

# Historic Architectural Review Commission Staff Report for Item 4

**To:** Chairman Bryan Green and Historic Architectural Review

**Commission Members** 

**From:** Enid Torregrosa, MSHP

Historic Preservation Planner

Meeting Date: January 29, 2019

**Applicant:** William Shepler, Architect

**Application Number:** H18-03-0014

Address: #903 Eaton Street

# **Description of Work:**

Demolition of one-story cbs house, carport, stairs, decks, and porches. Second Reading

# **Site Facts:**

The site in question has a one-story cmu building that is significantly set back from the front property line. The main building in the site is a non-contributing structure. The 1962 Sanborn map depicts a similar footprint of what exists today in the lot. According to the Property Appraiser's records, the house has a construction date of 1954.

In August 2014, the Commission approved a single-family home in the lot, which expired. The Commission approved the design under previous guidelines for new construction. In December, the Commission approved a design for replacing the existing main structure on the site and approved the first reading for demolition.

# **Ordinance Cited on Review:**

• Section 102-217 (3), demolition for contributing and historic structures of the Land Development Regulations.

# **Staff Analysis**

The Certificate of Appropriateness proposes the demolition of the existing main house and accessory structures to it. The main house has a distinctive front yard that is atypical to the urban streetscape. The existing main building is structurally sound.

It is staff's opinion that the review of the demolition request be establish by the criteria under Chapter 102 Section 218 of the LDR's. The criteria state the following:

- (a) The historic architectural review commission shall issue a certificate of appropriateness for an application for demolition:
  - (1) If the subject of the application is a contributing or historic building or structure, then it should not be demolished unless its condition is irrevocably compromised by extreme deterioration or it does not meet any of the criteria of section 102-125(1) through (9).

The structures in question do not present any evidence that they are irrevocable compromised by extreme deterioration.

The following is the criteria of section 102-125:

- 1 Embodies no distinctive characteristics of a type, period, or method of construction of aesthetic or historic significance in the city and is not a significant and distinguishable building entity whose components may lack individual distinction;
  - Staff opines that the structures in question have no distinctive characteristics of a type or method of construction and are not significant to the overall historic character of the urban block.
- 2 Is not specifically associated with events that have made a significant contribution to local, state, or national history;
  - It is staff understanding that no significant events have ever happened in the structure that has contributed to local, state, or national history.
- 3 Has no significant character, interest, or value as part of the development, heritage, or cultural characteristics of the city, state or nation, and is not associated with the life of a person significant in the past;

The structures in question have no significant value as part of a development, heritage, or cultural record of the city. The large front yard creates a void in the well-defined urban block façade.

4 Is not the site of a historic event with a significant effect upon society;

The site is not associated to any significant event.

5 Does not exemplify the cultural, political, economic, social, or historic heritage of the city;

The structures in question are not an example of any social, cultural, or historic heritage of the city.

6 Does not portray the environment in an era of history characterized by a distinctive architectural style;

The structures in question are not an example of a distinctive architectural style.

7 If a part of or related to a square, park, or other distinctive area, nevertheless should not be developed or preserved according to a plan based on the area's historic, cultural, natural, or architectural motif;

The structures in question are not part of a park, square or distinctive area in the city.


8 Does not have a unique location or singular physical characteristic which represents an established and familiar visual feature of its neighborhood or of the city, and does not exemplify the best remaining architectural type in a neighborhood; and

Although the spacious front yard is a significant visual feature in the site, as it is different to the streetscape found in the urban block, staff opines that this unique feature does not contribute to the significance and traditional urban patterns found in the district.

9 Has not yielded, and is not likely to yield, information important in history.

The structures in question do not yield important information in history.

The proposed removal of structures meets the cited criteria for demolition. If approved this will be the last required public meeting for demolition.



Two-story height and proportions comparison.



# APPLICATION

# HARC MAJOR PROJECTS CERTIFICATE OF APPROPRIATENESS \$400 NON-REFUNDABLE BASE APPLICATION FEE - OTHER FEES MAY BE APPLICABLE

OF THE CONTRACT OF THE CONTRAC

NAME ON DEED:

ADDRESS OF PROPOSED PROJECT:

City of Key West

1300 WHITE STREET KEY WEST, FLORIDA 33040

HARC COA # 18-00300014	REVISION #	INITIAL & DATE
FLOOD ZONE	ZONING DISTRICT	BLDG PERMIT #

WEST

# A PRE-APPLICATION MEETING WITH HARC STAFF IS REQUIRED PRIOR TO SUBMITTAL

	72 K WIAID LLC	
OWNER'S MAILING ADDRESS:	618 WHITELTEAD ST.	SENGUPTAL @ AOL, COM
	KEY WEST, FL 33040	
APPLICANT NAME:	WILLIAM SHEPLER	PHONE NUMBER 305-890-6191
APPLICANT'S ADDRESS:	201 FRONT ST., SUITE 20	EMAIL
APPLICANT'S SIGNATURE;	Cus	DATE 2 6/18
ANY PERSON THAT MAKES CH	ANGES TO AN APPROVED CERTIFICATE OF APPROPR	RIATENESS MUST SUBMIT A NEW APPLICATION
FLORIDA STATUTE 837.06: WHOEVER KNO PERFORMANCE OF HIS OR HER OFFICIAL THE APPLICANT FURTHER HEREBY ACKN CONTEMPLATED BY THE APPLICANT AND EXCEEDING THE SCOPE OF THE DESCRIF	DWINGLY MAKES A FALSE STATEMENT IN WRITING AND WITH TO DUTY SHALL BE GUILTY OF A MISDEMEANOR OF THE SECOND OWLEDGES THAT THE SCOPE OF WORK AS DESCRIBED IN THE THE CITY. THE APPLICANT FURTHER STIPULATES THAT SHOUPTION OF WORK, AS DESCRIBED HEREIN, AND IF THERE IS CONTROPORTIONED DESCRIPTION OF WORK SHALL BE CONTROPORT.	THE INTENT TO MISLEAD A PUBLIC SERVANT IN THE D DEGREE PUNISHABLE PER SECTION 775.082 OR 775.083. E APPLICATION SHALL BE THE SCOPE OF WORK THAT IS JLD FURTHER ACTION BE TAKEN BY THE CITY FOR NFLICTING INFORMATION BETWEEN THE DESCRIPTION OF
PROJECT INVOLVES A CONTRIBUTI PROJECT INVOLVES A STRUCTURE	RELOCATION OF A STRUCT NG STRUCTURE: YES NO INVOLVES THAT IS INDIVIDUALLY LISTED ON THE NATIONAL RE	EGISTER: YES NO
	CRIPTION INCLUDING MATERIALS, HEIGHT, DIMENSIO	
	STORY WOOD FRAME	
	CARLO STATE	
HOUSE TO HAVE	F.C. SIDING, MUM.	GLASS IMPACT WINDS.
MAIN BUILDING:		
DEMOLITION (PLEASE FILL OUT AN	D ATTACH DEMOLITION APPENDIX):	Oper: VEYUVYC Type: RP Trauer: 1 Nate: 7/27/19 50 Receipt on: 8866
		PT * RUTI NIMO PERMITS-NEU
		Trans number: 1.00 \$500.00 122509 CX CHECK 1317 \$500.00
	Page 1 of 2	Trans date: 7/27/18 Time: 11:06:34

# APPLICATIONS MUST BE SUBMITTED IN PERSON WITH HARD COPIES BY 3PM ON THE SCHEDULED DEADLINE PLEASE SEND AN ELECTRONIC COPY OF ALL DOCUMENTS TO HARC@CITYOFKEYWEST-FL.GOV

ACCESSORY STRUCTURE	(S): 115 S.F	OPE	+ CABANA BT POOL	
-				
PAVERS: 9 X18	PARKING	SPACE	FENCES: WOOD PICKET FENC	ES -6'
			AR REAR & SIDES , 41	@ FRON
DECKS: REAR AT COUR-	DECK É	DECK	PAINTING:	
AT COUR-	TYARD			
SITE (INCLUDING GRADING		:	POOLS (INCLUDING EQUIPMENT):	
6" FILL A	FRONT		19'x 10:5 Pag AT	REMR
ACCESSORY EQUIPMENT (	GAS, A/C, VENTS, E	ГС.):	OTHER:	
A/C UNIT				
OFFICIAL USE ONLY:		HARC CO	MMISSION REVIEW	EXPIRES ON:
EETING DATE: / 2018	APPROVED _	NOT APPROV	/EDDEFERRED FOR FUTURE CONSIDERATION	INITIAL
EETING DATE!	APPROVED	NOT APPROV	/EDDEFERRED FOR FUTURE CONSIDERATION	INITIAL:
EETING DATE:	APPROVED	NOT APPROV		INITIAL:
EASONS OR CONDITIONS:				1
TAFF REVIEW COMMENTS:	Bui lithing	historic	but not contributi	n
Guidelines	per ra	u aonst	cetion, pools, decks, pu of Mistoric shudwas	nees.
RST READING FOR DEMO:	for alm	bution	SECOND READING FOR DEMO:	•
ARC STAFF SIGNATURE AND DAT	E:	-	HARC CHAIRPERSON SIGNATURE AND DATE:	

THIS APPLICATION MAY BE REVIEWED BY PLANNING DEPARTMENT STAFF.

# HARC Certificate of Appropriatness: Demolition Appendix



# City of Key West

1300 WHITE STREET KEY WEST, FLORIDA 33040

HARC COA #	INITIAL & DATE	
ZONING DISTRICT	BLDG PERMIT #	

<b>ADDRESS</b>	OF	PROPOSED	PRO	IECT.

PROPERTY OWNER'S NAME:

APPLICANT NAME:

903 EATON ST., KEY WEST FL 33040

J & K ESTATES LLC

MILLIAM SHEPLER, ARCHITECT

I hereby certify I am the owner of record and that the work shall conform to all applicable laws of this jurisdiction. By receiving a Certificate of Appropriateness, I realize that this project will require a Building Permit approval PRIOR to proceeding with the work outlined above and that a final inspection is required under this application. I also understand that any changes to an approved Certificate of Appropriateness must be submitted for review.

PROPERTY OWNER'S SIGNATURE

Pollets Songesto.

01/29/18

DATE AND PRINT NAME

# DETAILED PROJECT DESCRIPTION OF DEMOLITION

DEMOLISH DILAPIDATED ONE STORY C.B.S. HOUSE AND ATTACHED CARPORT. & ANY ASSOCIATED STAIRS, DECKS, PORCHES ETC.

# CRITERIA FOR DEMOLITION OF CONTRIBUTING OR HISTORIC STRUCTURES:

Before any Certificate of Appropriateness may be issued for a demolition request, the Historic Architectural Review Commission must find that the following requirements are met (please review and comment on each criterion that applies);

- (1) If the subject of the application is a contributing or historic building or structure, then it should not be demolished unless its condition is irrevocably compromised by extreme deterioration or it does not meet any of the following criteria:
  - (a) The existing condition of the building or structure is irrevocably compromised by extreme deterioration.


YES- IT WOULD BE TECHNICALLY INSEASIBLE TO RAISE
THE STRUCTURE TO BE COMPLIANT WITH PEMA

- (2) Or explain how the building or structure meets the criteria below:
  - (a) Embodies no distinctive characteristics of a type, period, or method of construction of aesthetic or historic significance in the city and is not a significant and distinguishable building entity whose components may lack individual distinction.


OR DESIGN FEATURES WHICH WOULD PLAKE IT DIDSTINGTIVE

	provided in Section 102-218 of the Land Development Regulations and Chapter 14 of the Code of Ordinances.
	(b) Is not specifically associated with events that have made a significant contribution to local, state, or national history.
	NO IT IS NOT.
	(c) Has no significant character, interest, or value as part fo the development, heritage, or cultural characteristics of the cit
	state or nation, and is not associated with the life of a person significant in the past.
_	
	(d) Is not the site of a historic event with significant effect upon society.
	NO IT 19 HOT.
	(e) Does not exemplify the cultural, political, economic, social, or historic heritage of the city.
	NO.
	(f) Does not portray the environment in an era of history characterized by a distinctive architectural style.
	NO.
	(g) If a part of or related to a square, park, or other distinctive area, nevertheless should not be developed or preserved
-	according to a plan based on the area's historic, cultural, natural, or architectural motif.


740 11	pass	NOT.
(i) Has not yie	elded, and is no	t likely to yield, information important in history.
NO.		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
		MOLITION OF NON-CONTRIBUTING OR NON-HISTORIC STRUCTURES:
omment on each criterio	n that applies)	
) Removing buildings or s naracter is diminished.	tructures that a	re important in defining the overall historic character of a district or neighborhood so that the
Removing historic buildir	ngs or structures	s and thus destroying the historic relationship between buildings or structures and open space.
M	4	
Removing an historic buil portant in defining the history	ding or structure oric character of	e in a complex; or removing a building facade; or removing a significant later addition that is fa site or the surrounding district or neighborhood.
	4	
Removing buildings or str	uctures that wo	and otherwise quality as contributing.



1926 Sanborn Map



1948 Sanborn Map



1962 Sanborn Map

# PROJECT PHOTOS
































OLLOWING IS A LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS THAT MAY BE FOUND ON THIS SHEET.

3ACK-FLOW PREVENTER LOW OUT LCULATED 2' CONCRETE CURB & GUTTER ONCRETE BLOCK
CONCRETE BLOCK WALL
= CONCRETE BLOCK RETAINING WALL JRB INLET ENTERLINE CHAINLINK FENCE CONCRETE MONUMENT

CONC = CONCRETE
CVRD = COVERED
D = DEED
DEASE = DRAINAGE EASEMENT
DMH = DRAINAGE MANHOLE EB = ELECTRIC BOX EL = ELEVATION ELEV = ELEVATED EM = ELECTRIC METER ENCL = ENCLOSURE FFE = FINISHED FLOOR ELEVATION FH = FIRE HYDRANT

FI = FENCE INSIDE FI = FENCE INSIDE
FND = FOUND
FN8D = FOUND NAIL & DISK (#XXXX)
FO = FENCE OUTSIDE
FOL = FENCE ON LINE
GB = GRADE BREAK
GI = GRADE BREAK
GI = GROUND LEVEL
GW = GIV WIRF GW = GUY WIRE HB = HOSE BIB

IP = IRON PIPE

IN = IRON ROD
L = ARC LENGTH
LE = LOWER ENCLOSURE
LS = LANDSCAPING
M = MEASURED
MB = MAILBOX MHWL = MEAN HIGH WATER LINE MTLF = METAL FENCE P = PLAT PC = POINT OF CURVE PC = POINT OF CURVE
PCC = POINT OF COMPOUND CURVE
PCP = PERMANENT CONTROL POINT
PI = POINT OF INTERSECTION
PK = PARKER KALON NAIL


IR = IRON ROD

PM = PARKING METER POB = POINT OF BEGINNING POC = POINT OF COMMENCEMENT PRC = POINT OF REVERSE CURVE PRM = PERMANENT REFERENCE MONUMENT


SV = SEWER VALVE
TB = TELEPHONE BOX
TBM = TIDAL BENCHMARK
TMH = TELEPHONE MANHOLE MONUMENT
PT = POINT OF TANGENT
R = RADIUS
ROL = ROOF OVERHANG LINE
ROWL = RIGHT OF WAY LINE
R/W = RIGHT OF WAY
SCO = SANITARY CLEAN-OUT
SN&D = SET NAIL & DISK LB 7846 TMM = TELEPHONE MANHOLE
TOB = TOP OF BANK
TOS = TOE OF SLOPE
TS = TRAFFIC SIGN
TYP = TYPICAL
UEASE = UTILITY EASEMENT
UPC = CONCRETE UTILITY POLE
UPM = METAL UTILITY POLE
UPW = WOOD UTILITY POLE SIR = SET IRON ROD  $\frac{1}{2}$ " LB 7846

VB = VIDEO BOX WD = WOOD DECK WDF = WOOD FENCE WL = WOOD LANDING WM = WATER METER WV = WATER VALVE


# REVISED DESIGN











KEY WEST, FL

FAMILY RESIDENCE

Drawing Size Project #
24x36 Project #

903


STREET-SCAPES


Sheet Number:

Date: - DECEMBER 10, 2018

# ZONING CALCULATIONS

	ALLOWED	EXISTING	PROPOSED	COMPLIAN
HEIGHT	30'	N/A	29'	Yes
BUILDING COVERAGE	40%	1,247 s.f. (23%)	2,085 s.f. (39%)	Yes
IMPERVIOUS SURFACE RATIO	60%	N/A	2,282 s.f.( 43%)	Yes
LOT SIZE	Min. 4,000 s.f.	5,300 s.f.	N/A	Yes
LOT WIDTH	Min. 40'	50'	N/A	Yes
LOT DEPTH	Min. 90'	106'	N/A	Yes
FRONT SETBACK	Min. 10'	73'	10'	Yes
SIDE SETBACK (EAST)	Min. 5'	0'*	5'-3"	Yes
SIDE SETBACK (WEST)	Min. 5'	14'-8"	6'4'	Yes
REAR SETBACK	Min. 15'	9'-5"	15'	Yes
OPEN SPACE	Min. 35%	4,053 s.f. (43%)	3,180s.f. (60%)	Yes





FIRST FLOOR PLAN - SITE PLAN


SCALE: 1/4"=1'-0"



903 Eaton Street Key West, FL 33040 Tel: 305-735-3131 Email: info@wshepler.com

Seal:

Consultants:



Meridian Engineering LLC

AUTHORIZATION #29401 ph:305-293-3263 fax:293-4899

Submissions / Revisions:

H.A.R.C. SUBMISSION: 1.29.2018

H.A.R.C. REV. 1: 9.26.2018

H.A.R.C. REV. 2: 12.5.2018

STREET

903

Drawing Size Project 24x36 Project Pro


Title:

FLOOR PLAN

heet Number:

**A-2.1** 

©2017 by William Shepler Architect








903 Eaton Street Key West, FL 33040 Tel: 305-735-3131 Email: info@wshepler.com

Seal:

Consultants:



Meridian Engineering LLC

AUTHORIZATION #29401 ph:305-293-3263 fax:293-4899 Submissions / Revisions:

H.A.R.C. SUBMISSION: 1.29.2018
H.A.R.C. REV. 1: 9.26.2018
H.A.R.C. REV. 2: 12.6.2018

TON ST

903 EATO

Drawing Size 24x36

|-

FLOOR PLAN

heet Number:

**A-2.2** 


Date: - DECEMBER 6, 2018











903 EATON STREET

KEY WEST, FL

NEW SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENCE

Drawing Size 24x36	Project 17038
T'41	

EXTERIOR ELEVATIONS


eet Number:
A-3.1


Date: - DECEMBER 6, 2018











# 3 EATON STREET KEY WEST, FL SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENCE

Drawing Size	Pro
24x36	1

903

EXTERIOR ELEVATIONS

eet Number:

A-3.2

Date: - DECEMBER 6, 2018

The Historic Architectural Review Commission will hold a public meeting at <u>5:30 p.m.</u>, <u>November 27, 2018 at City Hall, 1300 White Street</u>, Key West, Florida. The purpose of the hearing will be to consider a request for:

NEW ONE AND A HALF AND TWO-STORY WOOD FRAME HOUSE. NEW POOL CABANA, POOL, DECKS, AND SITE IMPROVEMENTS. DEMOLITION OF EXISTING ONE-STORY CBS HOUSE, CARPORT, STAIRS, DECKS, AND PORCHES.

# **#903 Eaton Street**

Applicant – William Shepler, Architects Application #H2018-0014

If you wish to see the application or have any questions, you may visit the Planning Department during regular office hours at 1300 White Street, call 305-809-3973 or visit our website at www.citvofkeywest-fl.gov.

# THIS NOTICE CAN NOT BE REMOVED FROM THE SITE UNTIL HARC FINAL DETERMINATION

ADA ASSISTANCE: It is the policy of the City of Key West to comply with all requirements of the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA). Please call the TTY number at 800-955-8771 or 800-955-8770 (Voice) or the ADA Coordinator at 305-809-3811 at least five business days in advance for sign language interpreters, assistive listening devices, or materials in accessible format.

# PROPERTY APPRAISER INFORMATION



## Disclaimer

The Monroe County Property Appraiser's office maintains data on property within the County solely for the purpose of fulfilling its responsibility to secure a just valuation for ad valorem tax purposes of all property within the County. The Monroe County Property Appraiser's office cannot guarantee its accuracy for any other purpose. Likewise, data provided regarding one tax year may not be applicable in prior or subsequent years. By requesting such data, you hereby understand and agree that the data is intended for ad valorem tax purposes only and should not be relied on for any other purpose.

By continuing into this site you assert that you have read and agree to the above statement.

# Summary

00002930-000000 Parcel ID Account # 1003026 1003026 Property ID Millage Group PT of Key West Location 903 EATON St, KEY WEST

Address

Legal Description

KW PT LT 4 SQR 20 G68-209 G37-263/264 OR22-427/28 OR107-328/29 OR539-967/69 OR795-1654 OR593-967 OR665-696 OR1160-517/18 OR1879-2186/87C OR2488-130/31ORD OR2602 159ORD OR2621-2393ORDOR2643-2194/96 OR2643-2201/03B OR2878-2242/43

(Note: Not to be used on legal documents)

Neighborhood 6108

**Property Class** 

SINGLE FAMILY RESID (0100) Subdivision

Sec/Twp/Rng Affordable Housing

06/68/25



# Owner

JAND K ESTATES LLC. BUNZON 44 PLA DEL BOU SAGUNTO VALENCIA OC 46500-OC

# Valuation

	2018	2017	2016	2015
+ Market Improvement Value	\$53,972	\$78,916	\$72,561	\$74,062
+ Market Misc Value	\$1,957	\$1,957	\$2,017	\$1,808
+ Market Land Value	\$573,990	\$573,990	\$508,042	\$512,083
= Just Market Value	\$629,919	\$654,863	\$582,620	\$587,953
= Total Assessed Value	\$629,919	\$640,094	\$582,620	\$587,953
- School Exempt Value	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
= School Taxable Value	\$629.919	\$654.863	\$582.620	\$587.953

# Land

Land Use	Number of Units	Unit Type	Frontage	Depth
RESIDENTIAL DRY (010D)	5,300.00	Square Foot	50	106

# **Buildings**

Building ID 140 GROUND LEVEL Style Building Type S.F.R. - R1 / R1 Gross Sq Ft 1341 Finished Sq Ft 761 Stories 1 Floor Condition **AVERAGE** Perimeter **Functional Obs Economic Obs** Depreciation %

Interior Walls WD PANL/CUSTOM

**Exterior Walls** WD FR STUCCO Year Built 1954 **EffectiveYearBuilt** 1979 Foundation CONCRETE SLAB Roof Type FLAT OR SHED ASPHALT SHINGL Roof Coverage CONC ABOVE GRD Flooring Type Heating Type FCD/AIR NON-DC

Bedrooms Full Bathrooms Half Bathrooms 400 Number of Fire PI

Code	Description	Sketch Area	Finished Area	Perimeter
CPF	CARPORT FIN	420	0	0
FLA	FLOOR LIV AREA	761	761	0
SBF	UTIL FIN BLK	160	0	0
TOTAL		1,341	761	0

# Yard Items

Description	Year Built	Roll Year	Quantity	Units	Grade
BRICK PATIO	1986	1987	1	120 SF	1
CONC PATIO	1986	1987	1	195 SF	2
FENCES	1986	1987	1	438 SF	2


# Sales

Sale Date	Sale Price	Instrument	Instrument Number	Deed Book	Deed Page	Sale Qualification	Vacant or Improved
11/10/2017	\$700,000	Warranty Deed	2143322	2878	2242	02 - Qualified	Improved
8/2/2013	\$400,000	Warranty Deed		2643	2201	19 - Unqualified	Improved
8/2/2013	\$100	Warranty Deed		2643	2194	11 - Unqualified	Improved
9/27/2012	\$0	Order (to be used for Order Det. Heirs, Probate in		2621	2393	11 - Unqualified	Improved
10/15/2010	\$0	Order (to be used for Order Det. Heirs, Probate in		2488	130	11 - Unqualified	Improved

# **Permits**

Notes <b>♦</b>	Permit Type 🕏	Amount <b>♦</b>	Date Completed <b>♦</b>	Date Issued <b>♦</b>	Number <b>♦</b>
ROOFING WITH DORMERS 9/12 PITCH C-CRIMP	Residential	\$2,500	8/8/2006	3/28/2006	06-2059
RE4PLACE OLD ROOF WITH V-CRIMP METAL	Residential	\$35,000	8/8/2006	3/28/2006	06-2060
PLUMBLING	Residential	\$800	9/8/2004	7/29/2004	03-2635

# Sketches (click to enlarge)



Photos



# Мар



# **TRIM Notice**

Trim Notice

2018 Notices Only

 $\textbf{No data available for the following modules:} \ Commercial \ Buildings, \ Mobile \ Home \ Buildings, \ Exemptions.$ 

The Monroe County Property Appraiser's office maintains data on property within the County solely for the purpose of fulfilling its responsibility to secure a just valuation for ad valorem tax purposes of all property within the County. The Monroe County Property Appraiser's office cannot guarantee its accuracy for any other purpose. Likewise, data provided regarding one tax year may not be applicable in prior or subsequent years. By requesting such data, you hereby understand and agree that the

Last Data Upload: 11/14/2018 1:49:36 AM

