctness of the applicant's assertion

of legal authority respecting the property.

Section 5. This resolution shall go into effect immediately upon its passage and adoption

and authentication by the signatures of the presiding officer and the Clerk of the Board.

Section 6. This resolution is subject to appeal periods as provided by the City of Key

West Code of Ordinances (including the Land Development Regulations). After the City appeal

period has expired, this permit or development order shall be rendered to the Florida Department of

Economic Opportunity. Pursuant to Chapter 73C-44, F.A.C., this permit or development order is not

effective for 45 days after it has been properly rendered to the DEO with all exhibits and applications

attached to or incorporated by reference in this approval; that within the 45-day review period, the

DEO can appeal the permit or development order to the Florida Land and Water Adjudicatory

Commission; and that such an appeal stays the effectiveness of the permit until the appeal is resolved

by agreement or order.

Page 5 of 6 Resolution No. 2014-70

Vice-Chairman
Planning Director

Read and passed on first reading at a regularly scheduled meeting held this 16th day of October, 2014.

Authenticated by the Vice-Chairman of the Planning Board and the Planning Director.

Sam Holland, Jr., Planning Board Vice-Chairman

Date

Attest:

Donald Leland Craig, AICP, Planning Director

Date

Filed with the Clerk:


Cheryl Smith, City Clerk

Date

Page 6 of 6 Resolution No. 2014-70

Vice-Chairman

Planning Director




LEGAL DESCRIPTION:

On the Island of Key West and known on Wm. A. Whitehead's map delineated in February, 1829 as part of Track 11, but better known according to D.T. Sweeney's Subdivisiot of Lots 1, 2, 3, and 4, in Square 8, of Track 11, recorded in Book "L" Deeds, Page 215, Monroe County Records as part of Lots 25 and 26 described by metes and bounds as follows: Commencing at the Westerly corner of Simonton and United Streets, and running thence Northwesterly along the Southwesterly side of Simonton Street 96 feet; thence at right angles in a Southwesterly direction 70 feet; thence at rights angles in a Southeasterly direction 96 feet to United Street; thence at right angles in a Northeasterly direction along the Northeasterly side of United Street 70 feet to the Point of Beginning.

On the Island of Key West and is part of Tract Eleven (11) according to W.A. Whitehead's Map of said Island delineated in February 1829, better known according to D.T. Sweeney's Subdivision of Lot One (1), Two (2), Three (3) and Four (4) of Square Eight (8) of Tract Eleven (11), recorded in Book "L" Deeds, Page 215 of Monroe County Records as Lots Twenty-three (23), Twenty-four (27).

On the Island of Key West and known on W.A. Whitehead's Map, delineated in February 1829, as part of Tract Eleven (11), but better known according to D.T. Sweeney's Subdivision of Lot One (1), Two (2), Three (3) and Four (4) of Square Eight (8) of Tract Eleven (11), recorded in Book "L" Deeds, Page 215 of Monroe County Records as Lots Twenty-four (24), having a front on Simonton Street of 48 feet and extending back at right angles with Simonton Street 100 feet.

CERTIFICATION made to: Meisel Holdings Fl. LLC Stones & Cardenas Chicago Title Insurance Company Spottswood, Spottswood & Spottswood



CERTIFICATION:


I HEREBY CERTIFY that the attached **BOUNDARY SURVEY** is true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief; that it meets the minimum technical standards adopted by the Florida Board of Land Surveyors, Chapter 5J-17, Florida Statue Section 472.027, and the American land Title Association, and that there are no visable/enc/pachments unless shown hereon.


FREDERICK H. HILDEBRANDT Professional Land Surveyor & Mapper No. 2749 Professional Engineer No. 36810 State of Florida


Meisel Holdings Fl., Inc. (Spindrift Motel) 1212-1222 Simonton Street, Key West, Fl. 33040 Dwn No.: **BOUNDARY SURVEY** 14-174 Scale: 1"=20" Dwn. By: F.H.H. 124-56 Flood Zone: Flood Elev. 172-53 Date: 3/19/14 REVISIONS AND/OR ADDITIONS f/datafred/dwg/keywest/block117/1212simonton





3152 Northside Drive (305) 293-0466 Fax. (305) 293-0237 fhildeb1@bellsouth.net Suite 201 Key West, Fl. 33040 L.B. No. 7700























4

SIMONTON STREET

6

A3.4

González Architects

HOSDÍTAIITY

REVISIONS DATE

2

SPINDRIFT HOTEL
1212 SIMONTON STREET
KEY WEST, FLORIDA 33040

DRAWING TITLE: EXISTING SPINDRIFT ELEVATIONS

PROJECT NUMBER:

14.12

DRAWN: TSN

CHECKED: PMP

DATE: 12-09-14

SHEET #
A3.4

© COPYRIGHTED DRAWINGS 2014

2





2 A3.5

LOUISA STREET





UNITED STREET

REVISIONS DATE

González Architects HOSDÍTAIITY

SPINDRIFT HOTEL
1212 SIMONTON STREET
KEY WEST, FLORIDA 33040

DRAWING TITLE: EXISTING SPINDRIFT ELEVATIONS

PROJECT NUMBER:

14.12

DRAWN: TSN

CHECKED: PMP

DATE: 12-09-14

SHEET #
A3.5

2

ADDITIONAL IMAGES




Santa Maria Motel at 1401 Simonton Street, c. 1965. One of the last three mid-century modern motels left in Key West.


Photo taken by Property Appraiser's office. Monroe County Public Library.



Another section of the Santa Maria Motel, c. 1965. Photo taken by the Property Appraiser's office. Monroe County Public Library.



Santa Maria Resort today.



Santa Maria Resort today.



Blue Marlin Motel at a Simonton Street, c. 1965. It has been hardly altered. Photo taken by the Property Appraiser's office. Monroe County Public Library.



Blue Marlin Motel today.



Sugarloaf Lodge.
A mid-century modern motel still in existence on Sugarloaf Key.



Howard Johnson's Motel & Restaurant at 3031 N. Roosevelt Blvd. It has since been demolished. Photo taken by the Property Appraisers office c. 1960. Monroe County Public Library.




The Hibiscus Motel, another great mid-century modern motel at 1313 Simonton Street, c. 1950.

It was demolished and is now a Best Western.

Monroe County Public Library.



The Key Lodge Motel, another great mid-century modern motel at 1004 Duval Street in the 1960s. It was demolished and only the sign remains. Photo from the Property Appraiser's office. Monroe County Public Library.



Postcard of the Key Lodge Motel.

The DeWolfe and Wood Collection. Monroe County Public Library.



The Key Wester, a famous and early Key West motel on S. Roosevelt Blvd, date unknown.

It has since been demolished.

Photo by Don Pinder. Monroe County Public Library.




And there are countless more motels in Key West which have been demolished or altered so much that they are not recognizable.

MISCELLANEOUS INFORMATION

The New Hork Times

This copy is for your personal, noncommercial use only. You can order presentation-ready copies for distribution to your colleagues, clients or customers, please click here or use the "Reprints" tool that appears next to any article. Visit www.nytreprints.com for samples and additional information. Order a reprint of this article now.



March 5, 2004 **JOURNEYS**

JOURNEYS; In Florida, Can 1950's Motels Become Hip **Enough to Survive?**

By STEVE DOUGHERTY

AS the sun sets on the Surf, 93-year-old Florence Strong sits outside enjoying the play of light on the sharply angular surfaces of the kitschy mom and pop motel in Treasure Island, Fla., a beachfront barrier island community near St. Petersburg. Mrs. Strong, a retired nurse, has been a seasonal visitor at the Surf ever since she and her late husband drove down from Auburn, N.Y., in the 1950's. "I've come back every year since," she says, shading her eyes from the sunlight that sparkles on the aqua surface of the pool. "I truly hate to see them tear it down."

With its kooky "77 Sunset Strip" design, the Surf is one of a dozen or so small family-owned motels built on Treasure Island in the 1940's and 50's in the architectural space age style known as midcentury modern or Googie, after a trendsetting Los Angeles coffee shop.

It is also the latest casualty in an ongoing, sometimes bitter turf war between commercial interests that want to replace the old, and in some cases deteriorating, midcentury modern motels with bigger, more modern structures, and a vocal and energetic group of preservation-minded residents who want to save them from the wrecking ball.

Scattered among the not quite so eye-catching strip malls and convenience stores that line this stretch of Gulf Boulevard, motels like the Sands, built in the same Googie style as the Surf; the Satellite, distinctive for its "sputnik" motif; and the Thunderbird, with its signature neon sign greeting visitors as they drive off the causeway from the mainland, are, for the preservationists, a rich trove of architectural gems.

Rather than razing them, the pro-motel forces say, Treasure Island should polish them up and display them, much in the way spots like Miami's South Beach; Palm Springs, Calif.; and Wildwood, N.J., have been revitalized by selling themselves as distinctive locations, worth visiting, at least in part for their architecture. "Tourists will come here if there's something unique for them to find here," said Pete Gallagher, a musician and local radio host who is a vocal preservation advocate.

But developers say that renovating many of the hotels just isn't possible. The Surf in particular is beyond repair, with its steel support columns badly corroded and its heating and cooling systems antiquated. Besides, Treasure Island's mayor, Mary Maloof, points out, the Surf's "new owners' view is that they are not in the business of historic preservation."

11/11/2014 1:34 PM 1 of 4

"It's a real dilemma," Ms. Maloof said. "We have one segment of our residents who feel these motels are historic landmarks that must be preserved at all costs and we have others who are equally determined and who see the small motels as old and decrepit and want them torn down."

The mayor said that the last time the two sides squared off, in a contentious 2002 referendum battle over height restrictions on new beach construction, "the argument pitted neighbor against neighbor and friend against friend." While things haven't become that heated this time around, Treasure Island's next city commission election, to be held Tuesday, features a contest between a local plumber and preservation candidate, Alan Bildz, and an incumbent, Barbara A. Blush, who is supported by many of the area's leading developers.

At the heart of the issues roiling Treasure Island are soaring property values, said Ms. Maloof, a St. Louis native who moved to Florida with her family in 1964, when beach properties went for as little as \$30,000. Today, those same buildings sell for as much as \$600,000 to developers who demolish the structures and in turn sell the bare lots for \$1 million or more. "It's easy to say these should be preserved," Ms. Maloof said of the beach motels, "but it's not so easy to ask the owners to forgo the kind of money they're being offered to sell."

"On the other hand," she added, "they're not making any more of these unique buildings that we have here. Once they're gone, they're gone for good."

For the Surf's guests, longtime employees and admirers, the fight is already lost. "May 1 is demo day," Kathy Szydloski, a desk clerk, said matter-of-factly. "The last guest will check out April 26 and the bulldozers move in on the first."

Rising in the razed 48-year-old motel's place will be the Surf Beach Resort, a five-story, 30-unit hotel condominium whose neo-Mediterranean design, judging from a published rendering, is more generic than "Jetsons." Units in the new Surf will be priced at about \$400,000 each, with absentee owners able to rent them out at \$175 a night, nearly triple the going rate at the present-day Surf.

"I'm not sure I'll be back to Treasure Island," said Mrs. Strong, one of the middle- and lower-income visitors from the Midwest and Northeast who flock there season after season. "I know there are other places here that are as affordable and that look as nice, but I've never been inside the others."

IT was open mike night at the Ka'Tiki, one of the last surviving open-air bars on Sunset Beach, an enclave of ramshackle beach cottages and new high-priced condominiums at the south end of Treasure Island. A noisy crowd of regulars was howling along with Mr. Gallagher, whose stage name is Sunset Beach Pete, in a ditty called "Ken Brown" that denounces the man who "tore the Seabreeze down!" That hallowed beach bar was bulldozed last year to make way for a condo development, and Mr. Gallagher squarely -- and profanely -- lays blame at the feet of the song's titular character, a slender, pleasantly mannered yacht broker who is president of the Treasure Island Business Association and one of the developers who have bought and intend to raze the Surf motel.

"I have not heard the song," said Mr. Brown, sitting in the office of his Savage Brown Yachts company, across the street from the new Seabreeze, now Nick's Seabreeze, which has been relocated to a strip mall storefront where breezes from the sea seldom if ever stir. "I know they blame me for the

2 of 4 11/11/2014 1:34 PM

Seabreeze. The truth is, I sold it and the people who bought it tore it down."

As for the Surf, Mr. Brown, 60 and an Indiana native who lives on nearby Paradise Island, said that the new version "is not going to look anything like the old Surf."

"Is that bad or good?" he continued. "Some see the 50's style as passé. Others love it. There are those who want to save what's always been, who think the little bitty beach shacks down there on Sunset Beach are quaint.

"I happen to think that newer buildings attract a clientele that will bring more money into the community. Look at it this way: a pensioner has a shack on the beach he paid \$30,000 for 40 years ago. Today, just the 50-foot-by-110-foot lot is worth \$1 million without the shack. Now the pensioner is worth \$1 million. He can move inland, buy a house for \$150,000 and live comfortably for the rest of his life."

That argument, say the preservationists, is shortsighted. "What Ken doesn't get is that people aren't going to come to Treasure Island to see a bunch of box-shaped condos," said Mr. Gallagher, who points to the town of Clearwater, a densely developed island about 10 miles north, as the community's possible future. "There's no way of knowing you're even in a beach town," he said. "You can't see the water or even much of the sky for the high-rises all around. Ken and these other speculators come in here from up north and they want to take this quintessential Florida beach town and make it look like what they left behind in Michigan."

But not all the people who favor development are newcomers. At John's Pass on the northern tip of Treasure Island, where tidal waters rush from the Gulf of Mexico to Boca Ciega Bay and back, 89-year-old Agnes Rice, a colorful local character who also happens to be matriarch of one of the community's largest landowning families, stood out in the midday sun in a black and gold lamé head wrap, black jacket and billowing gypsy skirt. Threaded through a belt loop at her waist was a large steel hoop festooned with about two dozen keys. Mrs. Rice carries herself with an authority that tells you that she and she alone knows exactly which key opens what door.

"I'm 89 years old, been here since 1945," she said. These days, her family's holdings include Gators, a popular restaurant, music venue and boating complex at John's Pass, as well as, according to her son C. C. Rice, "all the waterfront property from the back bay clear out to the highway." Mr. Rice interrupted his mother to vent about what he calls "that bunch of radicals down in Sunset Beach."

He was referring to the group of preservationists who helped pass the 2002 referendum making it impossible for the city commission to waive the current five-story height limit on new developments without the approval of voters. As a result, the Rices abandoned plans to build an extensive high-rise development at the north end of Treasure Island.

"It's unfortunate," Mr. Rice said, still angry two years later. "Now we can go wall to wall," he added, meaning that instead of building up, developers will build from property line to property line in order to maximize space. "We would have preferred to put up buildings 12 to 15 stories high that would leave room in between so you could see the water. But we were outvoted."

3 of 4 11/11/2014 1:34 PM

The anti-height campaign resulted in one of the nation's highest voter turnouts on election night in 2002, with 59.9 percent of eligible voters casting ballots -- and the preservationists winning handly, with 63 percent of the vote. Spearheading the battle was Heidi Horak, 42, a real estate lawyer and Sunset Beach resident who grew up in the Catskill Mountains of New York and moved to Florida in 1990.

Vehement as she is in her opposition to what she calls "hideous condo monstrosities," Ms. Horak said she was not against all new development. "They're doing a good job," she said during a drive around Treasure Island, pointing out a Sunset Beach lot where a condo called the Palms is going up. "It's terraced, they're using colors that actually exist in nature here -- the colors of sunset -- and it has interesting details; it's not a box. They're not maxing out the space like the one they're putting up at the Surf."

"Florida is a microcosm of the whole country," Ms. Horak said. "Its natural resources -- its beaches, its climate and its unique charm -- are threatened by overdevelopment. Only Florida is unique in that it is 'Vacationland, U.S.A.' -- part of its beauty is very kitsch." By her count, close to a dozen midcentury modern buildings in town deserve to be declared landmarks and saved. "Developers around here think they're old and ugly and they want to get rid of them," she said. "But those buildings are the very thing that is going to bring people to Treasure Island. Who's going to come down here to see the new Surf?"

John Ilg, a West Virginia horse farmer and U.P.S. driver who has been coming to the island with his wife every winter for the last 10 years, isn't sure if he'll be back next year. "I sure hate to see these places go," he said. "About five years ago, things started changing down here. A lot of the old motels started disappearing." Now, Mr. Ilg said, he is afraid that his favorite motel, the Sea Horse, a colorful cluster of cottages nestled in sand dunes, may be sold, too. "It's too bad," he said. "Progress I guess."

Photos: SOUTH BEACH? NOT QUITE -- Despite a campaign by architectural preservationists, time may be running out for vintage motels like the Sands in Treasure Island, Fla., where Vince and Donna DuFresne of Ontario recently stayed. Below, a postcard of the Sands from the late 40's. (Photo by Brian Blanco for The New York Times) (pg. F1); LAST CALL -- The Surf, one of Treasure Island's midcentury modern motels, will be replaced with high-priced condos starting in May.; UNDER THE FLORIDA SUN -- Snowbirds at the Sands, left, and the Jolly Roger, two of the motels preservationists are hoping to protect.; REALITY CHECK -- Encountering the Sea Chest, left, and the lobby of the Sands, right, visitors may feel they have stepped back in time. (Photographs by Brian Blanco for The New York Times) (pg. F3) Map of Florida highlighting Treasure Island. (pg. F3)

Copyright 2014 The New York Times Company | Home | Privacy Policy | Search | Corrections | XML | Help | Contact Us | Back to Top

4 of 4 11/11/2014 1:34 PM



YHS SPINDEIFT GLAZEINGE STORETO



SYSTEM DESCRIPTION:

YHS 50 Fl is a high performance storefront system designed for insulating glass 1" to 1-5/16" thick and tested to meet the most demanding conditions. With varied infill and components, YHS 50 Fl can meet the requirements for Impact Resistance, Blast Mitigation or both. The system features the Model 35H entrance door with maximum-security dead bolts or optional exit devices for egress requirements.

OPTIONS & FEATURES:

- Large & Small Missile
 - Small Missile is Dry Glazed
 - Large Missile is Wet or Dry Glazed
- ASTM E 1886 / 1996, TAS 201 203
 - Tested to +/- 70 psf *
 - Florida State-Wide Product Approval
 - Approved for High Velocity Hurricane Zone
- Blast Mitigation
 - YHS 50 FI meets UFC 4-010-01 prescriptive standard in accordance with 2007 revisions.
 - Model 35H "Low Hazard" per ASTM F 1642 Test @ 6 psi / 41psi-ms

*Contact YKK AP Engineering for Job Specific Wind Load analysis at higher levels.





> YHS 50 Fl Impact Resistant and Blast Mitigation Storefront System Specifications

1.01 SUMMARY

- A. Section includes: Aluminum Storefront Systems
 - 1. YKK AP Series YHS 50 FI Impact Resistant Storefront System (Insulated Glazing)
- B. Related Sections:
 - 1. 08410 35H Impact Resistant Heavy Duty Swing Doors.
 - 2. Glass: Contact YKK AP for approved glass types.
 - 3. Glazing: Dow Corning® 995 Structural Silicone for large missile.

1.02 SYSTEM DESCRIPTION

- A. Performance Requirements: Provide aluminum storefront systems that comply with performance requirements indicated, as demonstrated by testing manufacturers assemblies in accordance with Florida Building Code Protocol TAS-201, TAS-202 and TAS-203.
 - 1. Air Infiltration: Completed storefront systems shall have 0.06 CFM/FT2 (1.10 m3/h-m2) maximum allowable infiltration when tested in accordance with ASTM E 283 at differential static pressure of 6.24 psf (299 Pa).
 - 2. Water Infiltration: No uncontrolled water when tested in accordance with ASTM E 331 (or when required, field tested in accordance with AAMA 503) at test pressure differential of: 12 PSF (575 Pa). Fastener Heads must be seated and sealed against Sill Flashing on any fasteners that penetrate through the Sill Flashing.
 - 3. Wind Loads: Completed storefront system shall withstand wind pressure loads normal to wall plane indicated:
 - a. Exterior Walls:
 - 1) Positive Pressure: 70 psf.
 - 2) Negative Pressure: 70 psf.
 - 4. Deflection: Maximum allowable deflection in any member when tested in accordance with ASTM E 330 with allowable stress in accordance with AAMA Specifications for Aluminum Structures.
 - a. L/175 or 3/4" (19.1mm) maximum.
 - 5. Thermal Movement: Provide for thermal movement caused by 180 degrees F. (82.2 degrees C.) surface temperature, without causing buckling stresses on glass, joint seal failure, undue stress on structural elements, damaging loads on fasteners. reduction of performance, or detrimental effects.

2.01 MANUFACTURERS

- A. Acceptable Manufacturers: YKK AP America Inc.
 - 1. Storefront System: YKK AP YHS 50 FI Impact Resistant Storefront System.
- B. Storefront Framing Systems:
 - 1. Description: Center set, exterior flush glazed; jambs and vertical mullions continuous; head, sill, intermediate horizontal attached by screw spline joinery. Continuous and wept sill flashing.
 - 2. Components: Manufacturer's standard extruded aluminum mullions, entrance doors, framing, and indicated shapes, perimeter anchor fillers and steel reinforcing as required.
 - Glazing: Manufacturer's standard glazing stops with EPDM glazing gaskets to prevent water infiltration at the exterior and Dow Corning 995 Structural Silicone Adhesive with fixed stops at the interior for large missile. EPDM interior gasket for small missile and non-impact applications.

2.02 MATERIALS

A. Extrusions: ASTM B 221 (ASTM B 221M), 6063-T5 and 6063-T6 Aluminum Alloy.

2.03 ACCESSORIES


- A. Manufacturer's Standard Accessories:
 - 1. Fasteners: Zinc plated steel concealed fasteners; Hardened aluminum alloys or AISI 300 series stainless steel exposed fasteners.
 - 2. Glazing: Setting blocks, edge blocks, and spacers in accordance with ASTM C 864, shore durometer hardness as recommended by manufacturer; Glazing gaskets in accordance with ASTM C 864.
 - 3. 0.050 Aluminum Sill Flashing End Dams must have 3 point attachment.

2.06 FINISHES

- A. Anodic Coating: Electrolytic color coating followed by an organic seal applied in accordance with the requirements of AAMA 612.
- High Performance Organic Coating Finish: Type Factory applied two-coat 70% Kynar resin by Arkema or 70% Hylar resin by Solvay Solexis, fluoropolymer based coating system, Polyvinylidene Fluoride (PVF-2), applied in accordance with YKK AP procedures and meeting AAMA 2605 specifications.

For additional information on architectural aluminum products offered by YKK AP America Inc. visit our web site at www.ykkap.com.







YKK AP Hurricane & Blast Solutions

Heavy Commercial Impact Protection

The YKK AP ProTek® YSD 700 H impact resistant heavy commercial sliding glass door is designed to provide protection from the fierce cyclical pressures and projectiles associated with hurricane force winds. The engineering behind the design concept provides enhanced structural capabilities to meet stringent building codes. This sliding door is designed to accept 9/16" laminated monolithic lites and 1" or 1-3/16" thick insulating units that may be configured to provide protection from both large and small missile impacts. Fixed lites are integrated into the sub frame for improved performance and reduced cost.

Product Benefits

- Available configurations: OX, XO, OXO, & OXXO
- AAMA/WDMA 101/I.S. 2-97/NAFS-02
 - HC-120 Grade
- Miami Dade NOA and Florida state-wide approval +100/-120 PSF minimum
- 7" frame depth
- Factory glazing (active panels) & screens
- Standard heavy-duty hardware, including stainless steel tandem rollers & track cover for years of worry-free operation







YKK AP ProTek® Impact Resistant Heavy Commercial Sliding Door Specifications

1.01 SUMMARY

- A. Section includes: Aluminum Sliding Doors, including:
 - 1. YKK AP Series YSD 700 H Impact Resistant Heavy Commercial Sliding Doors.
 - 2. Glass: Contact YKK AP for approved glass types.
 - 3. Glazing: Structural silicone for large missile, dry glazed for small missile & non-impact applications.

1.02 TEST AND PERFORMANCE REQUIREMENTS

- A. All test unit sizes and configurations shall conform to the minimum sizes in accordance with AAMA 101/LS. 2/NAFS-02, with a performance class of HC, performance grade 120, meet all requirements of Miami Dade Building Code Protocols TAS 201, TAS 202, and TAS 203 and comply with the following specific performance requirements indicated.
 - 1. Air Infiltration: Sliding doors shall have 0.30 CFM/FT² maximum allowable infiltration when tested in accordance with ASTM E 283 and TAS 202 at a differential static pressure of 6.24 psf (299 Pa).
 - Water Infiltration: There shall be no uncontrolled water leakage when tested in accordance with ASTM E 331, ASTM E 547 and TAS 202 at a static pressure of 15 psf (958 Pa).
 - Static Load: There shall be no damage to fasteners, hardware, accessories, or any other damage that would render the sliding door inoperable
 when tested in accordance with ASTM E 330 and TAS 202 at a differential static pressure of 120 psf positive and negative
 - Forced Entry Resistance: Sliding doors shall be tested in accordance with ASTM F 842 & TAS 202 and meet the requirements of performance grade 10.
 - Large & Small Missile Impact: There shall be no signs of penetration, rupture, or opening after the impact test when tested in accordance with ASTM E 1886/1996, and TAS 201.
 - Cyclic Load: Test to be done upon completion of missile impact test. There shall be no damage to fasteners, hardware, accessories, or any other damage that would render the window inoperable when tested in accordance with ASTM E 1886/1996 and TAS 203.
 - 7. Deglazing: Sliding doors shall meet all test requirements of ASTM E 987 and NAFS (5.3.6.2).
 - B. Thermal Performance: When tested in accordance with AAMA 1503.1:
 - a. Condensation Resistance Factor (CRF): A minimum of 21.
 - b. Thermal Transmittance U Value: 0.63 BTU/HR/FT²/°F or less.
 - Acoustical Performance: When tested in accordance with ASTM E 90 and ASTM E 1332, the Sound Transmission Class (STC), and Outdoor-Indoor Transmission Class (OITC) shall not be less than 30 STC and 26 OITC.
 - 10. Life Cycle Testing: When tested in accordance with AAMA 910, there shall be no damage to fasteners, hardware parts, or any other damage that would cause the specimen to be inoperable. Resistance to air leakage and water penetration resistance test results shall not exceed the gateway performance.

Note: Performance based on lab testing and will vary by configuration and glass type; contact YKK AP engineering for job specific analysis at higher performance levels.

2.01 MANUFACTURERS

- A. Acceptable Manufacturers: YKK AP America Inc.
 - 1. Sliding Doors: YKK AP YSD 700 H Impact Resistant Heavy Commercial Sliding Doors.
- B. Sliding Doors:
 - AAMA Designation: HC-120.
 - 2. Description: YKK YSD 700 H Series Impact Resistant Heavy Commercial Sliding Doors shall be extruded aluminum with overall frame depth of 7" (177.8mm) not including interlock stiles; Horizontal frame members run through square cut vertical members, butted and mechanically fastened with stainless steel screws; Vertical panel members run through notched top and bottom rails, butted and mechanically fastened with two stainless steel screws per joint; Standard shipped knocked-down or optional pre-glazed sliding panels.
 - 3. Configuration: The sliding doors shall be OX, XO, OXO, or OXXO.
 - 4. Glazing (Contact YKK AP for approved glass types):
 - a. Non-Impact & Small Missile: Sliding panel(s) shall be channel glazed using a marine type wrap around EPDM glazing gasket; Fixed panel(s) shall be glazed with standard glazing stops and EPDM wedge gasket on the exterior and EPDM sponge gaskets on the interior; 9/16" monolithic or 1" to 1-3/16" insulating units.

2.02 MATERIALS

A. Extrusions: ASTM B 221 (ASTM B 221M), 6063-T5 Aluminum Alloy.

2.03 ACCESSORIES

- A. Manufacturer's Standard Accessories:
 - Hardware: Standard adjustable stainless steel ball bearing rollers, stainless steel track cover, MS Lock with all steel case and laminated steel
 hookbolt (1-1/2" backset), 1" aluminum tubular exterior (shoulder bolt) and interior (through bolt) pull handles; Optional alloy thumbturn cylinder
 and aluminum cylinder trim ring.
 - 2. Fasteners: All fasteners to be AISI 300 series (except for self-drilling which are to be AISI 400 series) stainless steel.
 - 3. Sealant: Non-skinning type, AAMA 803.3.

2.06 FINISHES

- A. Anodic Coating: Electrolytic color coating followed by an organic seal applied in accordance with the requirements of AAMA 612.
- B. High Performance Organic Coating Finish: Factory applied two-coat 70% Kynar resin by Auto Chem or 70% Hylar resin by Ausimont, fluoropolymer based coating system, Polyvinylidene Fluoride (PVF-2), applied in accordance with YKK AP procedures and meeting AAMA 2605 specifications.

For additional information on architectural aluminum products offered by YKK AP America Inc. visit our web site at www.vkkap.com.



> YT Therm Impact Archite SPINIDRIFT GUEST ROOM ENTRY DOORS



Weather Resistant Strength

YTD 350 TH Terrace Doors are the ideal choice for condominiums, hotels and high-rise residential properties in hurricane prone regions, integrating smoothly with YKK AP wall systems or other wall conditions. YTD 350 TH utilizes a unique, three-way adjustable hinge and multi-point locking system, to ensure the door closes properly and creates a weather tight perimeter seal. Thermal efficiency is enhanced with YKK AP's MegaTherm® technology, which also creates dual finish capability. And with an overall U-factor of 0.44, YTD 350 TH meets the requirements of the new energy code contained in the 2010 Florida Building Code.

Product Features and Performance

- Florida Product Approval HVHZ, LMI & SMI
- Shipped completely fabricated and mounted in frame to expedite installation
 - Singles to 3'-6" x 10'-0" @ 110 psf
 - Pairs to 7'-0" x 8'-0" @ 110 psf
 - Pairs to 6'-0" x 9'-0" @ 90 psf
 - Factory glazed by YKK AP or unglazed
- Durable Handle Sets
 - Two standard handle set styles
 - Standard Finishes
 - Painted Oil Rubbed Bronze (over zinc)
 - Painted Wet White (over zinc)
 - Brushed Chrome (over solid brass)
 - · Custom paint and plated finishes available
- Outswing Tested in accordance with AAMA / WDMA / CSA 101 / I.S.2 / A440 – 11

AW - PG 80 - ATD (Single)

AW - PG 65 - ATD (Pair)





> YTD 350 TH

Thermally Broken Impact Resistant Architectural Terrace Door

YTD 350 TH utilizes a multi point locking system that secures the door leaf to the frame on three sides with up to 17 locking points, depending on security and design pressure required. One upward motion on the door handle moves the deadbolt while a series of mushroom head pawls simultaneously engage corresponding keepers on the frame. Polyamide connecting bars and aluminum / stainless spring steel corner transmissions link all locking points.




PERFORMANCE SUMMARY:

- Air Infiltration (ASTM E 283): 0.10 CFM/FT2 @ 6.24 PSF (299 Pa)
- Water Infiltration (ASTM E 331): 16.5 PSF (790 Pa) Outswing - Single & Pair
- Acoustical Performance (AAMA 1801): 35 STC, 29 OITC; 1" IGU 38 STC, 32 OITC; Laminated
- Thermal Performance with 0.29 COG U-factor glazing (AAMA 1503 and NFRC 102): Frame CRFf = Minimum of 56 Overall system U-factor = 0.44
- Large Missile Impact, Small Missile Impact. Cyclic Load (ASTM E 1886 / 1986 and TAS 201 / 203) Approvals for IBC (All Wind Zones) Florida High Velocity Hurricane Zone (Miami - Dade and Broward Counties)

Multi Point Locking System

For additional information on architectural aluminum products offered by YKK AP America Inc. visit our web site at www.ykkap.com.



MANUFACTURED BY C.R. LAURENCE COMPANY SPINDEIFT STAIR RAILING POOL DIECK GLASS ARCHITECTURAL RAILING SYSTEMS FRAMELESS GLASS RAILINGS, HAND RAILS, WINDSCREENS,

STAINLESS STEEL POST SYSTEMS, AND MUCH MORE...

- NEW PRODUCTS DESIGNED AND ENGINEERED FOR COMMERCIAL AND RESIDENTIAL NEEDS

 COMPONENT AND ACCESSORY ITEMS COMPLEMENT EACH PRODUCT LINE



C.R. LAURENCE COMPAN'

Stating Architectural Patient Construency In Notific and Automotive Souther



BUILDING GLASS RAILING SYSTEMS SAFER, STRONGER, WITH BRILLIANT TRANSPARENCY


CRL GRS GLASS RAILING SYSTEMS AND COMPONENTS

CRL's GRS Glass Railing Systems for interior and exterior applications are designed to provide an attractive 'all-glass' frameless look to view-oriented residential or commercial projects. Designed for monolithic or laminated glazing, this high quality system also serves as an effective wind barrier, further enhancing the outdoor environment. CRL offers a variety of Base Shoes, Cap Rails, Cladding, Corners, Brackets, and Accessories. Custom fabricated railings for project specific requirements are also available.





DRY GLAZE RAILING SYSTEM



- Glass Railings Designed, Tested, and Engineered by CRL for Commercial or Residential Applications
- Reduces Installation Time By 50%
- Supports 12 mm (1/2"), 15 mm (19/32"), and 19 mm (3/4") Monolithic Tempered Glass
- · Also Designed for Laminated Glazing Applications 13.52 mm (9/16") 17.52 mm (11/16") 21.52 mm (27/32")

25.52 mm (1-1/16")

The installation process just got easier with CRL's patented Horizontal TAPER-LOC™ dry glazed design. Designed for both commercial and residential applications, this is just the system architectural railing installers have been waiting for. This new system will reduce your installation time by more than 50%, and has been tested and engineered to meet the strictest building code requirements.



Adhere TAPER-LOC® L-Setting Blocks to the bottom edge of glass.



Install TAPER-LOCTA Tapers with CRL's TLK9 Installation/Removal Tool to lock the glass securely in place.




Roll in the glazing and you're done.



CRL TAPER-LUCT installation/Removal Tool Kit













CRL manufactures a comprehensive selection of Post Railing Kits that combine the durability of stainless steel components with innovative designs and engineering. Designed for interior or exterior hand rail and guard rail applications, these low maintenance stainless steel posts come ready to install.















CRL GLASS WINDSCREEN AND POOL SURROUNDS

CRL's Frameless Glass Windscreen Base Shoe System and Frameless Windscreen Brackets are designed to provide an attractive, maintenance-free glass wall that will enhance any residential or commercial application. This system offers virtually unobstructed views for glass windscreen or fencing requirements. (Not designed for guard rail applications). CRL's Frameless Windscreen Systems are innovative glass wall systems that provide an almost invisible "frameless" look without vertical posts used between the panels of glass. They are solely supported from the bottom by aluminum base shoes or high strength stainless steel clamps.







The CRL AWS Aluminum Windscreen System was developed to provide an attractive, maintenance-free glass wall that is perfect for most residential or commercial applications. All AWS Systems can be used in conjunction with a concrete slab, block wall or individual footings. If our stock components do not fit your application, allow us to design a system that can. CRL has the ability to design, engineer, and fabricate a custom system that will satisfy not only your need for safety and security, but also your artistic tastes.







CRL's HRS Hand Rail System is designed for stairways, ramps or anywhere that requires the need for A.D.A. compliant assist rails CRL offers a wide range of component parts for almost every application. Offered in five standard architectural finishes for wall mount, post mount, and glass mount applications. Custom fabrication and finishing is also available.









CRL's high quality, versatile 200, 300, 350, and 400 Series Aluminum Railing Systems are manufactured from extruded aluminum framing members, all of which utilize tempered glass, aluminum pickets, cast infills, and stainless steel cable that is engineered to meet applicable building codes. ARS is suitable for all commercial and residential applications.

















AH11 ARCHITECTURAL HARDWARE



ARCHITECTURAL **RAILING SYSTEMS**



LP11 COMMERCIAL DOOR HANDLES



ARCHITECTURAL METALS.



HS12 HOSPITALITY AND SERVICE HARDWARE



CRL MASTER AND SPECIALTY CATALOGS 03 SEALANTS, ADHESIVES, AND FASTENERS • 23 FRAMELESS SHOWER DOOR HARDWARE • 43 AUTO GLASS TOOLS AND WINDOWS 53 GLASS AND GLAZING HARDWARE 63A ARCHITECTURAL HARDWARE 63R ARCHITECTURAL RAILING • 73 TOOLS, MACHINERY, AND EQUIPMENT 83 WINDOW AND DOOR REPLACEMENT HARDWARE

DC07 COMMERCIAL DOOR CONTROLS



TH10 TRANSACTION AND SECURITY HARDWARE



SD12 FRAMELESS SHOWER DOOR HARDWARE AND SUPPLIES



JK11 JACKSON DOOR CONTROLS



TAJ11 AMILAT ARCHITECTURAL

METALS



HW2010 TUBULAR ARCHITECTURAL HARDWARE



B2010 TEMPERED GLASS DOORS, PANIC, DEADBOLT AND **DUMMY HANDLES**



M2010 RAILINGS SMOKE BAFFLES. GLASS GATES



D2010 DISPLAY CASE DOOR SERIES 1301



GB10 GLASS BONDING SYSTEM



KCS11 KEY CONTROL **SPECIALISTS**



- · Access to All CRL Products Through CRL's Easy-to-Use A to Z Product Index
- · Fast and Secure Log On Process
- · Ask Technical and Product Questions Online
- · Find Products and Pricing Online Instantly
- View All Current Catalogs Online
- . Technical Articles and Product Support
- · Ordering and Shipping Information
- . Beautiful Installation Photos of CRL Products
- · CRL Locations With Addresses, Directions. Phone and Fax Numbers
- . Direct E-Mail Connections to CRL Departments
- · Account Policies and Credit Terms
- · A Calendar of Industry Trade Shows

Welcome to the C.R. Laurence Global Web Site





INL C.R. LAURENCE COMPANY



Corporate Headquarters CRL UNITED STATES | crlaurence.com 2503 E. Vernon Ave., Los Angeles, California 90058-1897 Toll Free Phone: (800) 421-6144 • Toll Free Fax: (800) 262-3299 International Phone: (323) 588-1281 • International Fax: (323) 581-6522

C.R. Laurence of Canada Canadian Headquarters

C.R. Laurence Co., Inc.

CRL CANADA | criaurence.ca 65 Tigi Court, Concord, Ontario L4K 5E4
Toll Free Phone: (800) 421-6144 * Toll Free Fax: (800) 262-3299 International Phone: (905) 303-7966 • International Fax: (905) 303-7965

C.R. Laurence of Europe Ltd. European Headquarters

CRL UK | crlaurence.co.uk

Charles Babbage Ave., Kingsway Business Park, Rochdale, OL16 4NW England Freephone: 00 800 0421 6144 • Freefax: 00 800 0262 3299 International Phone: +44 (0) 1706 863 600 • International Fax: +44 (0) 1706 869 860


🔤 C.R. Laurence of Europe GMBH Stuttgart Area, GERMANY

CRL GMBH | criaurence.de | criaurence.eu Boschstrasse 7, D-74360, Ilsfeld, Germany Freephone: 00 800 0421 6144 . Freefax: 00 800 0262 3299 International Phone: +49 (0)7062 915 93 15 • International Fax: +49 (0)7062 915 93 16

C.R. Laurence Australia Pty Ltd Australian Headquarters

CRL Australia | crlaurence.com.au 9 Shale Place, Eastern Creek, NSW 2766

Toll Free Phone: 1800 424 275 • Toll Free Fax: 1800 813 013 International Phone: +61 (2) 9851-3444 • International Fax: +61 (2) 9851-3445



Copyright © 2014. Designed by RnJ Solutions, coded by RnJ Solutions
Privacy Policy | Terms of Use

AMX - 250 4"



The Historic Architectural Review Commission will hold a public hearing at 5:30 p.m., November 25, 2014 at Old City Hall, 510 Greene Street, Key West, Florida. The purpose of the hearing will be to consider a request for:

MAJOR DEVELOPMENT PLAN – CONSTRUCTION OF NEW BOUTIQUE HOTEL AND ROOFTOP POOL. DEMOLITION OF CONTRIBUTING STRUCTURE AND SEEKING A CERTIFICATE OF NO CONTRIBUTING VALUE.

FOR- #1212 SIMONTON STREET

Applicant – Pikes Architect

Application # H14-01-947

If you wish to see the application or have any questions, you may visit the Planning Department during regular office hours at 3140 Flagler Avenue, call 809-3973 or visit our website at www.keywestcity.com.

THIS NOTICE CAN NOT BE REMOVED FROM THE SITE UNTIL HARC FINAL DETERMINATION





HARC POSTING AFFIDAVIT

COUNTY OF MONROE:
BEFORE ME, the undersigned authority, personally appeared, who, first being duly sworn, on oath,
depose and says that the following statements are true and correct to the best of his/her knowledge and belief:
 That a legal notice for Public Notice of Hearing of the Historic Architectural Review Commission (HARC) was placed on the following address:
// day of November , 20 14. on the
This legal notice(s) contained an area of at least 8.5"x11".
The property was posted to notice a public hearing before the Key West Historic Architectural Review Commission to be held on $1/-25-14$.
The legal notice(s) is/are clearly visible from the public street adjacent to the property.
The Certificate of Appropriateness number for this legal notice is $HH-0-947$.
2. A photograph of that legal notice posted in the property is attached hereto.
Signed Name of Affiant: Date:
The forgoing instrument was acknowledged before me on this day of, 20_14
By (Print name of Affiant) Wr. Paterok Wright Who is personally known to me'or has produced as
identification and who did take an oath.
NOTARY PUBLIC Sign Name:

PROPERTY APPRAISER INFORMATION



Key West (305) 292-3420 Marathon (305) 289-2550 Plantation Key (305) 852-7130

Website tested on IE8, IE9, &

The offices of the Property Appraiser will be closed Thursday and Friday November 27th & 28th for Thanksgiving. Our offices Wildher re-open Monday, December 1st, at 8 am.

Property Record Card -

Maps are now launching the new map application version.

Alternate Key: 1029513 Parcel ID: 00028730-000000

Modify Search

This feature will allow you to modify

Ownership Details the search that you initially

performed.

Mailing Address:

CASA MARINA - 1220 SIMONTON STREET LLC 6000 EXECUTIVE BLVD

ROCKVILLE, MD 20852-3853

Property Details

PC Code: 39 - HOTELS, MOTELS

Millage Group: 10KW Affordable No Housing:

Property 1212 SIMONTON ST Unit Number: 20 KEY WEST

Legal KW LOTS 23 24 AND 27 SQR 8 TR 11 G42-193/94 G43-414/15 G48-112/13 OR359-6/7 OR532-556 OR667-532 Description: OR680-587/589 OR770-386/388 OR811-22/24 OR864-1949/1950C/T OR898-132 OR937-2154 OR1010-2043/44

OR2701-1900/01

Click Map Image to open interactive viewer

11/21/2014 4:05 PM 1 of 8



Land Details

Land Use Code	Frontage	Depth	Land Area
100D - COMMERCIAL DRY	96	147	14,120.00 SF

Building Summary

Number of Buildings: 3 Number of Commercial Buildings: 3 Total Living Area: 6871 Year Built: 1948

Building 1 Details


Building TypeCondition FQuality Grade 400Effective Age 32Perimeter 336Depreciation % 40Year Built 1948Special Arch 0Grnd Floor Area 3,143Functional Obs 0Economic Obs 0

Inclusions:

Roof Type Roof Cover Foundation
Heat 1 Heat 2 Bedrooms 0
Heat Src 1 Heat Src 2

Extra Features:

2 Fix Bath Vacuum 0 3 Fix Bath 10 Garbage Disposal 0 4 Fix Bath 0 Compactor 5 Fix Bath 0 Security 0 6 Fix Bath Intercom 0 7 Fix Bath 0 Fireplaces 0 Extra Fix 0 Dishwasher 0



Sections:

Nbr	Туре	Ext Wall	# Stories	Year Built	Attic A/C	Basement %	Finished Basement %	Area
1	FLA		1	1947				3,143
2	OPF		1	1947				180

Interior Finish:

Section Nbr Interior Finish Nbr		Туре	Area %	Sprinkler	A/C
	4030	HOTEL/MOTEL B	100	N	Υ
	4031	OPF	100	N	N

Exterior Wall:

3 of 8

Interior Finish Nbr	Туре	Area %
1073	C.B.S.	100

Building 2 Details

Building Type	Condition <u>F</u>	Quality Grade 450
Effective Age 32	Perimeter 304	Depreciation % 40
Year Built 1958	Special Arch 0	Grnd Floor Area 2,496
Functional Obs 0	Franchic Obs 0	


Functional Obs 0

Inclusions:

Foundation	Roof Cover	Roof Type
Bedrooms 0	Heat 2	Heat 1
	Heat Src 2	Hoat Src 1

Extra Features:

2 Fix Bath	0	Vacuum	0
3 Fix Bath	0	Garbage Disposal	0
4 Fix Bath	0	Compactor	0
5 Fix Bath	0	Security	0
6 Fix Bath	0	Intercom	0
7 Fix Bath	0	Fireplaces	0
Extra Fix	18	Dishwasher	0



Sections:

Nbr	Type	Ext Wall	# Stories	Year Built	Attic A/C	Basement %	Finished Basement %	Area
1	CPF		1	1987				288
2	<u>FLA</u>		1	1987				960
3	<u>FLA</u>		1	1987				1,536
4	OUF		1	1987				242

5	<u>OPU</u>	1	1987	400
---	------------	---	------	-----

Interior Finish:

Section Nbr	Interior Finish Nbr	Type	Area %	Sprinkler	A/C
	4032	CPF	100	N	N
	4033	HOTEL/MOTEL B	100	N	N
	4034	HOTEL/MOTEL B	100	N	N
	4035	OUF	100	N	N

Exterior Wall:

Interior Finish Nbr	Туре	Area %
1074	AB AVE WOOD SIDING	38
1075	C.B.S.	62


Building 3 Details

Inclusions:

Roof Type Roof Cover Foundation
Heat 1 Heat 2 Bedrooms 0
Heat Src 1 Heat Src 2

Extra Features:

2 Fix Bath 0 Vacuum 0 3 Fix Bath 0 Garbage Disposal 4 Fix Bath 0 Compactor 0 5 Fix Bath 0 Security 0 6 Fix Bath 0 Intercom 0 7 Fix Bath 0 Fireplaces 0 Extra Fix 18 Dishwasher 0



Sections:

Nbr	Туре	Ext Wall	# Stories	Year Built	Attic A/C	Basement %	Finished Basement %	Area
1	FLA		1	1947				1,232

Interior Finish:

Section Nbr	Interior Finish Nbr	Туре	Area %	Sprinkler	A/C
	4036	HOTEL/MOTEL B	100	Υ	Υ

Exterior Wall:

Interior Finish Nbr	Туре	Area %
1076	AB AVE WOOD SIDING	38
1077	C.B.S.	62

Misc Improvement Details

Nbr	Туре	# Units	Length	Width	Year Built	Roll Year	Grade	Life
1	FN2:FENCES	295 SF	59	5	1977	1978	5	30
2	PO6:COMM POOL	450 SF	0	0	1976	1977	3	50
3	PT3:PATIO	880 SF	0	0	1976	1977	2	50
4	AP2:ASPHALT PAVING	2,984 SF	0	0	1975	1976	2	25

Appraiser Notes

2003-01-16-SKI 22 MOTEL ROOMS

TPP 8517220 - SPINDRIFT MOTEL

Building Permits

Bldg	Number	Date Issued	Date Completed	Amount	Description	Notes
		04/20/2010	07/12/2010	2,429	Commercial	REPLASTER POOL
	10-1819	06/02/2010		7,200	Commercial	REPLACE/INSTALL 12 SQS. WHITE M/B RUBBER ROLL ROOFING
	10-2904	09/03/2010		2,300	Commercial	REMOVE FALSE PICKETS ON PARAPHET WALL APPROX 42LF BY 3-6" H. PATCH CRACKS IN STUCCO AND PAINT TO MARCH ORIGINAL COLOR.
	08-1097	04/14/2008		2,400	Commercial	INSTALL 5 V-CRIMP METAL ROOF OVER SMALL STORAGE ROOM.
	10-1246	04/20/2010		2,429	Commercial	REPLASTER COMMERICAL SWIMMING POOL 79LF
1	B95-1605	05/01/1995	12/01/1995	1,000	Commercial	REPAIRS
2	96-1792	04/01/1996	08/01/1996	1,600	Commercial	RENOVATIONS
3	96-1783	04/01/1996	08/01/1996	400	Commercial	PAINTING
4	96-2005	05/01/1996	08/01/1996	27,160	Commercial	ELECTRIC
5	97-4246	12/19/1997	12/31/1997	2,000	Commercial	REPLACE ROOF
6	98-1253	04/22/1998	12/31/1998	3,500	Commercial	PAINT EXTERIOR
7	99-1686	05/25/1999	11/18/1999	150	Commercial	SIGN MAINTENANCE
8	99-2335	07/09/1999	11/18/1999	14,500	Commercial	INSTALL EMERG GENERATOR
9	99-2972	08/20/1999	11/18/1999	1,500	Commercial	ELECTRICAL
10	99-3251	10/22/1999	11/18/1999	14,250	Commercial	NEW POOL PUMP PACKAGE
11	06-6571	12/07/2006		4,800	Commercial	7 SQS OF V-CRIMP ROOFING
12	07-1293	03/16/2007		12,800	Commercial	INSTALL 27 SQS OF V-CRIMP ROOFING

Parcel Value History

Certified Roll Values.

View Taxes for this Parcel.

Roll Year	Total Bldg Value	Total Misc Improvement Value	Total Land Value	Total Just (Market) Value	Total Assessed Value	School Exempt Value	School Taxable Value
2014	844,114	22,421	491,122	2,194,624	2,194,624	0	2,194,624
2013	844,114	22,421	491,122	2,126,492	2,126,492	0	2,126,492
2012	844,114	22,421	491,122	2,126,492	2,126,492	0	2,126,492
2011	892,349	22,421	491,122	2,054,582	2,054,582	0	2,054,582
2010	892,349	22,421	456,563	2,054,582	2,054,582	0	2,054,582
2009	928,526	22,421	1,161,370	2,209,228	2,209,228	0	2,209,228
2008	928,526	22,421	1,284,920	2,209,228	2,209,228	0	2,209,228
2007	747,713	18,263	1,235,500	2,241,122	2,241,122	0	2,241,122
2006	763,798	18,998	1,200,200	2,280,711	2,280,711	0	2,280,711
		·		·		·	·

2005	763,798	19,733	988,400	2,042,178	2,042,178	0	2,042,178
2004	755,440	20,467	776,600	1,949,558	1,949,558	0	1,949,558
2003	755,440	21,202	409,480	1,938,003	1,938,003	0	1,938,003
2002	755,440	21,937	409,480	1,845,717	1,845,717	0	1,845,717
2001	755,440	22,672	409,480	1,845,717	1,845,717	0	1,845,717
2000	749,421	14,735	324,760	1,845,717	1,845,717	0	1,845,717
1999	749,421	15,221	324,760	1,552,154	1,552,154	0	1,552,154
1998	500,783	15,707	324,760	1,453,997	1,453,997	0	1,453,997
1997	500,783	16,193	296,520	1,181,944	1,181,944	0	1,181,944
1996	455,257	16,680	296,520	1,056,235	1,056,235	0	1,056,235
1995	414,592	17,188	296,520	1,056,235	1,056,235	0	1,056,235
1994	414,592	17,704	296,520	1,173,807	1,173,807	0	1,173,807
1993	414,592	18,212	296,520	1,271,617	1,271,617	0	1,271,617
1992	414,592	18,720	296,520	1,271,617	1,271,617	0	1,271,617
1991	414,592	19,236	296,520	1,271,617	1,271,617	0	1,271,617
1990	414,626	19,876	215,330	1,271,617	1,271,617	0	1,271,617
1989	317,394	20,515	211,800	1,271,617	1,271,617	0	1,271,617
1988	252,295	16,530	183,560	1,018,567	1,018,567	0	1,018,567
1987	214,643	15,486	129,728	434,965	434,965	0	434,965
1986	149,577	0	43,200	324,362	324,362	0	324,362
1985	146,759	0	43,200	477,539	477,539	0	477,539
1984	139,260	0	43,200	182,460	182,460	0	182,460
1983	139,260	0	22,752	162,012	162,012	0	162,012
1982	127,023	0	21,696	136,016	136,016	0	136,016

Parcel Sales History

NOTE: Sales do not generally show up in our computer system until about two to three months after the date of sale. If a recent sale does not show up in this list, please allow more time for the sale record to be processed. Thank you for your patience and understanding.

Sale Date	Official Records Book/Page	Price	Instrument	Qualification
9/3/2014	2701 / 1900	6,462,500	WD	30
5/1/1987	1010 / 2043	1,325,000	WD	U

This page has been visited 52,538 times.

Monroe County Monroe County Property Appraiser Scott P. Russell, CFA P.O. Box 1176 Key West, FL 33041-1176

8 of 8



Key West (305) 292-3420 Marathon (305) 289-2550 Plantation Key (305) 852-7130

Website tested on IE8, IE9, &

The offices of the Property Appraiser will be closed Thursday and the Friday November 27th & 28th for Thanksgiving. Our offices will her re-open Monday, December 1st, at 8 am.

Property Record Card -

Maps are now launching the new map application version.

Alternate Key: 1029530 Parcel ID: 00028750-000000

Ownership Details

Mailing Address:

CASA MARINA - 1220 SIMONTON STREET LLC 6000 EXECUTIVE BLVD ROCKVILLE, MD 20852-3853

Property Details

PC Code: 12 - STORE/OFF/RES OR COMBINATION

Millage Group: 10KW
Affordable Housing: No
Section-Township-Range: 06-68-25

Property Location: 1220 SIMONTON ST KEY WEST

1222 SIMONTON ST KEY WEST

Legal Description: KW PT LOTS 25 AND 26 SQR 8 TR 11 OR290-455/456 OR1424-1542 OR2696-1439/40 OR2699-1715/17C

Click Map Image to open interactive viewer



Land Details

Land Use Code	Frontage	Depth	Land Area
100D - COMMERCIAL DRY	48	70	3,360.00 SF
100D - COMMERCIAL DRY	48	70	3,360.00 SF

Building Summary

Number of Buildings: 1 Number of Commercial Buildings: 1 Total Living Area: 3616

Year Built: 1963

Building 1 Details


Building Type	Condition A	Quality Grade 450
Effective Age 24	Perimeter 356	Depreciation % 30
Year Built 1963	Special Arch 0	Grnd Floor Area 3,616
Functional Obs 0	Economic Obs 0	

Inclusions:

Foundation	Roof Cover	Root Type
Bedrooms 0	Heat 2	Heat 1
	Heat Src 2	Heat Src 1

Extra Features:

2 Fix Bath	0	Vacuum	0
3 Fix Bath	2	Garbage Disposal	0
4 Fix Bath	0	Compactor	0
5 Fix Bath	0	Security	0
6 Fix Bath	0	Intercom	0
7 Fix Bath	0	Fireplaces	0
Extra Fix	15	Dishwasher	0



Sections:

Nbr	Туре	Ext Wall	# Stories	Year Built	Attic A/C	Basement %	Finished Basement %	Area
1	FLA		1	1990				2,058
2	SBF		1	1990				380
3	FLA		1	1990				1,558
4	OUF		1	1990				952

Interior Finish:

Section Nbr	Interior Finish Nbr	Туре	Area %	Sprinkler	A/C
	4041	PROFESS BLDG-B	76	N	Υ

4042	1 STY STORE-B	24	N	Υ
4043	SBF	100	N	N
4044	APTS-B	100	N	Υ
4045	OUF	100	N	N

Exterior Wall:

Interior Finish Nbr	Туре	Area %
1079	C.B.S.	100

Misc Improvement Details

Nbr	Туре	# Units	Length	Width	Year Built	Roll Year	Grade	Life
1	FN2:FENCES	492 SF	82	6	1985	1986	5	30
2	PT3:PATIO	480 SF	0	0	1962	1963	1	50
3	AP2:ASPHALT PAVING	2,915 SF	0	0	1971	1972	1	25
4	CA2:CARPORT	200 SF	20	10	1994	1995	4	50
5	AC2:WALL AIR COND	1 UT	0	0	1985	1986	2	20
6	AC2:WALL AIR COND	1 UT	0	0	1985	1986	3	20
7	FN2:FENCES	1,140 SF	190	6	1995	1996	2	30
8	UB3:LC UTIL BLDG	100 SF	10	10	1996	1997	1	30
-								

Appraiser Notes

TPP8901291-RENTAL 8516681-DDS

Building Permits

Bldg	Number	Date Issued	Date Completed	Amount Description	Notes
	08-2514	07/17/2008		150	INSTALL NEW DISCONNECT & WIRE TO NEW COMPRESSOR.
	08-464	02/21/2008		500	HOOK UP 3-BAY SINK AND GREASE TRAP.
	08-1661	05/14/2008		250	APPLICATION OF WHITE LETTERING AND BLACK BACKGROUND NAME: BAD BOY BURRITO CAFE.
	08-3602	09/25/2008		1,800	INSTALL NEW 200 AMP SERVICE TO REPLACE EXISTING 100 AMP PANEL.
	B944006	12/01/1994	06/01/1995	1,100	CARPORT 20 X 10
	9603733	09/01/1996	12/01/1997	500	PAINTING
	0200363	02/14/2002	10/03/2002	10,000	REMOVE/REPLACE ROOF
	03-0450	02/13/2003	10/02/2003	500	GREASE TRAP
	03-0595	02/27/2003	10/02/2003	2,200	DEMO BLOCK
	03-0946	03/20/2003	10/02/2003	20,000	REPLACE WALL

Parcel Value History

Certified Roll Values.

View Taxes for this Parcel.

Roll Year	Total Bldg Value	Total Misc Improvement Value	Total Land Value	Total Just (Market) Value	Total Assessed Value	School Exempt Value	School Taxable Value
2014	447,763	9,200	432,552	889,515	889,515	0	889,515
2013	473,349	9,402	432,552	915,303	915,303	0	915,303
2012	473,349	9,638	432,552	915,539	915,539	0	915,539
2011	506,698	9,836	432,552	949,086	949,086	0	949,086
2010	506,698	10,038	399,426	916,162	916,162	0	916,162
2009	506,698	10,274	724,221	1,241,193	1,241,193	0	1,241,193
2008	506,698	10,472	873,600	1,390,770	1,390,770	0	1,390,770
2007	365,858	9,838	840,000	1,215,696	1,215,696	0	1,215,696
2006	365,858	10,052	571,200	947,110	947,110	0	947,110
2005	392,959	10,228	470,400	639,000	639,000	0	639,000
2004	397,462	10,408	369,600	639,000	639,000	0	639,000
2003	361,532	10,770	194,880	639,000	639,000	0	639,000
2002	374,292	11,142	194,880	557,421	557,421	0	557,421
2001	374,292	11,470	194,880	557,421	557,421	0	557,421
2000	374,292	5,158	154,560	557,421	557,421	0	557,421
1999	400,217	5,284	154,560	449,113	449,113	0	449,113
1998	267,351	5,399	154,560	449,113	449,113	0	449,113
1997	251,878	3,861	141,120	449,113	449,113	0	449,113
1996	194,956	3,948	141,120	206,024	206,024	25,000	181,024
1995	194,956	2,823	141,120	206,024	206,024	25,000	181,024
1994	194,956	2,874	141,120	206,024	206,024	25,000	181,024
1993	194,956	2,937	141,120	206,024	206,024	25,000	181,024
1992	194,956	3,010	141,120	206,024	206,024	25,000	181,024
1991	194,956	3,082	141,120	206,024	206,024	25,000	181,024
1990	152,588	338	51,240	206,024	206,024	25,000	181,024
1989	152,588	338	50,400	203,648	203,648	25,000	178,648
1988	119,182	338	43,680	174,477	174,477	25,000	149,477
1987	114,544	338	30,870	156,549	156,549	25,000	131,549
1986	115,052	338	30,240	145,630	145,630	25,000	120,630
1985	107,635	338	30,240	138,213	138,213	25,000	113,213
1984	94,795	338	30,240	125,373	125,373	25,000	100,373
1983	94,795	338	15,926	111,059	111,059	25,000	86,059
1982	81,983	338	15,893	98,214	98,214	25,000	73,214

Parcel Sales History

NOTE: Sales do not generally show up in our computer system until about two to three months after the date of sale. If a recent sale does not show up in this list, please allow more time for the sale record to be processed. Thank you for your patience and understanding.

Sale Date	Official Records Book/Page	Price	Instrument	Qualification

7/8/2014	2696 / 1439	1,685,000	WD	37
7/8/2014	2699 / 1715	0	WD	111
10/1/1996	1424 / 1542	639,000	WD	Q
				_

This page has been visited 52,534 times.

Monroe County Monroe County Property Appraiser Scott P. Russell, CFA P.O. Box 1176 Key West, FL 33041-1176

6 of 6