## TREPANIER

# <sup>1</sup> Zoning Map Amendment

-

JAN 08 2016

Pursuant to Chapter 90, Article VI, Division 2
 City of Key West Land Development Regulations, ANNING DEPT.

Appelrouth Lane, Whitehead Street and Southard Street
(RE Nos.: 0001000-000102, -000103, -000104, -000105 & 000106; 00010020-000000; 00009990-000000; 00009980-

10 000000; 00010040-000000; 00010010-000000)



&ASSOCIATES INC

11 12 **Project Description** (Sec. 90-516):

Trepanier & Associates, Inc., authorized agent for the property owners Browning Family
Irrevocable Trust, James J. Sireci, Jr., Bone Island Properties, Inc., Whitehead Trust,
LLC, Savage KW Properties, Inc., and Tapley O. Johnson, III, seeks amendment to the
Official Zoning Map for the properties with the physical addresses 402, 409 & 416
Appelrouth Lane, 515, 517 & 519 Whitehead Street, and 417 & 533 Southard Street.

19

2

The intent of this application is to recognize the existing land uses by bringing the 20 Official Zoning Map into harmony with these historic land uses. The properties are 21 currently zoned Historic Residential Office (HRO) and the new requested zoning is for 22 Historic Residential Commercial Core District 1 (HRCC-1). The Comprehensive Plan 23 Future Land Use Map (FLUM) designation is Historic Commercial (HC) for both HRO 24 and HRCC-1 zoning and does not require amendment. The requested amendment is a 25 necessary zoning adjustment which more accurately reflects the existing uses of the 26 properties which have not changed substantially since the last zoning re-designation. 27

28

The character of the surrounding community is mixed use commercial with an 29 assortment of retail, restaurants, bars, guest houses, government and law offices, small 30 recreation power-driven equipment rentals, and to a lesser extent, residential 31 apartments. The subject properties are located within a ribbon of tourist destinations 32 along Whitehead - Mallory to the NW, Hemmingway & the Light House in the middle, 33 and the Southernmost Point to the SE. The properties are in very close proximity to Mile 34 Marker 0, the Green Parrot, the County Courthouse, the Courthouse Deli, and Duval 35 Street. As such, this stretch of Whitehead Street caters heavily to tourists and locals in 36 a manner very similar to the Historic Residential Commercial Core. 37

38

The properties have historically operated as mixed use properties since at least the 1960's. Numerous conditional uses and variances have been granted to the properties through the years giving rise to numerous legal non-conforming uses<sup>1</sup>. Amending the official zoning to HRCC-1 would bring the existing, historic, land uses and the zoning map into harmony, and provide a more accurate and appropriate zoning regulation for the subject properties. For example, 417 Southard Street is currently zoned both HRO

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Please see Attachment D – Conditional Uses & Variance approvals

<sup>1421</sup> First Street • P.O. Boz 2155 Key West, FL • 33045-2155 Phone: 305-293-8983 • Fax: 305-293-8748 Email: owen@owentrepanier.com

and HRCC-1, the zoning line actually transects the existing structure, which is problematic. The requested zoning amendment would resolve this inconsistency. Further, the properties are all adjacent to HRCC-1 zoning and amending the existing zoning from HRO to HRCC-1 would not significantly change the existing uses or character of the area.

- 6 **Application and Fee** (Sec. 90-519): \$6,150.00
- 9 (1) Property Descriptions:

8

10

11

12 13

16

20

23

26

28

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45 46

- Legal Descriptions: Please see Attachment A for the full legal property descriptions of the subject properties.
- 14
   Site Addresses:
   402, 409 & 416 Appelrouth Lane; 515, 517 & 519

   15
   Whitehead Street; 417 & 533 Southard Street
- 17**RE Numbers:**00009980-000000;00009990-000000;0001000-18000102, -000103, -000104, -000105 & -000106;1900010010-000000;00010020-000000;00010040-000000
- 21Alternate Keys:8775636,8775644,8775652,8775661&8822308;221010308;1010235;1010251;1010324;1010294
- 24Total Land Area:The combined total land area for the subject properties is25approximately one (1) acre or 43,560 square feet.
- 27 Flood Zone: X

Historic Resources:
The properties are located within the Historic Residential
Office Land Use District (HRO). The area was platted by
William A. Whitehead in 1829. According to the pre1900 Sanborn maps Appelrouth Lane, historically known
as Smith's Alley and later Smith's Lane, originally
contained a bakery and residential dwellings.

Concurrent with the historic growth of the City, and, in particular, its downtown business corridor, the commercial uses from Duval Street expanded into the adjoining residential areas between 1912 and 1926. Smith's Lane transformed to mixed use as dwelling units disappeared or were converted commercial uses. During this time dwelling units at 422 and 425 converted to retail use, an ice company occupied 427 and a bakery was located at 402. Along Whitehead Street, residential homes at 515 and 517 were converted to offices.

1 2 3 4 5 6 7		By 1962, the 2½ story residential home at the southeast corner of Smith Lane and Whitehead had been converted into 10-unit boarding house and moved away from Whitehead into its current location where it replaced the 1 story bakery at 402. Taking the place of the former grand residence on the corner is a one story concrete block commercial structure featuring a double storefront.
8		
9		Today, the properties are commercial and mixed use
10		within the Historic Residential Office Land Use District
11 12		(HRO). Existing contributing historic resources identified by the September 2004 Historic Resources Survey of
12		Key West include 409 and 416 Appelrouth Lane, and 515
14		and 519 Whitehead Street. 517 Whitehead Street is
15		recommended for inclusion as a contributing resource by
16		the Survey.
17		
18	Encumbrances:	The property owners and applicant are unaware of any
19		variances, easements, deed restrictions or other
20		encumbrances on the properties other than those
21		officially recorded in the property records.
22		
23	(2) Comprehensive Pla	n Land Use:
24 25	Current:	Historia Commercial Euture Land Llas District (HC)
25 26	<ul> <li>Proposed:</li> </ul>	Historic Commercial Future Land Use District (HC) Historic Commercial Future Land Use District (HC)
20	• Toposed.	
28	(3) Zoning District:	
29		
30	Current:	Historic Residential Office Land Use District ("HRO")
31	<ul> <li>Proposed:</li> </ul>	Historic Residential Commercial Core District 1 ("HRCC-1")
32		
33	(4) Land Use:	
34	-	
35	Past:	Mixed use commercial
36	Current:	Mixed use commercial
37	<ul> <li>Proposed:</li> </ul>	Mixed use commercial
38 39	(5) Disclosure of Owner	rehin <sup>2</sup>
39 40		Allie
40	Applicant:	Trepanier and Associates, Inc.
42	[= [= = =	Owen Trepanier, President
43	Address:	1421 1 <sup>st</sup> Street, Key West, FL 33040

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Property Records – Attachment B Agent Authorization – Attachment C

1 2	Phone #: Email:	305-293-8983 Fax: 305-293-8748 owen@owentrepanier.com
3 4	Owner (402 Appelrouth):	Browning Family Irrevocable Trust 1/12/2010 &
5	Address:	Thomas J. Sireci, Jr.
6 7	Phone #:	402 Appelrouth Lane, Key West, FL 33040 c/o 305-293-8983 Fax: 305-293-8748
8	Email:	c/o owen@owentrepanier.com
9	Oursey (446 Appelves the)	Dens Island Dreparties Inc
10 11	Owner (416 Appelrouth):	Bone Island Properties, Inc. Albert L. Borer, President
12	Address:	738 NE 3rd Ave., Ft. Lauderdale, FL 33304-2620
13	Phone #:	c/o 305-293-8983 Fax: 305-293-8748
14	Email:	c/o owen@owentrepanier.com
15		ore owenigowenirepanier.com
16	Owner (409 Appelrouth	n, 517 & 519 Whitehead):
17		Whitehead Trust, LLC
18 19	Address:	Jesper Arnoldsson, Member
20	Phone #:	307 NE 1st St., Miami, FL 33132-2505 c/o 305-293-8983 Fax: 305-293-8748
20	Email:	c/o owen@owentrepanier.com
22		cro owen@owentiepamer.com
23	Owner (417 Southard):	Tapley O. Johnson, III
24	Address of Owner:	425 Caroline St., Key West, FL 33040-6502
25	Agent Phone #:	c/o 305-293-8983 Fax: 305-293-8748
26 27	Email Address:	c/o owen@owentrepanier.com
28	Owner (533 Southard):	Savage KW Properties, Inc.
29		Thomas J. Savage, President
30	Address:	2700 Bayshore Blvd., Apt. 511, Dunedin, FL 34698
31	Phone #:	c/o 305-293-8983 Fax: 305-293-8748
32	Email:	c/o owen@owentrepanier.com
33		
34 (	6) Justification	
35		
36	The justification and no	eed for the proposed zoning amendment is to bring the
37		uses and the zoning map into harmony, and provide more
38	accurate and appropria	te zoning regulation for the subject properties. Avoid and
39		uses, and to match the existing and historic use of the
40		the most appropriate zoning designation. HRCC-1 zoning
41		npasses and allows for the existing uses of the subject
42	properties and would el	iminate most of the existing legal non-conformities.
43		
44	a. Comprehensive pla	an consistency.
45	-	
46		zoning map amendment is fully consistent with the
47		Plan. The Comprehensive Plan FLUM designation is
48	Historic Commer	cial (HC) for both HRO and HRCC-1 zoning. Therefore,

1 the proposed zoning amendment is appropriate and consistent with the 2 Comprehensive Plan.

b. Impact on surrounding properties and infrastructure.

The existing and historic use of the subject properties and surrounding properties has been mixed use commercial for at least half a century. The proposed zoning amendment from HRO to HRCC-1 is not anticipated to result in any significant impacts to surrounding properties and infrastructure because the historic and existing mixed use commercial character of the surrounding area is not anticipated to change.

13 Surrounding Properties

3

4 5

6

7

8

9 10

11 12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23 24

25

26

27

28 29

30 31

32

Adjacency	Existing Use	Future Land Use	Zoning
North	Mixed Use Commercial	Historic Commercial	HRO
South	Mixed Use Commercial	Historic Commercial	HRO
East	Mixed Use Commercial	Historic Commercial	HRCC-1
West	Government	Historic Public & Semipublic	HPS

- **Levels of Service** Based on the evaluation criteria of Sec. 90-521(5) the impacts of the proposed changes are generally summarized as follows:
  - The proposed zoning change is not expected to increase demand on the surrounding transportation system since the change in zoning is not anticipated to significantly change the existing uses of the properties. Further, Policy 2-1.1.3<sup>3</sup> effectively eliminates the transportation concurrency requirement in favor of a prioritization of safety and function of existing roads and multi-modal transportation improvements (i.e. transit, air, boat, bicycles, pedestrianism, mixeduse development).
    - The proposed change may potentially increase demand for Potable Water by 1,578 gallons per day.
    - The proposed change may potentially increase demand for Sanitary Sewage by 1,578 gallons per day.
  - The proposed change may potentially increase Solid Waste supply by 42 pounds per day, and recycled waste by 7.89 pounds per day.
  - The proposed change is not expected to impact Stormwater LOS.
  - The proposed change is not expected to impact Recreation LOS.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> **Policy 2-1.1.3: Dense Urban Land Area.** The City of Key West is a substantially developed dense urban land area and is thereby exempted from transportation concurrency requirements for roadways. The City recognizes that its development characteristics make substantive expansion of capacity of the roadway system prohibitive. The City will therefore prioritize improving the safety and function of existing roads and multi-modal transportation improvements (i.e. transit, air, boat, bicycles, pedestrianism, mixed-use development) as its primary strategies for addressing current and projected transportation needs.

As demonstrated in Section 90-521(5) (below) adequate public facilities are available to provide service to the development and the request is consistent with adopted infrastructure minimum levels of service standards.         C. Avoidance of spot zoning (Section 90-520(6)).         The requested zoning change will not result in spot zoning, would best serve the public interest and is consistent with the Comprehensive Plan.         Spot zoning occurs when:         11         12       1. A small parcel of land is singled out for special and privileged treatment;         14         15       No special or privileged treatment will be given to any of the subject parcels through approval of this zoning amendment request. Rather, approval of the request will provide the subject parcels with the same privileges and treatment under the LDRs which does not currently exist because of various existing non-conforming uses.         20       2. The singling out is not in the public interest but only for the benefit of the landowner; and         21       Amending the zoning to HRCC-1 would apply to all the subject properties and not provide special benefits to any one property owner. The subject parcels have never met the HRO zoning intent and requirement because of numerous legal non-conforming uses and variances granted to the properties. As such, changing the zoning to HRCC-1 best serves the public interest because the change would bring the subject parcels into conformity with the LDRs and stop the propagation of non-conforming uses.         23       3. The action is not consistent with the adopted Comprehensive Plan.         24       As described previously		
<ul> <li>consistent with adopted infrastructure minimum levels of service standards.</li> <li>c. Avoidance of spot zoning (Section 90-520(6)).</li> <li>The requested zoning change will not result in spot zoning, would best serve the public interest and is consistent with the Comprehensive Plan.</li> <li>Spot zoning occurs when:         <ol> <li>A small parcel of land is singled out for special and privileged treatment;</li> <li>No special or privileged treatment will be given to any of the subject parcels through approval of this zoning amendment request. Rather, approval of the request will provide the subject parcels with the same privileges and treatment under the LDRs which does not currently exist because of various existing non-conforming uses.</li> </ol> </li> <li>The singling out is not in the public interest but only for the benefit of the landowner; and         <ol> <li>Amending the zoning to HRCC-1 would apply to all the subject properties and not provide special benefits to any one property owner. The subject parcels have never met the HRO zoning intent and requirement because of numerous legal non-conforming uses and variances granted to the properties. As such, changing the zoning to HRCC-1 best serves the public interest because the change would bring the subject parcels into conformity with the LDRs and stop the propagation of non-conforming uses.</li> <li>The action is not consistent with the adopted Comprehensive Plan.</li> <li>As described previously, the requested zoning map amendment is fully consistent with the Comprehensive Plan. The Comprehensive Plan FLUM designation is Historic Commercial (HC) for both HRO and HRCC-1 would not change the FLUM designation</li> </ol></li></ul>		
<ul> <li>c. Avoidance of spot zoning (Section 90-520(6)).</li> <li>The requested zoning change will not result in spot zoning, would best serve the public interest and is consistent with the Comprehensive Plan.</li> <li>Spot zoning occurs when:         <ol> <li>A small parcel of land is singled out for special and privileged treatment;</li> <li>No special or privileged treatment will be given to any of the subject parcels through approval of this zoning amendment request. Rather, approval of the request will provide the subject parcels with the same privileges and treatment under the LDRs which does not currently exist because of various existing non-conforming uses.</li> </ol> </li> <li>2. The singling out is not in the public interest but only for the benefit of the landowner; and         <ol> <li>Amending the zoning to HRCC-1 would apply to all the subject properties and not provide special benefits to any one property owner. The subject parcels have never met the HRO zoning intent and requirement because of numerous legal non-conforming uses and variances granted to the properties. As such, changing the zoning to HRCC-1 best serves the public interest because the change would bring the subject parcels into conformity with the LDRs and stop the propagation of non-conforming uses.</li> </ol> </li> <li>3. The action is not consistent with the adopted Comprehensive Plan.</li> <li>As described previously, the requested zoning map amendment is fully consistent with the Comprehensive Plan. The Comprehensive Plan. The Comprehensive Plan FLUM designation is Historic Commercial (HC) for both HRO and HRCC-1 would not change the FLUM designation</li> </ul>		
s       c. Avoidance of spot zoning (Section 90-520(6)).         7       The requested zoning change will not result in spot zoning, would best serve the public interest and is consistent with the Comprehensive Plan.         9       Spot zoning occurs when:         11       1. A small parcel of land is singled out for special and privileged treatment;         14       No special or privileged treatment will be given to any of the subject parcels through approval of this zoning amendment request. Rather, approval of the request will provide the subject parcels with the same privileges and treatment under the LDRs which does not currently exist because of various existing non-conforming uses.         20       2         21       2. The singling out is not in the public interest but only for the benefit of the landowner; and         22       2         23       Amending the zoning to HRCC-1 would apply to all the subject properties and not provide special benefits to any one property owner. The subject parcels have never met the HRO zoning intent and requirement because of numerous legal non-conforming uses and variances granted to the properties. As such, changing the zoning to HRCC-1 best serves the public interest because the change would bring the subject parcels into conforming uses.         23       3       3. The action is not consistent with the adopted Comprehensive Plan.         24       As described previously, the requested zoning map amendment is fully consistent with the Comprehensive Plan. The Comprehensive Plan.         33       3. The action is not consistent with the adopt		
7       The requested zoning change will not result in spot zoning, would best serve the public interest and is consistent with the Comprehensive Plan.         9       Spot zoning occurs when:         11       1. A small parcel of land is singled out for special and privileged treatment;         14       No special or privileged treatment will be given to any of the subject parcels through approval of this zoning amendment request. Rather, approval of the request will provide the subject parcels with the same privileges and treatment under the LDRs which does not currently exist because of various existing non-conforming uses.         20       2. The singling out is not in the public interest but only for the benefit of the landowner; and         23       Amending the zoning to HRCC-1 would apply to all the subject properties and not provide special benefits to any one property owner. The subject parcels have never met the HRO zoning intent and requirement because of numerous legal non-conforming uses and variances granted to the properties. As such, changing the zoning to HRCC-1 best serves the public interest because the change would bring the subject parcels into conformity with the LDRs and stop the propagation of non-conforming uses.         24       As described previously, the requested zoning map amendment is fully consistent with the Comprehensive Plan.         35       3. The action is not consistent with the adopted Comprehensive Plan.         36       As described previously, the requested zoning map amendment is fully consistent with the Comprehensive Plan. The Comprehensive Plan. The Comprehensive Plan FLUM designation is Historic Commercial (HC) for both HRO and HRCC-1 zoning. There	5	c. Avoidance of spot zoning (Section 90-520(6)).
<ul> <li>the public interest and is consistent with the Comprehensive Plan.</li> <li>Spot zoning occurs when:         <ol> <li>A small parcel of land is singled out for special and privileged treatment;</li> <li>No special or privileged treatment will be given to any of the subject parcels through approval of this zoning amendment request. Rather, approval of the request will provide the subject parcels with the same privileges and treatment under the LDRs which does not currently exist because of various existing non-conforming uses.</li> </ol> </li> <li>The singling out is not in the public interest but only for the benefit of the landowner; and         <ol> <li>Amending the zoning to HRCC-1 would apply to all the subject properties and not provide special benefits to any one property owner. The subject parcels have never met the HRO zoning intent and requirement because of numerous legal non-conforming uses and variances granted to the properties. As such, changing the zoning to HRCC-1 best serves the public interest because the change would bring the subject parcels into conformity with the LDRs and stop the propagation of non-conforming uses.</li> </ol> </li> <li>The action is not consistent with the adopted Comprehensive Plan.</li> <li>As described previously, the requested zoning map amendment is fully consistent with the Comprehensive Plan. The Comprehensive Plan FLUM designation is Historic Commercial (HC) for both HRO and HRCC-1 zoning. Therefore, amending the zoning of the subject parcels to HRCC-1 would not change the FLUM designation</li> </ul>		The second state of the state of will we the sould be an end of the second beat source
<ul> <li>Spot zoning occurs when:</li> <li>1. A small parcel of land is singled out for special and privileged treatment;</li> <li>No special or privileged treatment will be given to any of the subject parcels through approval of this zoning amendment request. Rather, approval of the request will provide the subject parcels with the same privileges and treatment under the LDRs which does not currently exist because of various existing non-conforming uses.</li> <li>The singling out is not in the public interest but only for the benefit of the landowner; and</li> <li>Amending the zoning to HRCC-1 would apply to all the subject properties and not provide special benefits to any one property owner. The subject parcels have never met the HRO zoning intent and requirement because of numerous legal non-conforming uses and variances granted to the properties. As such, changing the zoning to HRCC-1 best serves the public interest because the change would bring the subject parcels into conformity with the LDRs and stop the propagation of non-conforming uses.</li> <li>The action is not consistent with the adopted Comprehensive Plan.</li> <li>As described previously, the requested zoning map amendment is fully consistent with the Comprehensive Plan. The Comprehensive Plan FLUM designation is Historic Commercial (HC) for both HRO and HRCC-1 zoning. Therefore, amending the zoning of the subject parcels to HRCC-1 would not change the FLUM designation</li> </ul>		
Spot zoning occurs when:         11       1. A small parcel of land is singled out for special and privileged treatment;         14       15         15       No special or privileged treatment will be given to any of the subject parcels through approval of this zoning amendment request. Rather, approval of the request will provide the subject parcels with the same privileges and treatment under the LDRs which does not currently exist because of various existing non-conforming uses.         20       2. The singling out is not in the public interest but only for the benefit of the landowner; and         23       Amending the zoning to HRCC-1 would apply to all the subject properties and not provide special benefits to any one property owner. The subject parcels have never met the HRO zoning intent and requirement because of numerous legal non-conforming uses and variances granted to the properties. As such, changing the zoning to HRCC-1 best serves the public interest because the change would bring the subject parcels into conformity with the LDRs and stop the propagation of non-conforming uses.         23       3. The action is not consistent with the adopted Comprehensive Plan.         34       As described previously, the requested zoning map amendment is fully consistent with the Comprehensive Plan. The Comprehensive Plan.         35       Plan FLUM designation is Historic Commercial (HC) for both HRO and HRCC-1 zoning. Therefore, amending the zoning of the subject arcels to HRCC-1 would not change the FLUM designation		the public interest and is consistent with the Comprehensive Plan.
11       1. A small parcel of land is singled out for special and privileged treatment;         13       treatment;         14       No special or privileged treatment will be given to any of the subject parcels through approval of this zoning amendment request. Rather, approval of the request will provide the subject parcels with the same privileges and treatment under the LDRs which does not currently exist because of various existing non-conforming uses.         20       2.         21       2.         22       2.         23       2.         24       Amending the zoning to HRCC-1 would apply to all the subject properties and not provide special benefits to any one property owner. The subject parcels have never met the HRO zoning intent and requirement because of numerous legal non-conforming uses and variances granted to the properties. As such, changing the zoning to HRCC-1 best serves the public interest because the change would bring the subject parcels into conformity with the LDRs and stop the propagation of non-conforming uses.         23       3.       3.         34       As described previously, the requested zoning map amendment is fully consistent with the Comprehensive Plan.         35       36         36       As described previously, the requested zoning the zoning of the subject parcels to HRCC-1 yould not change the FLUM designation is Historic Commercial (HC) for both HRO and HRCC-1 zoning. Therefore, amending the zoning of the subject parcels to HRCC-1 would not change the FLUM designation		
12       1. A small parcel of land is singled out for special and privileged treatment;         13       treatment;         14       15       No special or privileged treatment will be given to any of the subject parcels through approval of this zoning amendment request. Rather, approval of the request will provide the subject parcels with the same privileges and treatment under the LDRs which does not currently exist because of various existing non-conforming uses.         20       21       2. The singling out is not in the public interest but only for the benefit of the landowner; and         23       Amending the zoning to HRCC-1 would apply to all the subject properties and not provide special benefits to any one property owner. The subject parcels have never met the HRO zoning intent and requirement because of numerous legal non-conforming uses and variances granted to the properties. As such, changing the zoning to HRCC-1 best serves the public interest because the change would bring the subject parcels into conformity with the LDRs and stop the propagation of non-conforming uses.         23       33       3. The action is not consistent with the adopted Comprehensive Plan.         34       Plan.       35         35       As described previously, the requested zoning map amendment is fully consistent with the Comprehensive Plan. The Comprehensive Plan.         36       As described previously, the requested zoning map amendment is fully consistent with the Comprehensive Plan. The Comprehensive Plan. FLUM designation is Historic Commercial (HC) for both HRO and HRCC-1 zoning. Therefore, amending the zoning of the subject parcels to HRCC-1 would not change t		Spot zoning occurs when:
13       treatment;         14       15       No special or privileged treatment will be given to any of the subject parcels through approval of this zoning amendment request. Rather, approval of the request will provide the subject parcels with the same privileges and treatment under the LDRs which does not currently exist because of various existing non-conforming uses.         20       2.       The singling out is not in the public interest but only for the benefit of the landowner; and         23       Amending the zoning to HRCC-1 would apply to all the subject properties and not provide special benefits to any one property owner. The subject parcels have never met the HRO zoning intent and requirement because of numerous legal non-conforming uses and variances granted to the properties. As such, changing the zoning to HRCC-1 best serves the public interest because the change would bring the subject parcels into conformity with the LDRs and stop the propagation of non-conforming uses.         33       3.       The action is not consistent with the adopted Comprehensive Plan.         34       Plan.       Plan FLUM designation is Historic Commercial (HC) for both HRO and HRCC-1 zoning. Therefore, amending the zoning of the subject parcels to HRCC-1 would not change the FLUM designation		4 A small second of level is simpled and for excepted and privileged
1415161718171818192021212223242425262728292920202021222324242526272829292920202021222324242526272829292020202122232424252627282929202020212223242425252627282929202020212223242526272829292020202122232424		
15No special or privileged treatment will be given to any of the subject parcels through approval of this zoning amendment request. Rather, approval of the request will provide the subject parcels with the same privileges and treatment under the LDRs which does not currently exist because of various existing non-conforming uses.20212. The singling out is not in the public interest but only for the benefit of the landowner; and2324Amending the zoning to HRCC-1 would apply to all the subject properties and not provide special benefits to any one property owner. The subject parcels have never met the HRO zoning intent and requirement because of numerous legal non-conforming uses and variances granted to the properties. As such, changing the zoning to HRCC-1 best serves the public interest because the change would bring the subject parcels into conformity with the LDRs and stop the propagation of non-conforming uses.333. The action is not consistent with the adopted Comprehensive Plan.34Plan.353436As described previously, the requested zoning map amendment is fully consistent with the Comprehensive Plan. The Comprehensive plan, FLUM designation is Historic Commercial (HC) for both HRO and HRCC-1 zoning. Therefore, amending the zoning of the subject parcels to HRCC-1 would not change the FLUM designation		treatment;
16parcels through approval of this zoning amendment request. Rather, approval of the request will provide the subject parcels with the same privileges and treatment under the LDRs which does not currently exist because of various existing non-conforming uses.202.212. The singling out is not in the public interest but only for the benefit of the landowner; and232424Amending the zoning to HRCC-1 would apply to all the subject properties and not provide special benefits to any one property owner. The subject parcels have never met the HRO zoning intent and requirement because of numerous legal non-conforming uses and variances granted to the properties. As such, changing the zoning to HRCC-1 best serves the public interest because the change would bring the subject parcels into conformity with the LDRs and stop the propagation of non-conforming uses.333. The action is not consistent with the adopted Comprehensive Plan.34Plan.353436As described previously, the requested zoning map amendment is fully consistent with the Comprehensive Plan. The Comprehensive plan, FLUM designation is Historic Commercial (HC) for both HRO and HRCC-1 zoning. Therefore, amending the zoning of the subject parcels to HRCC-1 would not change the FLUM designation		
17Rather, approval of the request will provide the subject parcels with the same privileges and treatment under the LDRs which does not currently exist because of various existing non-conforming uses.20212. The singling out is not in the public interest but only for the benefit of the landowner; and2324Amending the zoning to HRCC-1 would apply to all the subject properties and not provide special benefits to any one property owner. The subject parcels have never met the HRO zoning intent and requirement because of numerous legal non-conforming uses and variances granted to the properties. As such, changing the zoning to HRCC-1 best serves the public interest because the change would bring the subject parcels into conformity with the LDRs and stop the propagation of non-conforming uses.333. The action is not consistent with the adopted Comprehensive Plan.34Plan.35936As described previously, the requested zoning map amendment is fully consistent with the Comprehensive Plan. The Comprehensive Plan FLUM designation is Historic Commercial (HC) for both HRO and HRCC-1 zoning. Therefore, amending the zoning of the subject parcels to HRCC-1 would not change the FLUM designation		
<ul> <li>the same privileges and treatment under the LDRs which does not currently exist because of various existing non-conforming uses.</li> <li>2. The singling out is not in the public interest but only for the benefit of the landowner; and</li> <li>Amending the zoning to HRCC-1 would apply to all the subject properties and not provide special benefits to any one property owner. The subject parcels have never met the HRO zoning intent and requirement because of numerous legal non-conforming uses and variances granted to the properties. As such, changing the zoning to HRCC-1 best serves the public interest because the change would bring the subject parcels into conformity with the LDRs and stop the propagation of non-conforming uses.</li> <li>3. The action is not consistent with the adopted Comprehensive Plan.</li> <li>As described previously, the requested zoning map amendment is fully consistent with the Comprehensive Plan. The Comprehensive Plan FLUM designation is Historic Commercial (HC) for both HRO and HRCC-1 would not change the FLUM designation</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>currently exist because of various existing non-conforming uses.</li> <li>The singling out is not in the public interest but only for the benefit of the landowner; and</li> <li>Amending the zoning to HRCC-1 would apply to all the subject properties and not provide special benefits to any one property owner. The subject parcels have never met the HRO zoning intent and requirement because of numerous legal non-conforming uses and variances granted to the properties. As such, changing the zoning to HRCC-1 best serves the public interest because the change would bring the subject parcels into conforming uses.</li> <li>The action is not consistent with the adopted Comprehensive Plan.</li> <li>As described previously, the requested zoning map amendment is fully consistent with the Comprehensive Plan. The Comprehensive Plan FLUM designation is Historic Commercial (HC) for both HRO and HRCC-1 would not change the FLUM designation</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>2. The singling out is not in the public interest but only for the benefit of the landowner; and</li> <li>23</li> <li>24</li> <li>25 properties and not provide special benefits to any one property owner. The subject parcels have never met the HRO zoning intent and requirement because of numerous legal non-conforming uses and variances granted to the properties. As such, changing the zoning to HRCC-1 best serves the public interest because the change would bring the subject parcels into conformity with the LDRs and stop the propagation of non-conforming uses.</li> <li>33</li> <li>3. The action is not consistent with the adopted Comprehensive Plan.</li> <li>36</li> <li>37</li> <li>38</li> <li>39</li> <li>39</li> <li>30</li> <li>30</li> <li>31</li> <li>32</li> <li>32</li> <li>33</li> <li>33</li> <li>34</li> <li>35</li> <li>35</li> <li>36</li> <li>37</li> <li>38</li> <li>39</li> <li>30</li> <li>30</li> <li>31</li> <li>32</li> <li>33</li> <li>34</li> <li>35</li> <li>35</li> <li>36</li> <li>37</li> <li>38</li> <li>39</li> <li>39</li> <li>30</li> <li>30</li> <li>31</li> <li>32</li> <li>33</li> <li>34</li> <li>35</li> <li>35</li> <li>36</li> <li>37</li> <li>38</li> <li>39</li> <li>30</li> <li>30</li> <li>31</li> <li>32</li> <li>33</li> <li>34</li> <li>35</li> <li>35</li> <li>36</li> <li>37</li> <li>38</li> <li>39</li> <li>39</li> <li>30</li> <li>30</li> <li>31</li> <li>32</li> <li>33</li> <li>34</li> <li>35</li> <li>35</li> <li>36</li> <li>37</li> <li>38</li> <li>39</li> <li>39</li> <li>30</li> <li>30</li> <li>31</li> <li>32</li> <li>33</li> <li>34</li> <li>35</li> <li>35</li> <li>36</li> <li>37</li> <li>38</li> <li>39</li> <li>39</li> <li>30</li> <li>30</li> <li>31</li> <li>32</li> <li>33</li> <li>34</li> <li>35</li> <li>35</li> <li>36</li> <li>37</li> <li>38</li> <li>39</li> <li>39</li> <li>30</li> <li>30</li> <li>31</li> <li>32</li> <li>33</li> <li>34</li> <li>35</li> <li>35</li> <li>36</li> <li>37</li> <li>38</li> <li>39</li> <li>39</li> <li>30</li> <li>30</li> <li>31</li> <li>32<td></td><td></td></li></ul>		
<ul> <li>2. The singling out is not in the public interest but only for the benefit of the landowner; and</li> <li>Amending the zoning to HRCC-1 would apply to all the subject properties and not provide special benefits to any one property owner. The subject parcels have never met the HRO zoning intent and requirement because of numerous legal non-conforming uses and variances granted to the properties. As such, changing the zoning to HRCC-1 best serves the public interest because the change would bring the subject parcels into conformity with the LDRs and stop the propagation of non-conforming uses.</li> <li>3. The action is not consistent with the adopted Comprehensive Plan.</li> <li>As described previously, the requested zoning map amendment is fully consistent with the Comprehensive Plan. The Comprehensive Plan FLUM designation is Historic Commercial (HC) for both HRO and HRCC-1 zoning. Therefore, amending the zoning of the subject parcels to HRCC-1 would not change the FLUM designation</li> </ul>		currently exist because of various existing non-conforming uses.
22benefit of the landowner; and232424252526272828292829202920292020212223242526272829202829202920202122232330313233343535363738393930313233343535363738393930313233343535363738393930313233333435353637383939303031323334353536373839		O The signification set is the mobile interpret but only for the
Amending the zoning to HRCC-1 would apply to all the subject properties and not provide special benefits to any one property owner. The subject parcels have never met the HRO zoning intent and requirement because of numerous legal non-conforming uses and variances granted to the properties. As such, changing the zoning to HRCC-1 best serves the public interest because the change would bring the subject parcels into conformity with the LDRs and stop the propagation of non-conforming uses. <b>33</b> <b>3.</b> The action is not consistent with the adopted Comprehensive Plan. As described previously, the requested zoning map amendment is fully consistent with the Comprehensive Plan. The Comprehensive Plan FLUM designation is Historic Commercial (HC) for both HRO and HRCC-1 zoning. Therefore, amending the zoning of the subject parcels to HRCC-1 would not change the FLUM designation		
24Amending the zoning to HRCC-1 would apply to all the subject25properties and not provide special benefits to any one property26owner. The subject parcels have never met the HRO zoning intent27and requirement because of numerous legal non-conforming uses28and variances granted to the properties. As such, changing the29zoning to HRCC-1 best serves the public interest because the30change would bring the subject parcels into conformity with the31LDRs and stop the propagation of non-conforming uses.323333. The action is not consistent with the adopted Comprehensive34Plan.353636As described previously, the requested zoning map amendment is37fully consistent with the Comprehensive Plan. The Comprehensive38Plan FLUM designation is Historic Commercial (HC) for both HRO39and HRCC-1 zoning. Therefore, amending the zoning of the subject40parcels to HRCC-1 would not change the FLUM designation		benefit of the landowner; and
<ul> <li>properties and not provide special benefits to any one property owner. The subject parcels have never met the HRO zoning intent and requirement because of numerous legal non-conforming uses and variances granted to the properties. As such, changing the zoning to HRCC-1 best serves the public interest because the change would bring the subject parcels into conformity with the LDRs and stop the propagation of non-conforming uses.</li> <li><b>3.</b> The action is not consistent with the adopted Comprehensive Plan.</li> <li>As described previously, the requested zoning map amendment is fully consistent with the Comprehensive Plan. The Comprehensive Plan FLUM designation is Historic Commercial (HC) for both HRO and HRCC-1 zoning. Therefore, amending the zoning of the subject parcels to HRCC-1 would not change the FLUM designation</li> </ul>		Amonding the paping to UDOO 4 would emply to all the subject
<ul> <li>owner. The subject parcels have never met the HRO zoning intent and requirement because of numerous legal non-conforming uses and variances granted to the properties. As such, changing the zoning to HRCC-1 best serves the public interest because the change would bring the subject parcels into conformity with the LDRs and stop the propagation of non-conforming uses.</li> <li><b>3.</b> The action is not consistent with the adopted Comprehensive Plan.</li> <li>As described previously, the requested zoning map amendment is fully consistent with the Comprehensive Plan. The Comprehensive Plan FLUM designation is Historic Commercial (HC) for both HRO and HRCC-1 zoning. Therefore, amending the zoning of the subject parcels to HRCC-1 would not change the FLUM designation</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>and requirement because of numerous legal non-conforming uses</li> <li>and variances granted to the properties. As such, changing the</li> <li>zoning to HRCC-1 best serves the public interest because the</li> <li>change would bring the subject parcels into conformity with the</li> <li>LDRs and stop the propagation of non-conforming uses.</li> <li>32</li> <li>33</li> <li><b>3.</b> The action is not consistent with the adopted Comprehensive</li> <li>Plan.</li> <li>As described previously, the requested zoning map amendment is</li> <li>fully consistent with the Comprehensive Plan. The Comprehensive</li> <li>Plan FLUM designation is Historic Commercial (HC) for both HRO</li> <li>and HRCC-1 zoning. Therefore, amending the zoning of the subject</li> <li>parcels to HRCC-1 would not change the FLUM designation</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>and variances granted to the properties. As such, changing the zoning to HRCC-1 best serves the public interest because the change would bring the subject parcels into conformity with the LDRs and stop the propagation of non-conforming uses.</li> <li><b>3.</b> The action is not consistent with the adopted Comprehensive Plan.</li> <li>As described previously, the requested zoning map amendment is fully consistent with the Comprehensive Plan. The Comprehensive Plan FLUM designation is Historic Commercial (HC) for both HRO and HRCC-1 zoning. Therefore, amending the zoning of the subject parcels to HRCC-1 would not change the FLUM designation</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>zoning to HRCC-1 best serves the public interest because the change would bring the subject parcels into conformity with the LDRs and stop the propagation of non-conforming uses.</li> <li><b>33</b> </li> <li><b>3.</b> The action is not consistent with the adopted Comprehensive Plan.</li> <li>As described previously, the requested zoning map amendment is fully consistent with the Comprehensive Plan. The Comprehensive Plan FLUM designation is Historic Commercial (HC) for both HRO and HRCC-1 zoning. Therefore, amending the zoning of the subject parcels to HRCC-1 would not change the FLUM designation</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>change would bring the subject parcels into conformity with the LDRs and stop the propagation of non-conforming uses.</li> <li>The action is not consistent with the adopted Comprehensive Plan.</li> <li>As described previously, the requested zoning map amendment is fully consistent with the Comprehensive Plan. The Comprehensive Plan FLUM designation is Historic Commercial (HC) for both HRO and HRCC-1 zoning. Therefore, amending the zoning of the subject parcels to HRCC-1 would not change the FLUM designation</li> </ul>		and variances granied to the properties. As such, changing the
<ul> <li>LDRs and stop the propagation of non-conforming uses.</li> <li>32</li> <li>33</li> <li>33</li> <li>33</li> <li>34</li> <li>35</li> <li>36</li> <li>36</li> <li>37</li> <li>38</li> <li>39</li> <li>39</li> <li>30</li> <li>31</li> <li>32</li> <li>33</li> <li>34</li> <li>35</li> <li>35</li> <li>36</li> <li>37</li> <li>38</li> <li>39</li> <li>39</li> <li>30</li> <li>31</li> <li>32</li> <li>33</li> <li>34</li> <li>35</li> <li>35</li> <li>36</li> <li>37</li> <li>38</li> <li>39</li> <li>39</li> <li>30</li> <li>31</li> <li>32</li> <li>33</li> <li>34</li> <li>35</li> <li>35</li> <li>36</li> <li>37</li> <li>38</li> <li>39</li> <li>30</li> <li>31</li> <li>31</li> <li>32</li> <li>33</li> <li>35</li> <li>36</li> <li>37</li> <li>38</li> <li>39</li> <li>30</li> <li>31</li> <li>32</li> <li>33</li> <li>34</li> <li>35</li> <li>35</li> <li>36</li> <li>37</li> <li>38</li> <li>39</li> <li>39</li> <li>30</li> <li>31</li> <li>32</li> <li>33</li> <li>31</li> <li>32</li> <li>33</li> <li>33</li> <li>34</li> <li>35</li> <li>35</li> <li>36</li> <li>37</li> <li>38</li> <li>39</li> <li>39</li> <li>30</li> <li>31</li> <li>31</li> <li>32</li> <li>33</li> <li>32</li> <li>33</li> <li>33</li> <li>34</li> <li>35</li> <li>35</li> <li>36</li> <li>37</li> <li>38</li> <li>39</li> <li>39</li> <li>30</li> <li>31</li> <li>31</li> <li>32</li> <li>33</li> <li>34</li> <li>35</li> <li>35</li> <li>36</li> <li>37</li> <li>38</li> <li>39</li> <li>39</li> <li>30</li> <li>31</li> <li>31</li> <li>32</li> <li>33</li> <li>34</li> <li>35</li> <li>34</li> <li>35</li> <l< td=""><td></td><td></td></l<></ul>		
<ul> <li>32</li> <li>33</li> <li>3. The action is not consistent with the adopted Comprehensive Plan.</li> <li>35</li> <li>36</li> <li>36 As described previously, the requested zoning map amendment is fully consistent with the Comprehensive Plan. The Comprehensive Plan FLUM designation is Historic Commercial (HC) for both HRO and HRCC-1 zoning. Therefore, amending the zoning of the subject parcels to HRCC-1 would not change the FLUM designation</li> </ul>		
333. The action is not consistent with the adopted Comprehensive Plan.34Plan.35.36.37.38.99.100.40.41		LDRs and stop the propagation of non-comorning uses.
34Plan.353636As described previously, the requested zoning map amendment is37fully consistent with the Comprehensive Plan. The Comprehensive38Plan FLUM designation is Historic Commercial (HC) for both HRO39and HRCC-1 zoning. Therefore, amending the zoning of the subject40parcels to HRCC-1 would not change the FLUM designation41		3. The action is not consistent with the adopted Comprehensive
As described previously, the requested zoning map amendment is fully consistent with the Comprehensive Plan. The Comprehensive Plan FLUM designation is Historic Commercial (HC) for both HRO and HRCC-1 zoning. Therefore, amending the zoning of the subject parcels to HRCC-1 would not change the FLUM designation		
36As described previously, the requested zoning map amendment is37fully consistent with the Comprehensive Plan. The Comprehensive38Plan FLUM designation is Historic Commercial (HC) for both HRO39and HRCC-1 zoning. Therefore, amending the zoning of the subject40parcels to HRCC-1 would not change the FLUM designation41		Flati.
37fully consistent with the Comprehensive Plan. The Comprehensive38Plan FLUM designation is Historic Commercial (HC) for both HRO39and HRCC-1 zoning. Therefore, amending the zoning of the subject40parcels to HRCC-1 would not change the FLUM designation41		As described provingsly, the requested zening map amendment is
<ul> <li>Plan FLUM designation is Historic Commercial (HC) for both HRO</li> <li>and HRCC-1 zoning. Therefore, amending the zoning of the subject</li> <li>parcels to HRCC-1 would not change the FLUM designation</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>and HRCC-1 zoning. Therefore, amending the zoning of the subject</li> <li>parcels to HRCC-1 would not change the FLUM designation</li> </ul>		
40 parcels to HRCC-1 would not change the FLUM designation 41		
41		
		parcels to mixed-1 would not change the right designation
74		
	42	

d. Undeveloped land with similar comprehensive plan future land use map designation. The amount of undeveloped land in the general area and in the city having the same zoning classification as that requested shall be stated.

1

2

3

4 5

6

7

8 9 No undeveloped parcels are located within 300 feet of the subject properties. Two (2) parking lots are located within 300 feet with one currently zoned HRO and one currently zoned HRCC-1.

FLUM	RE No	Zoning	Size (sq.ft.)
HC	00009950-000000	HRO	13,200
HC	00009830-000000	HRCC-1	9,810

#### 10 Criteria for Approval (Section 90-521) 11 12 (1) Consistency with plan. Whether the proposal is consistent with the 13 comprehensive plan, including the adopted infrastructure minimum levels 14 of service standards and the concurrency management program 15 16 17 a. Consistency with Comprehensive Plan. 18 19 Policy 1-1.1.8: Allowed Uses in Historic Residential Commercial Core: 20 Approval of the request will be more consistent with the existing and historic use of the subject properties which are an integral part 21 of the intensely vibrant tourist commercial entertainment center 22 characterized by specialty shops, sidewalk-oriented restaurants, 23 lounges and bars with inviting live entertainment; and transient 24 25 residential accommodations. 26 Policy 1-1.3.1: Improve the Image and Function of the Historic 27 28 Preservation District Commercial Core Area. Approval of the request will more accurately categorize the subject 29 properties which are located in the middle of Old Town and an 30 integral part of the core tourism area, and allow for improvement 31 and function of the Historic Preservation District Commercial Core. 32 The properties currently exist as nonconforming uses and are 33 restricted from making functional improvements consistent with the 34 image of the Historic Preservation District Commercial Core Area. 35 36 Policy 1-1.3.1: Designate Various Types of Mixed Use Commercial Nodes 37 to Accommodate Diverse Commercial Uses. 38 Approval of the request will more adequately ensure availability of a 39 • mixed use commercial node at the intersections of Appelrouth 40 Lane. Whitehead Street and Southard Street because the uses will 41 42 no longer be nonconforming. 43

1	Policy 1-1.9.5: Land Use Consistency and Compatibility
2	• Approval of the request will ensure that future land uses will be
3	compatible with the FLUM designation of Historic Commercial.
4	
5	b. Consistency with adopted infrastructure minimum levels of service
6	standards
	Stanuarus
7	Levels of Contine Depend on the evolution exiteria of Con. 00 521/5) the
8	Levels of Service – Based on the evaluation criteria of Sec. 90-521(5) the
9	impacts of the proposed changes are generally summarized as follows:
10	• The proposed zoning change is not expected to increase demand
11	on the surrounding transportation system since the change in
12	zoning is not anticipated to significantly change the existing uses of
13	the properties. Further, Policy 2-1.1.3 <sup>4</sup> effectively eliminates the
14	transportation concurrency requirement in favor of a prioritization of
15	safety and function of existing roads and multi-modal transportation
16	improvements (i.e. transit, air, boat, bicycles, pedestrianism, and
17	mixed-use development).
18	The proposed change may potentially increase demand for Potable
19	Water by 1,578 gallons per day.
20	<ul> <li>The proposed change may potentially increase demand for Sanitary</li> </ul>
21	Sewage by 1,578 gallons per day.
22	<ul> <li>The proposed change may potentially increase Solid Waste supply</li> </ul>
23	by 42 pounds per day, and recycled waste by 7.89 pounds per day.
24	<ul> <li>The proposed change is not expected to impact Stormwater LOS.</li> </ul>
25	<ul> <li>The proposed change is not expected to impact Recreation LOS.</li> </ul>
26	As demonstrated in Section 90-521(5) (below) adequate public facilities are
27	available to provide service to the development and the request is
28	consistent with adopted infrastructure minimum levels of service standards.
29	
30	c. Concurrency management program.
31	
32	The request is consistent with the concurrency management program of
33	Objective 9-1.5. Specific concurrency applications in accordance with
34	Section 94-32 may be required for specific future development plans. No
35	Plans are proposed as part of this application. At this time, no system
36	improvements are anticipated as a result of the proposed change as
37	evidenced in the findings of Section 90-521(5), below.
38	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> **Policy 2-1.1.3: Dense Urban Land Area.** The City of Key West is a substantially developed dense urban land area and is thereby exempted from transportation concurrency requirements for roadways. The City recognizes that its development characteristics make substantive expansion of capacity of the roadway system prohibitive. The City will therefore prioritize improving the safety and function of existing roads and multi-modal transportation improvements (i.e. transit, air, boat, bicycles, pedestrianism, mixed-use development) as its primary strategies for addressing current and projected transportation needs.

(2) Conformance with ordinances. Whether the proposal is in conformance with all applicable requirements of the Code of Ordinances.

1

2 3

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12 13

35

- The proposal is in conformance with the procedures for amending the Official Zoning Map as demonstrated herein. The proposal is in conformance with the procedures for amending the Land Development Regulations as demonstrated herein.
- (3) Changed conditions. Whether, and the extent to which, land use and development conditions have changed since the effective date of the existing regulations, and whether such changes support or work against the proposed rezoning.
- 14The effective date of the Land Development Regulations is July 3, 1997.15The Comprehensive Plan was adopted on March 5, 2013. Both the comp16plan and the LDRs are "Living Documents" which are designed to evolve17and change with the community's goals.
- 18 The land use and development conditions of the subject properties have 19 20 not significantly changed since the effective dates of the existing However, fluctuations in the economy have caused a regulations. 21 turnover of businesses and ownership of the subject properties, and 22 current owners would like to redevelop and revitalize the properties. The 23 existing zoning and all of the numerous non-conformities and variances 24 25 make redevelopment substantially more complex, costly and timeconsuming than is reasonably necessary. The proposed rezoning to 26 27 HRCC-1 would eliminate non-conformities and greatly improve the ability of property owners to redevelop and revitalize the subject properties as 28 the LDRs and Comprehensive Plan intend. Further, the numerous 29 variances granted to the subject properties have applied HRCC-1 zoning 30 criteria to the properties, and created the numerous legal non-31 32 conformities. Rezoning the properties to HRCC-1 would effectively eliminate the existing non-conformities and the need for future variances 33 and changes of nonconforming uses. 34
- (4) Land use compatibility. Whether, and the extent to which, the proposal
   would result in any incompatible land uses, considering the type and
   location of uses involved.
- The proposed zoning map amendment will not result in any incompatible land uses and will in fact provide for the most compatible land uses. As previously discussed, the subject parcels are located within the core tourist district of Key West and the various businesses operating on and adjacent to the subject properties include specialty shops, restaurants, lounges and bars with inviting live entertainment; and transient residential accommodations. These types of uses are specifically named in Section

122-686 of the LDRs as the intended types of uses within HRCC-1 zoning. 1 Technically, the existing legal non-conforming uses are incompatible land 2 uses within HRO zoning; however, the City granted numerous conditional 3 uses and variances which allowed the non-conforming uses<sup>5</sup>. The 4 conditional uses and variances granted to the subject properties were all 5 consistent with HRCC-1 zoning but largely inconsistent with HRO zoning. 6 thus indicating that the existing zoning is not the correct zoning. 7 Therefore, amending the zoning to HRCC-1 will result in the most 8 compatible land uses for the subject properties by bringing the existing 9 non-conforming uses into compliance with the LDRs, and minimizing the 10 need for variances. 11

The subject properties currently have a variety of existing legal non-13 conforming land uses within HRO zoning. These inconsistent land uses 14 include: commercial retail; hotels, motels and transient lodging; restaurants; bars and lounges; and small recreation power-driven 16 equipment rental. It is important to note that all of these existing inconsistent land uses within HRO zoning would all be consistent with 18 19 HRCC-1 zoning.

> Additionally, this area of Whitehead Street is approximately in the middle of a significant and growing number of attractions for locals and visitors as depicted in Figure 4 (below).




Figure 1. Future Land Use Map

12

15

17

20

21

22

<sup>25</sup> 




Figure 3. Existing Land Use Map





Figure 4. Whitehead Proximity Map



## Zoning Dimensional Requirements Comparison

Regulation	HRO	HRCC-1
Density (u/ac)	16	22
FAR	1.0	1.0
Height	30ft	35ft
Building Coverage	50%	50%
Impervious surface ratio	0.6	0.7
Minimum lot size (sq. ft.)	5,000	4,000
Setbacks (ft) Fro	nt 5	0
Si	de5	2.5
Street si	de 5	0
Re	ar 10	10

Land Use Compatibility Ar	nalysis
---------------------------	---------

Permitted Uses	Existing	HRO	HRCC-1
Single-family and two-family residential dwellings.		Х	X
Multiple-family residential dwellings.	X	Х	X
Group homes with less than or equal to six residents (section 122-1246).		Х	X
Places of worship.		Х	X
Business and professional offices.	X	Х	X
Commercial retail low and medium intensity less than or equal to 5,000	X		х
square feet.	^		^
Commercial retail high intensity less than or equal to 2,500 square feet.			X
Hotels, motels, and transient lodging.	X		X
Medical services.		Х	X
Parking lots and facilities.		Х	X
Restaurants, excluding drive-through.	X		X
Veterinary medical services, without outside kennels.		Х	X
Adult entertainment establishments (see Section 122-1533).			X
Conditional Uses			
Group homes with seven to 14 residents (section 122-1246).		Х	X
Cultural and civic activities.		Х	X
Community centers, clubs and lodges.		Х	X
Educational institutions and day care.		Х	X
Nursing homes/rest homes and convalescent homes.		Х	X
Parks and recreation active and passive.		Х	X
Protective services.		Х	X
Public and private utilities.		Х	X
Bars and lounges, including those associated with adult entertainment establishments.	x		x
Commercial amusement			X
Boat sales and service.			X
Commercial retail low and medium intensity greater than 5,000 square	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~		v .
feet.	X		X
Commercial retail high intensity greater than 2,500 square feet.			X
Funeral homes.		Х	X
Light industrial			Х
Marinas			X
Small recreational power-driven equipment rentals	X		X

 (5) Adequate public facilities. Whether, and the extent to which, the proposal would result in demands on public facilities and services, exceeding the capacity of such facilities and services, existing or programmed, including

#### Comprehensive Plan Policy 2-1.1.1 - Transportation

Policy 2-1.1.3 effectively eliminates the transportation concurrency requirement in favor of a prioritization of safety and function of existing roads and multi-modal transportation improvements (i.e. transit, air, boat, bicycles, pedestrianism, and mixed-use development).

13Policy 2-1.1.3: Dense Urban Land Area. The City of Key West is a14substantially developed dense urban land area and is thereby exempted

from transportation concurrency requirements for roadways. The City recognizes that its development characteristics make substantive expansion of capacity of the roadway system prohibitive. The City will therefore prioritize improving the safety and function of existing roads and multi-modal transportation improvements (i.e. transit, air, boat, bicycles, pedestrianism, mixed-use development) as its primary strategies for addressing current and projected transportation needs.

- 8 9
- 9 10

11

12

13

14

15

## Comprehensive Plan Policy 4-1.1.2.C – Water

Summary Response: The Potable Water Level of Service may potentially increase by 1,578 gallons per day if the maximum residential density increases from 16 to 22. However, it is not expected that the proposal will result in excess capacity on this public facility. Commercial water needs are not anticipated to change as a result of the zoning change so any increase is attributable to residential use.

16 17

18

Designation	Residential		Commercial		Tetel
Designation	LOS	Capacity <sup>6</sup>	Rate	Capacity	Total
HRO	100 gal/capita/day	42.08' capita x 100 gal = 4,208 gal	No change	No change	4,208gal
HRCC-1	100 gal/capita/day	57.86 <sup>8</sup> capita x 100 gal = 5,786 gal	No change	No change	5,786gal

The Florida Keys Aqueduct Authority (FKAA) has the capacity to supply 19 adequate service to the subject properties. The FKAA has the capacity to 20 provide 23 million gallons per day to Monroe County as a result of: the 21 South Florida Water Management District's issuance of Water Use Permit 22 #13-0005, which allocates 17 million gallons per day in the dry season; 23 17.79 million gallons per day which can be withdrawn from the Biscayne 24 Aquifer; and six million gallons per day provided by a reverse osmosis 25 treatment plant in Florida City. As documented above, the City is meeting 26 its Level of Service Standard for Potable Water. The City projects a slight 27 permanent population decrease, and only a slight increase in its functional 28 population and non-residential development during short and long range 29 30 planning periods, so the current capacity should remain adequate. Ongoing capital improvements will be necessary to maintain and improve 31 standards and service delivery. 32

33 34

35

## Comprehensive Plan Policy 4-1.1.2.A- Sanitary Sewage

36 Summary Response: The Sanitary Sewage Level of Service may 37 potentially increase by 1,578 gallons per day if the maximum residential 38 density increases from 16 to 22. However, it is not expected that the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> For the purposes of LOS, "capita" was calculated as *maximum density x persons / household* using the 2009-2013 US Census Data - 2.63 persons per household

 $<sup>^{7}</sup>$  16 x 2.63 = 42.08

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> 22 x 2.63 = 57.86

1 2 proposal will result in excess capacity on this public facility. Commercial sanitary sewer needs are not anticipated to change as a result of the zoning change so any increase is attributable to residential use.

3 4

Designation	R	esidential	Comn	nercial	Total
Designation	LOS	Capacity <sup>9</sup>	Rate	Capacity	TULAT
HRO	100 gal/capita/day	42.08 capita x 100 gal = 4,208 gal	No change	No change	4,208 gal
HRCC-1	100 gal/capita/day	57.86 capita x 100 gal = 5,786 gal	No change	No change	5,786 gal

5

6 The City contracts out the operation of the Richard A. Heyman Environmental Pollution Control Facility, its wastewater treatment plant 7 (Plant), and the associated collection system to Operations Management 8 International, Inc. (OMI). The Plant currently has the capacity to treat 10 9 million gallons per day, exceeding the capacity required to achieve the 10 existing Level of Service Standard by approximately seven million gallons 11 per day. Actual daily flow is 4.5 million gallons per day. This is a reduction 12 from eight (8) million gallons per day due to a 67 million dollars capital 13 improvement to the City's wastewater treatment during the past short term 14 15 planning period, including \$56 million for collection system rehabilitation.

- As documented above, the City is exceeding its Level of Service Standard 16 17 for Wastewater. The City projects a slight permanent population decrease, and only a slight increase in its functional population and non-residential 18 19 development, during the short and long range planning periods, so the current capacity should remain adequate. Ongoing capital improvements 20 and continuing conservation efforts will continue to maintain and improve 21 service deliverv.<sup>10</sup> 22
- 23 24

## Comprehensive Plan Policy 4-1.1.2.D- Solid Waste

25 Summary Response: The Solid Waste Level of Service may potentially increase by 42 pounds per day if the maximum residential density 26 increases from 16 to 22. However, it should not result in a substandard 27 28 LOS. Commercial solid waste needs are not anticipated to change as a result of the zoning change so any increase is attributable to residential 29 30 use.

Designation	Re	esidential	Commercial		Total
Designation	LOS	Capacity <sup>11</sup>	Rate	Capacity	TOLA
HRO	2.66 lbs/capita/day	42.08 capita x 2.66 lbs = 111.9 lbs	No change	No change	111.9 lbs
HRCC-1	2.66 lbs/capita/day	57.86 capita x 2.66 lbs = 153.9 lbs	No change	No change	153.9 lbs

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> For the purposes of LOS, "capita" was calculated as maximum density x persons / household using the 2009-2013 US Census Data - 2.63 persons per household <sup>10</sup> City of Key West Comprehensive Plan Data and Analysis, Pg A-16

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> For the purposes of LOS, "capita" was calculated as maximum density x persons / household using the 2009-2013 US Census Data - 2.63 persons per household

The Drainage level of service standard below will be applicable to all types of development. Where two or more standards impact a specific development, the most restrictive standard shall apply:

- Post development runoff shall not exceed the pre-development runoff rate for a 25-year storm event, up to and including an event with a 24 hour duration.
- 2. Stormwater treatment and disposal facilities shall be designed to meet the design and performance standards established in Chapter 62-25 Section 25.025, Florida Administrative Code, with treatment of the runoff from the first one inch of rainfall on-site to meet the water quality standards required by Chapter 62-302, Florida Administrative Code. Stormwater facilities which directly discharge into "Outstanding Florida Waters" (OFW) shall provide an additional treatment pursuant to Section 62-25.025 (9), Florida Administrative Code.
  - 3. Stormwater facilities must be designed so as to not degrade the receiving water body below the minimum conditions necessary to assure the suitability of water for the designated use of its classification as established in Chapter 62-302 Florida Administrative Code.

#### Recreation

Policy 7-1.1.9- The proposed change is not expected to have any adverse effect on availability of recreation services.

#### 28 Education

- 30No level of service currently exists in Comprehensive Plan but the31proposed change is not expected to have an adverse effect on availability32education services.
- 34 Emergency services
- No level of service currently exists in Comprehensive Plan for emergency
   services but the proposed change is not expected to have an adverse on
   availability emergency services.
- 39 40 Similar necessary facilities and services-
- 42 No level of service currently exists in Comprehensive Plan for similar or
   43 necessary facilities but the proposed change is not expected to have an
   44 adverse effect on availability of such services.
- 45

41

1

2

3 4 5

6 7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21 22 23

24

25

26 27

29

33

The proposal is not in conflict with the public interest and is in harmony with the purpose and intent of the Land Development Regulations and the Comprehensive Plan as demonstrated in the above findings of the criteria for approval. Moreover, the request is hypothesized to provide increased mixed-use opportunity for the Historic Downtown Core Area as envisioned by the current Comprehensive Plan.

8 9

10

11

1

2

3

4

5

6 7

(10) Other matters. Other matters which the planning board and the city commission may deem appropriate.

12 13 At the time of application submittal, no other matters are deemed appropriate.

The City currently contracts with Waste Management of Florida, Inc. to 1 collect, transfer and dispose of solid waste and residential recyclables. 2 3 Commercial recyclables and other non-franchised collection services such 4 as construction and demolition debris and yard waste are available on the 5 open market to all licensed haulers. The City owns and operates a solid 6 waste transfer station on Rockland Key that received 45,402.10 tons of 7 solid waste for disposal and 3,607 tons of recyclables in 2009/10. Waste 8 Management disposes of the solid waste collected in Monroe County, 9 including the City of Key West, at its Central Sanitary Landfill in Broward 10 County. In 2009 Waste Management Inc. reported a reserve capacity of 17 years at this facility. There is therefore an estimated reserve capacity of 11 12 15 years as of the date of this report.

- As documented above, the City is meeting its Level of Service Standard for solid waste. The City projects a slight permanent population decrease, and only a slight increase in its functional population and non-residential development, during the short and long range planning periods, and the current capacity should remain adequate. Ongoing capital improvements will be necessary to improve standards and service delivery.<sup>12</sup>
- 19

20 21

22

23

24 25

26

27

## Policy 4-1.1.2.D- Recyclable Waste Generation

Summary Response: The Recyclable Waste Level of Service may potentially increase by 7.89 pounds per day if the maximum residential density increases from 16 to 22. However, it should not result in a substandard LOS. Commercial recycled waste needs are not anticipated to change as a result of the zoning change so any increase is attributable to residential use.

Residential Commercial Designation Total Capacity<sup>13</sup> LOS Capacity<sup>14</sup> Rate HRO 0.05 lbs/capita/day 42.08 capita x 0.5 lbs = 21.04 lbs No change 21.04 lbs HRCC-1 0.05 lbs/capita/day 57.86 capita x 0.5 lbs = 28.93 lbs No change 28.93 lbs 28 Comprehensive Plan Policy 4-1.1.2. E- Drainage 29 30 31 Summary Response: Stormwater concurrency will be insured as part of 32 any future development order in accordance with Chapter 94. 33 34 Policy 4-1.1.2. E- Drainage Facilities Level of Service has not changed with the adoption of the new Comprehensive Plan. 35 36

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> City of Key West Comprehensive Plan Data and Analysis, Pg A-17

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> For the purposes of LOS, "capita" was calculated as *maximum density x persons / household* using the 2009-2013 US Census Data - 2.63 persons per household

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> Adopted LOS 0.5 / Adopted LOS 0.25 = 2.00 multiplier; 4.03 x 2.00 = 8.06

1	Approval of a plan amendment does not constitute a concurrency
2	determination, and the applicant will be required to a concurrency
3	determination pursuant to chapter 94.
4	
5	Applicant understands and concurs with this criterion. Concurrency
6	determination will be made at the time specific development order(s) are
7	proposed
8	
9	(6) Natural environment. Whether, and to the extent to which, the proposal
10	would result in adverse impacts on the natural environment, including
11	consideration of wetlands protection, preservation of groundwater aquifer,
12	wildlife habitats, and vegetative communities.
13	
14	The subject properties are currently developed in the urban downtown. No
15	wetlands, aquifer recharge area, protected habitats or sensitive vegetative
16	communities exist on the properties. No quantifiable impacts can be
17	directly correlated or associated with the proposed change; therefore, no
18	foreseeable adverse impacts are expected.
19	
20	(7) Economic effects. Whether, and the extent to which, the proposal would
21	adversely affect the property values in the area or the general welfare.
22	
23	No adverse economic effects are anticipated as a result of this zoning
24	request. Mixed-use commercial properties typically have a higher median
25	sales value than residential office properties. The requested zoning
26	amendment is expected to increase property appraisal values because of
27	the increased potential for commercial mixed uses. Typically, properties
28	with higher appraised value yield more in tax revenue which is a beneficial
29	economic effect.
30	(9) Orderity development Milesthen the same set would receive in the same and
31	(8) Orderly development. Whether the proposal would result in an orderly and
32	compatible land use pattern. Any negative effects on such pattern shall be identified.
33 34	identified,
34 35	The proposed map amendment would serve to extend the adjacent
35 36	HRCC-1 zoning district in an orderly and logical manner such that the
37	entire block bounded by Duval Street, Appelrouth Lane, Whitehead Street,
38	and Southard Street would have uniform HRCC-1 zoning. Presently, the
39	block is split between HRO and HRCC-1 zoning which no longer reflects
40	the actual land uses within the block.
41	
42	(9) Public interest; enabling act. Whether the proposal would be in conflict
43	with the public interest, and whether it is in harmony with the purpose and
44	interest of this subpart B and its enabling legislation.
45	

#### 402 Appelrouth Lane Legal Description:

Unit I consisting of Suites IA, IB, IC, ID, and IE, JACKSON SQUARE PROFESSIONAL CENTER, a Condominium, according to the Declaration thereof as recorded in Official Records Book 1048, Page 0870 through 0929 et seq., of the Public Records of Monroe County, Florida, and amendments thereto, along with an undivided 5/13 interest in the common area.

#### AND

A part of Unit 2 consisting of Suite A, thereof, JACKSON SQUARE PROFESSIONAL CENTER, a Condominium, according to the Declaration thereof as recorded in Official Records Book 1048, Page 0870 through 0929 et seq., of the Public Records of Monroe County, Florida, and amendments thereto, along with an undivided 1/13 interest in the common area.

#### AND

Unit 3 consisting of Suites A and B, JACKSON SQUARE PROFESSIONAL CENTER, a Condominium, as recorded in Official Records Book 1048, Page 0870 through 0929 et seq., of the Public Records of Monroe County, Florida, and amendments thereto, along with an undivided 4/13 interest in the common area.

Unit 2B, JACKSON SQUARE PROFESSIONAL CENTER, a Condominium, according to the Declaration thereof, as recorded in Official Records Book 1048, Page 0870 through 0929 et seq., of the Public Records of Monroe County, Florida, and amendments thereto, together with an undivided 2/13 interest in the common area.

Part of Unit 2 consisting of Suites C and D thereof, (Being the same property described as Suites 3B and 3C in Official Records Book 1048, Page 920, of the Public Records of Monroe County, Florida.) JACKSON SQUARE PROFESSIONAL CENIER, a Condominium, according to the Declaration thereof as recorded in Official Records Book 1048 at Page 870 through 929 et seq., of the Public Records of Monroe County, Florida, and amendments thereto, together with an undivided 2/13 interest in the common area.

All of the foregoing being one and the same as Unit IA, Unit IB, Unit IC, Unit ID. Unit IE, Unit 2A, Unit 2B, Unit 2C, Unit 3A, and Unit 3C, and the undivided interests in the common area as created pursuant to the Declaration of Condominium of JACKSON SQUARE PROFESSIONAL CENTER, a Condominium, as recorded in Official Records Book 1048, Page 0870 through 0929 et seq., of the Public Records of Monroe County, Florida, and amendments thereto.

#### 517 & 519 Whitehead Street and 409 Appelrouth Lane Legal Description:

#### PARCEL A:

In the City of Key West, Monroe County, State of Florida, according to Wm. A. Whitehead's map or plan of said city, delineated in February 1829, and known as part of Lot 4, Square 51, of said map, being more particularly described as follows:

Lot 4 in Square 51 in the City of Key West and bounded as follows: Beginning on the Northwesterly line of the said Lot 4, 100 feet from Whitehead Street and thence running parallel with the said Street Southeasterly 100 feet to a Lane; thence at right angles with Whitehead Street Northeasterly Forty-five and a half (45.5) feet; thence parallel with Whitehead Street Northwesterly 100 feet to the line of said lot; and thence 45.5 feet to the Place of Beginning, reference being had to the map of the town of Key West, delineated by William A. Whitehead.

ALSO

#### PARCEL B:

In the City of Key West, Monroe County, State of Florida, according to Wm. A. Whitehead's map or plan of said city, delineated in February 1829, and known as a part of Lot 4, Square 51, of said map, being more particularly described as follows:

Commencing at the Northeast corner of Whitehead Street and Smith Lane, a/k/a Smith Alley or Appelrouth Lane, run Northeasterly along said Smith Lane for 150 feet to the Point of Beginning, from said Point of Beginning, continue Northeasterly along said Smith Lane 50 feet to a point; thence at right angles in a Northwesterly direction 100 feet; thence at right angles in a Southwesterly direction 50 feet; thence at right angles in a Southeasterly direction 100 feet; thence at right angles in a Southeasterly direction 100 feet to the Point of Beginning.

#### ALSO

#### PARCEL C:

Lot 4, in Square 51, in the City of Key West and bounded as follows: Beginning on the Northwesterly line of the said Lot 4, 145.50 feet from Whitehead Street and thence running parallel with the said street Southeasterly 100 feet to a lane; thence at right angles with Whitehead Street Northeasterly 4.50 feet; thence parallel with Whitehead Street to the line of said lot; and thence 4.50 feet to the Place of Beginning, reference being had to the Map of the Town of Key West, delineated by Wm. A. Whitehead.

#### ALSO

#### PARCEL D:

In the City of Key West and is known as part of Lot Four (4) in Square Fifty-one (51); Commencing at a point Fifty (50) feet distant from the corner of Whitehead Street and Smith's Lane or Alley, on said Whitehead Street and running thence along the line of Whitehead Street in a Northwesterly direction Fifty (50) feet; thence at right angles in a Northeasterly direction One Hundred (100) feet; thence at right angles in a Southeasterly direction Fifty (50) feet; thence at right angles in a Southwesterly direction One Hundred (100) feet; thence at right angles in a Southwesterly direction One Hundred (100) feet; thence at right angles in a Southwesterly direction One Hundred (100) feet; thence at right angles in a Southwesterly direction One Hundred (100) feet; thence at right angles in a Southwesterly direction One Hundred (100) feet; thence at right angles in a Southwesterly direction One Hundred (100) feet; thence at right angles in a Southwesterly direction One Hundred (100) feet; thence at right angles in a Southwesterly direction One Hundred (100) feet; thence at right angles in a Southwesterly direction One Hundred (100) feet; thence at right angles in a Southwesterly direction One Hundred (100) feet; thence at right angles in a Southwesterly direction One Hundred (100) feet; thence at right angles in a Southwesterly direction One Hundred (100) feet; thence at right angles in a Southwesterly direction One Hundred (100) feet; thence at right angles in a Southwesterly direction One Hundred (100) feet; thence at right angles in a Southwesterly direction One Hundred (100) feet; thence at right angles in a Southwesterly direction One Hundred (100) feet; thence at right angles in a Southwesterly direction One Hundred (100) feet; thence at right angles in a Southwesterly direction One Hundred (100) feet; thence at right angles in a Southwesterly direction One Hundred (100) feet; thence at right angles in a Southwesterly direction One Hundred (100) feet; thence at right angles in a Southwesterly direction One Hundred (100) feet; thence at right angles in a Southwesterly direction One Hundred (100) feet; thence at right angles in a Southwesterly direction One Hundred (100) feet; thenc

ALSO

PARCEL E:

In the City of Key West and described as follows: Part of Lot Four (4) in Square Fifty-one (51); Commencing at a point at the corner of Smith Lane and Whitehead Street and running thence along Whitehead Street in a Northwesterly direction Fifty (50) feet; thence at right angles in a Northeasterly direction One Hundred (100) feet; thence at right angles in a Southeasterly direction Fifty (50) feet to Smith Lane; thence at right angles in a Southwesterly direction One Hundred

(100) feet, back to the Point of Beginning.

ALL OF THE ABOVE FORMERLY KNOWN AS:

PARCEL A:

In the City of Key West and is known as part of Lot Four (4) in Square Fifty-one (51) according to the map or plan of said city delineated by William A. Whitehead in February A.D. 1829:

Commencing at the intersection of the Northwesterly right of way of boundary line of Appelrouth Lane (a/k/a Smith Lane) with the Northeasterly right of way boundary line of Whitehead Street and running thence in a Northwesterly direction along the said right of way line of Whitehead Street for a distance of 100.0 feet to a point; thence at right angles in a Northeasterly direction for a distance of 51.85 feet to the Point of Beginning; thence continue in a Northeasterly direction along the prolongation of the preceding course for a distance of 48.15 feet; thence at right angles in a Southeasterly direction for a distance of 41.27 feet; thence at right angles in a Northwesterly direction for a distance of 41.27 feet; thence at right angles in a Northwesterly direction for a distance of 41.27 feet; thence at right angles in a Northwesterly direction for a distance of 41.27 feet; thence at right angles in a Northwesterly direction for a distance of 41.27 feet; thence at right angles in a Northwesterly direction for a distance of 41.27 feet; thence at right angles in a Northwesterly direction for a distance of 41.27 feet; thence at right angles in a Northwesterly direction for a distance of 41.27 feet; thence at right angles in a Northwesterly direction for a distance of 41.27 feet; thence at right angles in a Northwesterly direction for a distance of 41.27 feet; thence at right angles in a Northwesterly direction for a distance of 41.27 feet; thence at right angles in a Northwesterly direction for a distance of 41.27 feet; thence at right angles in a Northwesterly direction for a distance of 41.27 feet; thence at right angles in a Northwesterly direction for a distance of 41.27 feet; thence at right angles in a Northwesterly direction for a distance of 41.27 feet; thence at right angles in a Northwesterly direction for a distance of 41.27 feet; thence at right angles in a Northwesterly direction for a distance of 41.27 feet; thence at right angles in a Northwesterly direction for a distance of 41.27 feet; thence a

#### PARCEL B:

In the City of Key West, Monroe County, State of Florida, according to Wm. A. Whitehead's map or plan of said City, delineated in February 1829, and known as part of Lot 4, Square 51, of said map, being more particularly described as follows:

Lot 4 in Square 51 in the City of Key West and bounded as follows: Beginning on the Northwesterly line of the said Lot 4, 100 feet from Whitehead Street and thence running parallel with the said Street Southeasterly 100 feet to a Lane; thence at right angles with Whitehead Street Northeasterly forty-five and a half (45.5) feet; thence parallel with

Whitehead Street Northwesterly 100 feet to the line of said lot; and thence 45.5 feet to the Place of Beginning, reference being had to the map of the town of Key West, delineated by William A. Whitehead.

#### PARCEL C:

In the City of Key West, Monroe County, State of Florida, according to Wm. A. Whitehead's map or plan of said City, delineated in February 1829, and known as a part of Lot 4, Square 51, of said map, being more particularly described as follows:

Commencing at the Northeast corner of Whitehead Street and Smith Lane, a/k/a Smith Alley or Appelrouth Lane, run Northeasterly along said Appelrouth Lane for 150 feet to the Point of Beginning; from said Point of Beginning, continue Northeasterly along said Appelrouth Lane 50 feet to a point; thence at right angles in a Northwesterly direction 100 feet; thence at right angles in a Southwesterly direction 50 feet; thence at right angles in a Southeasterly direction 100 feet; direction 100 feet to the Point of Beginning.

#### PARCEL D:

Part of Lot 4, in Square 51, in the City of Key West and bounded as follows:

Beginning on the Northwesterly line of the said Lot 4, 145.50 feet from Whitehead Street and thence running parallel with the said street Southeasterly 100 feet to a lane; thence at right angles with Whitehead Street Northeasterly 4.50 feet; thence parallel with Whitehead Street to the line of said lot; and thence 4.50 feet to the place of beginning, reference being had to the Map of the Town of Key West, delineated by Wm. A. Whitehead.

#### PARCEL E:

In the City of Key West and is known as part of Lot Four (4) in Square Fifty-one (51), Commencing at a point Fifty (50) feet distance from the corner of Whitehead Street and Smith's Lane or Alley, on said Whitehead Street, and running thence along the line of Whitehead Street in a Northwesterly direction Fifty (50) feet; thence at right angles in a Northeasterly direction One Hundred (100) feet; thence at right angles in a Southeasterly direction Fifty (50) feet; thence at right angles in a Southeasterly direction Fifty (50) feet; thence at right angles in a Southeasterly (100) feet, back to the Point of Beginning.

#### LESS:

In the City of Key West and is known as part of Lot Four (4) in Square Fifty-one (51) according to the map or plat of said City delineated by William A. Whitehead in February A.D. 1829: Commencing at the intersection of the Northwesterly right of way boundary line of Applerouth Lane (a/k/a Smith's Lane) with the Northeasterly right of way boundary line of Whitehead Street and running thence in a Northwesterly direction along the said right of way line of Whitehead Street for a distance of 100.0 feet to a point; thence at right angles in a Northeasterly direction for a distance of 51.85 feet to the Point of Beginning; thence continue in a Northeasterly direction along the prolongation of the preceding course for a distance of

48.15 feet; thence at right angles in a Southeasterly direction for a distance of 41.27 feet; thence at right angles in a Southwesterly direction for a distance of 48.15 feet; thence at right angles in a Northwesterly direction for a distance of 41.27 feet back to the Point of Beginning

PARCEL F:

In the City of Key West and described as follows:

Part of Lot Four (4) in Square Fifty-one (51); Commencing at a point at the corner of Smith Lane and Whitehead Street and running thence along Whitehead Street in a Northwesterly direction Fifty (50) feet; thence at right angles in a Northeasterly direction One Hundred (100) feet; thence at right angles in a Southeasterly direction Fifty (50) feet to Smith Lane; thence at right angles in a Southwesterly direction One Hundred (100) feet, back to the Point of Beginning.

#### 416 Appelrouth Lane Legal Description:

In the City of Key West, and known as part of lot Number Four (4) in Square Number fifty one (51), according to the map of the Island of Key West, delineated by William A. Whitehead in February A. D., 1829, and more accurately described as commencing at a point on the southeasterly side of Smith's Lane distant one hundred and forty seven (147) feet and nine (9) inches Northeasterly from the comer of Whitehead Street and said Smith's Lane, and running thence Northeasterly along said Smith's Lane fifty (50) feet, thencs at right angles in a southeasterly direction forty seven (47) feet and nine (9) inches; thence at right angles in a southwesterly direction fifty (50) feet; thence at right angles in a northwesterly direction forty seven (47) feet and nine (9) inches to the point of beginning.

#### 417 Southard Street Legal Description:

#### PARCEL 2:

On the Island of Key West and known as a part of Lots 1 and 4 of Square 51, according to William A. Whitehead's Map of said Island delineated in February 1829, and described as follows:

Commencing at a point on the Northwest side of Southard Street 147 feet 9 inches from the corner of Whitehead and Southard Streets; running thence in a Northeasterly direction along Southard Street 52 feet 9 inches; thence at right angles in a Northwesterly direction 50 feet; thence at right angles in a Southwesterly direction 52 feet 9 inches; thence at right angles in a Southeasterly direction 50 feet to place of beginning on Southard Street.

AND

PARCEL 3:

On the map or plan of the City of Key West delineated by William A. Whitehead in February 1829 as part of Lots 1 and 4 in Square 51 having a front of 50 feet on Southard Street and extending back at right angles 50 feet, the Southwesterly line of which Lot lies 195 feet 6 inches direct from Whitehead Street.


#### 533 Southard Street Legal Description:

On the Island of Key West and nown as part of Lot 4, in Square 51, according to William A. Whitehead's map of Key West, delineated in February 1829 and described as follows:

COMMENCING at the corner of Southard and. Whitehead Streets and runs thence along Southard Street, Northeasterly 147 feet; thence at right angles Northwesterly 55.75 feet; thence at right angles Southwesterly 82. feet; thence at right angles Northwesterly 7.25 feet; thence at right angles Southwesterly 64.8 feet to Whitehead Street; thence at right angles Southeasterly along said Whitehead Street 63 feet to the corner of Southard and Whitehead Streets, the Point of Beginning.

#### 515 Whitehead Street Legal Description:

On the Island of Key West and is part of Lot Number Three (3) in Square number Fifty-one (51), according to Wm. A. Whitehead's map of the Island of Key West, beginning at a corner of said Lot Three (3) at its Southerly corner of Whitehead street running thence on a line of said Whitehead Street in a Northwesterly direction Forty (40) feet; thence in a Northeasterly direction One Hundred (100) feet; thence in a Southeasterly direction (40) feet; thence in a Southwesterly direction One Hundred (100) feet back to the place of beginning and the contents therein belonging to the grantor.





### Verification Form

(Where Authorized Representative is an entity)

I, _	Owen Trepanier	in my capacity as	President	
	(print name)		(print position; president, man	aging member)
	1	1		
of	Trepanier & Associates, Ir	ic A Florida C	orporation	

(print name of entity serving as Authorized Representative)

being duly sworn, depose and say that I am the Authorized Representative of the Owner (as appears on the deed), for the following property identified as the subject matter of this application:

RE Nos.: 00010000-000102, -000103, -000104, -000105 & -000106; 00010020-000000; 00009990-000000; 00009980-000000; 00010040-000000; 00010010-000000

Street Address of subject property

All of the answers to the above questions, drawings, plans and any other attached data which make up the application, are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief. In the event the City or the Planning Department relies on any representation herein which proves to be untrue or incorrect, any action or approval based on said representation shall be subject to revocation.

Signature of Authorized Representative

Subscribed and sworn to (or affirmed) before me on this 5H JAN ZOIG by date

Name of Authorized Representative


He/She is personally known to me or has presented as identification.

Notary's Signature and Sea

Commission Number, if any

Alvina Covington COMMISSION #FF913801 EXPIRES: August 27, 2019 WWW, AARONNOTARY, COM

K:\FORMS\Applications\Verification and Authorization\Verification Form - Authorized Rep Ent.doc





## Authorization Form

(Where Owner is a Business Entity)

Please complete this form if someone other than the owner is representing the property owner in this matter.

I,	Michael L. Browning					as	
,	Please Print Name of person with authority	prity to execute	docu	ments on behal	f of entity		
Trus	tee	Michael	L		Revocable	e Trust	6/28/91
Name	e of office (President, Managing Member)			Name of o	wner from deed		
authorize	Trepanier & Associates Please Print Na	Inc	tativ	e	<u>.                                    </u>		
to be the repr	resentative for this application and act	on my/our beh	nalf	before the Cit	y of Key West.		
	Signature of person with authority to e	xecute documen	its of	n behalf on enti	ty owner		
Subscribed a	nd sworn to (or affirmed) before me of	n this <u>/</u> ?		14/15			
				Date			
by M	lichael L Browning					22	
	Name of person with authority to exe	cute documents	on l	behalf on entity	owner		
He/She is per	rsonally known to me or has presented				as identif	ication.	
<u>hul</u> Notar	where and Seal			KRISTEN MY COMMISSIO EXPIRES: MA Bonded through 1s	NORMAN N 4FF204741 R 01, 2019 I State Insurance		
Kriste Name of Ackn	owledger typed, printed or stamped						

Commission Number, if any



### **Authorization Form**

(Where Owner is a Business Entity)

Please complete this form if someone other than the owner is representing the property owner in this matter.

I, Michael L. Browning					as	
Please Print Name of person with author	ority to execute	doci	iments on beha	f of entity		
Trustee	Michael of	L	Browning	Revocable	Trust	6/28/91
Name of office (President, Managing Member)	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		Name of o	wner from deed		
authorize <u>Trepanier &amp; Associates</u> Please Print Nat	Inc.					
rieuse rrint nai	me oj kepresen	anv	2			
to be the representative for this application and act	on my/our beh	alf	before the City	of Key West.		
Signature of person with authority to ex	consta documen	10.01	hokalf on antit			
signature of person with authority to ex	lecnie avcumen	18 07	i bendij on entit	y uwner		
Subscribed and sworn to (or affirmed) before me or	this /2		14/15	-		
			Date			
by Michael L Browning					0	
Name of person with authority to exec	cute documents	on b	ehalf on entity	owner		
He/She is personally known to me or has presented			<u></u>	as identific	ation.	
MA Man -						
Notary's Signature and Seal	(a)	XS)	MY COMMISSION	UTIMAN HFF204741		
			Fanded through 1st	Stain insurance		
Kristen Norman						

Name of Acknowledger typed, printed or stamped

Commission Number, if any



### **Authorization Form** (Where Owner is a Business Entity)

Please complete this form if someone other than the owner is representing the property owner in this matter.

Albert L. Borer	as
Please Print Name of person with authority to execute documents on behalf of entity	43
Presidesnt of Bone Island Properties, Inc	•
Name of office (President, Managing Member) Name of owner from deed	
authorize Trepanier & Associates Inc.	
Please Print Name of Representative	
to be the representative for this application and act on my/our behalf before the City of Key West. Signature of person with authority to execute documents on behalf on entity owner	
Subscribed and sworn to (or affirmed) before me on this December 16, 2015 Date	
y Albert L. Borer	
Name of person with authority to execute documents on behalf on entity owner	<u> </u>
He/She is personally known to me or has presented <b>FL DL#8660032-53406</b> as identific	ation.

What BB

Notary's Signature and Scal

Notary Public State of Florida **Robert Bond Benson** My Commission FF 000286 Expires 05/16/2017

ROBERT B BENSON Name of Acknowledger typed, printed or stamped

# FF 2002.86

Commission Number, if any



## Authorization Form

(Where Owner is a Business Entity)

Please complete this form if someone other than the owner is representing the property owner in this matter.

I Jesper Arnoldsonas				
Please Print Name of person with authority to execute documents on behalf of entity				
Managing member of Standard Management Services, LLC., managing member of Whitehead Trust, LLC.				
member of whitehead flust, filt.				
authorize Trepanier & Associates Inc.				
Please Print Name of Represent <b>ati</b> ve				
to be the representative for this application and act on my/our behalf before the City of Key West.				
Signature of person with authority to execute documents on behalf on entity owner				
Subscribed and sworn to (or affirmed) before me on this <u>TAMALY 5th 2016</u> Dine				
Name of person with authority to execute documents on behalf on entity owner				
He/She is personally known to me or has presentedas identification.				
Notary Signature and Scal Notary's Signature and Scal Dennifer Carmona Name of Acknowledger typed, printed or stamped				
Commission Number, if any				



#### Authorization Form (Individual Owner)

Please complete this form if someone other than the owner is representing the property owner in this matter.

I. Tapley C. Johnson, III

Please Print Name(s) of Owner(s) (as appears on the deed)

Trepanier & Associates, Inc.

Please Print Name of Representative

to be the representative for this application and act on my/our behalf before the City of Key West.

Signatur 'Owner

Signature of Joint/Co-owner if applicable

authorize

Subscribed and sworn to (or affirmed) before me on this <u>15 th December</u> by date

Name of Authorized Representative

He/She is personally known to me or has presented \_\_\_\_\_\_as identification. Louin etch Notan Signature and Seal **Alvina Covington** COMMISSION #FF913801 EXPIRES: August 27, 2018 Name of Acking dead Styped, DAMED STARAS

#### Commission Number, if any

K:\FORMS\Applications\Verification and Authorization\Authorization Form - Ind Owner.doc 1 of 1 417 Southard Street

Page

# **Property Appraiser Information**



Key West (305) 292-3420 Marathon (305) 289-2550 Plantation Key (305) 852-7130

Property Record Card -Maps are now launching the new map application version.

Alternate Key: 1010251 Parcel ID: 00009980-000000

#### **Ownership Details**

Mailing Address: BONE ISLAND PROPERTIES INC 738 NE 3RD AVE FORT LAUDERDALE, FL 33304-2620

#### **Property Details**

 PC Code:
 21 - RESTAURANTS & CAFETERIAS


 Millage Group:
 10KW

 Affordable
 No

 Section-Township-Range:
 06-68-25

 Property Location:
 416 APPELROUTH LN KEY WEST

 Legal Description:
 KW PT LOT 4 SQR 51 E1-385 OR446-209/10 OR924-1419/27 PROBATE-84-327-CP-12 OR935-1477/78P/R OR955-838R/S OR1243-170/72 OR1295-919Q/C OR1323-1647/49 OR1506-2197/99 OR2469-1415/16




#### Land Details

Land Use Code	Frontage	Depth	Land Area
100D - COMMERCIAL DRY	48	50	2,388.00 SF

#### **Building Summary**

Number of Buildings: 1 Number of Commercial Buildings: 1 Total Living Area: 1509 Year Built: 1923



Sections:

Nbr	Туре	Ext Wall	# Stories	Year Built	Attic	A/C	Basement %	Finished Basement %	Area
1	FLA		1	1989					853
2	OPF		1	1989					100
3	PDO		1	1989					510
4	FLA		1	1989					176
5	FLA		1	1989					480
6	OUF		1	1989					100

11 ET

Interior Finish:

Section Nbr	Interior Finish Nbr	Туре	Area %	Sprinkler	A/C
	2181	<b>RESTAURANT &amp; CAFETR</b>	100	N	Ν
	21 <b>82</b>	OPF	100	N	Ν
	2183	PDO	100	N	N
	2184	RESTAURANT & CAFETR	100	N	N
	2185	RESTAURANT & CAFETR	100	N	Ν
	2186	OUF	100	N	Ν
		- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			

Exterior Wall:

Interior Finish Nbr	Туре	Area %
557	AB AVE WOOD SIDING	100

## **Misc Improvement Details**

Nbr	Туре	# Units	Length	Width	Year Built	Roll Year	Grade	Life
1	FN2:FENCES	240 SF	40	6	2006	2007	2	30

# **Appraiser Notes**

2006-06-14 MARTIN'S CAFE RESTAURANT

## **Building Permits**

Bidg	Number	Date Issued	Date Completed	Amount	Description	Notes
1	10-00000036	01/11/2010		4,000	Commercial	REPLACE WOOD LAP SIDING APPX 500 SF
1	10-455	03/03/2010	04/09/2010	900	Commercial	REPLACE 2 71 GAL PROPANE TANKS
	09-3062	11/16/2009	05/10/2010	1,250		REMOVE EXISTING BAR SINK AND INSTALL SHAMPOO SINK
	11-3414	09/20/2011		6,500	Commercial	REMOVE BATHROOM WALLS MOVE SINK TO BAR MAKE NEW BATHROOM IN EXISTING CLOSET MOVE EXISTING DOORS TO NEW LOCATION
1	12-3499	09/25/2012		9,800	Commercial	REMOVE AND REPLACE 240 SF OF 5/4" PT DECKING.
	09-2301	07/31/2009		4,633		INSTALL 300SF OF SINGLE PLY AND 300SF OF GALVALUME VCRIMP METAL ROOF.
	09-3148	09/23/2009		200	Commercial	REQUESTING PERMIT TO REMOVE WOOD PICKET FENCE.
	10-0813	03/22/2010		2,000	Commercial	REMOVE EXISTING METAL WINDOWS AND REPLACE WITH WOOD WINDOWS
				0		
1	9602464	06/01/1996	11/01/1996	900	· ·	RENOVATIONS
1	9602888	07/01/1996	11/01/1996	1,000		RENOVATIONS
1	9603014	07/01/1996	11/01/1996	18,000		RENOVATIONS
1	9603107	07/01/1996	11/01/1996	45,000		PLUMBING
1	9603254	08/01/1996	11/01/1996	1,950		ELECTRICAL
1	9603608	09/01/1996	11/01/1996	1		PAINTING
1	9603694	09/01/1996	11/01/1996	400		RENOVATIONS

1	9500046	12/01/1995	11/01/1996	1		MECHANICAL Attachmen
1	9601033	02/01/1996	11/01/1996	1,800		RENOVATIONS
1	9601837	05/01/1996	11/01/1996	1,250		RENOVATIONS
1	9602625	06/01/1996	11/01/1996	2,000		MECHANICAL
1	9602848	07/01/1996	11/01/1996	1,000		RENOVATIONS
1	9602895	07/01/1996	11/01/1996	10,000		AWNINGS
1	9603049	07/01/1996	11/01/1996	32,000		MECHANICAL
1	9604129	10/01/1996	11/01/1996	2,100	Commercial	MECHANICAL
1	05-3277	08/10/2005	07/05/2006	1,200	Commercial	RE4PLACE RISER AND METER DAMAGED BY HURRICANE DENNIS
1	05-3975	09/23/2005	07/05/2006	200		REPLACE 6 X 40 PICKET FENCE

## Parcel Value History

Certified Roll Values.

#### View Taxes for this Parcel.

Roll Year	Total Bidg Value	Total Misc Improvement Value	Total Land Value	Total Just (Market) Value	Total Assessed Value	School Exempt Value	School Taxable Value
2014	193,728	647	430,066	500,000	500,000	0	500,000
2013	193,728	672	412,031	500,000	500,000	0	500,000
2012	193,728	697	412,031	500,000	500,000	0	500,000
2011	226,465	731	457,812	500,000	500,000	0	500,000
2010	235,288	756	349,202	500,000	500,000	0	500,000
2009	235,288	781	363,126	500,000	500,000	0	500,000
2008	241,170	815	327,753	529,739	529,739	0	529,739
2007	173,992	840	310,440	485,272	485,272	0	485,272
2006	173,992	146	214,920	354,260	354,260	0	354,260
2005	178,086	146	179,100	298,467	298,467	0	298,467
2004	178,071	146	167,160	298,467	298,467	0	298,467
2003	178,071	146	71,640	298,467	298,467	0	298,467
2002	178,071	146	71,640	298,467	298,467	0	298,467
2001	178,071	146	71,640	249,857	249,857	0	249,857
2000	178,071	54	64,476	242,601	242,601	0	242,601
1999	178,071	54	64,476	242,601	242,601	0	242,601
1998	118,954	54	64,476	183,484	183,484	0	183,484
1997	118,954	54	59,700	178,708	178,708	0	178,708
1996	82,949	54	59,700	142,703	142,703	0	142,703
1995	82,949	54	59,700	142,703	142,703	0	142,703
1994	82,949	54	59,700	142,703	142,703	0	142,703
1993	75,111	58	59,700	134,869	134,869	0	134,869
1992	75,111	63	59,700	134,874	134,874	0	134,874
1991	75,111	68	59,700	134,879	134,879	0	134,879
1990	87,458	72	48,357	135,887	135,887	0	135,887

B

1989	43,088	0	38,208	81,296	81,296	0	Attachmen
1988	36,786	0	38,208	74,994	74,994	0	74,994
1987	36,309	0	20,637	56,946	56,946	0	56,946
1986	36,514	0	19,945	56,459	56,459	0	56,459
1985	20,232	0	20,059	40,291	40,291	0	40,291
1984	18,912	0	20,059	38,971	38,971	25,000	13,971
1983	18,912	0	10,225	29,137	29,137	25,000	4,137
1982	19,277	0	10,225	29,502	29,502	25,000	4,502

## **Parcel Sales History**

NOTE: Sales do not generally show up in our computer system until about two to three months after the date of sale. If a recent sale does not show up in this list, please allow more time for the sale record to be processed. Thank you for your patience and understanding.

Sale Date	Official Records Book/Page	Price	Instrument	Qualification
6/3/2010	2469 / 1415	0	QC	<u>11</u>
3/1/1998	1506 / 2197	340,000	WD	Q
1/1/1993	1243 / 170	185,000	WD	Q
9/1/1985	955 / 838	79,500	WD	Q
2/1/1970	446 / 209	6,500	00	Q

This page has been visited 62,181 times.

Monroe County Property Appraiser Scott P. Russell, CFA P.O. Box 1176 Key West, FL 33041-1176



Key West (305) 292-3420 Marathon (305) 289-2550 Plantation Key (305) 852-7130

## **Property Record Card -**Maps are now launching the new map application version.

Alternate Key: 1010260 Parcel ID: 00009990-000000

#### **Ownership Details**

Mailing Address: WHITEHEAD TRUST LLC 307 NE 1ST ST MIAMI, FL 33132-2505

## **Property Details**


PC Code: 39 - HOTELS,MOTELS Millage Group: 10KW Affordable Housing: No Section-Township Range: 06-68-25 Property Location: 517 WHITEHEAD ST KEY WEST 519 WHITEHEAD ST KEY WEST Legal Description: KW PT LOT 4 SQR 51 G7-9 G72-485/486 OR364-442 OR477-122L/E OR809-2277 OR809-2276 OR837-1621 OR991-2308D/C OR976-296 OR1473-170/71 OR2641-1678/82



# Land Use CodeFrontageDepthLand Area100D - COMMERCIAL DRY501008,012.00 SF

## **Building Summary**

Number of Buildings: 2 Number of Commercial Buildings: 2 Total Living Area: 5210 Year Built: 1949



#### Sections:

Nbr	Туре	Ext Wall	# Stories	Year Built	Attic	A/C	Basement %	Finished Basement %	Area
1	FLA		1	1990					1,505
2	OPF		1	1990					60
3	FLA		245	1990					1,505
4	OUU		<b>3</b> 1	2000					60
5	CAN	_	1	1990					40

Interior Finish:

Section Nbr	Interior Finish Nbr	Туре	Area %	Sprinkler	A/C	Attachment
	2187	HOTELS/MOTEL /	A 100	Y	Y	
	2188	HOTELS/MOTEL A	A 100	Y	Y	
xterior Wall:						
	Interior Finish Nbr		Гуре	Area %	—	
	558		.B.S.	100		
Building 2 [	Dotaile					
Buildin		Condition G	Qua	ality Grade 4	50	
Effectiv	ve Age 17	Perimeter 316	Depr	eclation % 2	3	
Yea Function	ar Built 1949 al Obs 0	Special Arch 0 Economic Obs 0	Grnd	Floor Area 2,	200	
iclusions: Roc	of Type	Roof Cover	F	oundation		
	Heat 1	Heat 2		Bedrooms 0		
Hea xtra Features:	t Src 1	Heat Src 2				
	2 Fix Bath 0			Vacuu	ım 0	
	3 Fix Bath 4		Ga	rbage Dispos	al 0	
	4 Fix Bath 0			Compact		
	5 Fix Bath 0 6 Fix Bath 0			Secur Interco	-	
	7 Fix Bath 0			Fireplac		
	Extra Fix 12			Dishwash		
	2057	9 FT OUU 11 FT	-T 21 FT			
	30FT	11 FT				
	0P* 7 FT210-74 30 FT	(F)	33 FT	6FT		
	7 FT210-78	7 F I		6FT		
4 FT	7 FT210-78 30 FT FLA 966-126	(F)		6FT		
	7 FT210-78 30 FT FLA 966-126	(F)		6FT		
4 FT 5 FT 4 FT 9 5 LA 380-68	7 FT210-78 30 FT FLA 966-126	(F)	33 FT	6 FT 32 FT.		
	7 FT210-78 30 FT FLA 966-126	7 FT FLA \$60-128	33 FT			
5 FT 47516	7 FT210-78 30 FT FLA 966-126 32 FT 20 FT 30 FT	7 FT FLA 500-128 32 FT 32 FY.	33 FT			
5FT 44574 764 280-68 2077	7 FT210-78 30 FT FLA 966-126 30 FT 20 FT	7 FT FLA 500-124 32 FT 32 FT. 7 FT 7 FT210 75	33FT 30FT			

Sections:

Nbr	Туре	Ext Wall	# Stories	Year Built	Attic	A/C	Basement %	Finished Basement %	Area
1	FLA		1	1990					960
2	OPX		1	1990					210
3	FLA		1	2000					280
4	<u>OPU</u>		1	2000					16
5	OPX		1	2000					210
6	FLA		1	1990					960
7	000		1	2000					225
8	<u>OPX</u>		1	1990					210

Interior Finish:

Section Nbr	Interior Finish Nbr	Туре	Area %	Sprinkler	A/C
	2189	HOTELS/MOTEL A	100	Y	Y
	2190	HOTELS/MOTEL A	100	Y	Y
	2191	HOTELS/MOTEL A	100	Ŷ	Y

Exterior Wall:

Interior Finish Nbr	Турө	Area %
559	AB AVE WOOD SIDING	100

## **Misc Improvement Details**

Nbr	Туре	# Units	Length	Width	Year Built	Roll Year	Grade	Life
1	FN2:FENCES	104 SF	52	2	1949	1950	4	30
2	PT5:TILE PATIO	2,028 SF	0	0	2000	2001	3	50
3	AC2:WALL AIR COND	1 UT	0	0	2000	2001	2	20
4	PT3:PATIO	414 SF	0	0	2000	2001	2	50
5	FN2:FENCES	336 SF	56	6	2000	2001	2	30
6	FN2:FENCES	388 SF	97	4	2000	2001	2	30

## **Appraiser Notes**

2003-31-01 (SKI) - 9 TRANSIENT GUESTHOUSE ROOMS,...BIG RUBY'S

# **Building Permits**

Bidg	Number	Date Issued	Date Completed	Amount	Description	Notes
1	13-1271	04/05/2013		100	Commercial	EXTEND PERMIT 01-2643 FOR FINAL INSPECTION. "AFTER THE FACT PERMIT" REPLACE PORCH BALUSTERS 80 LF ON 1ST AND 2ND FLOOR. REPAIR 580 SF OF PORCH FLOORING. REPAIR ROOF WOOD ONLY.
1	9700028	01/01/1997	07/01/1997	250		SIGN
1	0002493	08/23/2000	10/19/2000	275,000	Commercial	RENOVATION
1	9902376	08/07/2000	10/19/2000	64,000		DEMO SHED/BUILD NEW BLDG

1	9903156 02/08/2000	10/19/2000	14,000	RENOVATIONS	Attachment B
1	0001823 07/21/2000	10/19/2000	275,000	REMODEL BUILDING	
1	9902567 07/27/1999	10/19/2000	2,300	DEMO INTERIOR	
1	0000516 03/06/2000	10/19/2000	2,400	BRICK DRIVEWAY	
1	0102589 07/24/2001	11/13/2001	10,000	PAINT EXTERIOR	
1	0102643 07/24/2001	11/13/2001	10,000	PORCH REPAIRS	

## **Parcel Value History**

Certified Roll Values.

View Taxes for this Parcel.

Roll Year	Total Bidg Value	Total Misc Improvement Value	Total Land Value	Total Just (Market) Value	Total Assessed Value	School Exempt Value	School Taxable Value
2014	757,330	18,747	934,872	1,358,172	1,358,172	0	1,358,172
2013	840,482	19,275	895,668	1,358,172	1,358,172	0	1,358,172
2012	849,714	19,827	895,668	1,358,172	1,358,172	0	1,358,172
2011	863,562	20,406	995,187	1,312,243	1,312,243	0	1,312,243
2010	874,649	20,958	1,028,437	1,312,243	1,312,243	0	1,312,243
2009	883,881	21,509	931,996	1,411,014	1,411,014	0	1,411,014
2008	889,424	22,089	989,682	1,605,398	1,605,398	0	1,605,398
2007	770,733	22,641	937,404	1,641,043	1,641,043	0	1,641,043
2006	779,888	23,193	640,960	1,595,347	1,595,347	0	1,595,347
2005	787,861	23,771	560,840	1,797,971	1,797,971	0	1,797,971
2004	500,263	24,323	520,780	871,076	871,076	0	871,076
2003	500,263	24,877	304,456	871,076	871,076	0	871,076
2002	500,263	25,455	240,360	766,078	766,078	0	766,078
2001	499,360	26,007	240,360	765,727	765,727	0	765,727
2000	413,074	3,405	216,324	632,803	632,803	0	632,803
1999	380,858	3,411	216,324	600,593	600,593	0	600,593
1998	117,681	2,898	135,000	255,579	255,579	0	255,579
1997	117,681	2,898	125,000	173,217	173,217	0	173,217
1996	102,547	2,898	125,000	180,208	180,208	0	180,208
1995	102,547	2,936	125,000	180,208	180,208	0	180,208
1994	102,547	2,956	125,000	180,208	180,208	0	180,208
1993	102,547	1,730	125,000	180,208	180,208	0	180,208
1992	102,547	1,862	125,000	180,208	180,208	0	180,208
1991	102,547	1,970	125,000	180,208	180,208	0	180,208
1990	69,223	277	111,250	180,208	180,208	0	180,208
1989	69,223	277	110,000	180,208	180,208	0	180,208
1988	65,182	277	100,000	165,459	165,459	0	165,459
1987	63,868	277	61,250	128,685	128,685	0	128,685
1986	64,172	277	60,000	124,449	124,449	0	124,449
1985	62,334	277	45,000	107,611	107,611	0	107,611

19	<b>84</b> 59,016	277	45,000	104,293	104,293	0	Attachment E
19	<b>83</b> 59,016	277	25,600	84,893	84,893	0	84,893
19	<b>82</b> 51,013	277	25,600	76,890	76,890	0	76,890

#### **Parcel Sales History**

NOTE: Sales do not generally show up in our computer system until about two to three months after the date of sale. If a recent sale does not show up in this list, please allow more time for the sale record to be processed. Thank you for your patience and understanding.

Sale Date	Official Records Book/Page	Price	Instrument	Qualification
7/19/2013	2641 / 1678	5,200,000	WD	05
8/1/1997	1473 / 0170	740,000	WD	M

This page has been visited 62,131 times.

Monroe County Property Appraiser Scott P. Russell, CFA P.O. Box 1176 Key West, FL 33041-1176



Key West (305) 292-3420 Marathon (305) 289-2550 Plantation Key (305) 852-7130

Property Record Card -Maps are now launching the new map application version.


Alternate Key: 8775636 Parcel ID: 00010000-000102

#### **Ownership Details**

Mailing Address: BROWNING FAMILY IRREVOCABLE TRUST 1/12/2010 402 APPLEROUTH LN KEY WEST, FL 33040-6535 All Owners: BROWNING FAMILY IRREVOCABLE TRUST 1/12/2010, SIRECI THOMAS J JR T/C

## **Property Details**

PC Code:19 - PROFESSIONAL SERVICES BLDGSMillage Group:10KWAffordable<br/>Housing:NoSection:06-68-25Property<br/>Location:402 APPELROUTH LN KEY WESTLegal Description:UNIT 1 (SUITES A THRU E) JACKSON SQUARE PROFESSIONAL CENTER A CONDO OR1063-328<br/>OR1202-1625/32 OR1437-1596/97 OR1531-26/28 OR1584-17/18 OR1752-360/61 OR1752-362/63 OR2562-1393/95<br/>OR2704-2354/57



# **Condominium Details**

Condo Name: JACKSON SQUARE PROFESSIONAL Footage: 1764 Year Built: 1988

# **Appraiser Notes**

OWNERSHIP AS OF1/23/02: 1/2 INT MICHAEL L BROWNING TRUSTEE (MICHAEL L BROWNING REV TRUST DTD 6/26/91) 1/2 INT THOMAS J SIRECI JR LG

# **Building Permits**

Bldg	Number	Date Issued	Date Completed	Amount Description	Notes Attachment
1	09-00003062	11/16/2009		1,250	REMOVE EXISTING BAR SINK. INSTALL SHAMPOO SINK AND WATER HEATER
	09-3648	10/29/2009	12/31/2009	350	DUCTING FOR 2 EXHAUST FANS. KEY WEST AC & REFRIG. 305-797-3344.
1	03-1501	04/30/2003		7,500	PAINTING
1	03-3500	10/01/2003		35,000	REPLACE GUTTERS/DSPOUTS

## **Parcel Value History**

Certified Roll Values.

View Taxes for this Parcel.

Roll Year	Total Bidg Value	Total Misc Improvement Value	Total Land Value	Total Just (Market) Value	Total Assessed Value	School Exempt Value	School Taxable Value
2014	279,354	0	0	279,354	279,354	0	279,354
2013	263,542	0	0	263,542	263,542	0	263,542
2012	296,599	0	0	296,599	296,599	0	296,599
2011	307,994	0	0	307,994	307,994	0	307,994
2010	314,262	0	0	314,262	314,262	0	314,262
2009	383,247	0	0	383,247	383,247	0	383,247
2008	423,095	0	0	423,095	423,095	0	423,095
2007	467,460	0	0	467,460	467,460	0	467,460
2006	449,820	0	0	449,820	449,820	0	449,820
2005	0	405,719	1	405,720	405,720	0	405,720
2004	0	277,388	1	277,389	277,389	0	277,389
2003	0	255,779	1	255,780	255,780	0	255,780
2002	0	255,779	1	255,780	255,780	0	255,780
2001	0	229,320	1	229,321	229,321	0	229,321
2000	0	229,320	1	229,321	229,321	0	229,321
1999	0	229,320	1	229,321	229,321	0	229,321
1998	229,320	0	1	229,321	229,321	0	229,321
1997	229,320	0	1	229,321	229,321	0	229,321
1996	229,320	0	1	229,321	229,321	0	229,321
1995	229,320	0	1	229,321	229,321	0	229,321
1994	229,320	0	1	229,321	229,321	0	229,321
1993	229,320	0	1	229,321	229,321	0	229,321
1992	229,320	0	1	229,321	229,321	0	229,321
1991	229,320	0	1	229,321	229,321	0	229,321
1990	245,024	0	1	245,025	245,025	0	245,025

#### **Parcel Sales History**

NOTE: Sales do not generally show up in our computer system until about two to three months after the date of sale. If a recent sale does not show up in this list, please allow more time for the sale record to be processed.

Thank you for your patience and understanding.

#### Attachment B

Sale Date	Official Records Book/Page	Price	instrument	Qualification
9/19/2014	2704 / 2354	100	WD	<u>11</u>
12/31/2011	2562 / 1393	100	QC	11
6/16/1999	1584 / 0017	1	WD	М
1/1/1997	1437 / 1596	1	WD	М
8/1/1988	1063 / 328	150,000	WD	Q

This page has been visited 62,244 times.

Monroe County Property Appraiser Scott P. Russell, CFA P.O. Box 1176 Key West, FL 33041-1176



Key West (305) 292-3420 Marathon (305) 289-2550 Plantation Key (305) 852-7130

## **Property Record Card -**Maps are now launching the new map application version.

Alternate Key: 8775644 Parcel ID: 00010000-000103

#### **Ownership Details**

Mailing Address: BROWNING FAMILY IRREVOCABLE TRUST 1/12/2010 402 APPLEROUTH LN KEY WEST, FL 33040-6535 All Owners: BROWNING FAMILY IRREVOCABLE TRUST 1/12/2010, SIRECI THOMAS J JR T/C

## **Property Details**

 PC Code:
 19 - PROFESSIONAL SERVICES BLDGS

 Millage Group:
 10KW


 Affordable Housing:
 No

 Section-Township-Range:
 06-68-25

 Property Location:
 402 APPELROUTH LN UNIT: B KEY WEST

 Legal
 UNIT 2 (SUITE B) JACKSON SQUARE PROFESSIONAL CENTER, A CONDO OR1072-1588 OR1122-2151/52

 Description:
 OR1202-1625/32 OR1227-2250 OR1473-1170/72 OR1473-2172/74 OR1531-26/28 OR1584-17/18 OR1752-360/61



#### **Condominium Details**

Condo Name: JACKSON SQUARE PROFESSIONAL Footage: 420 Year Built: 1988

#### **Appraiser Notes**

OWNERSHIP AS OF1/23/02: 1/2 INT MICHAEL L BROWNING TRUSTEE (MICHAEL L BROWNING REV TRUST DTD 6/26/91) 1/2 INT THOMAS J SIRECI JR LG

## **Parcel Value History**

#### Certified Roll Values.

#### View Taxes for this Parcel.

#### Attachment B

Roll Year	Total Bidg Value	Total Misc Improvement Value	Total Land Value	Total Just (Market) Value	Total Assessed Value	School Exempt Value	School Taxable Value
2014	73,903	0	0	73,903	73,903	0	73,903
2013	69,720	0	0	69,720	69,720	0	69,720
2012	78,465	0	0	78,465	78,465	0	78,465
2011	81,480	0	0	81,480	81,480	0	81,480
2010	83,138	0	0	83,138	83,138	0	83,138
2009	101,388	0	0	101,388	101,388	0	101,388
2008	111,930	0	0	111,930	111,930	0	111,930
2007	128,100	0	0	128,100	128,100	0	128,100
2006	123,900	0	0	123,900	123,900	0	123,900
2005	0	111,299	्त	111,300	111,300	0	111,300
2004	0	74,969	1	74,970	74,970	0	74,970
2003	0	65,099	1	65,100	65,100	0	65,100
2002	0	65,099	1	65,100	65,100	0	65,100
2001	0	56,700	1	56,701	56,701	0	56,701
2000	0	56,700	4	56,701	56,701	0	56,701
1999	0	56,700	1	56,701	56,701	0	56,701
1998	56,700	0	1	56,701	56,701	0	56,701
1997	56,700	0	1	56,701	56,701	0	56,701
1996	56,700	0	1	56,701	56,701	0	56,701
1995	56,700	0	1	56,701	56,701	0	56,701
1994	56,700	0	1	56,701	56,701	0	56,701
1993	56,700	0	1	56,701	56,701	0	56,701
1992	113,400	0	1	113,401	113,401	0	113,401
1991	113,400	0	1	113,401	113,401	0	113,401
1990	111,611	0	. 1	111,612	111,612	0	111,612

#### **Parcel Sales History**

NOTE: Sales do not generally show up in our computer system until about two to three months after the date of sale. If a recent sale does not show up in this list, please allow more time for the sale record to be processed. Thank you for your patience and understanding.

Sale Date	Official Records Book/Page	Price	Instrument	Qualification
9/19/2014	2704 / 2354	100	WD	11
12/31/2011	2562 / 1393	100	QC	<u>11</u>
6/16/1999	1584 / 0017	1	WD	M
8/1/1997	1473 / 2172	1	WD	M
9/1/1992	1227 / 2250	71,800	WD	U
2/1/1990	1122 / 2151	133,200	WD	Q



Key West (305) 292-3420 Marathon (305) 289-2550 Plantation Key (305) 852-7130

## Property Record Card -Maps are now launching the new map application version.

Alternate Key: 8775652 Parcel ID: 00010000-000104

#### **Ownership Details**

Malling Address: BROWNING FAMILY IRREVOCABLE TRUST 1/12/2010 402 APPLEROUTH LN KEY WEST, FL 33040-6535 All Owners: BROWNING FAMILY IRREVOCABLE TRUST 1/12/2010, SIRECI THOMAS J JR T/C

#### **Property Details**

PC Code: 19 - PROFESSIONAL SERVICES BLDGS Millage Group: 10KW Affordable Housing: Section-Township-Range: Property Location: Legal UNIT 2 (SUITES C AND D) JACKSON SQUARE PROFESSIONAL CENTER A CONDO OR1072-1588 OR1122-2144/45 Description: OR1473-1170/72 OR1473-2172/74 OR1531-26/28 OR1584-17/18 OR1752-360/61 OR1752-362/63 OR2562-1393/95 OR2704-2354/57



## **Condominium Details**

Condo Name: JACKSON SQUARE PROFESSIONAL Footage: 552 Year Built: 1988

#### **Appraiser Notes**

OWNERSHIP AS OF1/23/02: 1/2 INT MICHAEL L BROWNING TRUSTEE (MICHAEL L BROWNING REV TRUST DTD 6/26/91) 1/2 INT THOMAS J SIRECI JR LG

## **Parcel Value History**

#### Certified Roll Values.

#### View Taxes for this Parcel.

#### Attachment B

Roll Year	Total Bidg Value	Total Misc Improvement Value	Total Land Value	Total Just (Market) Value	Total Assessed Value	School Exempt Value	School Taxable Value
2014	97,130	0	0	97,130	97,130	0	97,130
2013	91,632	0	0	91,632	91,632	0	91,632
2012	103,126	0	0	103,126	103,126	0	103,126
2011	107,088	0	0	107,088	107,088	0	107,088
2010	109,267	0	0	109,267	109,267	0	109,267
2009	133,253	0	0	133,253	133,253	0	133,253
2008	147,108	0	0	147,108	147,108	0	147,108
2007	168,360	0	0	168,360	168,360	0	168,360
2006	162,370	0	0	162,370	162,370	0	162,370
2005	0	146,279	1	146,280	146,280	0	146,280
2004	0	98,531	1	98,532	98,532	0	98,532
2003	0	85,559	1	85,560	85,560	0	85,560
2002	0	85,559	1	85,560	85,560	0	85,560
2001	0	74,520	1	74,521	74,521	0	74,521
2000	0	74,520	24	74,521	74,521	0	74,521
1999	0	74,520	1	74,521	74,521	0	74,521
1998	74,520	0	1	74,521	74,521	0	74,521
1997	74,520	0	3	74,521	74,521	0	74,521
1996	74,520	0	4	74,521	74,521	0	74,521
1995	74,520	0	1	74,521	74,521	0	74,521
1994	74,520	0	1	74,521	74,521	0	74,521
1993	74,520	0	1	74,521	74,521	0	74,521
1992	74,520	0	1	74,521	74,521	0	74,521
1991	74,520	0	1	74,521	74,521	0	74,521
1990	85,199	0	1	85,200	85,200	0	85,200

#### **Parcel Sales History**

NOTE: Sales do not generally show up in our computer system until about two to three months after the date of sale. If a recent sale does not show up in this list, please allow more time for the sale record to be processed. Thank you for your patience and understanding.

Sale Date	Official Records Book/Page	Price	Instrument	Qualification
9/19/2014	2704 / 2354	100	WD	11
12/31/2011	2562 / 1393	100	QC	<u>11</u>
6/16/1999	1584 / 0017	1	WD	M
8/1/1997	1473 / 2172	214,000	WD	M
2/1/1990	1122 / 2144	94,900	WD	Q



Key West (305) 292-3420 Marathon (305) 289-2550 Plantation Key (305) 852-7130

## **Property Record Card -**Maps are now launching the new map application version.

Alternate Key: 8775661 Parcel ID: 00010000-000105

#### **Ownership Details**


Mailing Address: BROWNING FAMILY IRREVOCABLE TRUST 1/12/2010 402 APPLEROUTH LN KEY WEST, FL 33040-6535 All Owners: BROWNING FAMILY IRREVOCABLE TRUST 1/12/2010, SIRECI THOMAS J JR T/C

I

#### **Property Details**

PC Code: 19 - PROFESSIONAL SERVICES BLDGS Millage Group: 10KW Affordable Housing: No Section-Township-Range: Property Location: Legal UNIT 3 SUITES A AND B JACKSON SQUARE PROFESSI Description: OR1204-151C/T OR1205-959/60 OR1243-1647/48C OR14

Legal UNIT 3 SUITES A AND B JACKSON SQUARE PROFESSIONAL CENTER A CONDO OR1102-1722 OR1110-56 Description: OR1204-151C/T OR1205-959/60 OR1243-1647/48C OR1437-1596/97 OR1531-26/28 OR1584-17/18 OR1752-360/61 OR1752-362/63 OR2562-1393/95 OR2704-2354/57



#### **Condominium Details**

Condo Name: JACKSON SQUARE PROFESSIONAL Footage: 1519 Year Built: 1988

#### **Appraiser Notes**

OWNERSHIP AS OF1/23/02: 1/2 INT MICHAEL L BROWNING TRUSTEE (MICHAEL L BROWNING REV TRUST DTD 6/26/91) 1/2 INT THOMAS J SIRECI JR LG

## **Building Permits**

Bld	g Number	Date Issued	Date Completed	Amount	Description	Notes	Attachment
	09-3569	10/29/2009	12/31/2009	200		INSTALL ELECTRIC FOR 2 FRACTIONAL HP MOTOR EXHAUST. LIGHTHOUSE ELECTRIC. 305-29	
1	07-3979	08/15/2007	08/15/2007	225	Commercial	INSTALL DOUBLE FACED HANGING SI	GN

## **Parcel Value History**

Certified Roll Values.

#### View Taxes for this Parcel.

Roll Year	Total Bidg Value	Total Misc Improvement Value	Total Land Value	Total Just (Market) Value	Total Assessed Value	School Exempt Value	School Taxab <b>le</b> Value
2014	240,555	0	0	240,555	240,555	0	240,555
2013	226,939	0	0	226,939	226,939	0	226,939
2012	255,404	0	0	255,404	255,404	0	255,404
2011	265,217	0	0	265,217	265,217	0	265,217
2010	270,615	0	0	270,615	270,615	0	270,615
2009	330,018	0	0	330,018	330,018	0	330,018
2008	364,332	0	0	364,332	364,332	0	364,332
2007	402,535	0	0	402,535	402,535	0	402,535
2006	387,345	0	0	387,345	387,345	0	387,345
2005	0	349,369	1	349,370	349,370	0	349,370
2004	0	238,862	1	238,863	238,863	0	238,863
2003	0	220,254	1	220,255	220,255	0	220,255
2002	0	220,254	1	220,255	220,255	0	220,255
2001	0	197,470	1	197,471	197,471	0	197,471
2000	0	197,470	1	197,471	197,471	0	197,471
1999	0	197,470	1	197,471	197,471	0	197,471
1998	197,470	0	1	197,471	197,471	0	197,471
1997	197,470	0	1	197,471	197,471	0	197,471
1996	197,470	0	1	197,471	197,471	0	197,471
1995	197,470	0	1	197,471	197,471	0	197,471
1994	197,470	0	1	197,471	197,471	0	197,471
1993	197,470	0	1	197,471	197,471	0	197,471
1992	279,110	0	1	279,111	279,111	0	279,111
1991	279,110	0	1	279,111	279,111	0	279,111
1990	205,023	0	1	205,024	205,024	0	205,024

#### **Parcel Sales History**

NOTE: Sales do not generally show up in our computer system until about two to three months after the date of sale. If a recent sale does not show up in this list, please allow more time for the sale record to be processed. Thank you for your patience and understanding.

Only Date	Official Becords Book/Domo			
Sale Date	Official Records Book/Page	Price	Instrument	Qualification
			HIGH GITTOTTE	ataonnoaton
 		···· ··.		

9/19/2014	2704 / 2354	100	WD	Attachment E
12/31/2011	2562 / 1393	100	QC	11
6/16/1999	1584 / 0017	60,800	WD	M
1/1/1997	1437 / 1596	600,000	WD	M
3/1/1992	1204 / 151	67,000	СТ	<u>U</u>
3/1/1992	1205 / 959	69,800	WD	U

This page has been visited 62,272 times.

Monroe County Property Appraiser Scott P. Russell, CFA P.O. Box 1176 Key West, FL 33041-1176



Key West (305) 292-3420 Marathon (305) 289-2550 Plantation Key (305) 852-7130

Property Record Card -Maps are now launching the new map application version.


Alternate Key: 8822308 Parcel ID: 00010000-000106

#### **Ownership Details**

Mailing Address: BROWNING FAMILY IRREVOCABLE TRUST 1/12/2010 402 APPLEROUTH LN KEY WEST, FL 33040-6535 All Owners: BROWNING FAMILY IRREVOCABLE TRUST 1/12/2010, SIRECI THOMAS J JR T/C

## **Property Details**

PC Code:19 - PROFESSIONAL SERVICES BLDGSMillage Group:10KWAffordable<br/>Housing:NoSection:06-68-25Property<br/>Location:402 APPELROUTH LN UNIT: A KEY WESTLegal<br/>Description:UNIT 2 SUITE A JACKSON SQUARE PROFESSIONAL CENTER A CONDO OR1072-1588/89 OR1122-2151/52<br/>OR1202-1625/32 OR1437-1596/97 OR1531-26/28 OR1584-17/18 OR1752-360/361 OR1752-362/363 OR2562-1393/95<br/>OR2704-2354/57



#### **Condominium Details**

Condo Name: JACKSON SQUARE PROFESSIONAL Footage: 420 Year Built: 1988

#### **Appraiser Notes**

OWNERSHIP AS OF1/23/02: 1/2 INT MICHAEL L BROWNING TRUSTEE (MICHAEL L BROWNING REV TRUST DTD 6/26/91) 1/2 INT THOMAS J SIRECI JR LG

## **Parcel Value History**

Certified Roll Values.

#### View Taxes for this Parcel.

#### Attachment B

Roli Year	Total Bidg Value	Total Misc Improvement Value	Total Land Value	Total Just (Market) Value	Total Assessed Value	School Exempt Value	School Taxable Value
2014	73,903	0	0	73,903	73,903	0	73,903
2013	69,720	0	0	69,720	69,720	0	69,720
2012	78,465	0	0	78,465	78,465	0	78,465
2011	81,480	0	0	81,480	81,480	0	81,480
2010	83,138	0	0	83,138	83,138	0	83,138
2009	101,388	0	0	101,388	101,388	0	101,388
2008	111,930	0	0	111,930	111,930	0	111,930
2007	128,100	0	0	128,100	128,100	0	128,100
2006	123,900	0	0	123,900	123,900	0	123,900
2005	0	111,299	1	111,300	111,300	0	111,300
2004	0	74,969	1	74,970	74,970	0	74,970
2003	0	65,099	1	65,100	65,100	0	65,100
2002	0	65,099	1	65,100	65,100	0	65,100
2001	0	56,700	1	56,701	56,701	0	56,701
2000	0	56,700	4	56,701	56,701	0	56,701
1999	0	56,700	3	56,701	56,701	0	56,701
1998	56,700	0	1	56,701	56,701	0	56,701
1997	56,700	0	1	56,701	56,701	0	56,701
1996	56,700	0	1	56,701	56,701	0	56,701
1995	56,700	0	1	56,701	56,701	0	56,701
1994	56,700	0	i	56,701	56,701	0	56,701
1993	56,700	0	1	56,701	56,701	0	56,701

#### **Parcel Sales History**

NOTE: Sales do not generally show up in our computer system until about two to three months after the date of sale. If a recent sale does not show up in this list, please allow more time for the sale record to be processed. Thank you for your patience and understanding.

Sale Date	Official Records Book/Page	Price	Instrument	Qualification
9/19/2014	2704 / 2354	100	WD	<u>11</u>
12/31/2011	2562 / 1393	100	QC	<u>11</u>
6/16/1999	1584 / 0017	1	WD	M
1/1/1997	1437 / 1596	1	WD	М

This page has been visited 62,277 times.

Monroe County Property Appraiser Scott P. Russell, CFA P.O. Box 1176 Key West, FL 33041-1176



Key West (305) 292-3420 Marathon (305) 289-2550 Plantation Key (305) 852-7130

# **Property Record Card -**Maps are now launching the new map application version.


Alternate Key: 1010294 Parcel ID: 00010010-000000


#### **Ownership Details**

Mailing Address: SAVAGE KW PROPERTIES INC 2700 BAYSHORE BLVD APT 511 DUNEDIN, FL 34698-1626

#### **Property Details**

PC Code: 11 - STORES ONE STORY Millage Group: 10KW Affordable Housing: No Section-Township-Range: 06-68-25 Property Location: 533 WHITEHEAD ST KEY WEST Legal Description: KW PT LOT 4 SQR 51 OR290-361/62 OR557-938 OR1259-203/18F/J OR1504-887/88 OR2034-1758/59





#### Sections:

Nbr	Туре	Ext Wall	# Stories	Year Built	Attic A/C	Basement %	Finished Basement %	Area
1	<u>FLA</u>		1	<b>199</b> 1	Y			2,730
2	<u>OPF</u>		1	1991				360

Interior Finish:

Section Nbr	Interior Finish Nbr	Туре	Area %	Sprinkler	A/C
	2193	1 STY STORE-B	100	N	Y

#### Exterior Wall:

Interior Finish Nbr	Туре	Area %
561	C.B.S.	50
562	BRICK	50

## **Misc Improvement Details**

Nbr	Туре	# Units	Length	Width	Year Built	Roll Year	Grade	Life
1	AP2:ASPHALT PAVING	3,864 SF	69	56	1975	1976	2	25
2	CL2:CH LINK FENCE	345 SF	69	5	1975	1976	2	30
3	CL2:CH LINK FENCE	1,320 SF	220	6	1975	1976	1	30
4	AC2:WALL AIR COND	2 UT	0	0	1994	1995	2	20
5	PT3:PATIO	300 SF	0	0	1962	1963	2	50

# **Appraiser Notes**

2003-09-12-M-SALE 1996 WITH AK1010324 523 WHITEHEAD ST & 401 SOUTHARD ST

## **Building Permits**

Bidg	Number	Date Issued	Date Completed	Amount	Description	Notes
	12-3696	10/09/2012		1,000	Commercial	INSTALL ELECTRIC FOR 1 A/C UNIT & 1 AIR HANDLER FOR DUCTLESS A/C. INSTALL OUTLET @ A/C & NEW OUTLET FOR PRESS.
1	12-3571	10/01/2012		1,950	Commercial	REMOVE AND REPLACE EXISTING WINDOW UNITS. INSTALL ONE NEW DUCTLES A/C SYSTEM.
	99-3141	10/13/1999	12/04/1999	350	Commercial	SIGN
	00-4007	08/17/2001	11/13/2001	2,500	Commercial	RENOVATIONS
	06-3056	02/12/2003	10/30/2003	2,000	Commercial	REPLACE SEWER LATERAL
	06-3056	05/22/2006	09/28/2006	2,200	Commercial	ATF - MINOR ROOF REPAIR, DEMO DRYWALL CEILING & ACOUSTICAL PANELS.

### **Parcel Value History**

Certified Roll Values.

#### View Taxes for this Parcel.

Roll Year	Total Bidg Value	Total Misc Improvement Value	Total Land Value	Total Just (Market) Value	Total Assessed Value	School Exempt Value	School Taxable Value
2014	250,751	5,651	970,237	1,226,639	1,226,639	0	1,226,639
2013	265,080	5,651	929,550	1,200,281	1,200,281	0	1,200,281
2012	265,080	5,651	929,550	1,200,281	1,200,281	0	1,200,281
2011	275,827	5,651	1,032,833	1,314,311	1,213,440	0	1,314,311
2010	275,827	5,651	821,650	1,103,128	1,103,128	0	1,103,128
2009	275,827	5,651	850,491	2,056,872	2,056,872	0	2,056,872
2008	275,827	5,651	1,010,881	2,056,872	2,056,872	0	2,056,872
2007	191,846	5,541	957,483	2,056,872	2,056,872	0	2,056,872
2006	206,057	5,591	693,200	1,515,466	1,515,466	0	1,515,466
2005	206,057	5,641	606,550	860,000	860,000	0	860,000
2004	208,377	5,691	535,405	749,473	749,473	0	749,473
2003	208,377	5,741	313,006	527,124	527,124	0	527,124
2002	208,377	5,791	247,110	461,278	461,278	0	461,278
2001	186,733	4,881	247,110	438,724	438,724	0	438,724
2000	186,733	3,108	222,399	412,240	412,240	0	412,240
1999	173,611	2,358	222,399	398,368	398,368	0	398,368
1998	116,011	2,358	222,399	340,768	340,768	0	340,768
1997	116,011	2,358	205,925	324,294	324,294	0	324,294
1996	96,847	2,358	205,925	305,130	305,130	0	305,130
1995	96,847	2,358	205,925	305,130	305,130	0	305,130
1994	96,847	2,358	205,925	305,130	305,130	0	305,130
1993	96,798	2,407	205,925	305,130	305,130	0	305,130

1992	96.733	2,472	205,925	305,130	305,130	0	Attachment
1991	96,120	3,085	205,925	305,130	305,130	0	305,130
1990	137,099	3,085	164,946	305,130	305,130	0	305,130
1989	138,952	3,085	163,093	305,130	305,130	0	305,130
1988	74,679	3,085	148,266	226,030	226,030	0	226,030
1987	73,238	3,085	106,146	182,469	182,469	0	182,469
1986	73,539	3,085	103,980	180,604	180,604	0	180,604
1985	71,867	3,085	78,363	153,315	153,315	0	153,315
1984	70,747	3,085	78,363	152,195	152,195	0	152,195
1983	70,747	3,085	39,536	113,368	113,368	0	113,368
1982	62,091	3,085	39,536	104,712	104,712	0	104,712

#### **Parcel Sales History**

NOTE: Sales do not generally show up in our computer system until about two to three months after the date of sale. If a recent sale does not show up in this list, please allow more time for the sale record to be processed. Thank you for your patience and understanding.

Sale Date	Official Records Book/Page	Price	Instrument	Qualification
7/20/2004	2034 / 1758	1,000,000	WD	Q
2/1/1996	1504 / 0087	1,031,900	WD	M
2/1/1974	557 / 938	50,000	00	Q

This page has been visited 62,299 times.

Monroe County Property Appraiser Scott P. Russell, CFA P.O. Box 1176 Key West, FL 33041-1176



Key West (305) 292-3420 Marathon (305) 289-2550 Plantation Key (305) 852-7130

The Offices of the Property Appraiser will be 12th for Columbus Day. Website tested on IE8, IE9, & Closed Monday Itreex. Requires Adobe Flash 10.3 or higher

## Property Record Card -Maps are now launching the new map application version.

Alternate Key: 1010308 Parcel ID: 00010020-000000

#### **Ownership Details**

Mailing Address: WHITEHEAD TRUST LLC 307 NE 1ST ST MIAMI, FL 33132-2505

#### **Property Details**

 PC Code: 39 - HOTELS,MOTELS

 Millage Group:
 10KW

 Affordable Housing:
 No

 Section-Township 06-68-25


 Range:
 Property Location:

 409 APPELROUTH LN KEY WEST

 Legal
 KW PT LOT 4 SQR 51 QQ-359 G11-40 G25-123/32 COUNTY JUDGES PROB PROG DOCKET 9-82 OR711-177/79

 Description:
 OR747-565 OR795-289/90 OR805-2249 OR868-226 OR1006-1745 OR1113-220/21ORD OR1113-901 OR1113-1395/97P/R OR1144-755/57F/J OR1471-2357/58 OR2641-1678/82

Building 1 Details				
Building Type		Condition G	Quality Grade 400	
Effective Age 17		Perimeter 386	Depreclation % 23	
Year Built 1977		Special Arch 0	Grnd Floor Area 2,889	)
Functional Obs 0		Economic Obs 0		
Inclusions:				
Roof Type		Roof Cover	Foundation	
Heat 1		Heat 2	Bedrooms 0	
Heat Src 1		Heat Src 2		
Extra Features:				
2 Fix Bath	0		Vacuum	0
3 Fix Bath	0		Garbage Disposal	0
4 Fix Bath	0		Compactor	0
5 Fix Bath	0		Security	0
6 Fix Bath	0		Intercom	0
7 Fix Bath	0		Fireplaces	0
Extra Fix	23		Dishwasher	0




#### Sections:

Nbr	Туре	Ext Wall	# Stories	Year Built	Attic	A/C	Basement %	Finished Basement %	Area
1	SBF		1	1990					90
2	FLA		1	1990					1,215
3	OPF		1	1990					186
4	OPX		1	1990					186
5	FLA		13	1990					992
6	OUF		1	1990					310
7	FLA		1	1990					682
8	OUU		1	1990					155

**Building 2 Details** 

## Attachment B

	Quality Grade 400	Condition G		Building Type
	Depreciation % 27	Perimeter 264		Effective Age 21
3	Grnd Floor Area 2,178	Special Arch 0		Year Built 1924
		Economic Obs 0		Functional Obs 0
				nclusions:
	Foundation	Roof Cover		Roof Type
	Bedrooms 0	Heat 2		Heat 1
		Heat Src 2		Heat Src 1
				Extra Features:
(	Vacuum		0	2 Fix Bath
(	Garbage Disposal		0	3 Fix Bath
I	Compactor		0	4 Fix Bath
I	Security		0	5 Fix Bath
I	Intercom		0	6 Fix Bath
1	Fireplaces		0	7 Flx Bath
(	Dishwasher		12	Extra Fix



#### Sections:

Nbr	Туре	Ext Wall	# Storles	Year Built	Attic	A/C	Basement %	Finished Basement %	Area
1	OPU		1	1990					- 16
2	OPX		1	1990					264
3	FLA		1	1990				·····	1,089
4	OPU		1	1990					96
5	OPF		1	1990					231
6	OPU		1	1990					192
7	<u>ouu</u>		1	1990					64
8	OPX		1	1990					231

-

9 <u>FLA</u>	1	1990	1,089	
10 <u>OPX</u>	1	1990	264	


Interior Finish:

	Section Nbr	Interior Finish Nbr	Туре	Area %	Sprinkler	A/C
l		2204	HOTEL/MOTEL B	100	N	Ν
ſ		2210	HOTEL/MOTEL B	100	N	Ν

**Exterior Wall:** 

Interior Finlsh Nbr	Туре	Area %
564	CUSTOM	100

<b>Building 3 Details</b>				
Building Type		Condition G	Quality Grade 400	
Effective Age 17		Perimeter 296	Depreciation % 23	
Year Built 1993		Special Arch 0	Grnd Floor Area 1,824	
Functional Obs 0		Economic Obs 0		
Inclusions:				
Roof Type		Roof Cover	Foundation	
Heat 1		Heat 2	Bedrooms 0	
Heat Src 1		Heat Src 2		
Extra Features:				
2 Flx Bath	0		Vacuum	0
3 Fix Bath	0		Garbage Disposal	0
4 Fix Bath	0		Compactor	0
5 Fix Bath	0		Security	0
6 Fix Bath	0		Intercom	0
7 Fix Bath	0		Fireplaces	0
Extra Fix	15		Dishwasher	0



#### Sections:

Nbr	Туре	Ext Wall	# Stories	Year Built	Attic A/	C Basement %	Finished Basement %	Area
1	FLA		1	1994				828
2	<u>OPF</u>		1	1994				45
3	<u>SBF</u>		1	1994				240
4	<u>OPF</u>		1	1994				921
5	<u>GBF</u>		1	1994			·········	504
6	OPU		1	1994				312
7	<u>OPF</u>		1	1994				144
8	OPU		1	1994				104
9	<u>FLA</u>		1	1994				996
10	OUF		1	1994				76
11	<u>OUF</u>		1	1994		•		96
12	OUF		1	1994				102
13	<u>ouu</u>		t	1994				273
14	<u>SBU</u>		1	1994			· · ·····	312

#### Interior Finish:

Section Nbr	Interior Finish Nbr	Туре	Area %	Sprinkler	A/C
	2212	HOTELS/MOTEL A	100	N	Y
	2214	SBF	100	N	N
	2216	GBF	100	N	N
·	2220	HOTELS/MOTEL A	100	N	Y
	2225	SBU	100	N	N

Exterior Wall:

Interior Finish Nbr	Туре	Area %
565	AB AVE WOOD SIDING	100

# **Misc Improvement Details**

Nbr	Туре	# Units	Length	Width	Year Built	Roll Year	Grade	Life
0	HT2:HOT TUB	1 UT	0	0	2006	2013	3	50
1	PO6:COMM POOL	377 SF	0	0	1985	1986	4	50
2	WD2:WOOD DECK	742 SF	0	0	2002	2003	2	40
3	WD2:WOOD DECK	98 SF	14	7	1997	1998	3	40
4	PT4:PATIO	300 SF	0	0	1977	1978	2	50
5	AC2:WALL AIR COND	8 UT	0	0	1981	1982	1	20
6	FN2:FENCES	1,014 SF	169	6	1977	1978	2	30
7	FN2:FENCES	728 SF	91	8	1989	1990	5	30
8	FN2:FENCES	570 SF	95	6	1992	1993	2	30
9	FN2:FENCES	120 SF	20	6	1992	1993	5	30
10	PT3:PATIO	54 SF	9	6	1992	1993	2	50
11	WD2:WOOD DECK	32 SF	16	2	1997	1998	1	40
12	AC2:WALL AIR COND	2 UT	0	0	1992	1993	2	20
13	PT3:PATIO	631 SF	0	0	1993	1994	2	50
14	WF2:WATER FEATURE	1 UT	0	0	1997	1998	1	20

# **Appraiser Notes**

2002-12-20-(041) - 2 TRANSIENT ROOMS = 413 APPLEROUTH LANE, 6 TRANSIENT ROOMS = 409 APPLEROUTH LANE.

## **Building Permits**

Bldg	Number	Date Issued	Date Completed	Amount	Description	Notes
1	05-3277	08/03/2005		1,200	Commercial	REPLACE RISER & METER CAN DAMAGED BY HURRICANE DENNIS
1	06-2274	04/26/2006		30,000	Commercial	INSTALL 8'x12' SPA
1	09-1257	05/04/2009		1,300	Commercial	PATCH STUCCO ON THREE SIDE OF MASORNY ON BUILDING WHERE CHIPPING
1	12-2398	07/11/2012	12/31/2012	1,900	Commercial	REMOVE EXISTING 100 AMP DISCONNECT AND INSTALL 100 AMP SUB-PANEL IN ITS PLACE. INSTALL TWO IGNITOR CIRCUITS FOR GAS WATER HEATERS.
1	12-2399	07/11/2012	12/31/2012	1,475	Commercial	SET TWO WATER HEATERS AND TAP INTO EXISTING GAS LINE AND RUN GAS LINE TO TWO WATER HEATER'S (PLUMBER TO CONNECT WATER AND ELECTRICIAN TO RUN POWER)
1	12-2400	07/11/2012	12/31/2012	1,500	Commercial	INSTALL TWO LP TANKLESS WATER HEATER'S
1	12-3705	10/10/2012	12/31/2012	2,256	Commercial	INSTALL CO DETECTORS IN 2 GUEST ROOMS, 1 STORE ROOM, 1 LAUNDRY ROOM. INSTALL NEW FIRE ALARM POWER SUPPLY. REPAIRS AFTER FIRE.
1	12-3334	10/18/2012	12/31/2012	4,780	Commercial	INSTALL 100 AMP 3.0 PANEL IN PLACE OF EXISTING 2 PANELS.

		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				NOTAL A ALLOUTO MATURIZZAL MOTAL CONAttachma
1	12-3334	09/21/2012	12/31/2012	4,180	Commercial	INSTALL 3 - 4' LIGHTS WITH SWITCH INSTALL CON <b>OLITARINE</b> /DEVICES FOR LAUNDRY EQUIPMENT. INSTALL CONV. RECPS. AS SHOWN ON PLANS.
1	12-3333	09/21/2012	12/31/2012	15,000	Commercial	RENOVATE 300 SF. LAUNDRY ROOM TO INCLUDE REMOVAL OF PARTITION WALL, INSULATION, DRYWALL & SHELVING PER PLAN. ELECTRICAL, PLUMBLING & MECHANICAL BY OTHERS.
1	13-1273	04/05/2013		100	Commercial	EXTEND PERMIT #06-2826 FOR FINAL INSPECTION. REPLACE EXISTING DECKING BOARDS 1125 SF WITH STATE APPROVED TRECK DECK
1	13-1272	04/05/2013		100	Commercial	EXTEND PERMIT #05-5977 FOR FINAL INSPECTION. REPLACE TWO (2) GUTTERS & DOWNSPOUTS. WINDOW AND SIDING REPAIR. PAINT REPAIRS. INTERIOR DRYWALL & PAINT. WINDOW REPAIR.
1	13-1267	04/04/2013		100	Commercial	EXTEND PERMIT #01-2791 FOR FINAL INSPECTION ONLY. **AFTER THE FACT PERMIT** RE-ROUTE 13 FT OF SAN. LINE.
1	13-1274	04/05/2013		100	Commercial	EXTEND PERMIT #01-2306 FOR FINAL INSPECTION. REPLACE/REPAIR TWO EXISTING GABLES. REPLACE DOUBLE HUNG (2) WINDOWS WITH NEW ON S. FACADE. CONSTRUCT A 36" WOOD DECK. **INTERIOR MODIFICATIONS** LOWER FLOOR WITH WOOD. INSTALL 2 SETS OF FRENCH DOORS.
1	13-1403	04/10/2013		100	Commercial	EXTEND PERMIT #06-362 FOR FINAL INSPECTION. INSTALL ELECTRICAL FOR COMMERCIAL POOL: HEATER, BONDING, SUB-PANEL & THREE (3) MOTORS
1	13-1401	04/10/2013	_	100	Commercial	EXTEND PERMIT #98-1782 FOR FINAL INSPECTION. OUTLETS/SWITCHES, LIGHTS, WINDOW AC'S
1	13-1402	04/12/2013		100	Commercial	EXTEND PERMIT #01-2306 FOR FINAL INSPECTION. INSTALL NEW RANGE OVER JD OLD TOWN KW 08-09-01. REQUESTED REFUND 08/21/01 PERMIT UPGRADE TO SHOW 200 AMP SERVICE OLD TOWN ELECTRIC.
1	13-1657	04/25/2013		100	Commercial	EXTEND PERMIT #06-2274 FOR FINAL INSPECTION. 8' X 12' SPA.
1	13-2246	05/21/2013		100	Commercial	EXTEND PERMIT #03-4248 FOR FINAL INSPECTION. REPLACE 5-TON AIR HANDLER AND CONDENSER.
1	13-2247	05/21/2013		100	Commercial	EXTEND PERMIT #07-893 FOR FINAL INSPECTION. DUCTWORK FOR BATHROOM EXHAUST FAN. (ADA BATHROOM)
	13-4852	11/18/2013		1,500	Commercial	INSTALL APPROX 16LF OF 6' H SOLID FENCE AROUND RELOCATED GAS TANKS.
	09-3029	09/09/2009		400	Commercial	INSTALL 2 BATHROOM EXHAUST FANS WITH DUCTING
	09-1926	06/26/2009		15,000	Commercial	BUILD TWO BATHROOMS PARTITIONS 48SF, INCLUDING FRAMING, DRYWALL , TILE AND TRIM
	08-1321	04/28/2008		15,000	Commercial	REMOVE AND REPLACE APPROXIMATELY 45LF OF ROTTED FACIA BOARDS FROM 2ND STORY PORCH.
	05-5977	12/29/2005		29,500	Commercial	HURRICANE REPAIRS: GUTTERS AND DOWNSPOUTS, WINDOWS AND SIDING REPAIR.
	06-2653	05/01/2006		1,500	Commercial	REMOVE FENCE SECTION AND WOOD DECKING FOR COMMERICAL SPA INSTALLATION (DEMO).
	06-3627	06/16/2006		2,000	Commercial	INSTALL ELECTRICAL FOR COMMERCIAL POOL, HEATER AND BONDING.
	06-2826	05/05/2006		4,500	Commercial	REPLACE EXISTING DECK BOARDS 1125SF WITH TRECK DECKING.
1	B9424	01/01/1994	02/01/1994	3,700	Commercial	CONCRETE PARKING
1	B94296	01/01/1994	02/01/1994	5,300	Commercial	INTERIOR FINISHING
1	A950900	03/01/1995	10/01/1995	1,950	Commercial	PAINT ROOFS
1	B950598	02/01/1995	10/01/1995	200	Commercial	PICKET FENCE
1	9801962	06/22/1998	12/31/1998	1,000	Commercial	ELECTRICAL
			12/31/1998	1,500		ELECTRICAL

1	9802660	09/01/1998	12/31/1998	20,000	Commercial	RESURFACE POOL/REPL DECKS Attachm	ent B
1	9802727	09/03/1998	12/31/1998	600	Commercial	SUBFEED/POOL BONDING	
1	9803830	12/03/1998	12/31/1998	350	Commercial	ELECTRICAL/GARDEN LITES	
1	0002604	08/31/2000	10/16/2000	15,000	Commercial	1764 SF METAL SHINGLES	ון
1	0102306	07/09/2001	08/24/2001	47,000	Commercial	RENOVATION/REMODEL INTERI	
1	0102711	10/25/2001	10/25/2001	11,000	Commercial	AFT FACT-INSTALL HOOD	
1	0102712	10/25/2001	10/25/2001	1,420	Commercial	AFT FACT-INSTALL FIRE SUP	1
1	0102816	08/09/2001	10/24/2001	3,000	Commercial	REWIRE BUILDING	1
1	03-4248	12/18/2003	06/22/2004	4,500	Commercial	REPLACE 5-TON A/C	1
1	04-2902	09/02/2004	12/02/2004	8,000	Commercial	REPLACE POOL DECK	1

# **Parcel Value History**

Certified Roll Values.

#### View Taxes for this Parcel.

Roll Year	Total Bidg Value	Total Misc Improvement Value	Total Land Value	Total Just (Market) Value	Total Assessed Value	School Exempt Value	School Taxable Value
2015	1,012,971	47,234	1,187,576	4,189,952	3,682,577	0	4,189,952
2014	1,057,291	44,273	1,150,464	3,347,798	3,347,798	0	3,347,798
2013	1,057,291	45,522	1,090,613	3,008,568	2,136,857	0	3,008,568
2012	1,075,640	39,294	1,090,613	1,935,878	1,935,878	0	1,935,878
2011	1,103,162	40,377	1,211,792	1,870,414	1,870,414	0	1,870,414
2010	1,115,761	41,636	951,734	1,870,414	1,870,414	0	1,870,414
2009	1,134,109	43,024	1,140,179	1,870,414	1,870,414	0	1,870,414
2008	1,142,508	44,457	1,210,751	2,128,085	2,128,085	0	2,128,085
2007	985,197	40,566	1,146,795	2,175,336	2,175,336	0	2,175,336
2006	985,197	42,183	1,058,580	2,114,763	2,114,763	0	2,114,763
2005	1,007,470	43,612	882,150	3,161,062	3,161,062	0	3,161,062
2004	965,998	43,919	823,340	2,925,413	2,925,413	0	2,925,413
2003	965,998	45,601	352,860	2,583,000	2,583,000	0	2,583,000
2002	965,998	47,065	352,860	2,460,000	2,460,000	0	2,460,000
2001	965,998	45,667	352,860	2,460,000	2,460,000	0	2,460,000
2000	1,030,714	25,606	317,574	2,460,000	2,460,000	0	2,460,000
1999	983,084	26,339	317,574	1,785,000	1,785,000	0	1,785,000
1998	655,390	22,359	317,574	1,785,000	1,785,000	0	1,785,000
1997	655,390	23,120	294,050	1,155,287	1,155,287	0	1,155,287
1996	595,809	23,820	294,050	1,078,479	1,078,479	0	1,078,479
1995	595,809	24,593	294,050	1,096,224	1,096,224	0	1,096,224
1994	538,285	23,793	294,050	945,845	945,845	0	945,845
1993	393,496	23,864	294,050	811,573	811,573	0	811,573
1992	393,496	24,695	294,050	548,624	548,624	0	548,624
1991	393,496	25,613	294,050	548,624	548,624	0	548,624
1990	277,468	20,490	238,181	548,624	548,624	0	548,624

1989	210,915	21,091	91,000	438,146	438,146	0	Attachment
1988	108,481	10,565	72,800	407,424	407,424	0	407,424
1987	107,163	10,565	39,321	392,669	392,669	0	392,669
1986	109,980	10,565	41,760	346,366	346,366	0	346,366
1985	106,505	10,565	42,000	325,389	325,389	0	325,389
1984	99,255	10,565	42,000	151,820	151,820	0	151,820
1983	99,255	10,565	20,450	130,270	130,270	0	130,270
1982	101,303	10,565	20,450	132,318	132,318	0	132,318

## **Parcel Sales History**

NOTE: Sales do not generally show up in our computer system until about two to three months after the date of sale. If a recent sale does not show up in this list, please allow more time for the sale record to be processed. Thank you for your patience and understanding.

Sale Date	Official Records Book/Page	Price	Instrument	Qualification
7/19/2013	2641 / 1678	5,200,000	WD	05
8/1/1997	1471 / 2357	2,460,000	WD	Q
11/1/1989	1113 / 1395	485,000	WD	M
12/1/1982	868 / 226	315,000	WD	U
12/1/1977	805 / 2249	40,000	00	Q

This page has been visited 377,238 times.

Monroe County Property Appraiser Scott P. Russell, CFA P.O. Box 1176 Key West, FL 33041-1176



Key West (305) 292-3420 Marathon (305) 289-2550 Plantation Key (305) 852-7130

1

# **Property Record Card -**Maps are now launching the new map application version.

Alternate Key: 1010324 Parcel ID: 00010040-000000

### **Ownership Details**

Mailing Address: JOHNSON TAPLEY O III 425 CAROLINE ST KEY WEST, FL 33040-6502

## **Property Details**

 PC Code:
 12 - STORE/OFF/RES OR COMBINATION

 Millage Group:
 10KW


 Affordable Housing:
 No


 Section-Township Range:
 06-68-25

 Property Location:
 417 SOUTHARD ST KEY WEST

 Legal Description:
 KW PT LTS 1 AND 4 SQR 51 G39-230/31 OR292-407/08 OR343-377/78 OR819-2299L/E OR914-905 OR1259-203/18F/J OR1504-887/88 OR2569-846/48

#### Click Map Image to open interactive viewer





### Land Details


Land Use Code	Frontage	Depth	Land Area
100D - COMMERCIAL DRY	98	50	4,887.50 SF

## **Building Summary**

Number of Buildings: 1 Number of Commercial Buildings: 1 Total Living Area: 6459 Year Built: 1928

# **Building 1 Details**

Building Type		Condition E	Quality Grade 500		
Effective Age 15		Perimeter 504	Depreciation % 19 Grnd Floor Area 6,459		
Year Built 1928		Special Arch 0			
Functional Obs 0		Economic Obs 0			
Inclusions:					
Roof Type		Roof Cover	Foundation		
Heat 1		Heat 2	Bedrooms 0		
Heat Src 1		Heat Src 2			
Extra Features:					
2 Fix Bath	0		Vacuum	0	
3 Fix Bath	4		Garbage Disposal	0	
4 Fix Bath	0		Compactor	0	
5 Fix Bath	0		Security	0	
6 Fix Bath	0		Intercom	0	
7 Fix Bath	0		Fireplaces	0	
Extra Fix	9		Dishwasher	0	



#### Sections:

Nbr	Туре	Ext Wall	# Stories	Year Built	Attic A/C	Basement %	Finished Basement %	Area
1	FLA		1	1927				4,539
2	<u>CPF</u>		1	1927				975
3	FHS		1	1927				513
4	<u>FLA</u>		1	1947				1,920
5			1	1999		<u>-</u>		120

#### Interior Finish:

Section Nbr	Interior Finish Nbr	Nbr Type Area % Sprinkle			
	2226	1 STY STORE-B	60	Y	Υ
	2227	SERVICE SHOPS-B-	40	Y	Y
	2228	APTS-A	100	N	Y

**Exterior Wall:** 

Į	Interior Finish Nbr	Туре	Агеа %
	566	C.B.S.	100

# **Misc Improvement Details**

Nbr	Туре	# Units	Length	Width	Year Built	Roll Year	Grade	Life
1	CL2:CH LINK FENCE	528 SF	88	6	1964	1965	1	30

# **Appraiser Notes**

2003-09-12-M-SALE 1996 WITH AK1010294,417 SOUTHARD ST& 523 WHITEHEAD ST. 2004-08-15 417 SOUTHARD ST. Attachment B OFFERED FOR \$4,00,000 -SKI

2005-08-29 BEING OFFERED FOR \$3,995,000. OVER 3,500SF COMMERCIAL SPACE DOWNSTAIRS WITH 2 APARMENTS UPSTAIS TOTALLY 6BD/4BA-SKI

## **Building Permits**

Bldg	Number	Date Issued	Date Completed	Amount	Description	Notes
	09-00003794	11/06/2009	02/24/2010	140		SIGN
	E951290	04/01/1995	08/01/1996	950		ELECTRIC
	B952440	07/01/1995	08/01/1996	3,000		RENOVATIONS
	B952906	09/01/1995	08/01/1996	2,000	÷	PAINTING
	9601471	04/01/1996	08/01/1996	5,000		RENOVATIONS
	9802834	09/16/1998	12/31/1999	18,000		RENOVATIONS
	9900257	02/16/1999	12/31/1999	70,000		RENOVATIONS/ADDITION
	9900511	02/25/1999	12/31/1999	700	· · ·	SIGN
	9901618	05/11/1999	12/31/1999	4,500		22 SQS V-CRIMP ROOFING
	9901625	05/12/1999	12/31/1999	4,000		UPGRADE ELECTRICAL
	9902618	07/28/1999	12/31/1999	400		PAINT EXT/REPLACE SIDING

### **Parcel Value History**

#### Certified Roll Values.

#### View Taxes for this Parcel.

Roll Year	Total Bidg Value	Total Misc Improvement Value	Total Land Value	Total Just (Market) Value	Total Assessed Value	School Exempt Value	School Taxable Value
2014	856,131	370	759,381	1,615,882	1,615,882	0	1,615,882
2013	856,131	370	727,536	1,584,037	1,584,037	0	1,584,037
2012	856,131	370	727,536	1,584,037	1,584,037	0	1,584,037
2011	876,275	370	808,373	1,685,018	1,628,878	0	1,685,018
2010	876,275	370	604,154	1,480,799	1,480,799	0	1,480,799
2009	886,347	370	606,315	1,493,032	1,493,032	0	1,493,032
2008	906,492	370	670,809	1,577,671	1,577,671	0	1,577,671
2007	635,151	329	635,375	1,270,855	1,270,855	0	1,270,855
2006	635,151	329	439,875	1,075,355	1,075,355	0	1,075,355
2005	568,414	329	366,563	935,306	935,306	0	935,306
2004	581,323	330	342,125	923,778	923,778	0	923,778
2003	536,188	330	146,625	683,143	683,143	0	683,143
2002	503,657	330	146,625	650,612	650,612	0	650,612
2001	503,657	330	146,625	650,612	650,612	0	650,612
2000	487,000	201	131,963	619,164	619,164	0	619,164
1999	109,799	201	71,226	181,226	181,226	0	181,226
1998	73,371	201	71,226	144,798	144,798	0	144,798
1997	73,371	201	65,950	139,522	139,522	0	139,522

1996	30,917	0	65,950	96,867	96,867	0	Attachment
1995	30,917	0	65,950	96,867	96,867	0	96,867
1994	30,917	0	65,950	96,867	96,867	0	96,867
1993	30,917	0	65,950	96,867	96,867	0	96,867
1992	30,917	0	65,950	96,867	96,867	0	96,867
1991	30,917	0	65,950	96,867	96,867	0	96,867
1990	43,447	0	53,420	96,867	96,867	0	96,867
1989	44,107	0	52,760	96,867	96,867	0	96,867
1988	34,775	0	47,484	82,259	82,259	0	82,259
1987	30,144	0	27,468	57,612	57,612	0	57,612
1986	30,249	0	26,908	57,157	57,157	0	57,157
1985	29,783	0	23,742	53,525	53,525	0	53,525
1984	29,419	0	23,742	53,161	53,161	0	53,161
1983	29,419	0	12,663	42,082	42,082	0	42,082
1982	28,454	0	12,663	41,117	41,117	0	41,117

### **Parcel Sales History**

NOTE: Sales do not generally show up in our computer system until about two to three months after the date of sale. If a recent sale does not show up in this list, please allow more time for the sale record to be processed. Thank you for your patience and understanding.

Sale Date	Official Records Book/Page	Price	Instrument	Qualification
5/8/2012	2569 / 846	2,015,000	WD	37
2/1/1996	1504 / 0887	1	WD	M

This page has been visited 62,170 times.

Monroe County Property Appraiser Scott P. Russell, CFA P.O. Box 1176 Key West, FL 33041-1176

#### **Conditional Use & Variance Approvals Issued to Subject Properties:**

#### 417 Southard Street:

Ord. 065-29: amendment of KW Code Section 32.12 to allow new building Ord. 065-35 & 70-16: authorizing motorcycle repair shop and zero (0) rear yard setback Res. 94-291: allowing rental up to 40 scooters Res. 94-292: waiving additional parking spaces requirement Res. 95-362: variance for from and side yard setbacks from 5' to 0' Res. 2009-020: Cond. Use approval for rental of 5 motorcycles, 15 scooters, 10 bicycles, 2 electric cars

#### 531 Whitehead Street

Res. 2013-42: Cond. Use approval for commercial sales accessory use

#### 517 & 519 Whitehead Street

Variance to setbacks from 5' to 0' Res. 93-294: variance allowing transient guest rooms

Approval	lssue	Consistent w/ HRO	Consistent w/ HRCC-1
Ord. 065-29	Variance (Rear setback Oft)	No	No
Ord. 065-35	Authorizing motorcycle repair shop	No	Yes
Ord. 70-16	Variance (Rear setback Oft)	No	No
Res. 94-291	Special Exception (40 scooter rentals)	No	Yes
Res. 94-292	Variance (Parking O spaces)	No	Yes
Res. 95-362	Variance (Front setback Oft, Side setback Oft, Parking O spaces)	No	Yes
Res. 2009-020	Conditional Use (Scooter/ Motorcycle/ Electric Car Rentals)	No	Yes
Res. 2013-42	Conditional Use (Cultural Retail - required amendment to HRO Zoning)	No	Yes
Res. 93-294	Variance (Transient Use)	No	Yes

#### Approval Consistency with HRO & HRCC-1 Zoning