City Attorney Performance Evaluation

December 2017

R	ATING SCALE D	EFINITIONS (1-5)								
U	nsatisfactory (1) -	The employee's work performan standards of performance require cannot be allowed to continue.	ce is in ed for	adequa the job	ite and Perfo	definite	ely infe	rior to the		
Improvement (2) Needed		The employee's work performance does not consistently meet the standards of the position. Serious effort is needed to improve performance.								
Meets Job (3) Standard		The employee's work performance consistently meets the standards of the position.								
Exceeds Job (4) Standard		The employee's work performance is frequently or consistently above the level of a satisfactory employee.								
Outstanding (5)		The employee's work performance is consistently excellent when compared to the standards of the job.								
Not evaluated (NE)		The employee's work performance was not observed during this evaluation period.								
I.	Performanc	e Evaluation and Achieveme	nts							
1.	City Commission	Boards Relationships	NE	1	_2	3	4	_5_		
A.	Provides sound leg Boards, Commission	al advice to the City Commission, ons and City staff.	**************************************	PROFILA CONTRACTOR	AMERICAN SECTION AND ASSESSMENT		4	***************************************		
В.		ity Commission, Boards, nely, clear, concise and thorough.	for agreement of the				4	, —		
C.	Accepts direction/i	nstructions in a positive manner.					4			
D.		nmission, Boards, and City sues relevant to the requirements	-		and the second		4	P;		
E.		necessary to the responsibilities is readily available to	No-Misphallakahiya				4			

Page 2 of 4

Comments:	AMERICAN AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND A					
						1-Middlephings
					Window washing	40.0 4 0.000
			-	MARKET SALVEN		W Manager
Legal Research and Review A. Effectively identifies legal issues and performs research and investigations.	<u>NE</u>	1	_2_	3	4	5
B. Effectively reviews and interprets legal instruments, reports and documents prepared by departments.	-			printed recording	4	
Comments:						
,				and the second s		
3. Employee/Public Relations	NE	1	2	3	4	5
A. Works well with other employees.		de de la companya de		-	4	Transmission and inflaming
B. Meeting and handling the public while recognizing ethical obligation to the City.		-	Финаналическа		4	
Comments:					_	
			- The state of the			
4. Communication	<u>NE</u>	_1_	_2_	_3_	4	5
A. Oral communication is clear, concise and articulate.			-	-	4	
B. Written communications (e.g.) contracts, resolutions, and other legal documents are clear, concise and accurate.	-			,	4	
Comments:						
Performance Evaluation - City Attorney					Dog	- 2 of 4

Page 3 of 4

4.1	-				
NE -	1		3	4	5
NE		2	3	4 4 4 4	5
NE —		2	3	4 4	5
	NE	NE 1	NE 1 2	NE 1 2 3	NE 1 2 3 4 4 4 4 4 4

II. Summary Rating
Overall Performance Peting Considering the smaller day's decined the smaller day's decined to the same decined the smaller day decined to the same d
Overall Performance Rating – Considering the results obtained against established performance standards as well as overall job performance, the following rating is provided (circle one):
Unsatisfactory Improvement Needed Meets Job Standards Exceeds Job Standards Outstanding
Comments:
Market Ma
III. Future Goals and Objectives
Specific goals and objectives to be achieved in the next evaluation period:
former () echles
COMMISSIONER TIMMY WEEKLEY
SHAWN D. SMITH, CITY ATTORNEY
ATPIST:
Cherul Smith
CHERYL SMITH, CITY CLERK Dated

Performance Evaluation - City Attorney

Commissioner Weekley's Evaluation