City Attorney Performance Evaluation

December 2017

RATING SCALE DEFINITIONS (1-5)										
Unsatisfactory (1) -		The employee's work performance is inadequate and definitely inferior to the standards of performance required for the job. Performance at this level cannot be allowed to continue.								
Improvement (2) Needed		The employee's work performance does not consistently meet the standards of the position. Serious effort is needed to improve performance.								
Meets Job (3) Standard		The employee's work performance consistently meets the standards of the position.								
Exceeds Job (4) Standard		The employee's work performance is frequently or consistently above the level of a satisfactory employee.								
Outstanding (5)		The employee's work performance is consistently excellent when compared to the standards of the job.								
N	ot evaluated (NE)	The employee's work performance was not observed during this evaluation period.								
I.	I. Performance Evaluation and Achievements									
1.	City Commission	/ Boards Relationships	<u>NE</u>	1	2	3	4	5		
A.	. Provides sound legal advice to the City Commission, Boards, Commissions and City staff.						_	J.		
B.	Reporting to the City Commission, Boards, and City staff is timely, clear, concise and thorough.							V		
C.	Accepts direction/instructions in a positive manner.				-			2		
D.	Keeps the City Commission, Boards, and City staff informed of issues relevant to the requirements of the position.							V		
E.	E. Dedicates the time necessary to the responsibilities of the position and is readily available to Commissioners.							U		

C	Comments:								
2.	Legal Research and Review	•	<u>NE</u>	1	_2_	3	_4_	_5_	
A.	. Effectively identifies legal is research and investigations.	ssues and performs						V	
В.	. Effectively reviews and inter reports and documents prepa	rprets legal instruments, ared by departments.		_		_		V	
Cc	omments:								_
3.	Employee/Public Relations	þ	<u>NE</u>	1	2	3	4	5	
A.	Works well with other emplo	yees.						<u> </u>	
В.	Meeting and handling the purecognizing ethical obligation	blic while n to the City.		_		_		V	
Co	omments:								
	Communication		<u>NE</u>	1	2	_3_	_4_	5_	
	Oral communication is clear, Written communications (e.g and other legal documents are accurate.	.) contracts, resolutions,						L	
Coı	mments:								

5. Quantity/Quality	<u>NE</u>	1	2	3	4	5_
A. Amount of work performed.		***************************************				V
B. Completion of work on time.		-				V
C. Accuracy.						_1/
D. Thoroughness.						
Comments:						
6. Personal Traits	200	_				
	NE	1		3	4	5
A. Initiative.						
B. Judgement.						V
C. Fairness and Impartiality.						
D. Analytical Ability.			~			V
Comments:						
7. Litigation/Administrative Proceedings	<u>NE</u>	1	2	3	4	5
A. Provides timely and effective representation of the City's interest in litigation.		_		_		
B. Controls and monitors costs and performance of retained outside legal counsel.	_					V
Comments:						

	·			
II. <u>Sumr</u>	nary Rating			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Overall Perfor as well as over	mance Rating – Considering all job performance, the fo	ng the results obtained a llowing rating is provid	gainst established perforn ed (circle one):	nance standards
Unsatisfactory	Improvement Needed	Meets Job Standards	Exceeds Job Standards	Outstanding
Comments: You The Shawn KW	DURING A have borne Commission 13 Always wo	AN OUTSTAN	25 AS M JING SOB, ty Department Rotecting the Co.	AYOR Advising ets ty of
Specific goals	e Goals and Objective and objectives to be achieve Mue To Keep his Depart	 ed in the next evaluation 	down AND 61	Priciency
MAYOR CRAI SHAWN D. SM ATTEST:	GCATES UITH, CITY ATTORNEY	nth		
CHERYL SMIT	TH, CITY CLERK		Dated	

Mayor Cates's Evaluation