## **Combined City Attorney Performance Evaluation**

**December 5, 2017** 

## **RATING SCALE DEFINITIONS (1-5)**

Unsatisfactory (1) -		The employee's work performance is inadequate and definitely inferior to the standards of performance required for the job. Performance at this level cannot be allowed to continue.							
Improvement (2) Needed		The employee's work performance does not consistently meet the standards of the position. Serious effort is needed to improve performance.							
Meets Job (3) Standard		The employee's work performance consistently meets the standards of the position.							
Exceeds Job (4) Standard		The employee's work performance is frequently or consistently above the level of a satisfactory employee.							
Outstanding (5)		The employee's work performance is consistently excellent when compared to the standards of the job.							
Not evaluated (NE)		The employee's work performance was not observed during this evaluation period.							
I.	I. <u>Performance Evaluation and Achievements</u>								
1.	City Commission	/ Boards Relationships	<u>NE</u>	1	2	_3_	4	5_	
A.	Provides sound legal advice to the City Commission, Boards, Commissions and City staff.						**********		
В.	Reporting to the City Commission, Boards, and City staff is timely, clear, concise and thorough.								
C.	C. Accepts direction/instructions in a positive manner.							~	
D.	. Keeps the City Commission, Boards, and City staff informed of issues relevant to the requirements of the position.					_			
E.	E. Dedicates the time necessary to the responsibilities of the position and is readily available to								

Co	omments:							
2. <u>Legal Res</u>		earch and Review	<u>NE</u>	1	2	_3_	4	_5_
A.		videntifies legal issues and performs and investigations.		_				
В.		reviews and interprets legal instruments, documents prepared by departments.						
Co	omments:							
		Public Relations	<u>NE</u>	_1_	2	3	_4_	_5_
	. Works well with other employees.							
D.		nd handling the public while g ethical obligation to the City.						
Co	mments:							
4.	Communic	cation	<u>NE</u>	1	2	3	4_	5_
A.	A. Oral communication is clear, concise and articulate.							
B.	B. Written communications (e.g.) contracts, resolutions, and other legal documents are clear, concise and accurate.							
Comments:								

5. Quan	tity/Quality	<u>NE</u>	_1_	_2_	_3_	4	_5_
A. Amou	nt of work performed.						
B. Compl	letion of work on time.						
C. Accura	acy.						
D. Thoron	ughness.						
Comments	s:						
							<del>-</del>
6. Person	al Traits	<u>NE</u>	_1_	_2_	_3_	4	_5_
A. Initiati	ve.						
B. Judgen	nent.						
C. Fairnes	ss and Impartiality.				_		
D. Analyt	ical Ability.						
Comments	S;						
7. Litigat	tion/Administrative Proceedings	<u>NE</u>	1	_2_	3	4	_5_
A. Provides timely and effective representation of the City's interest in litigation.							
	ls and monitors costs and performance ned outside legal counsel.						
Comments			_				

II. <u>Sumn</u>	nary Rating			
Overall Parfor	manaa Datina Canaidanin			
as well as over	mance Rating – Considerinal control of the formance, the fo	ig the results obtained a	gainst established perforn	nance standards
	an joo periormanee, are ro	mowing raming is provid	ou (onoic ono).	
Unsatisfactory	Improvement Needed	Meets Job Standards	Exceeds Job Standards	Outstanding
Comments:				
III. <u>Futur</u>	e Goals and Objective	es		
Specific goals	and chicatives to be achieve	end in the next and		
Specific goals a	and objectives to be achiev	ed in the next evaluatio	n period:	
CRAIG CATE	S, MAYOR			
	,			
CLIAWAID CA	ATTHE CITY ATTORNEY			
SHAWN D. SN	MITH, CITY ATTORNEY			
ATTEST:				
CHEDVI OLE	THE CITY OF EDIZ			
CHEKY L SMI	TH, CITY CLERK		Dated	

Page 4 of 4

Performance Evaluation - City Attorney