## City Attorney Performance Evaluation

December 2016

R/	ATING SCALE D	EFINITIONS (1-5)								
Unsatisfactory (1) -		The employee's work performance is inadequate and definitely inferior to the standards of performance required for the job. Performance at this level cannot be allowed to continue.								
Improvement (2) Needed Meets Job (3) Standard		The employee's work performance does not consistently meet the standards of the position. Serious effort is needed to improve performance.  The employee's work performance consistently meets the standards of the position.								
Oı	itstanding (5)	The employee's work performance is consistently excellent when compared to the standards of the job.								
Not evaluated (NE)		The employee's work performance was not observed during this evaluation period.								
I.	Performan	ce Evaluation and Achieveme	<u>nts</u>							
1.	City Commission	/ Boards Relationships	<u>NE</u>	1	2	_3_	4	5		
A.		gal advice to the City Commission, ions and City staff.				_	_	土		
B. Reporting to the City Commission, Boards, and City staff is timely, clear, concise and thorough.				_	_	_		<u>+</u>		
C.	Accepts direction/	instructions in a positive manner.	_	_	_	_		+		
D.	D. Keeps the City Commission, Boards, and City staff informed of issues relevant to the requirements of the position.			-	_	-		上		
E. Dedicates the time necessary to the responsibilities of the position and is readily available to Commissioners.								4		

C	omments:	8						
2.	Legal Res	earch and Review	<u>NE</u>	_1_	_2_	3	_4_	5
A	A. Effectively identifies legal issues and performs research and investigations.			_		_	4	
В.		reviews and interprets legal instruments, documents prepared by departments.			-		×	
Co	omments:							
						··· <del>-</del>		
						125		
	18							
3.	Employee	Public Relations	<u>NE</u>	_1_	_2_	_3_	4	5
A.	Works wel	l with other employees.		_				<u> </u>
B.		d handling the public while g ethical obligation to the City.	_	_	_	_	_	<u>_</u>
Co	mments:							
4.	Communic	eation_	<u>NE</u>	_1_	_2_	_3_	4	_5_
A.	Oral comm	unication is clear, concise and articulate.				-		1
B.	Written con and other le accurate.	nmunications (e.g.) contracts, resolutions, gal documents are clear, concise and						<u>+</u>
Comments:								

				_		_	
<ul> <li>5. Quantity/Quality</li> <li>A. Amount of work perform</li> <li>B. Completion of work on t</li> <li>C. Accuracy.</li> <li>D. Thoroughness.</li> </ul>		<u>NE</u>				4 ± ±	<u>5</u> 
Comments:							
Comments:							
6. Personal Traits		NE	1	2	3	_4_	5
A. Initiative.				_		_	
B. Judgement.		-	_	_	-	$\not\succeq$	
C. Fairness and Impartiality	4	2-0				#	
D. Analytical Ability.		_		_		1	
Comments:				. <u></u>			
	·						
•				<del>.</del>			
				·		···	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
7. Litigation/Administrati	ve Proceedings	NE	1	2	3	4_	_5_
A. Provides timely and effe City's interest in litigation		<del></del>			_		<u> </u>
B. Controls and monitors co of retained outside legal			· <del></del>	_	_	_	4
Comments:							

II. Summary Rating
Overall Performance Rating — Considering the results obtained against established performance standards as well as overall job performance, the following rating is provided (circle one):
Unsatisfactory Improvement Needed Meets Job Standards Exceeds Job Standards Outstanding
el think form I horses Sharon doen a
hay be gres about peeping all of the personal
The Commissioners from Chrising Ali
III. Future Goals and Objectives
Specific goals and objectives to be achieved in the next evaluation period:
COMMISSIONER HAMY WEEKLEY
SHAWN D. SMITH, CITY ATTORNEY
ATTEST:  MOry Smith
CHERYL SMITH, CITY CLERK Dated 11/39/16