



Legislation Details (With Text)

File #: 19-3824 **Version:** 1 **Name:** 18-00001704 1007 United St.
Type: Action Items **Status:** Held in Committee
File created: 3/27/2019 **In control:** Contractors Examining Board
On agenda: 4/3/2019 **Final action:**

Title: (Hearing)
Case: #18-00001704
Address: 1007 United St.
Contractor: Calulaco Construction, Inc.
Code Officer: Paul A. Navarro
Building Inspector: Gerald (Lenny) Leggett

Date of Violation(s):
Case: #18-00001704 - February 2018

Code Violations:
Count 1: Sec. 14-37 -Building without a permit

Factual Allegations for the Charges:
Calulaco Construction Inc. applied for the above referenced permit application. The permit application was granted for the replacement of 820 square feet of deck boards only. Code Compliance received a complaint that work was performed beyond the scope of the permit. Code Compliance has an active case CC2018-01660 for the replacement of the entire deck new foundations, joist and additional square footage. This permit has been revised but unfortunately has not been approved due to the requirement of engineered drawings the contractor has failed to provide.

Sponsors: Ron Wampler

Indexes:

Code sections:

Attachments: 1. Calulaco Construction, 2. Certified Mail

Date	Ver.	Action By	Action	Result
4/3/2019	1	Contractors Examining Board	Postponed	Pass

(Hearing)
Case: #18-00001704
Address: 1007 United St.
Contractor: Calulaco Construction, Inc.
Code Officer: Paul A. Navarro
Building Inspector: Gerald (Lenny) Leggett

Date of Violation(s):
Case: #18-00001704 - February 2018

Code Violations:
Count 1: Sec. 14-37 -Building without a permit

Factual Allegations for the Charges:

Calulaco Construction Inc. applied for the above referenced permit application. The permit application was granted for the replacement of 820 square feet of deck boards only. Code Compliance received a complaint that work was performed beyond the scope of the permit. Code Compliance has an active case CC2018-01660 for the replacement of the entire deck new foundations, joist and additional square footage. This permit has been revised but unfortunately has not been approved due to the requirement of engineered drawings the contractor has failed to provide.