Board Planning

1	
2	
3	
4	IN RE:
5	CITY OF KEY WEST, FLORIDA
6	PLANNING BOARD HEARING
7	2015-12-17
8	6:00 P.M.
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	TRANSCRIBED EXCERPT OF AUDIOTAPED HEARING
16	01:12:30 - 01:30:50
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24 25	
⊿ 5	

```
1
               (Audiotaped excerpt of hearing as
 2
     follows:)
 3
               MR. HOLLAND: The next item is 10, it's
    the after-the-fact variance, 1324 20th Terrace, and
 4
    we will hear from staff.
6
               MR. PIKE: Sammy?
7
               MR. HOLLAND: Yes, sir.
               MR. PIKE: I want to ask Larry something
 8
    on this.
               MR. HOLLAND: Okay.
10
11
               MR. PIKE: Larry, this -- this -- this
12
    No. 10, on 20th Terrence, that's right next door to
13
    my daughter's house. Does that create a conflict?
               MR. ERSKINE: No, nothing -- nothing about
14
15
    this could inure to your personal benefit or any --
16
    any close relative, in my view. Any -- any -- any
    benefit that could flow from this I think would
17
18
    be -- it would be indirect. So I think you can sit
19
    on this one.
20
               MR. PIKE: Okay.
21
               MR. HOLLAND: Satisfied?
2.2
               MR. PIKE: I'm satisfied.
23
               MR. HOLLAND: Okay.
24
               MR. PIKE: I want to make sure he was.
25
               MR. HOLLAND: All right. Go ahead, Pat.
```

1

2

3

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

MR. WRIGHT: So this is a request for an after-the-fact variance of 1324 20th Terrace, and this is in the SF zoning district. This request seeks variances to minimum side and rear setbacks, maximum building coverage and impervious surface, as well as minimum open-space requirements due to the construction of a new addition by converting, enclosing, and expanding an existing open carport. This application was generated by an ongoing code enforcement -- I'm sorry, code compliance case. A stop-work order was issued by code compliance on February 4th, 2015, and again on February 23rd, 2015. The entire carport was enclosed, plumbed, and electric was installed, all without permits after these attempts by city code compliance to stop the ongoing unpermitted

The property prior to this construction was over the allowed dimensional regulations indicated in this request. The existing unpermitted addition exceeds all aspects of the dimensional regulations in which they are requesting variances for.

construction on this property.

The chief building official has objections

1	to the unpermitted construction and they were
2	included in your package and can be found on page 36
3	of the attachment.
4	The fire marshal's office has also
5	expressed similar concerns.
6	It's staff's opinion that hardship
7	conditions do not exist in this case. This
8	application is not in compliance with six out of
9	seven of the variance criteria. And due to all of
10	these factors, staff is recommending the requested
11	after-the-fact variance be denied.
12	MR. HOLLAND: Okay, Patrick. Thank you.
13	Any questions for staff?
14	MR. KLITENICK: I have one question about
15	the application. I'm looking at the application
16	MS. SPOTTSWOOD: Can I interrupt you one
17	second?
18	MR. KLITENICK: Yeah. Sure.
19	MS. SPOTTSWOOD: I recognized the name for
20	the first time, and I do believe this gentleman came
21	to my office and asked for some help with his code
22	case in this. We ended up referring it out, but I
23	did want to put that on the record that I did speak
24	to him about this code case months ago.
25	MR. ERSKINE: Did you get any information

```
1
    that you considered to be attorney-client type
 2
     information?
 3
               MS. SPOTTSWOOD: Gosh, I can't -- it
 4
     just -- just popped into my head, so I'm having a
    hard time even remembering what he told me about it.
    It was during busy times. We referred him out
6
7
    pretty quickly, so I don't think so.
               MR. ERSKINE: Okay. I think -- I think --
 8
     I think it was good for you to disclose it. I don't
9
10
     think, you know, at this point that constitutes a
11
    conflict.
12
               MS. SPOTTSWOOD: Okay. Thank you.
13
               MR. KLITENICK: May I?
               MR. HOLLAND: Of course.
14
15
               MR. KLITENICK: No, no, no problem.
16
               I had an application -- application.
17
               I had a question about the application
18
     itself. I mean, we're talking about a variance for
19
    basically concerning all, you know, everything being
20
     involved in the site data table, and it's blank.
21
               MR. WRIGHT: Yeah, the -- the site data
2.2
    table was indicated on the actual drawings that Alan
23
    Perez did. That -- that often happens. As long
24
    as -- as long as we have a site data table, and I
25
    also provide a site data table with the specific
```

1	dimensional regulations that are of concern in the
2	staff report. But essentially it's been been
3	past practice that as long as they provide a site
4	data table on their plans, that's the site data
5	table we go with. It's not uncommon, but I can
6	understand your concern.
7	MR. KLITENICK: Okay. If you're happy
8	with it, I'm happy. Thank you.
9	MR. HOLLAND: That's it, Richard?
10	MR. KLITENICK: Yes, sir.
11	MR. HOLLAND: All right.
12	Any other questions from staff?
13	Then we'll hear from the applicant.
14	MR. HUNTER: Good evening. Dustin Hunter,
15	501 Whitehead Street, Suite 2, on behalf of the
16	applicant. We are here obviously on an
17	after-the-fact variance request, most notably the
18	side setback.
19	As you can see on the property, the
20	addition does not extend beyond the rear setback
21	that the property doesn't already sit on. So really
22	what what we're dealing with here is, this side
23	setback is is the main issue, of course.
24	In terms of the impervious surface, it was
25	already cement. It was a carport. And so

```
1
     essentially what has gone on here is the enclosure
 2
     of the carport.
 3
               In terms also of the issue with concerns
     from the fire department, there are access doors on
     either side of the structure so that one could
     conceivably get through in the event of an
6
7
     emergency.
               Of course, importantly, my client has gone
     to the neighbors specifically with the -- in
10
     accordance with the good neighbor policy, has spoken
11
     to Ms. Christina Perez [phonetic] -- and I'll
12
     provide these for the record -- of 1320 20th
13
     Terrace, Mr. Cleveland Knolls [phonetic] of 1328
14
     20th Terrace, Deanna Carvinel [phonetic] of 1323
15
     20th Terrace, Eric Rahamen [phonetic] of 1327
16
     20th Terrace, and Jorge Ariola [phonetic] of 1331
17
     20th Terrace. All have expressed no objection to
18
     this project, and many actually have included little
19
     messages of support of them being a good neighbor
20
     and whatnot.
21
               Ultimately what this project is, and the
2.2
     purpose of this project, is so that Ms. Villa's son
23
     can -- she is aging, on a fixed income, and the
24
     thought process is that the son, Emilio, is to live
```

in this area so that he can help provide for her

25

```
1
     care as she ages.
 2
               So we appreciate the opportunity to
 3
    present this today.
 4
               MR. HOLLAND: Okay.
                                    Thank you.
               Mr. Pike?
               MR. PIKE: I need a little advice here.
6
7
     Mr. Hoffman [sic] has actively represented me in
     a -- attorney at this point and I think that, with
     that relationship that exists, that maybe I need to
10
     recuse myself. I didn't realize that Mr. --
11
     Mr. Hoffman was going to be representing this
12
     particular client.
13
               MR. ERSKINE: So you -- you've got --
14
     you've got current ongoing --
15
               MR. PIKE: Yes.
16
               MR. ERSKINE: -- matters?
17
               MR. KLITENICK: I -- I -- I'll just offer
18
     an opinion. Unless he's a partner in the law firm,
19
     I don't think that inures to his benefit at all.
20
               MR. PIKE: If you're good with it, I'm
21
     good with it.
2.2
               MR. KLITENICK: I'm just offering -- I'm
     just offering an opinion 'cause, I mean, this -- I'd
23
24
     like to see Peter here because, you know, he's --
25
    he's the architect with experience and expertise in
```

1 these -- in these type of things, and we have similar situations with, you know, with some other 2 3 attorneys that come up. MR. PIKE: I think that's correct. I 4 would just -- I'm kind of rolling it around in my head, but I believe that to be correct. All right. 6 7 Thank you. MR. KLITENICK: I didn't mean to step on 8 9 your toes there, Larry. 10 MR. PIKE: I just wanted to divulge that. 11 MR. HOLLAND: Anybody else have any 12 questions for the applicant? 13 MR. VARELA: I've got a question, Sam. 14 MR. HOLLAND: Go ahead. 15 MR. VARELA: I notice you said -- you said 16 you had a bunch of neighbors that were in support of 17 it. Was there any neighbors that objected to it? 18 MR. HUNTER: We did not get any 19 indications of a negative reaction to it. If there's been any submitted into the record since 20 21 then, I'm unaware, but this was all this past week 2.2 that he's gone into it. 23 I will provide this for the record. 24 MR. HOLLAND: Did you receive any direct 25 public comment on it?

1	THE CLERK: I did not.
2	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: I didn't receive
3	any either.
4	MR. HOLLAND: Has any anybody signed up
5	to speak on this item?
6	THE CLERK: No, sir.
7	MR. HOLLAND: Okay. You know, from my
8	perspective, the overwhelming gorilla here is the
9	fact that they were cited twice, at least twice, and
10	continued and just continued on to build out, and
11	that that's that's a very difficult thing to
12	look past.
13	By the way, Mr. Barroso, I think we need
14	some comment.
15	MR. BARROSO: Good evening. Jason
16	Barroso, Key West Fire Department.
17	The fire marshal's position is, on these
18	types of situations, if this project was to come
19	before us to our office new, we would not approve as
20	it is now. We support the LDRs, we support the
21	setbacks and the zonings for this area and basically
22	that's his position. I mean, it's not something
23	that we would approve new.
24	If we have to respond there tonight, we
25	have to respond there and we'd have to, you know,

we'd have to do our job.

2.2

It's existing and we believe that there's mechanisms in place for you all to -- to navigate through this process, but it's not something that we would support if it was to come to us new.

MS. SPOTTSWOOD: You said that there are things that can be done as it is now. Since it is here now, are there things, or are you just recommending that we deny this because of life safety issues?

MR. BARROSO: There is a life safety issue with the accessibility for setbacks. We'd be consistent on that.

I don't have any necessary recommendations for him at this point because, really, one of the avenues that we've used as a recommendation for applicants is a sprinkler, but it still blocks our accessibility down the side setback, so it doesn't really improve that. And that's one of the areas the fire marshal looks closely at as well is the accessibility.

And I think his position is it just is a project that basically if it was to come before us today as a new application or a renovation, it's not something that we would support. Basically

1 that's -- that's his point on it. MR. COHEN: Mr. Chair, if you don't mind, 2 3 I'd like to just add a couple other points, and you started in that direction, which is two stop-work orders. And one of the things I think the board may want to consider as they try to make a decision as 6 7 to what to do, we're -- we're trying to have people comply, and it becomes difficult if you're able to 8 have two stop-work orders, not make a magistrate's 10 meeting, do an after-the-fact variance, because we 11 are asked to accept that, then ask for an 12 after-the-fact permit. 13 And on page, I think it's on 31 or 61, you can see the chief building official's position to be 14 15 able to say, well, what's a remedy? If -- if you're 16 able to -- to do this, then I'm -- my question 17 becomes, how does code enforcement function going 18 forward and what is it that the planning department 19 is to do if, in fact, there is nothing that says to an individual you cannot stop doing something that 20 21 the code does not permit and that the building 2.2 official says you should not move forward on? 23 MR. HOLLAND: Any other comment? 24 Ouestions? 25 MR. GILLERAN: Based upon the

```
1
    recommendation of the planning board, the fire
 2
    marshal, and the city officials, I recommend denial.
 3
               MR. HOLLAND: Motion to deny.
               Do I hear a second?
               MR. KLITENICK: I'll second that.
               And -- and just for discussion, you know,
 6
 7
    my -- my issue with this, is that this wasn't an
    accident. This was intentional. Not that I always
 8
    agree with, you know, decisions of administrative
10
    officials to stop work and not stop, but twice. And
11
     then when you have the building official saying
12
    that, you know, he would have been there with the
13
    police absent him having to, I think for some
14
    medical treatments or something like that, that
15
    gives me a lot of pause to then, you know, say
16
    everything's okay with this, especially when you
17
    have the fire marshal saying that they have concerns
18
     about life safety issues. So it's not something
19
     that I can support.
20
               MR. HOLLAND: Anybody else?
21
               MR. VARELA:
                            Jason, even with the -- with
2.2
    what he explained about opening both doors, you
23
     still feel that it's --
24
               MR. BORROSO: Mr. Varela, the position of
25
    the fire marshal is that, and the way we look at
```

```
1
     these applications, if this was to come before us,
 2
     we would not approve this type of construction into
 3
     the setbacks. We would have them conform. The fact
     that it's there now, we would have to respond.
     accessibility on the side yard setback is -- is the
     issue where they encroached on that and it's
6
7
     obstructed.
               Basically his position is what I just
 8
     said, you know, he would not support this if it was
10
     to come before us as a new application. He is
11
     leaning towards Ron and the other mechanisms that
12
     are in place to resolve these types of situations.
13
     I believe there is an avenue in place to do that
     through the building official and through the code
14
15
     and --
16
               MR. VARELA: I'm just looking at it --
     Mr. Chair, I'm looking at it from the standpoint of
17
18
     the code --
19
               MR. GILLERAN: Hold on. As a point of
20
     order, we have a motion and a second.
21
               MR. HOLLAND: Yeah, I'm sorry.
2.2
               Fredy, we've got a motion on the floor and
23
     a second.
24
               Any further discussion?
25
               MR. VARELA:
                            Yes.
```

MR. HOLLAND: Go ahead.

2.2

MR. VARELA: I'm looking at it from the humanity -- the human aspect of this. I mean, this guy has built this, obviously, to take care of his mother, which is an aging woman from what the attorney just told us, and we're going to ask him to tear it down so that his mother is going to be there by herself, and if she needs somebody, nobody is going to be there to take care of her. So then we've got to look at the humanity part of this aspect.

I do realize he was stopped twice. I do realize that the fire marshal is saying this, but, you know, we've got -- we've also got to take into consideration that this is -- there's a human factor here.

MR. HOLLAND: Okay. Anybody else?

MR. BARROSO: I'd like to make one point of clarity, please. We're not recommending them to take the structure down. That's not been our past practice. What we are, and I want to be very clear on that, is that if it is -- you know, if it was to come before us new or a new application, this is not something that we would support. And we believe that there's mechanisms in place for them to deal

```
1
    with these types of situations. And that's how the
     fire marshal is viewing that at this particular
 2
 3
    point.
 4
               MR. HOLLAND: Anybody else?
               Did anybody sign up to speak?
               COURT CLERK: No one has signed up to
6
7
     speak, sir.
               MR. HOLLAND: We've got a motion on the
 8
     floor and a second.
10
               MS. SPOTTSWOOD: I'd like to move to table
11
     this so that the fire marshal can meet with the
12
    applicant again and see if there is anything that
13
    can be done other than just say --
14
               MR. HOLLAND: Hold on, we've got a --
15
               MR. KLITENICK: I'll withdraw my second.
16
               MS. SPOTTSWOOD: -- so that we can see if
     the fire marshal has any recommendation other than
17
18
    what's been presented here today.
19
               MR. COHEN: I'm not able to speak for the
     fire marshal, but I don't believe it's a fire
20
21
    marshal issue. It's what the fire marshal's
2.2
    response was today, it's -- it's not -- it's not
23
    their issue.
                   Their issue is access, which they
24
    described to you, their difficulty with access. You
25
    have a building official that says the position that
```

```
1
    they would take, and you have staff that says put
     all that together, plus the fact that it does not
 2
 3
    meet any of the variances.
               We appreciate the fact that they presumed
 4
    to do this because of care of a parent, but we're
    still going to suggest two stop-work orders and then
6
 7
    you ask for an after-the-fact variance and an
     after-the-fact permit. I'm not -- I'm not sure how
 8
    you then say to the community what constitutes code
10
    enforcement and planning. I'm going to be blunt.
11
    Well, what is code enforcement and planning?
12
               MR. KLITENICK: Mr. Chair, I think that --
13
               MR. HOLLAND: Go ahead, Richard.
               MR. KLITENICK: I think what Mr. Varela
14
15
     says is right, there is a human factor here, but
16
     there's two very strong balancing things here.
17
    my support of tabling this for a month, which really
18
     isn't going to affect anything, is to find maybe
19
     there's a solution that doesn't require tearing this
20
    whole thing down.
21
               MR. HOLLAND: As in a modification of what
2.2
     is built or something like that?
23
               MR. KLITENICK: I don't know. I mean --
24
               MR. HOLLAND: I mean, because I'm not
25
     going to support it the way it is right now.
```

1	Where are we?
2	You've withdrawn your second?
3	MR. KLITENICK: Correct.
4	MR. GILLERAN: I made a motion to deny.
5	MR. HOLLAND: Richard seconded it, but has
6	since withdrawn.
7	MR. PIKE: I'm going to go ahead and
8	second
9	MR. HOLLAND: Hold hold on.
10	Did you want to say something else, Jason?
11	MR. BARROSO: We have looked at this
12	project and we are leaning towards Ron Whoppler
13	[phonetic] and we're looking for staff and for their
14	recommendations as well.
15	MR. GILLERAN: Can I make a motion to
16	deny, please?
17	MR. HOLLAND: Yes. Jim's motion to deny
18	stands.
19	Do I hear a second?
20	MR. PIKE: I would I would like to
21	second Mr. Gilleran's motion.
22	MR. HOLLAND: Okay. We have a motion to
23	deny by Mr. Gilleran and a second by Mr. Pike.
24	Is there any further discussion?
25	Hearing none, call the roll.

1		
1		COURT CLERK: James Gilleran?
2	I	MR. GILLERAN: Yes.
3	ŗ	THE CLERK: Richard Klitenick?
4	I	MR. KLITENICK: Yes.
5		THE CLERK: Peter Pike?
6	I	MR. PIKE: Yes.
7		THE CLERK: Cristy Spottswood?
8	I	MS. SPOTTSWOOD: Yes. I'm sorry, but,
9	yes.	
10		THE CLERK: Fredy Varela?
11	I	MR. VARELA: No.
12		THE CLERK: Sam Holland?
13	I	MR. HOLLAND: Yes. Sorry.
14	(COURT CLERK: Motion passes.
15		(End of audiotaped excerpt of hearing.)
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1	CERTIFICATE
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	I, Loretta A. Lee, RPR, CET, Certified
7	Shorthand Reporter and Certified Transcriptionist,
8	do hereby certify that I was authorized to and did
9	listen to and transcribe the foregoing recorded
10	proceedings and that the transcript is a true record
11	to the best of my professional ability.
12	
13	Dated this 8th day of February, 2016.
14	Joursa a. Lac
15	LORETTA A. LEE, RPR, CET
16	Certified Shorthand Reporter Certified Transcriptionist
17	AAERT Certification No. 536 Job No. 1374298
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1
10 2:3,12 1320 7:12 1323 7:14 1324 2:4 3:2 1327 7:15 1328 7:13 1331 7:16
2
2 6:15 2015 3:13,14 20th 2:4,12 3:2 7:12,14,15,16,
23rd 3:14
3
31 12:13 36 4:2
4
4th 3:13
5
501 6:15
6
61 12:13
A
absent 13:13 accept 12:11 access 7:4 16:23,24 accessibility 11:12,18,21 14:5 accident 13:8 accordance 7:10

actively 8:7 actual 5:22 **add** 12:3 addition 3:8,22 6:20 administrative 13:9 advice 8:6 after-the-fact 2:4 3:2 4:11 6:17 12:10,12 ages 8:1 aging 7:23 15:5 agree 13:9 **ahead** 2:25 9:14 15:1 **Alan** 5:22 allowed 3:20 applicant 6:13, 16 9:12 16:12 applicants 11:17 application 3:10 4:8,15 5:16,17 11:24 14:10 15:23 applications 14:1 **approve** 10:19, 23 14:2 architect 8:25 **area** 7:25 10:21 **areas** 11:19 Ariola 7:16 **aspect** 15:3,11 aspects 3:22 attachment 4:3 attempts 3:16 attorney 8:8 15:6 attorney-client 5:1 attorneys 9:3 audiotaped 2:1 avenue 14:13 avenues 11:16

clear 15:21 **CLERK** 10:1,6

В Barroso 10:13, 15,16 11:11 15:18 **Based** 12:25 basically 5:19 10:21 11:23,25 14:8 behalf 6:15 **benefit** 2:15,17 8:19 **blank** 5:20 **blocks** 11:17 board 12:5 13:1 **BORROSO** 13:24 **build** 10:10 building 3:5,25 12:14,21 13:11 14:14 16:25 **built** 15:4 **bunch** 9:16 **busy** 5:6 C care 8:1 15:4,9 **carport** 3:9,14 6:25 7:2 Carvinel 7:14 case 3:12 4:7, 22,24 cement 6:25 **Chair** 12:2 14:17 **chief** 3:25 12:14 Christina 7:11 **cited** 10:9 city 3:16 13:2 **clarity** 15:19

3:11,13,16 code 4:21,24 12:17, 21 14:14,18 **COHEN** 12:2 16:19 comment 9:25 10:14 12:23 compliance 3:12,13,17 4:8 **comply** 12:8 conceivably 7:6 concern 6:1,6 concerns 4:5 7:3 13:17 conditions 4:7 conflict 2:13 5:11 conform 14:3 consideration 15:15 considered 5:1 consistent 11:13 constitutes 5:10 construction 3:7,18,19 4:1 14:2 continued 10:10 converting 3:8 correct 9:4,6 **couple** 12:3 **COURT** 16:6 coverage 3:5 create 2:13 criteria 4:9 current 8:14

D

data 5:20,21, 24,25 6:4 daughter's 2:13 **deal** 15:25 dealing 6:22 Deanna 7:14 decision 12:6 decisions 13:9 denial 13:2

16:6

Cleveland 7:13

closely 11:20

close 2:16

client 7:8 8:12

denied 4:11 **deny** 11:9 13:3 department 7:4 10:16 12:18 difficult 10:11 12:8 difficulty 16:24 dimensional 3:20,22 6:1 direct 9:24 direction 12:4 disclose 5:9 discussion 13:6 14:24 district 3:3 divulge 9:10 **door** 2:12 **doors** 7:4 13:22 drawings 5:22 **due** 3:7 4:9 Dustin 6:14

Е

electric 3:15 emergency 7:7 **Emilio** 7:24 enclosed 3:15 enclosing 3:8 enclosure 7:1 encroached 14:6 **ended** 4:22 enforcement 3:11 12:17 entire 3:14 **Eric** 7:15 ERSKINE 2:14 4:25 5:8 8:13, essentially 6:2 7:1 evening 6:14 10:15 event 7:6 everything's 13:16 exceeds 3:22 excerpt 2:1

exist 4:7
existing 3:9,21
11:2
exists 8:9
expanding 3:8
experience 8:25
expertise 8:25
explained 13:22
expressed 4:5
7:17
extend 6:20

F

fact 10:9 12:19 14:3 **factor** 15:15 factors 4:10 February 3:13, 14 feel 13:23 **fire** 4:4 7:4 10:16,17 11:20 13:1,17,25 15:13 16:2,11, 17,20,21 firm 8:18 **fixed** 7:23 **floor** 14:22 16:9 **flow** 2:17 **forward** 12:18, 22 found 4:2Fredy 14:22 function 12:17

G

generated 3:10 gentleman 4:20 GILLERAN 12:25 14:19 good 5:9 6:14 7:10,19 8:20, 21 10:15 gorilla 10:8 Gosh 5:3 guy 15:4

н

6:7,8 happy **hard** 5:5 hardship 4:6 head 5:4 9:6 hear 2:5 6:13 13:4 hearing 2:1 **Hoffman** 8:7,11 **Hold** 14:19 16:14 **HOLLAND** 2:3,7, 10,21,23,25 4:12 5:14 6:9, 11 8:4 9:11, 14,24 10:4,7 12:23 13:3,20 14:21 15:1,17 16:4,8,14 **house** 2:13 human 15:3,15 humanity 15:3, 10 Hunter 6:14 9:18

I

impervious 3:6 6:24 importantly 7:8 **improve** 11:19 included 4:2 7:18 income 7:23 indications 9:19 indirect 2:18 individual 12:20 information 4:25 5:2 installed 3:15 intentional 13:8 interrupt 4:16 **inure** 2:15

inures 8:19
involved 5:20
issue 6:23 7:3
 11:11 13:7
 14:6 16:21,23
issued 3:12
issues 11:10
 13:18
item 2:3 10:5

J

Jason 10:15 13:21 job 11:1 Jorge 7:16

K

Key 10:16
kind 9:5
KLITENICK 4:14,
 18 5:13,15
 6:7,10 8:17,22
 9:8 13:5 16:15
Knolls 7:13

L

Larry 2:8,11 9:9 law 8:18 LDRS 10:20 leaning 14:11 life 11:9,11 13:18 live 7:24 long 5:23,24 6:3 lot 13:15

M

magistrate's
12:9
main 6:23
make 2:24 12:6,
9 15:18
marshal 11:20
13:2,17,25

15:13 16:2,11, 17,20,21 marshal's 4:4 10:17 16:21 matters 8:16 maximum 3:5 mechanisms 11:3 14:11 15:25 medical 13:14 meet 16:11 meeting 12:10 messages 7:19 mind 12:2 minimum 3:4,6 months 4:24 mother 15:5,7 motion 13:3 14:20,22 16:8 move 12:22 16:10

N

navigate 11:3
negative 9:19
neighbor 7:10,
 19
neighbors 7:9
 9:16,17
notably 6:17
notice 9:15

0

objected 9:17 objection 7:17 objections 3:25 obstructed 14:7 **offer** 8:17 offering 8:22, 23 **office** 4:4,21 10:19 official 3:25 12:22 13:11 14:14 16:25 official's 12:14 officials 13:2, 10

ongoing 3:11,17
 8:14
open 3:9
open-space 3:7
opening 13:22
opinion 4:6
 8:18,23
opportunity 8:2
order 3:12
 14:20
orders 12:5,9
overwhelming
 10:8

Ρ

package 4:2

part 15:10 partner 8:18 past 6:3 9:21 10:12 15:20 Pat 2:25 Patrick 4:12 **pause** 13:15 people 12:7 Perez 5:23 7:11 **permit** 12:12,21 permits 3:16 personal 2:15 perspective 10:8 **Peter** 8:24 phonetic 7:11, 13,14,15,16 Pike 2:6,8,11, 20,22,24 8:5, 6,15,20 9:4,10 place 11:3 14:12,13 15:25 planning 12:18 13:1 plans 6:4 plumbed 3:15 point 5:10 8:8 11:15 12:1 14:19 15:18 16:3 points 12:3 **police** 13:13

policy 7:10 5:4 popped position 10:17. 22 11:22 12:14 13:24 14:8 16:25 practice 6:3 15:21 present 8:3 presented 16:18 pretty 5:7 **prior** 3:19 problem 5:15 process 7:24 11:4 project 7:18, 21,22 10:18 11:23 property 3:18, 19 6:19,21 provide 5:25 6:3 7:12,25 9:23 public 9:25 purpose 7:22 **put** 4:23

Q

question 4:14 5:17 9:13 12:16 questions 4:13 6:12 9:12 12:24 quickly 5:7

R

Rahamen 7:15
reaction 9:19
realize 8:10
15:12,13
rear 3:5 6:20
receive 9:24
10:2
recognized 4:19
recommend 13:2
recommendation
11:16 13:1

16:17 recommendations 11:14 recommending 4:10 11:9 15:19 **record** 4:23 7:12 9:20,23 recuse 8:10 referred 5:6 referring 4:22 regulations 3:20,23 6:1 relationship 8:9 relative 2:16 **remedy** 12:15 remembering 5:5 renovation 11:24 report 6:2 represented 8:7 representing 8:11 request 3:1,4, 21 6:17 requested 4:10 requesting 3:23 requirements 3:7 resolve 14:12 respond 10:24, 25 14:4 response 16:22 Richard 6:9 rolling 9:5 Ron 14:11

s

safety 11:10,11
 13:18
Sam 9:13
Sammy 2:6
satisfied 2:21,
 22
seeks 3:4
setback 6:18,
 20,23 11:18
 14:5

setbacks 3:5
10:21 11:12
14:3
SF 3:3
sic 8:7
side 3:5 6:18,
22 7:5 11:18
14:5
sign 16:5
signed 10:4
16:6
similar 4:5 9:2
sir 2:7 6:10
10:6 16:7
sit 2:18 6:21
site 5:20,21,
24,25 6:3,4
situations 9:2
10:18 14:12
16:1
son 7:22,24
speak 4:23 10:5
16:5,7,19
SPEAKER 10:2
specific 5:25
specifically
7:9
spoken 7:10
SPOTTSWOOD
4:16,19 5:3,12
11:6 16:10,16
-
sprinkler 11:17
staff 2:5 4:10,
13 6:2,12
staff's 4:6
standpoint
14:17
started 12:4
started 12:4
started 12:4
started 12:4
<pre>started 12:4 step 9:8 stop 3:17 12:20 13:10</pre>
<pre>started 12:4 step 9:8 stop 3:17 12:20 13:10 stop-work 3:12</pre>
<pre>started 12:4 step 9:8 stop 3:17 12:20 13:10 stop-work 3:12 12:4,9</pre>
<pre>started 12:4 step 9:8 stop 3:17 12:20 13:10 stop-work 3:12 12:4,9 stopped 15:12</pre>
<pre>started 12:4 step 9:8 stop 3:17 12:20 13:10 stop-work 3:12 12:4,9 stopped 15:12 Street 6:15</pre>
<pre>started 12:4 step 9:8 stop 3:17 12:20 13:10 stop-work 3:12 12:4,9 stopped 15:12 Street 6:15 structure 7:5</pre>
<pre>started 12:4 step 9:8 stop 3:17 12:20 13:10 stop-work 3:12 12:4,9 stopped 15:12 Street 6:15 structure 7:5 15:20</pre>
<pre>started 12:4 step 9:8 stop 3:17 12:20 13:10 stop-work 3:12 12:4,9 stopped 15:12 Street 6:15 structure 7:5 15:20 submitted 9:20</pre>
<pre>started 12:4 step 9:8 stop 3:17 12:20 13:10 stop-work 3:12 12:4,9 stopped 15:12 Street 6:15 structure 7:5 15:20</pre>
<pre>started 12:4 step 9:8 stop 3:17 12:20 13:10 stop-work 3:12 12:4,9 stopped 15:12 Street 6:15 structure 7:5 15:20 submitted 9:20</pre>

```
support 7:19
 9:16 10:20
 11:5,25 13:19
 14:9 15:24
surface 3:6
 6:24
```

Т

table 5:20,22, 24,25 6:4,5 16:10 talking 5:18 **tear** 15:7 terms 6:24 7:3 **Terrace** 2:4 3:2 7:13,14,15,16, 17 Terrence 2:12 thing 10:11 things 9:1 11:7,8 12:5 thought 7:24 time 4:20 5:5 times 5:6 today 8:3 11:24 16:18,22 toes 9:9 told 5:5 15:6 tonight 10:24 treatments 13:14 **type** 5:1 9:1 14:2 types 10:18 14:12 16:1

U

Ultimately 7:21 unaware 9:21 uncommon 6:5 understand 6:6 UNIDENTIFIED 10:2 unpermitted 3:17,21 4:1

V

Varela 9:13,15 13:21,24 14:16,25 15:2 variance 2:4 3:2 4:9,11 5:18 6:17 12:10 variances 3:4, 23 view 2:16 viewing 16:2 Villa's 7:22

W

wanted 9:10
week 9:21
West 10:16
whatnot 7:20
Whitehead 6:15
withdraw 16:15
woman 15:5
work 13:10
WRIGHT 3:1 5:21

Y

yard 14:5

\mathbf{Z}

zoning 3:3
zonings 10:21