

RESOLUTION NO. 14-085

A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COMMISSION OF THE CITY OF KEY WEST, FLORIDA, AUTHORIZING A ONE-YEAR EXTENSION OF THE "AGREEMENT FOR ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES" WITH EMPLOYERS MUTUAL, INC. (EMI), AS THE CITY'S INSURANCE PROGRAM THIRD PARTY CLAIMS ADMINISTRATOR FOR THE POLICY PERIOD OF 10/01/2014 - 9/30/2015, PURSUANT TO SECTION 2-797(4)(b), BEST INTERESTS OF THE CITY; PROVIDING FOR AN EFFECTIVE DATE

WHEREAS, in Resolution No. 10-113, the City Commission ratified an "Agreement for Administrative Services" for policy period 2009/2010 with EMI, Inc., including an option for up to four annual renewals; and

WHEREAS, in Resolution No. 13-232, the City Commission approved the last of four one-year renewals contemplated in the original Agreement; and

WHEREAS, the City Commission finds that pursuant to section 2-797(4)(b) of the Code of Ordinances, it is in the best interests of the City to approve an additional one-year extension for policy year 10/01-2014 - 9/30/2015.

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COMMISSION OF THE CITY OF KEY WEST, FLORIDA, AS FOLLOWS:

Section 1: That the "Agreement for Administrative Services" between the City and EMI, is hereby extended for the policy

period of 10/1/2014 - 9/30/2015, pursuant to section 2-797(4)(b), best interests of the City.

Section 2: That this Resolution shall go into effect immediately upon its passage and adoption and authentication by the signature of the presiding officer and the Clerk of the Commission.

Passed and adopted by the City Commission at a meeting held this 18th day of March, 2014.

Authenticated by the presiding officer and Clerk of the Commission on March 20, 2014.

Filed with the Clerk March 20, 2014.

Mayor Craig Cates	<u>Yes</u>
Vice Mayor Mark Rossi	<u>Yes</u>
Commissioner Teri Johnston	<u>Yes</u>
Commissioner Clayton Lopez	<u>Yes</u>
Commissioner Billy Wardlow	<u>Yes</u>
Commissioner Jimmy Weekley	<u>Yes</u>
Commissioner Tony Yaniz	<u>Yes</u>



CRAIG CATES, MAYOR

ATTEST:



CHERYL SMITH, CITY CLERK



CITY MANAGER'S OFFICE MEMORANDUM

To: Mayor and City Commission
From: Bob Vitas, City Manager
Date: February 24, 2014
Subject: Extension of Third Party Administrator (TPA) Services (Property, Casualty and Workers Compensation Program) for Policy Period FY 2014-15 with Employers Mutual, Inc. (EMI)

ACTION STATEMENT:

Pursuant to City of Key West Code of Ordinances 2-797 Exemptions, (4)(b), Best Interests of the City, request the City Commission approve and extend Third Party Administrator (TPA) services for the administration of the City's property, casualty and workers compensation claims program with Employers Mutual, Inc. (EMI) for the policy period of 10/01/2014 – 10/01/2015.

BACKGROUND:

On September 15, 2009 the City Commission adopted Resolution No. 09-246 authorizing the acceptance of Employers Mutual, Inc. (EMI's) proposal to serve as the City's insurance (property, casualty and workers compensation) program Third Party Administrator for policy year 2009/2010 with four (4) additional years. The intent of the RFP was to provide the City the opportunity to annually re-evaluate the services provided by EMI and to exercise its option for renewal in subsequent policy periods based on their performance. Policy Year 2013-14 represented the final one year period that could be exercised by the City pursuant to Resolution No. 09-246 and RFP 09-011. With the recent resignation/retirement of the two primary City administrators who provided oversight for such services and the challenge their departure would create with respect to the construction a solicitation, releasing the solicitation and making an award for similar third party services, it is my strong recommendation the City retain for one additional policy period the services of EMI.

2009- Current Services Provided by EMI

Throughout their tenure with the City EMI has proved to be a responsive and readily available service partner in providing the City with its expertise in claim's management, timely and active interaction with claimants and working closely with both the Legal and Risk Management staff. Employers Mutual, Inc. (EMI) has assisted Risk Management in implementing a more aggressive litigation defense program on all claims which include those claims made under the Heart and Lung Act. Adjusters work hand in hand with City Attorneys to pursue claims closure as quickly as possible.

FINANCIAL IMPACT:

Employers Mutual, Inc. (EMI) charges a flat fee for each claim administered, dependent on the type of claim. Such fees were established during the Request for Proposal process and were one of the factors considered in the award determination. The fee charged for the administration of each claim is still considered fair and reasonable and consistent with fees proposed under the RFP and additionally consistent with those fees charged by Employers Mutual, Inc. (EMI) of Florida's contemporaries. The FY 2014-15 proposed insurance budget, when approved, will contain amounts sufficient to cover the number of claims projected to be administered in the FY 2015 operating period.

RECOMMENDATION:

Request the City Commission extend the City's agreement with Employers Mutual, Inc. (EMI) for the renewal period of 2014-2015 as allowed for in the RFP No. 09-011.

RESOLUTION NO. 13-232

A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COMMISSION OF THE CITY OF KEY WEST, FLORIDA, RENEWING THE "AGREEMENT FOR ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES" WITH EMPLOYERS MUTUAL, INC. (EMI), AS THE CITY'S INSURANCE PROGRAM THIRD PARTY CLAIMS ADMINISTRATOR FOR THE POLICY PERIOD OF 10/01/2013 - 10/01/2014; PROVIDING FOR AN EFFECTIVE DATE

WHEREAS, in Resolution No. 09-246, the City approved the proposal of EMI, Inc. in response to #09-011 requesting proposals for "third party claim administration"; and

WHEREAS, in Resolution No. 10-113, the City Commission ratified an "Agreement for Administrative Services" with EMI, Inc., including an option for up to four annual renewals; and

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COMMISSION OF THE CITY OF KEY WEST, FLORIDA, AS FOLLOWS:

Section 1: That the "Agreement for Administrative Services" between the City and Employers Mutual Inc. (IMA), is hereby renewed for the policy period of 10/01/2013 - 10/01-2014.

Section 2: That this Resolution shall go into effect immediately upon its passage and adoption and authentication by the signature of the presiding officer and the Clerk of the Commission.

Passed and adopted by the City Commission at a meeting held this 17 day of September, 2013.

Authenticated by the presiding officer and Clerk of the Commission on September 18, 2013.

Filed with the Clerk September 18, 2013.



CRAIG CATES, MAYOR

ATTEST:




CHERYL SMITH, CITY CLERK



CITY MANAGER'S OFFICE MEMORANDUM

To: Bob Vitas, City Manager

From: Mark Z. Finigan, Assistant City Manager 

Date: September 9, 2013

Subject: Renewal of 2013-2014 Third Party Administrator (TPA) Services
(Property, Casualty and Workers Compensation Program)

ACTION STATEMENT:

Request the City Commission approve and extend the Third Party Administrator (TPA) services for the administration of City's property, casualty and workers compensation claims program with Employers Mutual, Inc. (EMI) for the policy period of 10/01/2013 – 10/01/2014 in accordance with the Request for Proposal (RFP #09-011) published in April 2009: *"It is the intent of the City to agree to a one (1) year term with rights to renew with the successful proposer for four (4) additional one-year term at the sole option of the City."*

BACKGROUND:

On September 15, 2009 the City Commission adopted Resolution No. 09-246 authorizing the acceptance of Employers Mutual, Inc. (EMI's) proposal to serve as the City's insurance (property, casualty and workers compensation) program Third Party Administrator for policy year 2009/2010 with four (4) additional years. The intent of the RFP was to provide the City the opportunity to annually re-evaluate the services provided by EMI and to exercise its option for renewal in subsequent policy periods based on their performance. Policy Year 2013-14 represents the final one year period that can be exercised by the City pursuant to Resolution No. 09-246 and RFP 09-011. It is the intent of the City to rebid Third Party Administrator services for Fiscal Year 2014-15 and possibly beyond.

2009-2013 Services Provided by EMI

Throughout their tenure with the City EMI has proved to be a responsive and readily available service partner in providing the City with its expertise in claim's management, timely and active interaction with claimants and working closely with both the Legal and Risk Management staff. Employers Mutual, Inc. (EMI) has assisted Risk Management in implementing a more aggressive litigation defense program on all claims which include those claims made under the Heart and Lung Act. Adjusters work hand in hand with City Attorneys to pursue claims closure as quickly as possible.

FINANCIAL IMPACT:

Employers Mutual, Inc. (EMI) charges a flat fee for each claim administered, dependent on the type of claim. Such fees were established during the Request for Proposal process and were one of the factors considered in the award determination. The fee charged for the administration of each claim is still considered fair and reasonable and consistent with fees proposed under the RFP and additionally consistent with those fees charged by Employers Mutual, Inc. (EMI) of Florida's contemporaries. The FY 2013-14 proposed budget contains amounts sufficient to cover the number of claims projected to be administered in the FY 2014 operating period.

RECOMMENDATION:

Request the City Commission extend the City's agreement with Employers Mutual, Inc. (EMI) for the renewal period of 2013-2014 as allowed for in the RFP No. 09-011.

RESOLUTION NO. 12-140

A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COMMISSION OF THE CITY OF KEY WEST, FLORIDA, RENEWING THE "AGREEMENT FOR ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES" WITH EMPLOYERS MUTUAL, INC. (EMI), AS THE CITY'S INSURANCE PROGRAM THIRD PARTY CLAIMS ADMINISTRATOR FOR THE POLICY PERIOD OF 10/01/2012 - 10/01/2013; PROVIDING FOR AN EFFECTIVE DATE

WHEREAS, in Resolution No. 09-246, the City approved the proposal of EMI, Inc. in response to #09-011 requesting proposals for "third party claim administration"; and

WHEREAS, in Resolution No. 10-113, the City Commission ratified an "Agreement for Administrative Services" with EMI, Inc., including an option for up to four annual renewals; and

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COMMISSION OF THE CITY OF KEY WEST, FLORIDA, AS FOLLOWS:

Section 1: That the "Agreement for Administrative Services" between the City and Employers Mutual Inc. (IMA), is hereby renewed for the policy period of 10/01/2012 - 10/01-2013.

Section 2: That this Resolution shall go into effect immediately upon its passage and adoption and authentication by the signature of the presiding officer and the Clerk of the Commission.

Passed and adopted by the City Commission at a meeting held this 1st day of May, 2012.

Authenticated by the presiding officer and Clerk of the Commission on May 2, 2012.

Filed with the Clerk May 2, 2012.



CRAIG CATES, MAYOR

ATTEST:



CHERYL SMITH CITY CLERK



CITY MANAGER'S OFFICE MEMORANDUM

To: Jim Scholl, City Manager
From: Mark Z. Finigan, Assistant City Manager
Date: April 9, 2012
Subject: Renewal of FY 2012-2013 Third Party Administrator (TPA) Services

ACTION STATEMENT:

Request City Commission approve and extend the Third Party Administrator services of existing TPA servicing agent, Employers Mutual, Inc (EMI) for the policy period of 10/01/2012 – 10/01/2013 in accordance with the Request for Proposal (RFP #09-011) published in April 2009: *"It is the intent of the City to agree to a one (1) year term with rights to renew with the successful proposer for four (4) additional one-year term at the sole option of the City. Consideration may be given to longer-term agreements based on price, terms and conditions."*

BACKGROUND:

At the September 15, 2009 City Commission meeting the City Commission adopted Resolution No. 09-246 authorizing the acceptance of Employers Mutual, Inc. (EMI's) proposal to serve as the City's insurance (property, casualty and workers compensation) program Third Party Administrator for policy year 2009/2010 with the provision for four (4) additional years. The intent of the RFP was to provide the City the opportunity to annually re-evaluate the services provided by EMI and to exercise its option for renewal in subsequent policy periods based on their performance.

2009-2012 Services Provided by EMI

Throughout their tenure with the City EMI has proved to be a responsive and readily available service partner in providing the City with its expertise in claim's management, timely and active interaction with claimants and working closely with both the Legal and Risk Management staff. EMI has assisted Risk Management in implementing a more aggressive litigation defense program on all type claims. EMI's adjusters work hand in hand with City Attorneys to pursue claims closure as quickly as possible and in the best financial interest of the City.

FINANCIAL IMPACT:

In 2009-2010 Fiscal Period EMI began providing the City with Third Party Claims Administration (TPA) Services for all new claims as well as assuming the service for all open and existing claims. Within the initial take over period EMI changed the classification of 40 or more indemnity claims to medical only claims to more closely conform to Florida 440 Statutory law. This re-classification resulted in an immediate cost savings by reducing the amount of monetary reserves

which had been posted against those claims. They also took an aggressive approach in reviewing and closing dozens of inactive claims yielding a return of allocated reserves back to the City.

TPA Services - Claim Set-up Fees

EMI's services are provided on a flat fee basis. For example: once a claim is reported to EMI their charge is based on a one time set-up cost per type of claim and no further adjusting services are paid. By comparison, claim count and costs for the period of FY2007, FY2008 and FY2009 (Prior TPA) and FY2010 and FY2011 (EMI) are as follows:

	<u>Liability</u>	<u>Workers' Comp</u>	<u>Totals</u>
<u>Prior TPA Claim Set-Up Fees:</u>			
▪ FY 2007 - Claim Count:	37	127	164
Set-Up Fees:	\$23,285	\$63,902	\$87,187
▪ FY 2008 - Claim Count:	51	110	161
Set-Up Fees:	\$39,731	\$68,000	\$107,740
▪ FY 2009 - Claim Count:	28	98	126
Set-Up Fees:	\$24,836	\$60,202	\$85,038
<u>EMI Claim Set-Up Fees:</u>			
▪ FY 2010 - Claim Count:	23	75	98
Set-Up Fees:	\$15,700	\$28,950	\$44,650
▪ FY 2011 - Claim Count:	26	78	104
Set-Up Fees:	\$18,385	\$26,419	\$44,805

EMI has also pursued an aggressive recovery for excess reimbursements due from Excess Carriers once a claim has exceeded the City's SIR. To date, EMI has recovered \$253,750.

RECOMMENDATION:

Request the City Commission to extend the City's agreement with Employers Mutual, Inc. (EMI) for the renewal period of Fiscal Year 2012-2013 as allowed for in the RFP No. 09-011.

RESOLUTION NO. 10-113

A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COMMISSION OF THE CITY OF KEY WEST, FLORIDA, RATIFYING THE ATTACHED AGREEMENT FOR ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES BETWEEN THE CITY OF KEY WEST AND EMPLOYERS MUTUAL, INC. (EMI) FOR SERVICES AS THE CITY'S INSURANCE PROGRAM THIRD PARTY ADMINISTRATOR (TPA); PROVIDING FOR AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

WHEREAS, in Resolution No. 09-246, the City Commission authorized the City Manager to negotiate an agreement with EMI for services as a third party administrator;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COMMISSION OF THE CITY OF KEY WEST, FLORIDA AS FOLLOWS:

Section 1: That the attached Agreement for Administrative Services is hereby ratified.

Section 2: That this Resolution shall go into effect immediately upon its passage and adoption and authentication by the signature of the Presiding Officer and the Clerk of the Commission.

Passed and adopted by the City Commission at a meeting held this 6th day of April, 2010.

Authenticated by the Presiding Officer and Clerk of the Commission on 7th day of April, 2010.

Filed with the Clerk on April 7, 2010


CRAIG CATES, MAYOR

ATTEST:


CHERYL SMITH, CITY CLERK



**CITY MANAGER'S OFFICE
MEMORANDUM**

TO: Jim Scholl, City Manager
FROM: Mark Z. Finigan, Assistant City Manager
DATE: March 7, 2010
SUBJECT: Ratification of Agreement with Employer Mutual, Inc.

ACTION STATEMENT:

This purpose of this resolution is to request ratification by the City Commission of the attached fully executed Agreement between the City of Key West and Employer Mutual, Inc. (EMI), for services rendered as the City's Insurance Program Third Party Claims Administrator (TPA).

BACKGROUND:

City Commission approved via Resolution 09-246 the recommended ranking of the Evaluation Committee for the engagement of Employer Mutual, Inc. (EMI). Pursuant to said resolution the City Manager was authorized to enter into negotiations with Employer Mutual, Inc. (EMI) and if successful in negotiating an agreement, execute said agreement and bring back before the City Commission for ratification. The resulting agreement is attached and presented to the City Commission for ratification. The agreement was reviewed and approved by the City's Legal Department before execution by the City Manager.

Employer Mutual, Inc. (EMI) has been providing services since October 1, 2009 as the third party administrator for all City insurance claims. To date, their service has been excellent. The delay in executing an agreement acceptable to both parties was centered on a protracted discussion/negotiation of certain terms and conditions which did not impact performance. Employer Mutual, Inc. (EMI) services from October 1, 2009 have been pursuant to the City's Request for Proposal and the proposal submitted by Employer Mutual, Inc. (EMI).

RECOMMENDATION:

Ratify the attached fully executed Agreement For Services between the City of Key West and Employer Mutual, Inc. (EMI), for services rendered as the City's Insurance Program Third Party Administrator (TPA).

AGREEMENT FOR ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES

THIS AGREEMENT, made and entered into by and between the City of Key West hereinafter referred to as the Client, and Employers Mutual Inc. (EMI), 700 Central Parkway, Stuart, FL 34994, a Florida Corporation, hereinafter referred to as Administrator.

WITNESSETH

WHEREAS, the Client desires to engage the services of Administrator to provide claim adjusting services for workers compensation and liability claims as described herein on behalf of the Client;

WHEREAS, Administrator is qualified and desires to provide the aforementioned services on behalf of the Client in accordance with this Agreement; and

NOW, THEREFORE, for and in consideration of the mutual covenants contained herein, the parties hereto do mutually agree as follows:

The above recitals are incorporated herein as if set forth here below.

I. SERVICES

A. CLAIMS ADMINISTRATION SERVICES

Client engages Administrator to provide the following and such other services as may be considered necessary and which are mutually acceptable to both parties:

- (i) Supervise and administer the open claims in compliance with applicable laws, rules and regulations governing the administration of self-insurance programs and imposed by the State of Florida. Claims will be timely adjusted and Administrator will be responsible for penalties if the penalty arises from the neglect of the Administrator.
- (ii) Provide qualified and experienced personnel capable of servicing the open claims of the Client. Administrator will maintain an office with toll-free telephone services and experienced employees.
- (iii) Complete processing of loss adjustments, investigations and settlements falling within the self-insured retention level. Submissions of all investigation reports, legal actions, court orders, or awards shall be provided to the Client together with recommendations to be taken in the event claims exceed the limits of authority of Administrator. Administrator must obtain prior approval from the Client, for all settlements.
- (iv) Coordinate investigations of and manage litigated claims with defense attorneys.

- (v) Develop subrogation possibilities and assist in the collection of same. Submit claims to reinsurance/excess carriers and assist in the recovery of such benefits (if any) on behalf of Client.
- (vi) In the event of termination of the contract, Administrator shall not have any responsibility or obligation to handle any claims beyond sixty (90) days after the termination date.
- (vii) Administrator shall comply with all notification and reporting requirements of the Client's excess insurers and shall pursue all Excess Recoveries in a timely fashion.
- (viii) Administrator shall pursue all available Second Disability Trust Fund recoveries from the State of Florida.
- (ix) Administrator shall complete and file on behalf of the Client, all State mandated reports.
- (x) Those Services described in the Client's Request for Proposal # 09-011 (Exhibit "A") and the ADMINISTRATOR Proposal (Exhibit B), dated August 24, 2009.

II. RESPONSIBILITIES OF THE CLIENT

The Client shall have and perform the following duties, obligations, and responsibilities to Administrator.

- (i) **Obligation & Responsibility for Payment.** The Client has the sole obligation and responsibility for funding the payment of claims made against the Client. Administrator assumes no duty to fund any such claims at any time and shall have no obligation to advance funds for such payment.
- (ii) **Deposit Account.** Prior to the commencement of the Agreement, the Client shall establish a bank account at a bank of the Client's choosing and this account will be used to process claim checks. The Client will be responsible for the proper funding of this account as well as all banking fees, bank interfaces and the bank reconciliations. Administrator shall use the funds deposited by the Client into the account to pay claim settlements within the discretionary settlement authority limit or as otherwise authorized by the Client, and to pay interim claim payments, indemnity payments, medical expenses and allocated expenses.
- (iii) **Management of Account.** It is the Client's responsibility to establish and replenish the bank account with funds sufficient to cover all claim payments. The Client may, at its discretion, increase or decrease the minimum funding levels based on actual claim activity. The bank account shall remain in effect until all claims activity ceases under this Agreement.

- (iv) Allocated Loss Adjustment Expense. Coverage costs shall include but not be limited to: cost of medical and/or indemnity payments, outside investigation of claims, surveillance, vocational rehabilitation, on-site case management, legal fees, court or hearing costs, depositions, documents and exhibits, witness fees, photography and other incidental and special costs, as approved by Client. Coverage costs shall be borne by the Client as normal claims related expenditures and shall be charged against the Deposit Account.
- (v) Instructions from Client. Administrator shall duly consider all written notices and recommendations made by Client relative to the administration of claims, including medical and litigation services with the understanding that the final authority rests with the Client. Administrator shall not be responsible or liable for any action or inaction of the Client, which is contrary to a lawful written recommendation or instruction by Administrator, applicable by law, and/or workers compensation law that causes any claim to not be properly adjusted, administered, and/or processed. Administrator will handle claims in accordance with the written handling procedures as produced by the Client.
- (vi) Audits. Client has the right to independently or via outside auditors review the Administrator's performance to insure compliance with the contract requirements and to insure the financial integrity of the program.

III. ADMINISTRATOR'S RESPONSIBILITY

Administrator shall have no responsibility, risk, liability or obligation for the funding of claims, losses, or liabilities. The responsibility and obligation for funding the program exposures shall be solely and totally the responsibility of the Client.

Administrator shall be liable for the recovery of claim processing errors arising from Administrator's performance pursuant to the terms of this Agreement. Administrator shall use diligent efforts toward the recovery of any loss therefrom. Administrator's liability, if any, shall be limited to the amount in excess of the claim amount(s) payable under the terms of the Agreement.

It is understood and agreed that Administrator is and shall remain an independent ADMINISTRATOR with respect to the services being performed by the Administrator pursuant to this Agreement and shall not for any purpose be deemed an employee of the Client, nor shall the relationship of the parties be deemed that of partners or joint ventures. Administrator does not assume any responsibility, risk, liability, or obligation for the general policy direction of the program, the adequacy of the funding thereof, or any act or omission or breach of duty by parties other than Administrator. Administrator shall not be deemed an insurer, underwriter or guarantor with respect to any expenses payable under the program. Administrator agrees to maintain the insurance requirements as set forth in the Client's RFP throughout the entirety of the life of this contract, including naming the Client as an additional insured in their general liability contract.

Insurance. ADMINISTRATOR shall maintain on file with the Client a certificate of the insurance of the carriers showing that the following insurance coverage's are in effect. The following coverage's shall be provided:

Worker's Compensation – statutory – in compliance with the compensation law of the State of Florida.

Commercial General Liability Insurance with minimum limits of \$1 million per occurrence for bodily injury, personal injury and property damage.

Comprehensive Auto Liability Insurance with minimum limits of \$1 million combined single limit per occurrence.

Employer's Liability - \$500,000

Professional Liability / Errors & Omissions insurance with a minimum limit of one million (\$1,000,000) dollars. Must be specific for claims adjusting services.

The Client shall be named as additional insured, except for workers compensation. The policies shall provide no less than 30 days notice of cancellation, non-renewal or reduction of coverage.

Licensing - ADMINISTRATOR warrants that it shall have, prior to commencement of work under this Agreement and at all times during said work, all required licenses whether federal, state, County or City.

IV. DEFAULT AND TERMINATION

- (i) **Default.** The failure of either party to comply with any provision of this Agreement will place that party in default. Prior to terminating the Agreement, the non-defaulting party will notify the defaulting party in writing. This notification will make specific reference to the provision(s) the defaulting party failed to comply with, the exact nature of the default, and the action that needs to occur to correct the default. The non-defaulting party will give the defaulting party a minimum of ten (10) business days to correct the default. If the default is not corrected within the allotted time, the non-defaulting party shall be permitted to terminate this Agreement, effective upon the receipt by the defaulting party of a written termination notice.
- (ii) **Bankruptcy.** If either party files a petition for bankruptcy or a petition or answer seeking reorganization, becomes or is insolvent or bankrupt, has a receiver appointed for its benefit, admits in writing its inability to pay its debts as they mature, or makes an assignment for the benefit of creditors, the other party may immediately terminate this Agreement.
- (iii) **Termination.** It is understood and agreed that either party shall have the right to terminate this Agreement on any date by:

- (a) the Client giving Administrator not less than thirty (90) days advance written notice of termination.
- (b) Administrator giving the Client not less than thirty (90) days advance written notice of termination.

Administrator may, at its sole discretion, terminate this Agreement in the event that Client fails to properly fund the program within fifteen (15) days of receiving a written request to do so from Administrator.

Upon termination by either party, Administrator shall, upon the request and at the expense of the Client, provide computer runs detailing various aspects of the Client's program.

It is understood that at termination of the Agreement, Administrator shall not have any responsibility or obligation to handle any incurred claims beyond the termination date except as set forth in paragraph I. A. (vi), above.

V. ADMINISTRATOR FEES AND AGREEMENT PERIOD

Agreement Period - The duration of the agreement shall be one (1) year commencing from October 1, 2009, with an option, at the sole discretion of the Client, to renew the agreement on an annual basis for up to four (4) years

Client shall pay Administrator a fee as outlined in Exhibit "C". The amount will be paid in monthly installment payments due at the beginning of each month. Fees as outlined in Exhibit "C" will be increased by three (3) percent at the commencement of years two, three, four and five should the City exercise its right to extend the Agreement pursuant to the terms stated in this section.

If the Client, for any reason whatsoever, fails to make a required fee payment or necessary contribution for claim payment as requested by Administrator on a timely basis, Administrator may suspend the performance of its services to the Client until such time as the Client makes the proper remittance.

VI. OWNERSHIP AND RETENTION OF CLAIM FILES

Administrator will retain all claim files during the time the Agreement is in effect. Subject to the foregoing, Administrator will make available to the Client for copying, at Client's expense, or inspection any records relating to any claim files serviced pursuant to this Agreement upon written request of the Client. Administrator will also make claim files available to any other third party as required by and in accordance with applicable law. All claim files created pursuant to this Agreement are the sole property of Client.

Upon termination of the Agreement, Administrator will be responsible for the retention and storage of all claim files. Client is also responsible for all shipping costs, if any, associated with transporting of claim files.

VII. NOTICE

Whenever written notice is required under the terms of this Agreement, it shall be delivered either in person or by registered mail to the appropriate party. Notice by registered mail shall be addressed as follows:

ADMINISTRATOR

Employers Mutual, Inc.
700 Central Parkway
Stuart, FL 34994
ATTN: President

CLIENT

City of Key West
525 Angela Street
Key West, FL 33040
Attn: City Manager

VIII. NON-ASSIGNMENT

The provisions of this Agreement supersede any prior Agreements or understandings to the contrary. No party hereto shall have the right to assign this agreement without the written consent of the other party, which will not be unreasonably withheld.

IX. NON TRANSFER OF POWERS

Nothing contained in this Agreement shall be construed to constitute a Transfer of Powers in any way whatsoever. This Agreement is solely an Agreement for provision of services.

X. ENFORCEMENT

In the event that it becomes necessary for either party to employ counsel to collect his obligation or to enforce this Agreement, whether or not suit be brought, the prevailing party shall recover a reasonable attorney's fee, including fees on appeal. For all enforcement actions, jurisdiction will be in Monroe County, Florida.

XI. SEVERABILITY

Should any provision of this Agreement be declared invalid by a Court of competent jurisdiction, same shall be deemed stricken herefrom and all other terms and conditions of this Agreement shall continue in full force and effect as if the invalid provision had never been made a part hereof.

XII. NON-WAIVER

No delay by either party in enforcing any covenant or right hereunder shall be deemed a waiver of such covenant or right, and no waiver of any particular provision hereof shall be deemed as

waiver of any other provision or a continuing waiver of such particular provision, and except as so expressly waived, all provisions hereof shall continue in full force and effect.

XIII. ENTIRE AGREEMENT

Client's Request for Proposal # 09-011 (Exhibit "A") and the ADMINISTRATOR Proposal (Exhibit B), dated August 24, 2009 and amendments (if any) are hereby incorporated into this agreement. This Agreement constitutes the entire understanding of the parties with respect to provision of services. It may not be modified nor any of its provisions waived unless such modifications and/or waiver is in writing and is agreed to and signed by both parties.

XIV. THIRD PARTY BENEFICIARIES

There are no third party beneficiaries of this Agreement, either intended or implied.

XV. INDEMNIFICATION

- A. The ADMINISTRATOR shall indemnify and hold harmless the CLIENT, its officers and employees, from liabilities, property damage, losses, personal injuries, and costs, including, but not limited to reasonable attorney's fees, to the extent caused by the negligence, recklessness or intentional wrongful misconduct of ADMINISTRATOR, its employees or agents, in the performance of this Agreement.
- B. This indemnification shall survive the expiration or termination of this Agreement. In the event that any action or proceeding is brought against the CLIENT by reason of such claim or demand, ADMINISTRATOR shall, upon written notice from the CLIENT, resist and defend such action or proceeding by counsel satisfactory to the CLIENT. The ADMINISTRATOR shall defend at its own expense to and through appellate, supplemental or bankruptcy proceeding, or to provide for such defense, at the CLIENT's option, any and all claims of liability and all suits and actions of every name and description covered above which may be brought against the CLIENT whether performed by ADMINISTRATOR, or by persons employed or used by ADMINISTRATOR.
- C. Without waiving the provisions of Florida Statute section 768.28, CLIENT agrees to indemnify and hold the ADMINISTRATOR harmless from any claims resulting in litigation against the ADMINISTRATOR based upon the sole negligence or willful misconduct of the CLIENT. In no event shall any amount payable hereunder exceed the statutory limit of \$100,000.00 irrespective of the applicability section 768.28.

IN WITNESSES WHEREOF, the parties hereunto set their hands and seals this 26th day of March, 20 10.

CLIENT

J. K. Scholl
Authorized Signature

J. K. SCHOLL
Typed or Printed Name

CITY MANAGER
Title

26 MAR 2010
Date

[Signature]
Witness Signature

EMPLOYERS MUTUAL, INC.

[Signature]
Authorized Signature

Kevin Cothron
Typed or Printed Name

COO / Executive Vice President
Title

3-24-10
Date

[Signature]
Witness Signature

RESOLUTION NO. 10-113

A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COMMISSION OF THE CITY OF KEY WEST, FLORIDA, RATIFYING THE ATTACHED AGREEMENT FOR ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES BETWEEN THE CITY OF KEY WEST AND EMPLOYERS MUTUAL, INC. (EMI) FOR SERVICES AS THE CITY'S INSURANCE PROGRAM THIRD PARTY ADMINISTRATOR (TPA); PROVIDING FOR AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

WHEREAS, in Resolution No. 09-246, the City Commission authorized the City Manager to negotiate an agreement with EMI for services as a third party administrator;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COMMISSION OF THE CITY OF KEY WEST, FLORIDA AS FOLLOWS:

Section 1: That the attached Agreement for Administrative Services is hereby ratified.

Section 2: That this Resolution shall go into effect immediately upon its passage and adoption and authentication by the signature of the Presiding Officer and the Clerk of the Commission.

Passed and adopted by the City Commission at a meeting held this 6th day of April, 2010.

Authenticated by the Presiding Officer and Clerk of the Commission on 7th day of April, 2010.

Filed with the Clerk on April 7, 2010



CRAIG CATES, MAYOR

ATTES:




CHERYL SMITH, CITY CLERK



CITY MANAGER'S OFFICE MEMORANDUM

TO: Jim Scholl, City Manager

FROM: Mark Z. Finigan, Assistant City Manager 

DATE: March 7, 2010

SUBJECT: Ratification of Agreement with Employer Mutual, Inc.

ACTION STATEMENT:

This purpose of this resolution is to request ratification by the City Commission of the attached fully executed Agreement between the City of Key West and Employer Mutual, Inc. (EMI), for services rendered as the City's Insurance Program Third Party Claims Administrator (TPA).

BACKGROUND:

City Commission approved via Resolution 09-246 the recommended ranking of the Evaluation Committee for the engagement of Employer Mutual, Inc. (EMI). Pursuant to said resolution the City Manager was authorized to enter into negotiations with Employer Mutual, Inc. (EMI) and if successful in negotiating an agreement, execute said agreement and bring back before the City Commission for ratification. The resulting agreement is attached and presented to the City Commission for ratification. The agreement was reviewed and approved by the City's Legal Department before execution by the City Manager.

Employer Mutual, Inc. (EMI) has been providing services since October 1, 2009 as the third party administrator for all City insurance claims. To date, their service has been excellent. The delay in executing an agreement acceptable to both parties was centered on a protracted discussion/negotiation of certain terms and conditions which did not impact performance. Employer Mutual, Inc. (EMI) services from October 1, 2009 have been pursuant to the City's Request for Proposal and the proposal submitted by Employer Mutual, Inc. (EMI).

RECOMMENDATION:

Ratify the attached fully executed Agreement For Services between the City of Key West and Employer Mutual, Inc. (EMI), for services rendered as the City's Insurance Program Third Party Administrator (TPA).

AGREEMENT FOR ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES

THIS AGREEMENT, made and entered into by and between the City of Key West hereinafter referred to as the Client, and Employers Mutual Inc. (EMI), 700 Central Parkway, Stuart, FL 34994, a Florida Corporation, hereinafter referred to as Administrator.

WITNESSETH

WHEREAS, the Client desires to engage the services of Administrator to provide claim adjusting services for workers compensation and liability claims as described herein on behalf of the Client;

WHEREAS, Administrator is qualified and desires to provide the aforementioned services on behalf of the Client in accordance with this Agreement; and

NOW, THEREFORE, for and in consideration of the mutual covenants contained herein, the parties hereto do mutually agree as follows:

The above recitals are incorporated herein as if set forth here below.

I. SERVICES

A. CLAIMS ADMINISTRATION SERVICES

Client engages Administrator to provide the following and such other services as may be considered necessary and which are mutually acceptable to both parties:

- (i) Supervise and administer the open claims in compliance with applicable laws, rules and regulations governing the administration of self-insurance programs and imposed by the State of Florida. Claims will be timely adjusted and Administrator will be responsible for penalties if the penalty arises from the neglect of the Administrator.
- (ii) Provide qualified and experienced personnel capable of servicing the open claims of the Client. Administrator will maintain an office with toll-free telephone services and experienced employees.
- (iii) Complete processing of loss adjustments, investigations and settlements falling within the self-insured retention level. Submissions of all investigation reports, legal actions, court orders, or awards shall be provided to the Client together with recommendations to be taken in the event claims exceed the limits of authority of Administrator. Administrator must obtain prior approval from the Client, for all settlements.
- (iv) Coordinate investigations of and manage litigated claims with defense attorneys.

- (v) Develop subrogation possibilities and assist in the collection of same. Submit claims to reinsurance/excess carriers and assist in the recovery of such benefits (if any) on behalf of Client.
- (vi) In the event of termination of the contract, Administrator shall not have any responsibility or obligation to handle any claims beyond sixty (90) days after the termination date.
- (vii) Administrator shall comply with all notification and reporting requirements of the Client's excess insurers and shall pursue all Excess Recoveries in a timely fashion.
- (viii) Administrator shall pursue all available Second Disability Trust Fund recoveries from the State of Florida.
- (ix) Administrator shall complete and file on behalf of the Client, all State mandated reports.
- (x) Those Services described in the Client's Request for Proposal # 09-011 (Exhibit "A") and the ADMINISTRATOR Proposal (Exhibit B), dated August 24, 2009.

II. RESPONSIBILITIES OF THE CLIENT

The Client shall have and perform the following duties, obligations, and responsibilities to Administrator.

- (i) **Obligation & Responsibility for Payment.** The Client has the sole obligation and responsibility for funding the payment of claims made against the Client. Administrator assumes no duty to fund any such claims at any time and shall have no obligation to advance funds for such payment.
- (ii) **Deposit Account.** Prior to the commencement of the Agreement, the Client shall establish a bank account at a bank of the Client's choosing and this account will be used to process claim checks. The Client will be responsible for the proper funding of this account as well as all banking fees, bank interfaces and the bank reconciliations. Administrator shall use the funds deposited by the Client into the account to pay claim settlements within the discretionary settlement authority limit or as otherwise authorized by the Client, and to pay interim claim payments, indemnity payments, medical expenses and allocated expenses.
- (iii) **Management of Account.** It is the Client's responsibility to establish and replenish the bank account with funds sufficient to cover all claim payments. The Client may, at its discretion, increase or decrease the minimum funding levels based on actual claim activity. The bank account shall remain in effect until all claims activity ceases under this Agreement.

- (iv) **Allocated Loss Adjustment Expense.** Coverage costs shall include but not be limited to: cost of medical and/or indemnity payments, outside investigation of claims, surveillance, vocational rehabilitation, on-site case management, legal fees, court or hearing costs, depositions, documents and exhibits, witness fees, photography and other incidental and special costs, as approved by Client. Coverage costs shall be borne by the Client as normal claims related expenditures and shall be charged against the Deposit Account.
- (v) **Instructions from Client.** Administrator shall duly consider all written notices and recommendations made by Client relative to the administration of claims, including medical and litigation services with the understanding that the final authority rests with the Client. Administrator shall not be responsible or liable for any action or inaction of the Client, which is contrary to a lawful written recommendation or instruction by Administrator, applicable by law, and/or workers compensation law that causes any claim to not be properly adjusted, administered, and/or processed. Administrator will handle claims in accordance with the written handling procedures as produced by the Client.
- (vi) **Audits.** Client has the right to independently or via outside auditors review the Administrator's performance to insure compliance with the contract requirements and to insure the financial integrity of the program.

III. ADMINISTRATOR'S RESPONSIBILITY

Administrator shall have no responsibility, risk, liability or obligation for the funding of claims, losses, or liabilities. The responsibility and obligation for funding the program exposures shall be solely and totally the responsibility of the Client.

Administrator shall be liable for the recovery of claim processing errors arising from Administrator's performance pursuant to the terms of this Agreement. Administrator shall use diligent efforts toward the recovery of any loss therefrom. Administrator's liability, if any, shall be limited to the amount in excess of the claim amount(s) payable under the terms of the Agreement.

It is understood and agreed that Administrator is and shall remain an independent ADMINISTRATOR with respect to the services being performed by the Administrator pursuant to this Agreement and shall not for any purpose be deemed an employee of the Client, nor shall the relationship of the parties be deemed that of partners or joint ventures. Administrator does not assume any responsibility, risk, liability, or obligation for the general policy direction of the program, the adequacy of the funding thereof, or any act or omission or breach of duty by parties other than Administrator. Administrator shall not be deemed an insurer, underwriter or guarantor with respect to any expenses payable under the program. Administrator agrees to maintain the insurance requirements as set forth in the Client's RFP throughout the entirety of the life of this contract, including naming the Client as an additional insured in their general liability contract.

Insurance. ADMINISTRATOR shall maintain on file with the Client a certificate of the insurance of the carriers showing that the following insurance coverage's are in effect. The following coverage's shall be provided:

Worker's Compensation – statutory – in compliance with the compensation law of the State of Florida.

Commercial General Liability Insurance with minimum limits of \$1 million per occurrence for bodily injury, personal injury and property damage.

Comprehensive Auto Liability Insurance with minimum limits of \$1 million combined single limit per occurrence.

Employer's Liability - \$500,000

Professional Liability / Errors & Omissions insurance with a minimum limit of one million (\$1,000,000) dollars. Must be specific for claims adjusting services.

The Client shall be named as additional insured, except for workers compensation. The policies shall provide no less than 30 days notice of cancellation, non-renewal or reduction of coverage.

Licensing - ADMINISTRATOR warrants that it shall have, prior to commencement of work under this Agreement and at all times during said work, all required licenses whether federal, state, County or City.

IV. DEFAULT AND TERMINATION

- (i) **Default.** The failure of either party to comply with any provision of this Agreement will place that party in default. Prior to terminating the Agreement, the non-defaulting party will notify the defaulting party in writing. This notification will make specific reference to the provision(s) the defaulting party failed to comply with, the exact nature of the default, and the action that needs to occur to correct the default. The non-defaulting party will give the defaulting party a minimum of ten (10) business days to correct the default. If the default is not corrected within the allotted time, the non-defaulting party shall be permitted to terminate this Agreement, effective upon the receipt by the defaulting party of a written termination notice.
- (ii) **Bankruptcy.** If either party files a petition for bankruptcy or a petition or answer seeking reorganization, becomes or is insolvent or bankrupt, has a receiver appointed for its benefit, admits in writing its inability to pay its debts as they mature, or makes an assignment for the benefit of creditors, the other party may immediately terminate this Agreement.
- (iii) **Termination.** It is understood and agreed that either party shall have the right to terminate this Agreement on any date by:

- (a) the Client giving Administrator not less than thirty (90) days advance written notice of termination.
- (b) Administrator giving the Client not less than thirty (90) days advance written notice of termination.

Administrator may, at its sole discretion, terminate this Agreement in the event that Client fails to properly fund the program within fifteen (15) days of receiving a written request to do so from Administrator.

Upon termination by either party, Administrator shall, upon the request and at the expense of the Client, provide computer runs detailing various aspects of the Client's program.

It is understood that at termination of the Agreement, Administrator shall not have any responsibility or obligation to handle any incurred claims beyond the termination date except as set forth in paragraph I. A. (vi), above.

V. ADMINISTRATOR FEES AND AGREEMENT PERIOD

Agreement Period - The duration of the agreement shall be one (1) year commencing from October 1, 2009, with an option, at the sole discretion of the Client, to renew the agreement on an annual basis for up to four (4) years

Client shall pay Administrator a fee as outlined in Exhibit "C". The amount will be paid in monthly installment payments due at the beginning of each month. Fees as outlined in Exhibit "C" will be increased by three (3) percent at the commencement of years two, three, four and five should the City exercise its right to extend the Agreement pursuant to the terms stated in this section.

If the Client, for any reason whatsoever, fails to make a required fee payment or necessary contribution for claim payment as requested by Administrator on a timely basis, Administrator may suspend the performance of its services to the Client until such time as the Client makes the proper remittance.

VI. OWNERSHIP AND RETENTION OF CLAIM FILES

Administrator will retain all claim files during the time the Agreement is in effect. Subject to the foregoing, Administrator will make available to the Client for copying, at Client's expense, or inspection any records relating to any claim files serviced pursuant to this Agreement upon written request of the Client. Administrator will also make claim files available to any other third party as required by and in accordance with applicable law. All claim files created pursuant to this Agreement are the sole property of Client.

Upon termination of the Agreement, Administrator will be responsible for the retention and storage of all claim files. Client is also responsible for all shipping costs, if any, associated with transporting of claim files.

VII. NOTICE

Whenever written notice is required under the terms of this Agreement, it shall be delivered either in person or by registered mail to the appropriate party. Notice by registered mail shall be addressed as follows:

ADMINISTRATOR

Employers Mutual, Inc.
700 Central Parkway
Stuart, FL 34994
ATTN: President

CLIENT

City of Key West
525 Angela Street
Key West, FL 33040
Attn: City Manager

VIII. NON-ASSIGNMENT

The provisions of this Agreement supersede any prior Agreements or understandings to the contrary. No party hereto shall have the right to assign this agreement without the written consent of the other party, which will not be unreasonably withheld.

IX. NON TRANSFER OF POWERS

Nothing contained in this Agreement shall be construed to constitute a Transfer of Powers in any way whatsoever. This Agreement is solely an Agreement for provision of services.

X. ENFORCEMENT

In the event that it becomes necessary for either party to employ counsel to collect his obligation or to enforce this Agreement, whether or not suit be brought, the prevailing party shall recover a reasonable attorney's fee, including fees on appeal. For all enforcement actions, jurisdiction will be in Monroe County, Florida.

XI. SEVERABILITY

Should any provision of this Agreement be declared invalid by a Court of competent jurisdiction, same shall be deemed stricken herefrom and all other terms and conditions of this Agreement shall continue in full force and effect as if the invalid provision had never been made a part hereof.

XII. NON-WAIVER

No delay by either party in enforcing any covenant or right hereunder shall be deemed a waiver of such covenant or right, and no waiver of any particular provision hereof shall be deemed as

waiver of any other provision or a continuing waiver of such particular provision, and except as so expressly waived, all provisions hereof shall continue in full force and effect.

XIII. ENTIRE AGREEMENT

Client's Request for Proposal # 09-011 (Exhibit "A") and the ADMINISTRATOR Proposal (Exhibit B), dated August 24, 2009 and amendments (if any) are hereby incorporated into this agreement. This Agreement constitutes the entire understanding of the parties with respect to provision of services. It may not be modified nor any of its provisions waived unless such modifications and/or waiver is in writing and is agreed to and signed by both parties.

XIV. THIRD PARTY BENEFICIARIES

There are no third party beneficiaries of this Agreement, either intended or implied.

XV. INDEMNIFICATION

- A. The ADMINISTRATOR shall indemnify and hold harmless the CLIENT, its officers and employees, from liabilities, property damage, losses, personal injuries, and costs, including, but not limited to reasonable attorney's fees, to the extent caused by the negligence, recklessness or intentional wrongful misconduct of ADMINISTRATOR, its employees or agents, in the performance of this Agreement.
- B. This indemnification shall survive the expiration or termination of this Agreement. In the event that any action or proceeding is brought against the CLIENT by reason of such claim or demand, ADMINISTRATOR shall, upon written notice from the CLIENT, resist and defend such action or proceeding by counsel satisfactory to the CLIENT. The ADMINISTRATOR shall defend at its own expense to and through appellate, supplemental or bankruptcy proceeding, or to provide for such defense, at the CLIENT's option, any and all claims of liability and all suits and actions of every name and description covered above which may be brought against the CLIENT whether performed by ADMINISTRATOR, or by persons employed or used by ADMINISTRATOR.
- C. Without waiving the provisions of Florida Statute section 768.28, CLIENT agrees to indemnify and hold the ADMINISTRATOR harmless from any claims resulting in litigation against the ADMINISTRATOR based upon the sole negligence or willful misconduct of the CLIENT. In no event shall any amount payable hereunder exceed the statutory limit of \$100,000.00 irrespective of the applicability section 768.28.

IN WITNESSES WHEREOF, the parties hereunto set their hands and seals this 26th day of March, 2010.

CLIENT

J. K. Sehall
Authorized Signature

J. K. Sehall
Typed or Printed Name

CITY MANAGER
Title

26 MAR 2010
Date

[Signature]
Witness Signature

EMPLOYERS MUTUAL, INC.

[Signature]
Authorized Signature

Kevin Cochran
Typed or Printed Name

COO / Executive Vice President
Title

3-24-10
Date

[Signature]
Witness Signature

RESOLUTION NO. 09-246

A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COMMISSION OF THE CITY OF KEY WEST, FLORIDA, AUTHORIZING ACCEPTANCE OF THE PROPOSAL FROM EMPLOYER MUTUAL, INC. (EMI) IN THE AMOUNT OF \$140,267.00 FOR SERVICES TO BE RENDERED AS THE CITY'S INSURANCE PROGRAM THIRD PARTY CLAIMS ADMINISTRATOR; AUTHORIZING THE CITY MANAGER OR HIS DESIGNEE TO NEGOTIATE AND EXECUTE AN AGREEMENT WITH EMI FOR THE POLICY YEAR 2009/2010 WITH FOUR (4) ADDITIONAL POLICY YEARS SUBJECT TO RATIFICATION BY CITY COMMISSION; PROVIDING FOR AN EFFECTIVE DATE

WHEREAS, the City issued RFP #09-011 requesting proposals for "third party claim administration", which was opened on August 25, 2009; and

WHEREAS, the City received eleven responses to the RFP; and

WHEREAS, the City Commission desires to award the proposal to the top firm and permit the City Manager or his designee, with the advice and consent of the City Attorney, to negotiate and execute an agreement with the top firm in accordance with that firm's proposal.

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COMMISSION OF THE CITY OF KEY WEST, FLORIDA, AS FOLLOWS:

Section 1: That the proposal from Employers Mutual, Inc., in the amount of \$140,267.00 to serve as the City's insurance program third party claims administrator for policy year 2009/2010 with four (4) additional policy years is hereby accepted.

Section 2: That the City Manager or his designee, with the advice and consent of the city Attorney, is authorized to negotiate and execute an agreement with Employers Mutual, Inc., in compliance with the RFP and the response thereto, which shall be subject to ratification by the City Commission.

Section 3: That this Resolution shall go into effect immediately upon its passage and adoption and authentication by the signature of the presiding officer and the Clerk of the Commission.

Passed and adopted by the City Commission at a meeting held this 15th day of September, 2009.

Authenticated by the presiding officer and Clerk of the Commission on September 16th, 2009.

Filed with the Clerk September 16th, 2009.



MORGAN MCPHERSON, MAYOR

ATTEST:


CHERYL SMITH, CITY CLERK



**CITY MANAGER'S OFFICE
MEMORANDUM**

TO: Jim Scholl, City Manager
FROM: Mark Z. Finigan, Assistant City Manager 
DATE: September 10, 2009
SUBJECT: RFP 09-011
City of Key West Insurance Program—Third Party Claims Administration

ACTION STATEMENT:

Request the City Commission award the proposal of Employers Mutual, Inc. (EMI) in an amount of \$140,267 for policy year 2009/2010 for services to be rendered as the City of Key West Insurance Program Third Party Claim's Administrator. Additionally, authorizing the City Manager or designee to negotiate and execute an agreement with EMI for the policy year 2009/2010 with four (4) additional policy years; however City shall maintain the right to terminate the agreement upon each anniversary date without penalty.

BACKGROUND:

On June 2, 2009 the City of Key West engaged Interisk Corporation, an independent insurance consultant, to assist the City in the development of a Request for Proposal (RFP) to receive competitive proposals for the Third Party Administration of the City's Property and Casualty claims (to include Workers Compensation) for the 2009/2010 policy year. The last policy year the City's Third Party Claim's Administration for the Property and Casualty Insurance Program was marketed was 2002/2003. In addition to preparing the RFP, Interisk Corporation was required to evaluate proposals and make a recommendation to the City. A copy of Interisk's evaluation and recommendation, dated September 10, 2009, is attached.

On August 25, 2009, sealed proposals were received and were publicly opened by the City Clerk. Eleven prospective service providers responded to the RFP:

1. Alternative Service Concepts, LLC
6010 Cattlebridge Drive
Suite 103
Sarasota, FL 34232

2. Cannon Cochran Management Services, Inc. (CCMSI)
2600 Lake Lucien Drive
Suite 225
Maitland, FL 32751
3. Corvel Enterprises Corp, Inc.
210 North University Drive, #501
Coral Springs, FL 33071
4. EMCAS
12466 West Atlantic Blvd.
Coral Springs, FL 33071
5. Employers Mutual, Inc. (EMI)
700 Central Parkway
Stuart, FL 34994
6. Gallagher Bassett Services, Inc.
2 Pierce Place, 5th Floor
Itasca, IL 60143
7. Intergrated Claim Solutions, Inc.
668 Maitland Avenue
Altamonte Springs, FL 32701
8. Johns Eastern Company, Inc.
P.O. Box 110259
Lakewood Ranch, FL 34211-0004
9. Preferred Governmental Claim Solutions, Inc.(PGCS)
P.O. Box 958456
Lake Mary, FL 32795-8456
10. PMA Management Corp. (PMAMC)
2701 North Rocky Point Drive
Suite 250
Tampa, FL 33607
11. York Claims Services, Inc.
1117 Perimeter Center West
Atlanta, GA 30338

After full consideration of all factors (to include long term costs savings, references checks with current clients and the recommendation offered by Interisk Corporation) I recommend the selection of Employers Mutual, Inc. (EMI).

FINANCIAL IMPACT:

Selection of EMI over the incumbent, Gallagher Bassett Services, Inc. will result in a higher "Year 1" cost of approximately \$9,000. The projected cost for Year 1 for EMI is \$140,267 and includes a one time claim assumption fee of \$46,600. Gallagher Bassett Services, Inc. proposed fee for year one was \$131,361, however, as the incumbent there would be no claim assumption fee. Assuming the City continued after Year One with EMI there would be an annual savings of approximately \$38,000 -- \$131,361 (Gallagher Bassett Services, Inc.) as opposed to \$93,667 for EMI. Please note this is a relative savings since all TPA providers escalate their annual fees by some COLA factor.

RECOMMENDATION:

Request the City Commission award the proposal of Employers Mutual, Inc. (EMI) in an amount of \$140,267 for policy year 2009/2010 for services rendered as the City of Key West's Third Party Insurance Claims Administrator. Additionally, authorizing the City Manager or designee to negotiate and execute an agreement with EMI for the policy year 2009/2010 with four (4) additional policy years; however City shall maintain the right to terminate the agreement upon each anniversary date without penalty

INTERISK CORPORATION

Consultants

Risk Management
Employee Benefits

1111 North Westshore Boulevard
Suite 208
Tampa, FL 33607-4711
Phone (813) 287-1040
Facsimile (813) 287-1041

September 10, 2009

Mr. Mark Finigan
Assistant City Manager - Administrator
City of Key West
525 Angela St.
Key West, Florida 33040

Subject: Evaluation of Third Party Claims Administration Services - RFP #09-011

Dear Mark:

The City of Key West's Property and Casualty Insurance program includes various self-insured retentions and deductibles that require the City to engage the services of a third party claims administrator to adjust the claims and portions of the claims that fall within these retentions and deductibles. Gallagher Bassett Services Inc. has provided these services for the City for a number of years. The estimated annual cost of these services is estimated to be approximately \$128,265.

In conjunction with the City's Request for Proposals (RFP) for its 2009/10 Property and Casualty Insurance program a separate RFP (#09-011) was issued seeking competitive proposals for the City's Claims Administration Services. The RFP specified that the successful proposer would be required to adjust the City's Liability, Workers' Compensation and Property claims. The RFP also specified specific services that the successful proposer would be required to provide based on industry standards for claim administration services.

A total of eleven (11) firms submitted proposals in response to the City's RFP. They included:

- Gallagher Bassett Services, Inc.
- Cannon Cochran Management Services
- PMA Management Corp.
- Alternative Service Concepts
- York Claim Services
- Engle Martin & Associates
- Integrated Claims Solutions
- Corvel Corporation
- Preferred Governmental Claim Solutions
- Johns Eastern Company
- Employers Mutual, Inc.

Engle Martin & Associates' proposal only included the adjusting of the City's Liability claims. Engle Martin submitted no evidence that they have the resources or desire to adjust the City's Workers' Compensation claims. For this reason Engle Martin & Associates was eliminated from further consideration.

Corvel's proposal only included the adjusting of the City's Workers' Compensation claims. Corvel submitted no evidence that they have the resources or desire to adjust the City's Liability claims. For this reason Corvel Corporation was eliminated from further consideration.

Integrated Claims Solutions' primary business is providing claims administration services for Liability claims and only has one Workers' Compensation adjuster with only one Workers' Compensation client. It was also believed that Integrated Claims Solutions lacked the appropriate infrastructure to properly adjust Workers' Compensation claims. Therefore Integrated Claims Solutions was also eliminated from further consideration.

It is believed that the remaining firms that submitted a proposal demonstrated that they have sufficient resources and abilities to provide the services that the City is seeking. The attached schedules reflect the major features of each proposal and are incorporated as part of this report. Each proposal will be discussed under separate caption. Prior to addressing the individual proposals, several issues should be discussed.

The current contract with Gallagher Bassett was issued on what is referred to as a "Life of Contract" basis. The contract obligates Gallagher Bassett to administer claims for a single per claim fee for the life of the claim as long as a contractual relationship exists with the City. If the City were to select a different firm, the City would be required to pay Gallagher additional fees to continue handling the active claims or transfer the active claims to the newly selected administrator. It is believed that if the City were to select a new administrator, it would be in their best interest to transfer all active claims to the new administrator. This would require the City to pay the new administrator additional fees to assume the administration of the existing claims. Such fees are normally expressed in one of three different manners.

- 1) Charge a single one time fee for each claim being assumed based on the type of claim.
- 2) Charge a flat one time fee for assuming all of the City's active claims regardless of the number and type of claim.
- 3) Charge an annual fee based on the number and types of claims still remaining active.

In addition, some firms will charge an initial setup fee for transferring the City's historical claim information to their system. Maintaining the City's historical claim information is critical because the information will be required for subsequent renewals of the City's Property and Casualty Insurance program. The information that will be transferred includes activity notes from the previous administrator which will be critical for future handling of the claims.

Some firms charge an "Administration Fee" designed to cover the costs of administering the program. Some firms include all administration costs in the "Per Claim" fee that they charge.

The State of Florida has established a "Fee Schedule" that reflects the maximum amount that can be paid to a health care provider for services provided to a Workers' Compensation claimant. Normally health care providers issue their invoices based on their internal pricing structure and claims administrators adjust these fees to coincide with the State's Fee Schedule. Most claims administrators will make a separate charge for each medical bill reduced to this Fee Schedule.

Gallagher Bassett Services, Inc.

Gallagher Bassett is the City's current claims administrator. Gallagher Bassett is one of the largest third party claim administrators in the Country and is believed to have sufficient resources to continue to provide the needs of the City. Gallagher proposed to continue administering the City's claims for specific fees based on the types of claims involved. Based on the City's historical claim experience these fees would amount to approximately \$96,012 annually. In addition, Gallagher would charge an annual administration fee of \$27,849 and medical bill reduction fees of approximately \$7,500. This results in an annual projected cost for Gallagher Bassett's services of \$131,361 (\$96,012+\$27,849+\$7,500).

Cannon Cochran Management Services, Inc (CCMSI)

Little is known about CCMSI with the exception of the information contained in their proposals. It is believed CCMSI has sufficient resources to provide the needs of the City. CCMSI proposed to assume the administration of the City's claims for specific fees based on the types of claims involved. Based on the City's historical claim experience these fees would amount to approximately \$89,510 annually. In addition, CCMSI would charge an initial one time fee of \$7,500 for converting the City's historical claim history to their computer system. They would also charge the City a one time fee of approximately \$122,961 to assume the administration of the City's claims that are active as of October 1, 2009. Other administration fees proposed by CCMSI would amount to approximately \$21,940 annually. This results in a projected first year cost of approximately \$241,911 ($\$89,510 + \$7,500 + \$122,961 + \$21,940$). Since CCMSI's claim assumption fee would be a one time charge, the projected cost for CCMSI's services in subsequent years would be approximately \$111,450.

PMA Management Corp.

While I have limited personal experience with PMA, they have a reputation of being a quality claims administrator. It is believed that PMA has sufficient resources to provide the needs of the City. PMA proposed to assume the administration of the City's claims for specific fees based on the types of claims involved. Based on the City's historical claim experience these fees would amount to approximately \$83,415 annually. In addition, PMA would charge an initial one time fee of \$5,000 for converting the City's historical claim history to their computer system. They would also charge the City a one time fee of approximately \$76,805 to assume the administration of the City's claims that are active as of October 1, 2009. Other administration fees proposed by PMA would amount to approximately \$15,976 annually. This results in a projected first year cost of approximately \$181,196 ($\$83,415 + \$5,000 + \$76,805 + \$15,976$). Since PMA's claim assumption fee would be a one time charge, the projected cost for PMA's services in subsequent years would be approximately \$99,391.

Alternative Service Concepts (ASC)

ASC began providing claims administration services in Florida approximately 3 years ago when they acquired a company by the name of Unisource Claims Administrator. While I have limited personal experience with ASC, I have considerable experience with Unisource and viewed them as a quality claims administrator. It is understood that ASC retained the employees of Unisource which would lead to the conclusion that ASC remains as a quality claims administrator and has sufficient resources to provide the needs of the City. ASC proposed to assume the administration of the City's claims for specific fees based on the types of claims involved. Based on the City's historical claim experience these fees would amount to approximately \$73,740 annually. In addition, ASC would charge an initial one time fee of \$5,000 for converting the City's historical claim history to their computer system. They would also charge the City a one time fee of approximately \$41,100 to assume the administration of the City's claims that are active as of October 1, 2009. Other administration fees proposed by ASC would amount to approximately \$23,399 annually. This results in a projected first year cost of approximately \$143,239 ($\$73,740 + \$5,000 + \$41,100 + \$23,399$). Since ASC's claim assumption fee would be a one time charge, the projected cost for PMA's services in subsequent years would be approximately \$97,139 ($\$73,740 + \$23,399$).

York Claim Services, Inc.

While I have limited personal experience with York, they have a reputation of being a quality claims administrator. It is believed that York has sufficient resources to provide the needs of the City. York offered two options for the City to consider. The first option would obligate York to administer the City's claims to conclusion as long as a contractual relationship between the City and York exists. Under this option York would assume the administration of the City's claims for specific fees based on the types of claims involved. Based on the City's historical claim experience these fees for this option would amount to approximately \$91,297 annually. York would not charge an initial fee for converting the City's historical

claim history to their computer system or an annual administration fee. They would charge the City a fee of approximately \$41,100 to assume the administration of the City's claims that are active as of October 1, 2009. This amount was determined based on the number of active claims the City currently has. York would also charge other annual administrative fees of approximately \$15,246. This results in a projected first year cost for this option of approximately \$147,643 ($\$91,297 + \$41,100 + \$15,246$). Since York's claim assumption fee only provides services for one year, their claim assumption fee would be based on the number of claims open in subsequent years (post 10/1/09) and can not be determined at this time.

The second option would obligate York to adjust the City's claims until conclusion regardless of the contractual relationship that exists between the City and York. Under this option York would assume the administration of the City's claims for specific fees based on the types of claims involved. Based on the City's historical claim experience these fees for this option would amount to approximately \$100,402 annually. York would not charge an initial fee for converting the City's historical claim history to their computer system or an annual administration fee. They would charge the City a fee of approximately \$41,100 to assume the administration of the City's claims that are active as of October 1, 2009. This amount was determined based on the number of active claims the City currently has. York would also charge other annual administrative fees of approximately \$15,246. This results in a projected first year cost for this option of approximately \$156,748 ($\$100,402 + \$41,100 + \$15,246$). Since York's claim assumption fee only provides services for one year, their claim assumption fee would be based on the number of claims open in subsequent years (post 10/1/09) and can not be determined at this time.

Preferred Governmental Claim Solutions (PGCS)

I have had numerous opportunities to evaluate the services provided by PGCS and it is believed they are a quality claims administrator. It is believed that PGCS has sufficient resources to provide the needs of the City. PGCS proposed to assume the administration of the City's claims for specific fees based on the types of claims involved. Based on the City's historical claim experience these fees would amount to approximately \$53,665 annually. PGCS would not charge a fee to convert the City's historical claim history to their computer system. They would charge the City a one time fee of approximately \$25,020 to assume the administration of the City's claims that are active as of October 1, 2009. Other administration fees proposed by PGCS would amount to approximately \$37,115 annually. This results in a projected first year cost of approximately \$115,800 ($\$53,665 + \$25,020 + \$37,115$). Since PGCS's claim assumption fee would be a one time charge, the projected cost for PGCS's services in subsequent years would be approximately \$90,780 ($\$53,665 + \$37,115$).

Johns Eastern Company

I have had numerous opportunities to evaluate the services provided by Johns Eastern and it is believed they are a quality claims administrator. It is believed that Johns Eastern has sufficient resources to provide the needs of the City. Johns Eastern proposed to assume the administration of the City's claims for specific fees based on the types of claims involved. Based on the City's historical claim experience these fees would amount to approximately \$83,519 annually. Johns Eastern would charge a fee of \$15,000 to convert the City's historical claim history to their computer system. They would charge the City a one time fee of approximately \$48,575 to assume the administration of the City's claims that are active as of October 1, 2009. Other administration fees proposed by PGCS would amount to approximately \$13,579 annually. This results in a projected first year cost of approximately \$160,673 ($\$83,519 + \$48,575 + \$15,000 + \$3,500 + \$10,079$). Since John Eastern's claim assumption fee would be a one time charge, the projected cost for Johns Eastern's services in subsequent years would be approximately \$97,098 ($\$83,519 + \$3,500 + \$10,079$).

Employers Mutual, Inc. (EMI)

I have had numerous opportunities to evaluate the services provided by EMI and it is believed they are a quality claims administrator. It is believed that EMI has sufficient resources to provide the needs of the City. EMI proposed to assume the administration of the City's claims for specific fees based on the types

of claims involved. Based on the City's historical claim experience these fees would amount to approximately \$84,350 annually. EMI would not charge a fee to convert the City's historical claim history to their computer system. They would charge the City a one time fee of approximately \$46,600 to assume the administration of the City's claims that are active as of October 1, 2009. Other administration fees proposed by EMI would amount to approximately \$9,317 annually. This results in a projected first year cost of approximately \$140,267 (\$84,350+\$46,600+\$9,317). Since PGCS's claim assumption fee would be a one time charge, the projected cost for EMI's services in subsequent years would be approximately \$93,667 (\$84,350+\$9,317).

Summary and Recommendations

Based on the projected and estimated annual cost for their services, it is believed that the City has more cost effective options to choose from and it is recommended that the following firms be eliminated from further consideration:

- Cannon Cochran Management Services
- PMA Management Corp.
- Alternative Service Concepts
- York Claim Services
- Johns Eastern Company

It is also believed that City could receive comparable or improved services being provided by Gallagher Bassett for a lower projected annual cost and therefore it is also being recommended that Arthur J. Gallagher be eliminated from further consideration.

It is believed that the Preferred Governmental Claims Solution (PGCS) and Employers Mutual, Inc. (EMI) offered the most competitive proposals while still providing quality claim services. While EMI's projected annual cost for the first year is approximately \$24,467 higher than the fee proposed by PGCS and their projected fee for subsequent years is approximately \$2,887 higher than PGCS' projected fees, it is believed that quality of EMI's adjusters is slightly better than those of PGCS which will result in lower claim costs that will more than offset the differences in their administration fees. It is therefore recommended that the City of Key West select Employers Mutual, Inc. as their new claims administrator effective October 1, 2009.

I will make myself available to review the above analysis and recommendations with you at your convenience.

Cordially,

INTERISK CORPORATION



Sidney G. Webber
CPCU, ARM

**CITY OF KEY WEST, FLORIDA
EVALUATION OF PROPOSALS**

FOR

THIRD PARTY CLAIMS ADMINISTRATION

RFP #09-011

Type of Program	Current Program (Gallagher Bassett)	Gallagher Bassett	CCMSI	PMA
Location of Services	Life of Contract: Miramar	Life of Contract: Miramar	Life of Contract: Miramar	Life of Contract: Tampa/Key West
Average Case Load of Adjuster	Lost Time -- 202 Med Only -- 263 Liability -- Unknown	Lost Time -- 202 Med Only -- 263 Liability -- Unknown	Lost Time -- 130 Med Only -- 130 Liability -- 134	120 -- 130
Experience of Adjusters	Extensive	Extensive	Moderate	Extensive
Quality of Loss Reports	Good	Good	Did not provide sample	Good
Initial contact with claimant within 24 hours	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Recorded statements of claimant	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Contact with treating physician within 24 hours	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Narrative Summaries of major claims	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Medical bills reduced to State Fee Schedule	Additional Charge	Additional Charge	Additional Charge	Additional Charge
Pursue Subrogation and Second Injury Fund claims	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Prepare State mandated reports	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Report and pursue Excess claims	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Quarterly claim reviews	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Monthly loss runs	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Projected Annual Cost	\$128,265	\$131,361	\$241,911	\$181,196
Comments				

**CITY OF KEY WEST, FLORIDA
EVALUATION OF PROPOSALS**

FOR

THIRD PARTY CLAIMS ADMINISTRATION

RFP #09-011

	Alternative Service Concepts	York Claim Solutions	Engle Martin & Assoc.	Integrated Claim Solutions
Type of Program	Life of Contract	Life of Contract		
Location of Services	Sarasota	Tallahassee/Orlando		
Average Case Load of Adjuster	110	Lost Time + ISO Med Only - 300		
Experience of Adjusters	Extensive	Unknown		
Quality of Loss Reports	Fair	Fair		
Initial contact with claimant within 24 hours	Yes	Yes		
Recorded statements of claimant	Yes	Yes		
Contact with treating physician within 24 hours	Yes	Yes		
Narrative Summaries of major claims	Yes	Yes		
Medical bills reduced to State Fee Schedule	Additional Charge	Additional Charge		
Pursue Subrogation and Second Injury Fund claims	Yes	Yes		
Prepare State mandated reports	Yes	Yes		
Report and pursue Excess claims	Yes	Yes		
Quarterly claim reviews	Yes	Yes		
Monthly loss runs	Yes	Yes		
Projected Annual Cost	\$143,239	Life of Contract - \$147,643 Life of Claim - \$155,748		
Comments	Did not address Loss Control Proposed annual fees for all assumed claims	Services will be fragmented between Tallahassee, Orlando and New York. Proposed Claim Assumption Fee provides services for only 1 year	Non-Responsive Unable to provide claim administration services for Workers' Compensation Claims	Insufficient size to provide services the City requires, ICS only has 1 Workers' Compensation adjuster and only 1 WC account. In addition, firm does not have the infrastructure (IT, etc) to properly service the account.

CITY OF KEY WEST, FLORIDA
EVALUATION OF PROPOSALS

FOR
THIRD PARTY CLAIMS ADMINISTRATION

RFP #09-011

Type of Program	Corvel	PGCS	Johns Easterly	EMI
Location of Services		Life of Contract	Life of Contract	Life of Contract
Average Case Load of Adjuster		Lake Mary	Barasota	Stuart
Experience of Adjusters		Lost Time -- 130	Lost Time -- 115	Lost Time -- 125
Quality of Loss Reports		Med Only -- 350	Med Only -- 163	Med Only -- 300
Initial contact with claimant within 24 hours		Extensive	Extensive	Extensive
Recorded statements of claimant		Good	Good	Good
Contact with treating physician within 24 hours		Yes	Yes	Yes
Narrative Summaries of major claims		Yes	Yes	Yes
Medical bills reduced to State Fee Schedule		Yes	Yes	Yes
Pursue Subrogation and Second Injury Fund claims		Additional Charge	Additional Charge	Additional Charge
Prepare State mandated reports		Yes	Yes	Yes
Report and pursue Excess claims		Yes	Yes	Yes
Quarterly claim reviews		Yes	Yes	Yes
Monthly loss runs		Yes	Yes	Yes
Projected Annual Cost		\$115,800	\$160,673	\$140,267
Comments	Submitted proposal for administering only Workers' Compensation Claims. Proposal deemed to be Non-Responsive. In addition, proposal did not provide information regarding adjusters that would be assigned to the City's account. Nor were examples of loss runs provided.			

City of Key West, Florida
Projected Annual Cost of Claims Administration

Current										Total
	Auto BI	Auto PD	Auto Phys Damage	Errors & Omissions	Gen. Liab. BI	Gen. Liab. PD	Police Prof.	W/C Lost Time	W/C Med Only	
Average Annual Claim Count	3	7	30	1	11	3	1	40	61	157
Claim Fee	\$ 1,068	\$ 555	\$ 452	\$ 1,164	\$ 1,068	\$ 555	\$ 1,164	\$ 1,232	\$ 170	
Estimated Annual Claim Fees	\$ 3,198	\$ 3,886	\$ 13,580	\$ 1,164	\$ 11,739	\$ 1,665	\$ 1,164	\$ 49,280	\$ 10,370	\$ 96,012
Loss Run Reports										\$ 3,506
ON Line Access										\$ 2,098
Program Administration										\$ 11,182
Loss Control Services										\$ 7,080
Banking Fees										\$ 2,463
Claim Reporting										\$ 1,570
Set Up Fee										\$ -
Claim Assumption Fee										\$ -
Bill Reduction Fee (Average of 1,694 bills processed annually)										\$ 4,404
Total										\$ 128,265

City of Key West, Florida
Projected Annual Cost of Claims Administration

Gallagher Bassett										
	Auto BI	Auto PD	Auto Phys Damage	Errors & Omissions	Gen. Liab. BI	Gen. Liab. PD	Police Prof.	W/C Lost Time	W/C Med Only	Total
Average Annual Claim Count	3	7	30	1	11	3	1	40	61	157
Claim Fee	\$ 1,066	\$ 555	\$ 452	\$ 1,164	\$ 1,066	\$ 555	\$ 1,154	\$ 1,232	\$ 170	
Estimated Annual Claim Fees	\$ 3,198	\$ 3,885	\$ 13,560	\$ 1,164	\$ 11,726	\$ 1,665	\$ 1,154	\$ 49,280	\$ 10,870	\$ 96,012
Loss Run Reports										
On Line Access										
Program Administration										
Loss Control Services										
Banking Fees										
Claim Reporting										
Set Up Fee										
Claim Assumption Fee										
Bill Reduction Fee (Average of 1,694 bills processed annually)										
Total										
										\$ 3,506
										\$ 2,098
										\$ 11,132
										\$ 7,080
										\$ 2,463
										\$ 1,570
										\$ -
										\$ -
										\$ 7,500
										\$ 131,361

Comments:

1. Proposal did not specify fees for Ancillary Services (Loss Runs, On line Access, Administration, Loss Control Services, Banking, Claim Reporting and Set Up Fees. Used current fees for analysis.
2. Proposed bill reduction fee of 20% of savings. Used estimate of \$7,500 for annual fee.

**City of Key West, Florida
Projected Annual Cost of Claims Administration**

Cannon Cochran Management Services (CCMS)										
	Auto BI	Auto PD	Auto Phys Damage	Errors & Omissions	Gen. Liab. BI	Gen. Liab. PD	Police Prof.	W/C Lost Time	W/C Med Only	Total
Average Annual Claim Count	3	7	30	1	11	3	1	40	61	157
Claim Fee	\$ 835	\$ 418	\$ 418	\$ 975	\$ 835	\$ 418	\$ 975	\$ 1,250	\$ 150	\$ 7,500
Estimated Annual Claim Fees	\$ 2,505	\$ 2,926	\$ 12,540	\$ 975	\$ 9,185	\$ 1,254	\$ 975	\$ 50,000	\$ 9,150	\$ 89,510
Loss Run Reports										
On Line Access										
Program Administration										
Loss Control Services										
Banking Fees										
Claim Reporting										
Set Up Fee										
Claim Assumption Fee										
Bill Reduction Fee (Average of 1,694 bills processed annually)										
Total										
										\$ 241,911

Projected Claim Assumption Fee										
	Auto BI	Auto PD	Auto Phys Damage	Errors & Omissions	Gen. Liab. BI	Gen. Liab. PD	Police Prof.	W/C Lost Time	W/C Med Only	Total
Average Annual Claim Count	3	2	18	4	17	1	2	58	14	119
Claim Fee	\$ 417	\$ 209	\$ 209	\$ 972	\$ 417	\$ 209	\$ 972	\$ 1,800	\$ -	\$ 7,500
Estimated Annual Claim Fees	\$ 1,251	\$ 418	\$ 3,762	\$ 3,888	\$ 7,089	\$ 209	\$ 1,944	\$ 104,400	\$ -	\$ 122,961

Comments

1. While proposal indicated that Loss Control Services would be provided, CCMSI did not indicate what fee would be charged.
2. Proposed a fee of \$81 per month until closure for all Public Officials and Police Professional claims that they assume. Annual estimate based on claims being open for 12 months
3. Proposed a fee of \$150 per month until closure for all Lost Time-W/C claims that they assume. Annual estimate based on claims being open for 12 months.
4. Proposed a Bill Reduction Fee of \$:10: per bill

City of Key West, Florida
Projected Annual Cost of Claims Administration

PMA										
	Auto BI	Auto PD	Auto Phys Damage	Errors & Omissions	Gen. Liab. BI	Gen. Liab. PD	Police Prof.	W/C Lost Time	W/C Med Only	Total
Average Annual Claim Count	3	7	30	1	11	3	1	40	61	157
Claim Fee	\$ 785	\$ 495	\$ 495	\$ 1,295	\$ 795	\$ 495	\$ 1,295	\$ 950	\$ 195	
Estimated Annual Claim Fees	\$ 2,355	\$ 3,465	\$ 14,850	\$ 1,295	\$ 8,745	\$ 1,485	\$ 1,295	\$ 38,000	\$ 11,895	\$ 83,415
Loss Run Reports										
On Line Access										
Program Administration										
Loss Control Services (Billed at \$125 per hour and assumed 16 hours of service)										
Banking Fees										
Claim Reporting										
Set Up Fee (Proposal reflects estimate of \$5,000)										
Claim Assumption Fee										
Bill Reduction Fee (Average of 1,694 bills processed annually)										
Total										
										\$ 181,196

Projected Claim Assumption Fee										
	Auto BI	Auto PD	Auto Phys Damage	Errors & Omissions	Gen. Liab. BI	Gen. Liab. PD	Police Prof.	W/C Lost Time	W/C Med Only	Total
Average Annual Claim Count	3	2	18	4	17	1	2	58	14	119
Claim Fee	\$ 595	\$ 395	\$ 395	\$ 1,295	\$ 595	\$ 395	\$ 1,295	\$ 795	\$ 195	
Estimated Annual Claim Fees	\$ 1,785	\$ 790	\$ 7,110	\$ 5,180	\$ 10,115	\$ 395	\$ 2,590	\$ 46,110	\$ 2,780	\$ 76,805

Comments
1. Proposed a bill reduction fee of \$6.25 per bill

City of Key West, Florida
Projected Annual Cost of Claims Administration

Alternative Service Concepts										
	Auto BI	Auto PD	Auto Phys Damage	Errors & Omissions	Gen. Liab. BI	Gen. Liab. PD	Police Prof.	W/C Lost Time	W/C Med Only	Total
Average Annual Claim Count	3	7	30	1	11	3	1	40	61	157
Claim Fee	\$ 575	\$ 450	\$ 450	\$ 575	\$ 575	\$ 450	\$ 575	\$ 990	\$ 140	
Estimated Annual Claim Fees	\$ 1,725	\$ 3,150	\$ 13,500	\$ 575	\$ 6,325	\$ 1,350	\$ 575	\$ 39,000	\$ 8,540	\$ 73,740
Loss Run Reports										
On Line Access										
Program Administration										
Loss Control Services										
Banking Fees										
Claim Reporting										
Set Up Fee (Proposal reflects estimate of \$5,000)										
Claim Assumption Fee										
Bill Reduction Fee (Average of 1,894 bills processed annually)										
Total										
										\$ 9,000
										\$ -
										\$ -
										\$ -
										\$ -
										\$ 5,000
										\$ 41,100
										\$ 14,399
										\$ 143,239

Projected Claim Assumption Fee										
	Auto BI	Auto PD	Auto Phys Damage	Errors & Omissions	Gen. Liab. BI	Gen. Liab. PD	Police Prof.	W/C Lost Time	W/C Med Only	Total
Average Annual Claim Count	3	2	18	4	17	1	2	58	14	119
Claim Fee	\$ 350	\$ 350	\$ 350	\$ 350	\$ 350	\$ 350	\$ 350	\$ 425	\$ -	
Estimated Annual Claim Fees	\$ 1,050	\$ 700	\$ 6,300	\$ 1,400	\$ 5,950	\$ 350	\$ 700	\$ 24,650	\$ -	\$ 41,100

- Comments
1. Provided an annual fee of \$425 for all assumed Lost Time Claims
 2. Provided an annual fee of \$350 for all assumed Liability Claims
 3. Proposed a bill reduction fee of \$8.50 per bill

York Claim Services - Life of Claim										
	Auto BI	Auto PD	Auto Phys Damage	Errors & Omissions	Gen. Liab. BI	Gen. Liab. PD	Police Prof.	W/C Lost Time	W/C Med Only	Total
Average Annual Claim Count	3	7	30	1	11	3	1	40	61	157
Claim Fee	\$ 1,202	\$ 428	\$ 428	\$ 1,059	\$ 1,059	\$ 721	\$ 1,059	\$ 1,397	\$ 150	
Estimated Annual Claim Fees	\$ 3,606	\$ 2,996	\$ 12,840	\$ 1,059	\$ 11,649	\$ 2,163	\$ 1,059	\$ 55,880	\$ 9,150	\$ 100,402
Loss Run Reports										
On Line Access										
Program Administration										
Loss Control Services										
Banking Fees										
Claim Reporting										
Set Up Fee										
Claim Assumption Fee										
Bill Reduction Fee (Based on 1,684 bills annually and fee of \$9.00 per bill)										
Total										
										\$ 41,100
										\$ 15,246
										\$ 156,748

Projected Claim Assumption Fee										
	Auto BI	Auto PD	Auto Phys Damage	Errors & Omissions	Gen. Liab. BI	Gen. Liab. PD	Police Prof.	W/C Lost Time	W/C Med Only	Total
Average Annual Claim Count	3	2	18	4	17	1	2	58	14	119
Claim Fee	\$ 350	\$ 350	\$ 350	\$ 350	\$ 350	\$ 350	\$ 350	\$ 425	\$ -	
Estimated Annual Claim Fees	\$ 1,050	\$ 700	\$ 6,300	\$ 1,400	\$ 5,950	\$ 350	\$ 700	\$ 24,650	\$ -	\$ 41,100

Comments

1. Proposal did not address available loss control services
2. Proposal indicates that no Data Conversion Fee will apply unless unforeseen problems exist with data provided by current TPA
3. Proposal indicates that Claim Assumption Fee will be an annual charge based on number and types of claims currently open.
4. Proposed a bill reduction fee of \$9.00 per bill

City of Key West, Florida
 Projected Annual Cost of Claims Administration

Engle Martin & Associates										
	Auto BI	Auto PD	Auto Phys Damage	Errors & Omissions	Gen. Liab. BI	Gen. Liab. PD	Police Prof.	W/C Lost Time	W/C Med Only	Total
Average Annual Claim Count	3	7	30	1	11	3	1	40	61	157
Claim Fee										
Estimated Annual Claim Fees										
Loss Run Reports										
On Line Access										
Program Administration										
Loss Control Services										
Banking Fees										
Claim Reporting										
Set Up Fee										
Claim Assumption										
Bill Reduction										

Projected Claim Assumption Fee										
	Auto BI	Auto PD	Auto Phys Damage	Errors & Omissions	Gen. Liab. BI	Gen. Liab. PD	Police Prof.	W/C Lost Time	W/C Med Only	Total
Average Annual Claim Count	3	7	18	4	17	1	2	58	14	119
Claim Fee										
Estimated Annual Claim Fees										

DRAFT - FOR REVIEW ONLY

City of Key West, Florida
 Projected Annual Cost of Claims Administration

Carvel										
	Auto BI	Auto PD	Auto Phys Damage	Errors & Omissions	Gen. Liab. BI	Gen. Liab. PD	Police Prof.	W/C Lost Time	W/C Med Only	Total
Average Annual Claim Count	3	7	30	1	11	3	1	40	61	157
Claim Fee										
Estimated Annual Claim Fees										
Loss Run Reports										
On Line Access										
Program Administration										
Loss Control Services										
Banking Fees										
Claim Reporting										
Set Up Fee										
Claim Assumption										
Bill Reduction										
Total										

Protective Claim Assumption Fee										
	Auto BI	Auto PD	Auto Phys Damage	Errors & Omissions	Gen. Liab. BI	Gen. Liab. PD	Police Prof.	W/C Lost Time	W/C Med Only	Total
Average Annual Claim Count	3	7	18	4	17	1	2	58	14	119
Claim Fee										
Estimated Annual Claim Fees										

DUPLICATE

City of Key West, Florida
 Projected Annual Cost of Claims Administration

Preferred Governmental Claim Solutions										
	Auto BI	Auto PD	Auto Phys Damage	Errors & Omissions	Gen. Liab. BI	Gen. Liab. PD	Police Prof.	W/C Lost Time	W/C Med Only	Total
Average Annual Claim Count	3	7	30	1	11	3	1	40	61	157
Claim Fee	\$ 450	\$ 250	\$ 250	\$ 650	\$ 450	\$ 250	\$ 650	\$ 650	\$ 165	
Estimated Annual Claim Fees	\$ 1,350	\$ 1,750	\$ 7,500	\$ 650	\$ 4,950	\$ 750	\$ 650	\$ 26,000	\$ 10,065	\$ 53,665
Loss Run Reports										
On Line Access										
Program Administration										
Loss Control Services										
Billing Fees										
Claim Reporting										
Set Up Fee										
Claim Assumption Fee										
Bill Reduction Fee (Average of 1,694 bills processed annually)										
Total										
										\$ 115,800

Projected Claim Assumption Fee										
	Auto BI	Auto PD	Auto Phys Damage	Errors & Omissions	Gen. Liab. BI	Gen. Liab. PD	Police Prof.	W/C Lost Time	W/C Med Only	Total
Average Annual Claim Count										
Claim Fee										
Estimated Annual Claim Fees										

Proposed a flat fee of \$25,020 to assume all existing claims. City will be able to pay assumption fee in 36 equal monthly installments of \$695.

Comments

- Proposed a bill reduction fee of \$1.40 per line with a \$ line minimum

City of Key West, Florida
Projected Annual Cost of Claims Administration

Johns Eastern										
	Auto BI	Auto PD	Auto Phys Damage	Errors & Omissions	Gen. Liab. BI	Gen. Liab. PD	Police Prof.	W/C Lost Time	W/C Med Only	Total
Average Annual Claim Count	3	7	30	1	11	3	1	40	61	157
Claim Fee	\$ 695	\$ 450	\$ 450	\$ 850	\$ 695	\$ 450	\$ 350	\$ 1,125	\$ 149	
Estimated Annual Claim Fees	\$ 2,085	\$ 3,150	\$ 13,500	\$ 850	\$ 7,645	\$ 1,350	\$ 850	\$ 45,000	\$ 9,089	\$ 83,519
Loss Run Reports										
On Line Access										
Data Conversion										
Program Administration										
Loss Control Services										
Banking Fees										
Claim Reporting										
Set Up Fee										
Claim Assumption Fee										
Bill Reduction Fee (Average of 1,897 bills processed annually)										
Total										
										\$ 7,500
										\$ 3,500
										\$ -
										\$ -
										\$ -
										\$ 7,500
										\$ 48,575
										\$ 10,079
										\$ 150,673

Projected Claim Assumption Fee										
	Auto BI	Auto PD	Auto Phys Damage	Errors & Omissions	Gen. Liab. BI	Gen. Liab. PD	Police Prof.	W/C Lost Time	W/C Med Only	Total
Average Annual Claim Count	3	2	18	4	17	1	2	58	14	119
Claim Fee	\$ 325	\$ 225	\$ 225	\$ 425	\$ 325	\$ 225	\$ 425	\$ 600	\$ -	
Estimated Annual Claim Fees	\$ 975	\$ 450	\$ 4,050	\$ 1,700	\$ 5,525	\$ 225	\$ 850	\$ 34,800	\$ -	\$ 48,575

Comments

1. Loss Control Services will be provided at a cost of \$95.00 per hour
2. Proposed a bill reduction fee of \$5.95 per bill

City of Key West, Florida
Projected Annual Cost of Claims Administration

Employers Mutual										
	Auto BI	Auto PD	Auto Phys Damage	Errors & Omissions	Gen. Liab. BI	Gen. Liab. PD	Police Prof.	W/C Lost Time	W/C Med Only	Total
Average Annual Claim Count	3	7	30	1	11	3	1	40	51	157
Claim Fee	\$ 650	\$ 660	\$ 650	\$ 700	\$ 700	\$ 700	\$ 700	\$ 950	\$ 150	\$ 8,150
Estimated Annual Claim Fees	\$ 1,950	\$ 4,560	\$ 19,500	\$ 700	\$ 7,700	\$ 2,100	\$ 700	\$ 38,000	\$ 9,150	\$ 84,350
Loss Run Reports										
On Line Access										\$ -
Data Conversion										\$ -
Program Administration										\$ -
Loss Control Services										\$ -
Banking Fees										\$ -
Claim Reporting										\$ -
Set Up Fee										\$ -
Claim Assumption Fee										\$ -
Bill Reduction Fee (Average of 1,634 bills processed annually)										\$ 46,600
Total										\$ 9,317
										\$ 140,267

Projected Claim Assumption Fee										
	Auto BI	Auto PD	Auto Phys Damage	Errors & Omissions	Gen. Liab. BI	Gen. Liab. PD	Police Prof.	W/C Lost Time	W/C Med Only	Total
Average Annual Claim Count	3	2	18	4	17	1	2	58	14	119
Claim Fee	\$ 350	\$ 350	\$ 350	\$ 400	\$ 400	\$ 400	\$ 400	\$ 475	\$ 100	\$ 2,750
Estimated Annual Claim Fees	\$ 1,050	\$ 700	\$ 6,300	\$ 1,600	\$ 6,800	\$ 400	\$ 800	\$ 27,550	\$ 1,400	\$ 46,600

Comments:

1. Proposed a bill reduction fee of \$5.50 per bill or \$1.50 per line with no minimum

INTEROFFICE MEMORANDUM

To: Sandy Barraso, Risk Manager
CC: Mark Finigan, Assistant City Manager
Sue Snider, Purchasing
From: Cheri Smith, City Clerk
Date: August 25, 2009
Subject: **THIRD PARTY CLAIM ADMINISTRATION**
RFP 09-011

Attached for your review are copies of the proposals opened Tuesday, August 25, 2009 at 3:30 p.m. in response to the above referenced project.

1. Alternative Service Concepts, LLC
6010 Cattleridge Drive
Suite 103
Sarasota, FL 34232
2. Cannon Cochran Management Services, Inc. (CCMSI)
2600 Lake Lucien Drive
Suite 225
Maitland, FL 32751
3. Corvel Enterprises Comp, Inc.
210 North University Drive, #501
Coral Springs, FL 33071
4. EMCAS
12466 West Atlantic Blvd.
Coral Springs, FL 33071
5. Employers Mutual, Inc. (EMI)
700 Central Parkway
Stuart, FL 34994
6. Gallagher Bassett Services, Inc.
2 Pierce Place, 5th Floor
Itasca, IL 60143

INTEROFFICE MEMORANDUM

To: Sandy Barroso, Risk Manager
CC: Mark Finigan, Assistant City Manager
Sue Snider, Purchasing
From: Cheri Smith, City Clerk
Date: August 25, 2009
Subject: **THIRD PARTY CLAIM ADMINISTRATION**
RFP 09-011

7. Integrated Claim Solutions, Inc.
668 Maitland Avenue
Altamonte Springs, FL 32701
8. Johns Eastern Company, Inc.
P.O. Box 110259
Lakewood Ranch, FL 34211-0004
9. Preferred Governmental Claims Solutions, Inc. (PGCS)
P.O. Box 958456
Lake Mary, FL 32795-8456
10. PMA Management Corp. (PMAMC)
2701 North Rocky Point Drive
Suite 250
Tampa, FL 33607
11. York Claims Services, Inc.
1117 Perimeter Center West
Atlanta, GA 30338

CS/amb

**THE CITY OF KEY WEST, FLORIDA
REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS
RFP #09-011**



**THIRD PARTY CLAIM ADMINISTRATION
EFFECTIVE DATE OCTOBER 1, 2009**

**Proposal Return Date
August 25, 2009**

I. BACKGROUND INFORMATION

Key West lies near the end of the chain of islands known as the Florida Keys, and is the southern-most city in the continental United States. The island-community is located about 90 miles north of Cuba and 150 miles southwest of Miami at a latitude of 24 degrees, 33 minutes, 5 seconds North and at a longitude of 81 degrees, 48 minutes, 14 seconds West. The island has an area of 4.2 square miles, while the City-incorporating the northern part of neighboring Stock Island-has an area of 5.79 square miles. The City initially developed because of its proximity to the Florida Straits, the abutting Florida Reef, strong offshore ocean currents (the Gulf Stream), and the area's unpredictable winds, combined with a large natural deep-water harbor and deep channels into the harbor. The Florida Straits are the northern-most sea passage from the Gulf of Mexico to the Atlantic Ocean. For three centuries this passage formed part of the great nautical trade route that carried ships from Caribbean and South American ports to their European homelands. The location of Key West serves as a gateway both to the Caribbean and between the Atlantic Ocean and the Gulf of Mexico was recognized by the military at an early date. Another important regional factor in the development of the City has been its proximity to Cuba, 90 miles to the south.

Key West's long and colorful past begins with its European discovery in 1513 by Ponce de Leon. The island was first known as Cayo Hueso (Isle of Bones) because it was littered with remains from an Indian battlefield or burial ground. The name "Key West" is the English version of the Spanish term. The first permanent occupancy in the City occurred in 1822, complete with a small naval depot, whose purpose was to rid the area of pirates. The presence of the U.S. Navy has been a major factor in the growth and development of Key West ever since. The settlement was incorporated in 1828, four years after becoming the county seat of Monroe County. The City grew and prospered, based first on fishing and salvaging ships wrecked on the nearby reefs, and later on cigar manufacturing with Cuban refugees and imported Cuban tobacco. Other economic activities included sponging and related commercial functions. By 1890, Key West was the largest and richest city in Florida. However, after the turn of the century its major industries were in decline. Little construction was undertaken between the First and Second World Wars and the City saw a steady decline in population between 1919 and 1935. World War II brought prosperity back to Key West. Population more than doubled between 1940 and 1960. Nation-wide military base closings and personnel reductions beginning in the 1960s were major contributors to the City's second major cycle of population decline. After recording the highest number of residents in its history in 1960, Key West experienced over a 25 percent loss in population by 1980. The 1990 Census showed a slight increase.

Further information may be obtained from the City's website at:

<http://www.keywestcity.com>

**THE CITY OF KEY WEST, FLORIDA
REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS
FOR
THIRD PARTY CLAIMS ADMINISTRATION**

GENERAL INFORMATION AND COVERAGES REQUESTED

The City of Key West, Florida is requesting proposals for the following:

- Third Party Claims Administration

The City's current insurance program is structured on a "Multi-Peril" basis that contains various self-insured retentions. It is the desire of the City for the selected administrator to adjust all claims that fall within its retentions. Following displays the City's current retention levels.

Property	\$50,000 except 5% for wind related losses and \$1.5 million for flood related losses
General Liability	\$100,000
Automobile Liability	\$100,000
Public Officials Liability	\$100,000
Workers' Compensation	\$325,000
Police Professional	\$100,000

The target effective date of the programs will be October 1, 2009 however, may be delayed depending on the amount of time a new claims administrator may require to convert the City's historical claim information so it is compatible with their claim system. It is the intent of the City to agree to a one (1) year term with rights to renew with the successful proposer(s) for four (4) additional one-year terms at the sole option of the City. Consideration may be given to longer-term agreements based on price, terms and conditions.

In conjunction with this RFP, the City is seeking proposals for its Property and Casualty Insurance Program. Based on the proposals received, it is possible that a Large Deductible or Fully Insured program will be selected. The selection of a third party claims administrator will be contingent upon the City maintaining a Self Insured program.

As a prerequisite of being selected, the Third Party Administrator must be acceptable to the Insurers selected by the City.

Items contained in this Request For Proposals (RFP) are considered to be an integral part of the proposed programs. Adherence to the items listed here is intended by the City unless specifically otherwise accepted by both the Proposer and the City. Acceptance of modification of any portion of the items contained herein will not serve to waive or modify any other portion of the proposed program.

CURRENT PROGRAM

Gallagher Bassett Services Inc. currently administers all claims that fall within the City's self-insured retention. The agreement with Gallagher Bassett requires them to administer the claims for a single fee as long as a contractual relation exists between the two organizations. Depending on the cost, the successful proposer may be requested to assume the administration of all open claims. Proposers will be requested to provide separate pricing for the assumption of all open claims.

As of May 31, 2009 the City has the following number of claims currently active.

Policy Year	Workers' Compensation		Police Professionals	Auto Liability	General Liability	Public Officials	Total
	Lost Time	Medical Only					
1981/83	1						1
1983/85	1						1
1988/89	1						1
1989/90	1						1
1990/91	1						1
1991/92	1						1
1998/99	2						2
1999/00	2						2
2000/01	4						4
2001/02	7						7
2002/03	9		1				10
2003/04	7		1	1	1		10
2004/05	1				1	1	3
2005/06	9				4		13
2006/07	14		1		2	1	18
2007/08	17	1	1	1	5	1	26
2008/09	17	13		5	7	1	43
Total	95	14	4	7	20	4	144

DESIRED PROGRAM

The City prefers the successful Proposer to provide its services on a "Life of Contract" basis and to agree to administer all claims until they are concluded as long as a contractual relationship exists between the City and the Proposer. Alternatives, such as "Cradle to Grave" will be considered.

The City utilizes the current claims administrator to reduce all medical bills to the State Fee Schedule. Proposers are therefore requested to include this service in their pricing structure. If a separate charge is required for this service, it should be clearly stated within the proposal.

It is anticipated that the successful proposer will assume the administration for all prior year claims. All proposals should clearly state the cost associated with the assumption of all claims.

RATING DATA

The following information has been included as attachments to assist in the underwriting of the account:

- Projected payrolls by workers' compensation classification codes;
- The City's most recent Experience Modification worksheets.

Currently valued loss runs and narrative description of losses in excess of \$50,000 will be provided upon request. Please direct your requests to:

**Mr. Sid Webber
Interisk Corporation
1111 N. Westshore Blvd.
Suite 208
Tampa, Florida 33607
Ph: (813) 287-1040
Fax: (813) 287-1041**

All interested proposers are solely responsible to ensure requests for loss runs and narrative description of major are properly received. The City of Key West nor Interisk assumes responsibility for the timely receipt of such requests.

EFFECTIVE DATE OF AGREEMENT

The effective date of the Agreement will be October 1, 2009 to October 1, 2010. It is anticipated that the agreement will be renewed with the successful proposer for a minimum of four (4) additional years, however City maintains the right to terminate the agreement upon each anniversary date without penalty.

REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS SCHEDULE

The following schedule will be strictly adhered to. No extension of deadlines will be granted.

Activity	Deadline
Distribution of RFP	6/23/09
Deadline for Agents to Submit Requests for Additional Information	7/29/09
Issue Addendum to RFP	8/4/09
Proposal Return Date	8/25/09
Finalize Recommendation Report	9/8/09
Presentation of Recommendations to City Commission	9/15/09
Effective Date of Coverage	10/1/09

SUBMISSION OF PROPOSALS

All proposal forms must be executed and submitted in a sealed envelope. The face of the envelope shall contain, in addition to the below address, the date and time of the bid opening. Bids not submitted on attached bid forms may be rejected. All bids are subject to the conditions specified herein and on the attached sheets.

Sealed Proposals should be submitted with two (2) signed originals and four (4) complete copies of the originals clearly marked on the outside of the sealed envelope with:

The City of Key West, Florida
Proposal for 2009/2010 Claims Administration Program

Hand delivered Proposals may request a receipt. Proposals received after the deadline will be returned unopened. The deadline for the submission of all proposals is 3:30 PM, August 25, 2009. Proposers should be aware that certain "express mail" services do not guarantee specific time delivery to Key West, Florida. It is the sole responsibility of each proposer to ensure its proposal is received in a timely fashion.

All proposers are required to complete the following forms that are attached to this RFP.

REQUIRED FORMS TO BE COMPLETED BY ALL PROPOSERS

All proposers shall complete the "Anti-Kickback Affidavit and the Public Entity Crime Form that is attached and made part of this RFP.

PROPOSAL RETURN ADDRESS

Proposals should be returned to:

City Clerk
City of Key West
525 Angela St.
Key West, FL 33040
(305) 809-3831

VALID DATE OF PROPOSALS

Proposals shall remain valid until November 1, 2009 to provide additional time for clarification in the event that an extension of the current program(s) is undertaken.

RIGHT TO REJECT PROPOSALS

The City reserves the right to reject any or all proposals, to waive irregularities and informalities in any or all proposals, and to re-advertise for proposals.

The City specifically reserves the right to separately accept or reject any item and/or items of a proposal and to award and/or negotiate a contract in the best interest of the City.

ADHERENCE TO INFORMATION AND PROPOSAL

Information presented in this Request for Proposal and all statements contained in the written proposals received are intended to be relied upon by the City. All coverages and services must be issued as proposed unless the City authorizes individual changes. Any changes authorized by the City will not alter any other items contained in this Request for Proposal.

ADMINISTRATOR'S QUALIFICATIONS

All Proposers must be currently licensed in Florida as a Third Party Administrator in accordance with Florida Statute §626.88 – 626.894.

AUTHORITY OF PROPOSER

Proposals should be signed by an authorized representative of the Third Party Administrator providing the service.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION/INSPECTION

Every attempt has been made to furnish complete and accurate information to the best of City's knowledge. Proposers are encouraged to determine, at their sole expense, additional information required to develop their proposals including any inspections and loss control surveys.

If additional information is required, requests must be submitted in writing to:

**Mr. Sid Webber
Interisk Corporation
1111 N. Westshore Blvd.
Suite 208
Tampa, Florida 33607
Ph: (813) 287-1040
Fax: (813) 287-1041**

All requests for additional information must be received no later than **3:00 PM, July 29, 2009**. Based on the requests received, an addendum to the specifications will be issued to all Proposers.

SAMPLE POLICIES AND CONTRACTS

All proposals must contain a sample contract for review.

RATE CHANGE

All proposers must ensure that the rates proposed will apply for a minimum of 1 year. Proposers will be required to provide Ninety (90) days written notice of the rates that will be charged for subsequent contract years.

TERMINATION/NON-RENEWAL NOTICE

Proposer will be required to provide a ninety (90) days written notice prior to the termination or non-renewal of the agreement.

CLAIM REPORTS

Claim reports shall be furnished monthly. Reports should be completed in plain English and received by the City within twenty (20) days following the end of each month. The reports should include a detailed description of individual claims and the amount paid for each claim and any open reserves that are assigned. Individual allocations by operating location may be necessary.

Claim reports must continue to be furnished without charge until the last open claim is closed, or until the Proposer is no longer providing a service to the City.

COORDINATION WITH EXCESS INSURER

The Proposer will adhere to any and all reporting requirements of the City's Insurers and to coordinate all specific and aggregate recoveries.

SUBROGATION AND SECOND DISABILITY FUND RECOVERIES

The Proposer will coordinate all subrogation and Second Disability Fund recoveries for all claims being administered by the Administrator even if such a claims are no longer active.

USE OF PROPOSAL FORMS

Proposers must submit their proposals on the forms included in this Request. Additional information regarding the Proposer's organization may be submitted in addition to the Proposal Forms.

In addition, if an addendum to this request is issued, the Proposer must acknowledge receipt of such addendum by completing and returning with their proposals the acknowledgment form, which will accompany the addendum.

**THE CITY OF KEY WEST, FLORIDA
REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS
FOR
THIRD PARTY CLAIMS ADMINISTRATION**

GENERAL

The City is seeking competitive proposals from organizations that have the ability to administer the claims that are within the self-insured retentions of the City's insurance programs. Concurrent with this effort, the City is seeking competitive proposals for its Property and Casualty insurance. Proposers participating in the RFP for the City's insurance programs are being encouraged to submit alternative programs to include "Large Deductibles" and other more traditional programs. This may result in the successful insurer being unwilling to unbundle the claims service. In addition, the Proposer must be acceptable to the insurers if such a program is maintained.

Allocated and Unallocated Fees

All proposals must clearly and completely explain all charges that are not included in the Proposer's base fee. The amount of such fees should be clearly presented.

Insurance Requirements

The successful proposer will be required to maintain throughout the life of the contract, insurance protection as specified in the attached forms.

**THE CITY OF KEY WEST, FLORIDA
REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS
FOR
THIRD PARTY CLAIMS ADMINISTRATION**

PROPOSAL FORMS

GENERAL INFORMATION

Use of the proposal forms will enable a faster more complete analysis of the Proposal(s) submitted. Please complete this general proposal form in addition to a separate proposal for each coverage proposed. Additional information can be attached to the forms.

Name of Third Party Administrator? _____

Address: _____

Telephone Number: _____

Are the following services included within the price?
Quoted?

Initial contact with claimant within 24 hours?	Yes _____	No _____
Recorded statements of the claimant?	Yes _____	No _____
Contact with the treating physician within 24 hours?	Yes _____	No _____
Narrative summaries on major claims?	Yes _____	No _____
Medical bills reduced to State fee schedule?	Yes _____	No _____
Subrogation and Second Injury Fund activities?	Yes _____	No _____
Preparation of all State mandated reports?	Yes _____	No _____

Notification of all potential excess claims to insurer?

Yes _____ No _____

Quarterly meetings with the City?

Yes _____ No _____

Provide monthly loss reports to the City?

Yes _____ No _____

If any of the above responses are no, please explain

Are Curriculum Vitae's of adjusters attached?

Yes _____ No _____

What is the current caseload for the adjusters who will be assigned to the City's account?

Are services being proposed on:

a Life of Contract Basis?

Yes _____ No _____

Cradle to Grave Basis?

Yes _____ No _____

Other Basis?

Yes _____ No _____

If services being proposed is not on either a Life of Contract Basis or Cradle to Grave Basis provide full explanation on how the fee will be applied.

Will the proposer charge any initial or maintenance fees?

Yes _____ No _____

If so, please explain

Please explain required banking arrangements

Does the proposer have an approved safety program filed with the State of Florida?

Yes _____ No _____

Quoted Price:

	Cost Per Claim	Cost Per Run-Off Claim
General Liability		
Bodily Injury		
Property Damage		
Automobile Liability		
Bodily Injury		
Property Damage		
Public Officials Liability		
Police Professional Liability		
Workers Compensation		
Medical Only Claims		
Indemnity Claims		

Is an alternative pricing structure proposed?

Yes _____ No _____

If so, please specify

Will a minimum fee apply to the contract?

Yes _____ No _____

If so, please specify

Are there any exceptions to the specifications?

Yes _____ No _____

If so, please specify

The Proposer stated below is the authorized agent of the company or companies proposed, and is authorized to commit the proposing company to the terms and conditions stated above.

Signature of Authorized Representative

Date

PROJECTED PAYROLLS

**CITY OF KEY WEST, FLORIDA
PROJECTION OF PAYROLLS
BY
WORKERS' COMPENSATION CLASSIFICATION**

PROJECTED PAYROLLS		
CLASS CODE	DESCRIPTION	PROJECTED PAYROLL
5508	STREET OR ROAD PAVING	\$263,286
6836	MARINA & DRIVERS	681,399
7382	BUS COMPANY & DRIVERS	992,260
7580	SEWAGE DISPOSAL PLANT OPERATIONS AND DRIVERS	221,246
7590	GARBAGE WORKS	197,132
7704	FIREFIGHTERS & DRIVERS	4,166,694
7720	POLICE OFFICERS & DRIVERS	5,628,140
8380	AUTOMOBILE SERVICE OR REPAIR CENTERS & DRIVERS	314,130
8392	AUTOMOBILE STORAGE GARAGE/ PARKING LOT	422,326
8810	CLERICAL	5,737,821
8820	ATTORNEY	392,510
9015	BUILDINGS - OPERATIONS BY OWNER	341,940
9102	PARK - NOC	1,549,901
9410	MUNICIPAL EMPLOYEES	1,288,684
TOTAL		\$22,197,469

EXPERIENCE MODIFICATION WORK SHEETS

STATE OF MARYLAND
DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC SAFETY
DIVISION OF WORKERS COMPENSATION

SELF INSURER EXPERIENCE RATING

EFFECTIVE RATE		EMPLOYER NAME		FUND	ACCOUNT	EMPLOYER NO.
10/01/2004		KEY WEST, CITY OF		999	06200	816444
ACTUAL LOSSES		PROMULGATION DATE		08/05/2005		PAGE 1
ACCIDENT DATE	CASE NUMBER	TOTAL CASES	ACTUAL LOSSES	PRIMARY	ACTUAL EXCESS	
06/30/2005	281 55 1855	FINAL	48,785	5,000	41,785	
11/10/2004	117 42 7888	FINAL	10,255	5,000	5,255	
09/02/2005	359 48 5514	FINAL	15,498	5,000	11,498	
06/13/2005	253 35 4832	FINAL	5,254	5,000	254	
05/31/2005	216 68 3884	FINAL	5,768	5,000	768	
06/18/2005	257 57 7435	FINAL	9,310	5,000	4,310	
01/12/2005	198 55 6942	FINAL	12,738	5,000	7,738	
02/07/2005	320 72 5546	FINAL	18,038	5,000	13,038	
07/25/2005	558 41 5539	FINAL	19,369	3,000	16,369	
01/31/2005	655 35 7971	OPEN	20,000	5,000	15,000	
12/28/2004	041 32 6161	FINAL	78,848	5,000	71,848	
08/02/2005	266 70 7115	FINAL	12,048	5,000	7,048	
07/11/2005	251 71 5875	FINAL	35,120	5,000	30,120	
08/19/2005	263 85 2895	OPEN	205,370	5,000	177,370	
EXCESS CASES		14	483,331	70,000	393,331	
NON-EXCESS CASES		51	96,048	25,048	71,000	
10/01/2004 - 09/30/2005		65	489,380	100,048	389,331	
08/30/2005	285 38 8080	FINAL	18,768	5,000	11,768	
05/02/2005	593 62 6143	FINAL	19,229	5,000	14,229	
08/16/2005	217 44 8288	OPEN	53,283	5,000	48,283	
04/29/2005	257 48 2823	FINAL	7,592	5,000	2,592	

*LOSS EXCESS STATE ACCIDENT LIMITATION OF \$ 102,500.00. PRIMARY VALUE BASED ON ACTUAL LOSS, ACTUAL EXCESS BASED ON LIMITED LOSS, LIMIT * LOSS USED IN TOTALS

LOSS	RATIAL RATE	PAYROLL	EL RATE	EMPLOYER LOSSES	RATIO	PRIMARY	EXCESS
8809	0.1154	54,525	0.0315	2,052	.18	200	1,853
8828	0.0874	454,589	0.0455	7,361	.20	1,400	5,961
7942	0.1152	515,869	0.0227	14,824	.20	2,987	11,837
7546	0.0470	247,636	0.0146	3,645	.18	661	2,984
7890	0.1270	43,409	0.0250	1,062	.20	217	845
7704	0.1118	4,203,024	0.0159	68,428	.18	12,028	56,400
7220	0.0651	5,772,871	0.0125	73,494	.20	14,773	58,721
8986	0.0917	912,870	0.0441	4,404	.18	837	3,567
8982	0.0839	395,785	0.0339	5,277	.22	1,181	4,096
8816	0.0682	4,748,555	0.0214	8,845	.20	1,928	6,917
8820	0.0053	223,830	0.0010	289	.20	57	232
8515	0.1007	545,148	0.0481	8,247	.20	1,248	7,000
8102	0.1037	1,547,779	0.0165	28,788	.20	5,755	23,033
8440	0.1284	1,888,583	0.0152	21,162	.20	4,228	16,934
10/01/2004 - 09/30/2005		20,709,498		242,437		47,089	195,348
3505	0.1151	188,358	0.0318	5,351	.28	1,071	4,280
8217	0.1272	51,307	0.0278	1,915	.28	255	1,660
8136	0.0402	512,618	0.0188	8,625	.20	1,725	6,900
7382	0.1147	937,776	0.0287	25,614	.20	5,383	20,231
7585	0.0477	228,712	0.0140	4,382	.18	780	3,602
7590	0.1045		0.0250	0	.20	0	0
7704	0.0880	4,508,928	0.0159	21,647	.18	12,828	8,819
7720	0.0801	7,128,577	0.0125	51,247	.20	18,248	33,000

EXPERIENCE MODIFICATION			
PRIMARY	TOTAL	ELIGIBLE FOR RATING**	
ACTUAL LOSS	EXPECTED LOSS	B VALUE	TOTAL PREMIUMS
EXCESS	TOTAL B		GRAND PREMIUMS
EXCESS			
TOTAL A			

TOTAL "A" DIVIDED BY TOTAL "B"

STATE OF ILLINOIS
 DEPARTMENT OF FINANCIAL SERVICES
 DIVISION OF WORKERS COMPENSATION

SELF INSURED EXPERIENCE RATING

EFFEKTIVE DATE	KEY WEST, CITY OF	EMPLOYER NAME	FUND	ACCOUMT	SAMPLELY RPT.
10/01/2008			550	08300	040444
ACTUAL LOSSES	MODIFICATION DATE		DA/DE/2008		PAGE 2
ADJUSTED DATE	CASE NUMBER	TOTAL CASES	ACTUAL LOSSES	PRIMARY	ACTUAL EXCESS
08/11/2008	2 880 01 0081	FINAL	4,311	5,000	0,689
08/08/2008	2 816 01 6769	FINAL	5,893	5,000	893
12/28/2008	2 073 58 5043	FINAL	4,658	5,000	3,342
03/10/2008	3 388 72 8846	OPEN	87,085	5,000	92,085
12/02/2008	3 287 77 0076	OPEN	82,900	5,000	87,900
04/24/2008	3 894 38 0795	OPEN	11,888	5,000	6,888
08/01/2008	3 618 88 8322	FINAL	28,780	5,000	23,780
10/18/2008	3 832 98 4248	FINAL	74,787	5,000	69,787
08/28/2008	3 588 78 8880	FINAL	28,302	5,000	23,302
10/21/2008	3 102 84 8081	FINAL	5,608	5,000	608
03/21/2008	3 182 88 8081	FINAL	5,804	5,000	804
01/20/2008	3 289 89 0883	FINAL	5,884	5,000	884
01/21/2008	3 011 89 8181	OPEN	150,788	5,000	145,788
08/24/2008	3 002 48 8138	OPEN	78,087	5,000	73,087
04/30/2008	3 588 22 8017	OPEN	47,000	5,000	42,000
02/01/2008	3 002 48 8338	OPEN	31,649	5,000	26,649
05/18/2008	3 283 55 0745	OPEN	17,824	5,000	12,824
04/13/2008	3 286 11 7181	OPEN	83,701	5,000	78,701
01/28/2008	3 212 52 4185	FINAL	24,657	5,000	19,657
07/12/2008	3 490 04 8321	FINAL	7,248	5,000	2,248
07/01/2008	3 112 60 3482	FINAL	11,187	5,000	6,187
01/20/2008	3 585 05 5843	FINAL	18,289	5,000	13,289

LOSS	MODIF. DATE	PAYROLL	LT RATE	CUMULATED LOSSES	RATIO	PRIMARY	MODIFIED EXCESS
8880	D.0872	400,188	0.0141	5,788	.18	1,084	4,704
8882	D.0795	588,248	0.0133	7,886	.12	1,882	6,004
8810	D.0084	8,408,248	0.0014	7,587	.20	1,512	6,075
8820	D.0048	288,348	0.0010	288	.20	88	200
9015	D.0854	341,817	0.0121	5,180	.28	1,217	3,963
8402	D.0878	1,843,777	0.0185	90,574	.20	8,118	82,456
9410	D.1188	1,800,511	0.0152	34,328	.20	4,885	29,443
10/01/2008	08/20/2008	28,878,282		282,887		58,905	223,982
5508	D.1123	242,887	0.0815	7,701	.18	1,888	5,813
8217	D.1112	82,144	0.0275	1,438	.18	288	1,150
8808	D.0041	881,288	0.0188	11,448	.20	2,388	9,060
7382	D.0874	881,408	0.0287	24,428	.20	4,887	19,541
7880	D.0448	211,882	0.0148	4,880	.18	818	4,062
7704	D.0728	4,248,821	0.0188	68,278	.18	12,878	55,400
7720	D.0808	7,028,902	0.0128	80,888	.20	13,014	67,874
8888	D.0888	887,878	0.0141	5,878	.18	1,088	4,790
8382	D.0822	584,282	0.0122	7,804	.22	1,788	6,016
8810	D.0051	5,888,880	0.0014	7,888	.20	1,548	6,340
8820	D.0041	814,867	0.0010	814	.20	88	726
8075	D.0778	888,884	0.0181	7,888	.20	1,571	6,317
8402	D.0878	1,743,701	0.0188	32,439	.20	8,427	24,012
9410	D.0823	1,885,888	0.0152	27,755	.20	5,551	22,204
10/01/2008	08/30/2008	24,833,888		288,448		58,134	230,314

EXPERIENCE MODIFICATION			
PRIMARY	TOTAL	INELIGIBLE FOR RATING**	
EXCESS	EXCESS LOSS	B	AVERAGE
EXCESS	TOTAL B	AVERAGE	
EXCESS		AVERAGE	
TOTAL A			

TOTAL "A" DIVIDED BY TOTAL "B"

STATE OF FLORIDA
 DEPARTMENT OF FINANCIAL SERVICES
 DIVISION OF WORKERS COMPENSATION
 SELF INSURER EXPERIENCE RATING

EFFECTIVE DATE	EMPLOYER NAME	FUND	ACCOUNT	EMPLOYEE NO.	
10/01/2008	KEY WEST, CITY OF	999	09300	010445	
ACTUAL DATES	PROMULGATION DATE	08/08/2008	PAGE 2		
ACCUENT DATE	CASE NUMBER	TOTAL CASES	ACTUAL LOSSES	PRIMARY	ACTUAL EXPENSE
01/26/2008	266 27 8836 OPEN		24,788	5,000	29,788
03/18/2008	598 14 0888 FINAL		5,888	5,000	888
07/18/2008	692 07 5827 OPEN		154,275	5,000	149,275
11/29/2008	254 77 4852 FINAL		48,668	5,000	43,668
11/12/2008	267 78 0848 OPEN		32,384	5,000	27,384
08/29/2008	261 58 1855 OPEN		10,887	5,000	5,887
02/28/2008	084 48 8171 OPEN		118,824	5,000	113,824
	EXCESS CASES	29	1,272,312	185,000	1,087,312
	NON-EXCESS CASES	85	84,819	38,618	0
	10/01/2008 - 08/30/2008	114	1,310,831	209,618	1,107,212
08/02/2007	117 42 7888 FINAL		27,409	5,000	22,409
12/18/2008	568 13 4518 OPEN		79,888	5,000	74,888
12/12/2008	284 11 8748 FINAL		9,048	5,000	4,048
12/14/2008	288 05 7800 OPEN		24,820	5,000	19,820
05/08/2007	282 82 8883 OPEN		186,121	5,000	181,121
12/12/2008	888 17 4882 OPEN		92,822	5,000	87,822
08/01/2007	284 87 1020 OPEN		42,438	5,000	37,438
12/22/2008	288 38 8388 OPEN		14,486	5,000	9,486
07/02/2007	008 04 7888 FINAL		6,875	5,000	1,875
01/30/2007	402 17 8080 FINAL		5,844	5,000	844
01/17/2007	818 88 8822 FINAL		7,878	5,000	2,878

CLASS	EMERGENCY RATE	PAYROLL	ELI RATE	EMERGENCY LOSSES	ELI RATIO	PRIMARY	EMERGENCY EXPENSE

EXPERIENCE MODIFICATION		TOTAL ELI RATIO		ELIGIBLE FOR RATING	
PRIMARY	EXCESS	ELI	EXCESS	ELI	EXCESS
VALUE		TOTAL	VALUE	TOTAL	VALUE
		TOTAL		TOTAL	
TOTAL A					

TOTAL "A" DIVIDED BY "TOTAL "B"

STATE OF FLORIDA
DEPARTMENT OF FINANCIAL SERVICES
DIVISION OF WORKERS COMPENSATION

SELF INSURER EXPERIENCE RATING

EFFEKTIVE DATE	KEY WEST, CITY OF	EMPLOYER NAME	FUND	ACCOUNT	EMPLOYER NO.
ACTUAL LOSSES	PROMULGATION DATE		ENR	ENR02	
AMOUNT PAID	CASE NUMBER	TOTAL CASES	ACTUAL LOSSES	PRIMARY	ACTUAL EXCESS
07/10/2007	227 12 8984 FINAL		63,186	5,000	38,438
08/12/2007	252 75 3214 OPEN		13,594	5,000	5,594
12/18/2006	551 34 8095 OPEN		162,227	5,000	162,227
08/13/2007	285 59 3775 OPEN		7,501	5,000	2,501
12/31/2006	282 75 3214 OPEN		30,000	5,000	25,000
03/01/2007	418 08 0482 OPEN		75,251	5,000	71,251
05/18/2007	351 70 7384 OPEN		20,482	5,000	15,482
10/08/2006	128 78 6492 OPEN		65,136	5,000	57,136
10/05/2006	254 11 8833 OPEN		47,382	5,000	42,382
02/18/2007	287 81 7648 OPEN		89,669	5,000	84,669
04/12/2007	358 70 4221 OPEN		25,237	5,000	21,237
04/18/2007	258 86 8325 OPEN		18,000	5,000	10,000
08/01/2007	257 81 7845 OPEN		43,151	5,000	38,151
	EXCESS CASES	34	1,073,412	130,000	952,412
	NON-EXCESS LOSSES	107	44,417	44,417	0
	10/01/2006 - 09/30/2007	121	1,117,829	164,417	952,412
	EMPLOYER TOTALS	344	2,827,140	473,045	2,434,095

CLASS	MANUAL RATE	PRORATA	ELI ROSTE	EMPHYSI LOSSES	CR RATIO	PRIMARY	EXCESS
EMPLOYER	TOTALS...	88,822,878		283,270		162,186	871,182

EXPERIENCE MODIFICATION		TOTAL		INELIGIBLE FOR RATING**	
PRIMARY	ACTUAL LOSS	EXPECTED LOSS	EMPHYSI LOSSES	TOTAL PREMIUMS	AVERAGE PREMIUMS
473,045	162,200	162,200	283,270	4,234,284	1,239,627
ACTUAL EXCESS	1,030,709	TOTAL B	283,270		
EXCESS	388,287				
TOTAL A	1,395,245		2.13		

TOTAL "A" DIVIDED BY TOTAL "B"

**REQUIRED FORMS TO BE COMPLETED BY
ALL PROPOSERS**

ANTI-KICKBACK AFFIDAVIT

STATE OF FLORIDA

SS

COUNTY OF MONROE

I, the undersigned, hereby duly sworn, depose and say that no portion of the sum herein bid will be paid to any employee of the City of Key West as a commission, kickback, reward or gift, directly or indirectly by me or any member of my firm or by an officer of the corporation.

BY: _____

sworn and prescribed before me this _____ day of _____, 2009

NOTARY PUBLIC, State of Florida

My commission expires: _____

SWORN STATEMENT PURSUANT TO SECTION 287.133(3)(A)
FLORIDA STATUTES, ON PUBLIC ENTITY CRIMES

THIS FORM MUST BE SIGNED AND SWORN TO IN THE PRESENCE OF A NOTARY
PUBLIC OR OTHER OFFICIAL AUTHORIZED TO ADMINISTER OATHS,

1. This sworn statement is submitted to _____
by _____
(Print individual's name and title)
for _____
(print name of entity submitting sworn statement)

whose business address is _____
and (if applicable) its Federal Employer Identification Number (FEIN) is _____
(If the entity has no FEIN, include the Social security Number of the individual signing
this sworn statement: _____)

2. I understand that a "public entity crime" as defined in Paragraph 287.133(1)(g), Florida Statutes, means a violation of any state or federal law by a person with respect to and directly related to the transaction of business with any public entity or with an agency or political subdivision of any other state or of the United States, including, but not limited to, any bid or contract for goods or services to be provided to any public entity or an agency or political subdivision of any other state or of the United States and involving antitrust, fraud, theft, bribery, collusion, racketeering, conspiracy, or material misrepresentation.
3. I understand that "conviction" as defined in Paragraph 287.133(1)(g), Florida Statutes, means a finding of guilt or a conviction of a public entity crime, with or without an adjudication of guilt, in any federal or state trial court of record relating to charges brought by indictment of information after July 01, 1989, as a result of a jury verdict, nonjury trial, or entry of a plea of guilty or nolo contendere.
4. I understand that an "affiliate" as defined in Paragraph 287.133(1)(a), Florida Statutes, means:
1. A predecessor or successor of a person convicted of a public entity crime;
- or

2. An entity under the control of any natural person who is active in the management of the entity and who has been convicted of a public entity crime. the term "affiliate" includes those officers, directors, executives, partners, shareholders, employees, members and agents who are active in the management of an affiliate. The ownership by one person of shares constituting a controlling interest in another person, or a pooling of equipment of income among persons when not for fair market value under an arm's length agreement, shall be a prima facie case that one person controls another person. A person who knowingly enters into a joint venture with a person who has been convicted of a public entity crime in Florida during the preceding 36 months shall be considered an affiliate.
5. I understand that a "person" as defined in Paragraph 287.133(1)(e), Florida Statutes, means any natural person or entity organized under the laws of any state of the United States with the legal power to enter into a binding contract and which bids or applies to bid on contracts for the provision of goods or services let by a public entity, or which otherwise transacts or applies to transact business with a public entity. The term "person" includes those officers, directors, executives, partners, shareholders, employees, members, and agents who are active in management of an entity.
6. Based on information and belief, the statement which I have marked below is true in relation to the entity submitting this sworn statement (indicate which statement applies).

Neither the entity submitting this sworn statement, or any of its officers, directors, executives, partners, shareholders, employees, members, or agents who are active in the management of the entity, nor any affiliate of the entity has been charged with and convicted of a public entity crime subsequent to July, 1989.

The entity submitting this sworn statement, or one or more of its officers, directors, executives, partners, shareholders, employees, members, or agents who are active in the management of the entity, or an affiliate of the entity has been charged with and convicted of a public entity crime subsequent to July 01, 1989.

The entity submitting this sworn statement, or one or more of its officers, directors, executives, partners, shareholders, employees, members, or agents who are active in the management of the entity, or an affiliate of the entity has been charged with and convicted of a public entity crime subsequent to July 01, 1989. However, there has been a subsequent proceeding before a Hearing Officer of the State of Florida, Division of Administrative Hearings and the Final Order entered by the Hearing Officer determined that it was not in the public interest to place the entity submitting this sworn statement on the convicted vendor list. (attach a copy of the final order).

I UNDERSTAND THAT THE SUBMISSION OF THIS FORM TO THE CONTRACTING OFFICER FOR THE PUBLIC ENTITY IDENTIFIED IN PARAGRAPH ONE (1) ABOVE IS FOR THAT PUBLIC ENTITY ONLY AND, THAT THIS FORM IS VALID THROUGH DECEMBER 31 OF THE CALENDAR YEAR IN WHICH IT IS FILED. I ALSO UNDERSTAND THAT I AM REQUIRED TO INFORM THE PUBLIC ENTITY PRIOR TO ENTERING INTO A CONTRACT IN EXCESS OF THE THRESHOLD AMOUNT PROVIDED IN SECTION 287.017, FLORIDA STATUTES, FOR THE CATEGORY TWO OF ANY CHANGE IN THE INFORMATION CONTAINED IN THIS FORM.

(SIGNATURE)

(DATE)

STATE OF _____

COUNTY OF _____

PERSONALLY APPEARED BEFORE ME, the undersigned authority _____ who, after first being sworn by me, (name of individual) affixed his/her signature in the space provided above of this _____ day of _____, 2009

NOTARY PUBLIC

My commission expires: _____

**REQUIRED INSURANCE TO BE MAINTAINED
BY SUCCESSFUL PROPOSER**

Prior to execution of the final contract, the successful proposer will be required to provide evidence that the following insurance is in place.

Type of Insurance	Limits
Workers' Compensation	Statutory
Employers' Liability	\$500,000
General Liability	\$1 million
Vehicle Liability	\$1 million
Professional Liability	\$1 million

The successful proposer will be required to maintain the above insurance during the entire term and any extensions of the contract. All coverages must be provided by insurers licensed to conduct business within the State of Florida and acceptable to the City.

RESOLUTION NO. 09-246

A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COMMISSION OF THE CITY OF KEY WEST, FLORIDA, AUTHORIZING ACCEPTANCE OF THE PROPOSAL FROM EMPLOYER MUTUAL, INC. (EMI) IN THE AMOUNT OF \$140,267.00 FOR SERVICES TO BE RENDERED AS THE CITY'S INSURANCE PROGRAM THIRD PARTY CLAIMS ADMINISTRATOR; AUTHORIZING THE CITY MANAGER OR HIS DESIGNEE TO NEGOTIATE AND EXECUTE AN AGREEMENT WITH EMI FOR THE POLICY YEAR 2009/2010 WITH FOUR (4) ADDITIONAL POLICY YEARS SUBJECT TO RATIFICATION BY CITY COMMISSION; PROVIDING FOR AN EFFECTIVE DATE

WHEREAS, the City issued RFP #09-011 requesting proposals for "third party claim administration", which was opened on August 25, 2009; and

WHEREAS, the City received eleven responses to the RFP; and

WHEREAS, the City Commission desires to award the proposal to the top firm and permit the City Manager or his designee, with the advice and consent of the City Attorney, to negotiate and execute an agreement with the top firm in accordance with that firm's proposal.

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COMMISSION OF THE CITY OF KEY WEST, FLORIDA, AS FOLLOWS:

Section 1: That the proposal from Employers Mutual, Inc., in the amount of \$140,267.00 to serve as the City's insurance program third party claims administrator for policy year 2009/2010 with four (4) additional policy years is hereby accepted.

Section 2: That the City Manager or his designee, with the advice and consent of the city Attorney, is authorized to negotiate and execute an agreement with Employers Mutual, Inc., in compliance with the RFP and the response thereto, which shall be subject to ratification by the City Commission.

Section 3: That this Resolution shall go into effect immediately upon its passage and adoption and authentication by the signature of the presiding officer and the Clerk of the Commission.

Passed and adopted by the City Commission at a meeting held this 15th day of September, 2009.


Authenticated by the presiding officer and Clerk of the Commission on September 16th, 2009.

Filed with the Clerk September 16th, 2009.



MORGAN MCPHERSON, MAYOR

ATTEST:


CHERYL SMITH, CITY CLERK



**CITY MANAGER'S OFFICE
MEMORANDUM**

TO: Jim Scholl, City Manager
FROM: Mark Z. Finigan, Assistant City Manager 
DATE: September 10, 2009
SUBJECT: RFP 09-011
City of Key West Insurance Program—Third Party Claims Administration

ACTION STATEMENT:

Request the City Commission award the proposal of Employers Mutual, Inc. (EMI) in an amount of \$140,267 for policy year 2009/2010 for services to be rendered as the City of Key West Insurance Program Third Party Claim's Administrator. Additionally, authorizing the City Manager or designee to negotiate and execute an agreement with EMI for the policy year 2009/2010 with four (4) additional policy years; however City shall maintain the right to terminate the agreement upon each anniversary date without penalty.

BACKGROUND:

On June 2, 2009 the City of Key West engaged Interisk Corporation, an independent insurance consultant, to assist the City in the development of a Request for Proposal (RFP) to receive competitive proposals for the Third Party Administration of the City's Property and Casualty claims (to include Workers Compensation) for the 2009/2010 policy year. The last policy year the City's Third Party Claim's Administration for the Property and Casualty Insurance Program was marketed was 2002/2003. In addition to preparing the RFP, Interisk Corporation was required to evaluate proposals and make a recommendation to the City. A copy of Interisk's evaluation and recommendation, dated September 10, 2009, is attached.

On August 25, 2009, sealed proposals were received and were publicly opened by the City Clerk. Eleven prospective service providers responded to the RFP:

1. Alternative Service Concepts, LLC
6010 Cattleridge Drive
Suite 103
Sarasota, FL 34232

2. Cannon Cooran Management Services, Inc. (CCMSI)
2600 Lake Lucien Drive
Suite 225
Maitland, FL 32751
3. Corvel Enterprises Comp, Inc.
210 North University Drive, #501
Coral Springs, FL 33071
4. EMCAS
12466 West Atlantic Blvd.
Coral Springs, FL 33071
5. Employers Mutual, Inc. (EMI)
700 Central Parkway
Stuart, FL 34994
6. Callagher Bassett Services, Inc.
2 Pierca Place, 5th Floor
Piasca, IL 60143
7. Intergrated Claim Solutions, Inc.
668 Maitland Avenue
Altamonte Springs, FL 32701
8. Johns Eastern Company, Inc.
P.O. Box 110259
Lakewood Ranch, FL 34211-0004
9. Preferred Governmental Claim Solutions, Inc. (PGCS)
P.O. Box 958456
Lake Mary, FL 32795-8456
10. PMA Management Corp. (PMAMC)
2701 North Rocky Point Drive
Suite 250
Tampa, FL 33607
11. York Claims Services, Inc.
1117 Perimeter Center West
Atlanta, GA 30338

After full consideration of all factors (to include long term costs savings, references checks with current clients and the recommendation offered by Interisk Corporation) I recommend the selection of Employers Mutual, Inc. (EMI).

FINANCIAL IMPACT:

Selection of EMI over the incumbent, Gallagher Bassett Services, Inc. will result in a higher "Year 1" cost of approximately \$9,000. The projected cost for Year 1 for EMI is \$140,267 and includes a one time claim assumption fee of \$46,600. Gallagher Bassett Services, Inc. proposed fee for year one was \$131,361, however, as the incumbent there would be no claim assumption fee. Assuming the City continued after Year One with EMI there would be an annual savings of approximately \$38,000 -- \$131,361 (Gallagher Bassett Services, Inc.) as opposed to \$93,667 for EMI. Please note this is a relative savings since all TPA providers escalate their annual fees by some COLA factor.

RECOMMENDATION:

Request the City Commission award the proposal of Employers Mutual, Inc. (EMI) in an amount of \$140,267 for policy year 2009/2010 for services rendered as the City of Key West's Third Party Insurance Claims Administrator. Additionally, authorizing the City Manager or designee to negotiate and execute an agreement with EMI for the policy year 2009/2010 with four (4) additional policy years; however City shall maintain the right to terminate the agreement upon each anniversary date without penalty

INTERISK CORPORATION

Consultants

Risk Management
Employee Benefits

111 North Westshore Boulevard
Suite 208
Tampa, FL 33607-4711
Phone (813) 287-1040
Facsimile (813) 287-1041

September 10, 2009

Mr. Mark Finigan
Assistant City Manager - Administrator
City of Key West
525 Angela St.
Key West, Florida 33040

Subject: Evaluation of Third Party Claims Administration Services - RFP #09-011

Dear Mark:

The City of Key West's Property and Casualty Insurance program includes various self-insured retentions and deductibles that require the City to engage the services of a third party claims administrator to adjust the claims and portions of the claims that fall within these retentions and deductibles. Gallagher Bassett Services Inc. has provided these services for the City for a number of years. The estimated annual cost of these services is estimated to be approximately \$128,265.

In conjunction with the City's Request for Proposal (RFP) for its 2009/10 Property and Casualty Insurance program a separate RFP (#09-011) was issued seeking competitive proposals for the City's Claims Administration Services. The RFP specified that the successful proposer would be required to adjust the City's Liability, Workers' Compensation and Property claims. The RFP also specified specific services that the successful proposer would be required to provide based on industry standards for claim administration services.

A total of eleven (11) firms submitted proposals in response to the City's RFP. They included:

- ✔ Gallagher Bassett Services, Inc.
- ✔ Cannon Cochran Management Services
- ✔ PMA Management Corp.
- ✔ Alternative Service Concepts
- ✔ York Claim Services
- ✔ Engle Martin & Associates
- ✔ Integrated Claims Solutions
- ✔ Corvet Corporation
- ✔ Preferred Governmental Claim Solutions
- ✔ Johns Eastern Company
- ✔ Employers Mutual, Inc.

Engle Martin & Associates' proposal only included the adjusting of the City's Liability claims. Engle Martin submitted no evidence that they have the resources or desire to adjust the City's Workers' Compensation claims. For this reason Engle Martin & Associates was eliminated from further consideration.

Corvel's proposal only included the adjusting of the City's Workers' Compensation claims. Corvel submitted no evidence that they have the resources or desire to adjust the City's Liability claims. For this reason Corvel Corporation was eliminated from further consideration.

Integrated Claims Solutions' primary business is providing claims administration services for Liability claims and only has one Workers' Compensation adjuster with only one Workers' Compensation client. It was also believed that Integrated Claims Solutions lacked the appropriate infrastructure to properly adjust Workers' Compensation claims. Therefore Integrated Claims Solutions was also eliminated from further consideration.

It is believed that the remaining firms that submitted a proposal demonstrated that they have sufficient resources and abilities to provide the services that the City is seeking. The attached schedules reflect the major features of each proposal and are incorporated as part of this report. Each proposal will be discussed under separate caption. Prior to addressing the individual proposals, several issues should be discussed.

The current contract with Gallagher Bassett was issued on what is referred to as a "Life of Contract" basis. The contract obligates Gallagher Bassett to administer claims for a single per claim fee for the life of the claim as long as a contractual relationship exists with the City. If the City were to select a different firm, the City would be required to pay Gallagher additional fees to continue handling the active claims or transfer the active claims to the newly selected administrator. It is believed that if the City were to select a new administrator, it would be in their best interest to transfer all active claims to the new administrator. This would require the City to pay the new administrator additional fees to assume the administration of the existing claims. Such fees are normally expressed in one of three different manners.

- 1) Charge a single one time fee for each claim being assumed based on the type of claim.
- 2) Charge a flat one time fee for assuming all of the City's active claims regardless of the number and type of claim.
- 3) Charge an annual fee based on the number and types of claims still remaining active.

In addition, some firms will charge an initial setup fee for transferring the City's historical claim information to their system. Maintaining the City's historical claim information is critical because the information will be required for subsequent renewals of the City's Property and Casualty Insurance program. The information that will be transferred includes activity notes from the previous administrator which will be critical for future handling of the claims.

Some firms charge an "Administration Fee" designed to cover the costs of administering the program. Some firms include all administration costs in the "Per Claim" fee that they charge.

The State of Florida has established a "Fee Schedule" that reflects the maximum amount that can be paid to a health care provider for services provided to a Workers' Compensation claimant. Normally health care providers issue their invoices based on their internal pricing structure and claims administrators adjust these fees to coincide with the State's Fee Schedule. Most claims administrators will make a separate charge for each medical bill reduced to this Fee Schedule.

Gallagher Bassett Services, Inc.

Gallagher Bassett is the City's current claims administrator. Gallagher Bassett is one of the largest third party claim administrators in the Country and is believed to have sufficient resources to continue to provide the needs of the City. Gallagher proposed to continue administering the City's claims for specific fees based on the types of claims involved. Based on the City's historical claim experience these fees would amount to approximately \$96,012 annually. In addition, Gallagher would charge an annual administration fee of \$27,849 and medical bill reduction fees of approximately \$7,500. This results in an annual projected cost for Gallagher Bassett's services of \$131,361 (\$96,012+\$27,849+\$7,500).

Cannon Cochran Management Services, Inc (CCMSI).

Little is known about CCMSI with the exception of the information contained in their proposals. It is believed CCMSI has sufficient resources to provide the needs of the City. CCMSI proposed to assume the administration of the City's claims for specific fees based on the types of claims involved. Based on the City's historical claim experience these fees would amount to approximately \$89,510 annually. In addition, CCMSI would charge an initial one time fee of \$7,500 for converting the City's historical claim history to their computer system. They would also charge the City a one time fee of approximately \$122,961 to assume the administration of the City's claims that are active as of October 1, 2009. Other administration fees proposed by CCMSI would amount to approximately \$21,940 annually. This results in a projected first year cost of approximately \$241,911 ($\$89,510 + \$7,500 + \$122,961 + \$21,940$). Since CCMSI's claim assumption fee would be a one time charge, the projected cost for CCMSI's services in subsequent years would be approximately \$111,450.

PMA Management Corp.

While I have limited personal experience with PMA, they have a reputation of being a quality claims administrator. It is believed that PMA has sufficient resources to provide the needs of the City. PMA proposed to assume the administration of the City's claims for specific fees based on the types of claims involved. Based on the City's historical claim experience these fees would amount to approximately \$83,415 annually. In addition, PMA would charge an initial one time fee of \$5,000 for converting the City's historical claim history to their computer system. They would also charge the City a one time fee of approximately \$76,805 to assume the administration of the City's claims that are active as of October 1, 2009. Other administration fees proposed by PMA would amount to approximately \$15,976 annually. This results in a projected first year cost of approximately \$181,196 ($\$83,415 + \$5,000 + \$76,805 + \$15,976$). Since PMA's claim assumption fee would be a one time charge, the projected cost for PMA's services in subsequent years would be approximately \$99,391.

Alternative Service Concepts (ASC)

ASC began providing claims administration services in Florida approximately 3 years ago when they acquired a company by the name of Unisource Claims Administrator. While I have limited personal experience with ASC, I have considerable experience with Unisource and viewed them as a quality claims administrator. It is understood that ASC retained the employees of Unisource which would lead to the conclusion that ASC remains as a quality claims administrator and has sufficient resources to provide the needs of the City. ASC proposed to assume the administration of the City's claims for specific fees based on the types of claims involved. Based on the City's historical claim experience these fees would amount to approximately \$73,740 annually. In addition, ASC would charge an initial one time fee of \$5,000 for converting the City's historical claim history to their computer system. They would also charge the City a one time fee of approximately \$41,100 to assume the administration of the City's claims that are active as of October 1, 2009. Other administration fees proposed by ASC would amount to approximately \$23,399 annually. This results in a projected first year cost of approximately \$143,239 ($\$73,740 + \$5,000 + \$41,100 + \$23,399$). Since ASC's claim assumption fee would be a one time charge, the projected cost for PMA's services in subsequent years would be approximately \$97,139 ($\$73,740 + \$23,399$).

York Claim Services, Inc.

While I have limited personal experience with York, they have a reputation of being a quality claims administrator. It is believed that York has sufficient resources to provide the needs of the City. York offered two options for the City to consider. The first option would obligate York to administer the City's claims to conclusion as long as a contractual relationship between the City and York exists. Under this option York would assume the administration of the City's claims for specific fees based on the types of claims involved. Based on the City's historical claim experience these fees for this option would amount to approximately \$91,297 annually. York would not charge an initial fee for converting the City's historical

claim history to their computer system or an annual administration fee. They would charge the City a fee of approximately \$41,100 to assume the administration of the City's claims that are active as of October 1, 2009. This amount was determined based on the number of active claims the City currently has. York would also charge other annual administrative fees of approximately \$15,246. This results in a projected first year cost for this option of approximately \$147,643 ($\$91,297 + \$41,100 + \$15,246$). Since York's claim assumption fee only provides services for one year, their claim assumption fee would be based on the number of claims open in subsequent years (post 10/1/09) and can not be determined at this time.

The second option would obligate York to adjust the City's claims until conclusion regardless of the contractual relationship that exists between the City and York. Under this option York would assume the administration of the City's claims for specific fees based on the types of claims involved. Based on the City's historical claim experience these fees for this option would amount to approximately \$100,402 annually. York would not charge an initial fee for converting the City's historical claim history to their computer system or an annual administration fee. They would charge the City a fee of approximately \$41,100 to assume the administration of the City's claims that are active as of October 1, 2009. This amount was determined based on the number of active claims the City currently has. York would also charge other annual administrative fees of approximately \$15,246. This results in a projected first year cost for this option of approximately \$156,748 ($\$100,402 + \$41,100 + \$15,246$). Since York's claim assumption fee only provides services for one year, their claim assumption fee would be based on the number of claims open in subsequent years (post 10/1/09) and can not be determined at this time.

Preferred Governmental Claims Solutions (PGCS)

I have had numerous opportunities to evaluate the services provided by PGCS and it is believed they are a quality claims administrator. It is believed that PGCS has sufficient resources to provide the needs of the City. PGCS proposed to assume the administration of the City's claims for specific fees based on the types of claims involved. Based on the City's historical claim experience these fees would amount to approximately \$53,665 annually. PGCS would not charge a fee to convert the City's historical claim history to their computer system. They would charge the City a one time fee of approximately \$25,020 to assume the administration of the City's claims that are active as of October 1, 2009. Other administration fees proposed by PGCS would amount to approximately \$37,115 annually. This results in a projected first year cost of approximately \$115,800 ($\$53,665 + \$25,020 + \$37,115$). Since PGCS's claim assumption fee would be a one time charge, the projected cost for PGCS's services in subsequent years would be approximately \$90,780 ($\$53,665 + \$37,115$).

Johns Eastern Company

I have had numerous opportunities to evaluate the services provided by Johns Eastern and it is believed they are a quality claims administrator. It is believed that Johns Eastern has sufficient resources to provide the needs of the City. Johns Eastern proposed to assume the administration of the City's claims for specific fees based on the types of claims involved. Based on the City's historical claim experience these fees would amount to approximately \$83,519 annually. Johns Eastern would charge a fee of \$15,000 to convert the City's historical claim history to their computer system. They would charge the City a one time fee of approximately \$48,575 to assume the administration of the City's claims that are active as of October 1, 2009. Other administration fees proposed by PGCS would amount to approximately \$13,579 annually. This results in a projected first year cost of approximately \$160,673 ($\$83,519 + \$48,575 + \$15,000 + \$3,500 + \$10,079$). Since Johns Eastern's claim assumption fee would be a one time charge, the projected cost for Johns Eastern's services in subsequent years would be approximately \$97,098 ($\$83,519 + \$3,500 + \$10,079$).

Employers Mutual, Inc. (EMI)

I have had numerous opportunities to evaluate the services provided by EMI and it is believed they are a quality claims administrator. It is believed that EMI has sufficient resources to provide the needs of the City. EMI proposed to assume the administration of the City's claims for specific fees based on the types

of claims involved. Based on the City's historical claim experience these fees would amount to approximately \$84,350 annually. EMI would not charge a fee to convert the City's historical claim history to their computer system. They would charge the City a one time fee of approximately \$46,600 to assume the administration of the City's claims that are active as of October 1, 2009. Other administration fees proposed by EMI would amount to approximately \$9,317 annually. This results in a projected first year cost of approximately \$140,267 (\$84,350+\$46,600+\$9,317). Since PGCS's claim assumption fee would be a one time charge, the projected cost for EMI's services in subsequent years would be approximately \$93,667 (\$84,350+\$9,317).

Summary and Recommendations

Based on the projected and estimated annual cost for their services, it is believed that the City has more cost effective options to choose from and it is recommended that the following firms be eliminated from further consideration:

- Cannon Cochran Management Services
- PMA Management Corp.
- Alternative Service Concepts
- York Claim Services
- John Easter Company

It is also believed that City could receive comparable or improved services being provided by Gallagher Bassett for a lower projected annual cost and therefore it is also being recommended that Arthur J. Gallagher be eliminated from further consideration.

It is believed that the Preferred Governmental Claims Solution (PGCS) and Employers Mutual, Inc. (EMI) offered the most competitive proposals while still providing quality claim services. While EMI's projected annual cost for the first year is approximately \$24,467 higher than the fee proposed by PGCS and their projected fee for subsequent years is approximately \$2,887 higher than PGCS' projected fees, it is believed that quality of EMI's adjusters is slightly better than those of PGCS which will result in lower claim costs that will more than offset the differences in their administration fees. It is therefore recommended that the City of Key West select Employers Mutual, Inc. as their new claims administrator effective October 1, 2009.

I will make myself available to review the above analysis and recommendations with you at your convenience.

Cordially,

INTERISK CORPORATION



Sidney G. Webber
CFCU, ARM

CITY OF KEY WEST, FLORIDA
EVALUATION OF PROPOSALS

FOR

THIRD PARTY CLAIMS ADMINISTRATION

RF#09-011

Type of Program	Current Program (Gallagher Bassett)	Gallagher Bassett	CCMSI	ZMA
Location of Services	Life of Contract Miramar	Life of Contract Miramar	Life of Contract Miramar	Life of Contract Tampa/Key West
Average Case Load of Adjuster	Lost Time - 202 Med Only - 268 Liability - Unknown	Lost Time - 202 Med Only - 268 Liability - Unknown	Lost Time - 130 Med Only - 130 Liability - 134	120 - 130
Experience of Adjusters	Extensive	Extensive	Moderate	Extensive
Quality of Loss Reports	Good	Good	Did not provide sample	Good
Initial contact with claimant within 24 hours	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Recorded statements of claimant	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Contact with treating physician within 24 hours	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Narrative Summaries of major claims	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Medical bills reduced to State Fee Schedule	Additional Charge	Additional Charge	Additional Charge	Additional Charge
Pursue Subrogation and Second Injury Fund claims	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Prepare Stats mandated reports	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Report and pursue Excess claims	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Quarterly claim reviews	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Monthly loss runs	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Projected Annual Cost	\$128,265	\$13,361	\$24,311	\$18,196
Comments				

**CITY OF KEY WEST, FLORIDA
EVALUATION OF PROPOSALS**

FOR

THIRD PARTY CLAIMS ADMINISTRATION

RFP #09-011

	Alternative Service Concepts	York Claims Solutions	Engle, Martin & Assoc.	Integrated Claim Solutions
Type of Program	Concepts	York Claims Solutions		
Location of Services	Life of Contract	Life of Contract		
Average Case Load of Adjuster	Sarasota	Tallahassee/Orlando		
Experience of Adjusters	110	Lost Time - 180 Med. Only - 300		
Quality of Loss Reports	Extensive	Unknown		
Initial contact with claimant within 24 hours	Fair	Fair		
Recorded statements of claimant	Yes	Yes		
Contact with treating physician within 24 hours	Yes	Yes		
Narrative Summaries of major claims	Yes	Yes		
Medical bills reduced to State Fee Schedule	Additional Charge	Additional Charge		
Pursue Subrogation and Second Injury Fund claims	Yes	Yes		
Prepare State mandated reports	Yes	Yes		
Report and pursue Excess claims	Yes	Yes		
Quarterly claim reviews	Yes	Yes		
Monthly loss runs	Yes	Yes		
Projected Annual Cost	\$143,239	Life of Contract - \$147,643 Life of Claim - \$156,748		
Comments	Did not address Loss Control Proposed Annual Fees for all assumed claims	Services will be fragmented between Tallahassee, Orlando and New York Proposed Claim Assumption Fee provides services for only 1 year	Non Responsive Unable to provide claim administration services for Workers' Compensation Claims	Insufficient size to provide services the City requires. ICS only has 1 Workers' Compensation adjuster and only 1 W/S account. In addition, firm does not have the infrastructure (IT, etc) to properly service the account.

**CITY OF KEY WEST, FLORIDA
EVALUATION OF PROPOSALS**

**FOR
THIRD PARTY CLAIMS ADMINISTRATION**

REF #09-011

Type of Program	Corvel	PGCS	Johns Eastern	EMI
Location of Services		Life of Contract	Life of Contract	Life of Contract
Average Case Load of Adjuster		Lake Mary	Stuart	Stuart
Experience of Adjusters		Lost Time - 190	Lost Time - 115	Lost Time - 125
Quality of Loss Reports		Med Only - 350	Med Only - 163	Med Only - 300
Initial contact with claimant within 24 hours		Extensive	Extensive	Extensive
Received statements of claimant		Good	Good	Good
Contact with treating physician within 24 hours		Yes	Yes	Yes
Narrative Summaries of major claims		Yes	Yes	Yes
Medical bills reduced to State Fee Schedule		Yes	Yes	Yes
Pursue Subrogation and Second Injury Fund claims		Additional Charge	Additional Charge	Additional Charge
Prepare State mandated reports		Yes	Yes	Yes
Report and pursue Excess claims		Yes	Yes	Yes
Quarterly claim reviews		Yes	Yes	Yes
Monthly loss runs		Yes	Yes	Yes
Projected Annual Cost		\$115,800	\$160,673	\$140,267
Comments	Submitted proposal for administering only Workers' Compensation Claims. Proposal deemed to be Non-Responsive. In addition, proposal did not provide information regarding adjusters that would be assigned to the City's account. Nor were examples of loss runs provided.			

City of Key West, Florida
Projected Annual Cost of Claims Administration

Gallagher Bassett										
	Auto BI	Auto PD	Auto Phys Damage	Errors & Omissions	Gen. Liab. BI	Gen. Liab. PD	Police Prof.	W/C Lost Time	W/C Med. Only	Total
Average Annual Claim Count	3	7	30	1	17		1	40	51	157
Claim Fee	\$ 1,066	\$ 855	\$ 452	\$ 1,164	\$ 1,066	\$ 558	\$ 1,164	\$ 1,232	\$ 170	
Estimated Annual Claim Fees	\$ 3,198	\$ 3,885	\$ 13,560	\$ 1,164	\$ 11,726	\$ 1,665	\$ 1,164	\$ 49,280	\$ 10,370	\$ 96,012
Loss Run Reports										
Op Line Access										
Program Administration										
Loss Control Services										
Banking Fees										
Claim Reporting										
Set Up Fee										
Claim Assumption Fee										
Bill Reduction Fee (Average of 1,694 bills processed annually)										
Total										
										\$ 2,500
										\$ 121,361

Comments:

1. Proposal did not specify fees for Ancillary Services (Loss Runs, On line Access, Administration, Loss Control Services, Banking, Claim Reporting and Set Up Fees. Used current fees for analysis.
2. Proposed bill reduction fee of 20% of savings. Used estimate of \$7,500 for annual fee.

**City of Key West, Florida
Projected Annual Cost of Claims Administration**

Cannon Cochran Management Services (CCMS)										
	Auto BI	Auto PD	Auto Phys Damage	Errors & Omissions	Gen. Liab. BI	Gen. Liab. PD	Police Prof.	W/C Lost Time	W/C Med Only	Total
Average Annual Claim Count	3	7	30	1	11	3	1	40	81	157
Claim Fee	\$ 835	\$ 418	\$ 418	\$ 975	\$ 858	\$ 418	\$ 975	\$ 1,250	\$ 150	\$ 8,510
Estimated Annual Claim Fees	\$ 2,505	\$ 2,926	\$ 12,640	\$ 975	\$ 9,165	\$ 1,254	\$ 975	\$ 50,000	\$ 9,150	\$ 89,510
Loss Run Reports										
Online Access										
Program Administration										
Loss Control Services										
Banking Fees										
Claim Reporting										
Set Up Fee										
Claim Assumption Fee										
Bill Reduction Fee (Average of 1,694 bills processed annually)										
Total										
										\$ 241,811

Projected Claim Assumption Fee										
	Auto BI	Auto PD	Auto Phys Damage	Errors & Omissions	Gen. Liab. BI	Gen. Liab. PD	Police Prof.	W/C Lost Time	W/C Med Only	Total
Average Annual Claim Count	3	2	18	4	17	1	2	66	14	119
Claim Fee	\$ 417	\$ 209	\$ 209	\$ 972	\$ 417	\$ 209	\$ 972	\$ 1,800	\$ -	\$ 7,500
Estimated Annual Claim Fees	\$ 1,251	\$ 418	\$ 3,762	\$ 3,888	\$ 7,089	\$ 209	\$ 1,944	\$ 108,400	\$ -	\$ 122,961

Comments

1. While proposal indicated that Loss Control Services would be provided, CCMSI did not indicate what fee would be charged.
2. Proposed a fee of \$81 per month until closure for all Public Officials and Police Professional claims that they assume. Annual estimate based on claims being open for 12 months.
3. Proposed a fee of \$150 per month until closure for all Lost Time W/C claims that they assume. Annual estimate based on claims being open for 12 months.
4. Proposed a Bill Reduction Fee of \$10 per bill.

City of Key West, Florida
Projected Annual Cost of Claims Administration

PMA										
	Auto BI	Auto PD	Auto Phys Damage	Errors & Omissions	Gen. Liab. BI	Gen. Liab. PD	Police Prot.	W/C Lost Time	W/C Med Only	Total
Average Annual Claim Count	3	7	30	1	11	3	1	40	61	167
Claim Fee	\$ 795	\$ 495	\$ 485	\$ 1,295	\$ 795	\$ 495	\$ 1,295	\$ 950	\$ 195	
Estimated Annual Claim Fees	\$ 2,385	\$ 3,465	\$ 14,380	\$ 1,295	\$ 8,745	\$ 1,485	\$ 1,295	\$ 38,000	\$ 11,895	\$ 65,415
Loss Run Rebate										
On Line Access										
Program Administration										
Loss Control Services (Billed at \$125 per hour and assumed 16 hours of service)										
Banking Fees										
Claim Reporting										
Set Up Fee (Proposals reflect estimate of \$5,000)										
Claim Assumption Fee										
Bill Reduction Fee (Average of 1,694 bills processed annually)										
Total										
										\$ 181,196

Projected Claim Assumption Fee										
	Auto BI	Auto PD	Auto Phys Damage	Errors & Omissions	Gen. Liab. BI	Gen. Liab. PD	Police Prof.	W/C Lost Time	W/C Med Only	Total
Average Annual Claim Count	3	2	18	4	17	1	2	58	14	119
Claim Fee	\$ 595	\$ 995	\$ 385	\$ 1,295	\$ 595	\$ 395	\$ 1,295	\$ 795	\$ 195	
Estimated Annual Claim Fees	\$ 1,785	\$ 790	\$ 7,110	\$ 5,180	\$ 10,115	\$ 395	\$ 2,590	\$ 46,110	\$ 2,730	\$ 76,805

Comments
 1. Proposed a bill reduction fee of \$8.25 per bill

City of Key West, Florida
Projected Annual Cost of Claims Administration

Alternative Service Concepts											
	Auto BI	Auto PD	Auto Phys Damage	Errors & Omissions	Gen. Liab. BI	Gen. Liab. PD	Gen. Liab. PD	Police Prof.	W/C Lost Time	W/C Med Only	Total
Average Annual Claim Count	3	7	30	1	11	3	1	1	40	61	157
Claim Fee	\$ 575	\$ 450	\$ 450	\$ 375	\$ 375	\$ 450	\$ 375	\$ 375	\$ 350	\$ 140	
Estimated Annual Claim Fees	\$ 1,725	\$ 3,150	\$ 12,300	\$ 375	\$ 5,925	\$ 1,350	\$ 375	\$ 575	\$ 28,000	\$ 8,540	\$ 73,740
Loss Run Reports											
On Line Access											
Program Administration											
Loss Control Services											
Banking Fees											
Claim Reporting											
Sat Up Fee (Proposal reflects estimate of \$5,000)											
Claim Assumption Fee											
Bill Reduction Fee (Average of 1,694 bills processed annually)											
Total											
											\$ 9,000
											\$ 5,000
											\$ 41,100
											\$ 14,399
											\$ 143,239

Projected Claim Assumption Fees											
	Auto BI	Auto PD	Auto Phys Damage	Errors & Omissions	Gen. Liab. BI	Gen. Liab. PD	Gen. Liab. PD	Police Prof.	W/C Lost Time	W/C Med Only	Total
Average Annual Claim Count	3	2	18	4	17	1	1	2	58	14	119
Claim Fee	\$ 350	\$ 350	\$ 250	\$ 350	\$ 350	\$ 350	\$ 350	\$ 350	\$ 425	\$ -	
Estimated Annual Claim Fees	\$ 1,050	\$ 700	\$ 4,500	\$ 1,400	\$ 5,950	\$ 350	\$ 350	\$ 700	\$ 24,650	\$ -	\$ 41,100

Comments

1. Provided an annual fee of \$425 for all assumed Lost Time Claims
2. Provided an annual fee of \$350 for all assumed Liability Claims
3. Proposed a bill reduction fee of \$8.50 per bill

York Claim Services - Life of Claim										
	Auto BI	Auto PD	Auto Phys Damage	Errors & Omissions	Gen. Liab. BI	Gen. Liab. PD	Police Prof.	W/C Lost Time	W/C Med Only	Total
Average Annual Claim Count	3	7	80	1	11	1	1	40	61	197
Claim Fee	\$ 1,202	\$ 428	\$ 428	\$ 1,059	\$ 1,059	\$ 721	\$ 1,059	\$ 1,397	\$ 150	
Estimated Annual Claim Fees	\$ 3,606	\$ 2,996	\$ 12,800	\$ 1,059	\$ 11,649	\$ 2,163	\$ 1,059	\$ 55,880	\$ 9,150	\$ 100,402
Loss Run Reports										
On Line Access										
Program: Administration										
Loss Control Services										
Blanking Fees										
Claim Reporting										
Set-Up Fee										
Claim Assumption Fee										
Bill Reduction Fee (Based on 1,694 bills annually and fee of \$9.00 per bill)										\$ 41,100
Total										\$ 156,748

Projected Claim Assumption Fee										
	Auto BI	Auto PD	Auto Phys Damage	Errors & Omissions	Gen. Liab. BI	Gen. Liab. PD	Police Prof.	W/C Lost Time	W/C Med Only	Total
Average Annual Claim Count	3	2	18	4	17	1	2	68	14	119
Claim Fee	\$ 250	\$ 350	\$ 350	\$ 350	\$ 350	\$ 350	\$ 350	\$ 425	\$ -	
Estimated Annual Claim Fees	\$ 1,050	\$ 700	\$ 6,300	\$ 1,400	\$ 5,950	\$ 350	\$ 700	\$ 24,650	\$ -	\$ 41,100

Comments

1. Proposal did not address available loss control services
2. Proposal indicates that no Data Conversion Fee will apply unless unforeseen problems exist with data provided by current TPA
3. Proposal indicates that Claim Assumption Fee will be an annual charge based on number and types of claims currently open.
4. Proposed a bill reduction fee of \$9.00 per bill

City of Key West, Florida
 Projected Annual Cost of Claims Administration

Engle Martin & Associates										
	Auto BI	Auto PD	Auto Phys Damage	Errors & Omissions	Gen. Liab. BI	Gen. Liab. PD	Police Prof.	W/C Lost Time	W/C Med Only	Total
Average Annual Claim Count	3	7	30	1	11	3	3	40	61	157
Claim Fee										
Estimated Annual Claim Fees										
Loss Run Reports										
On-Line Access										
Program Administration										
Loss Control Services										
Banking Fees										
Claim Reporting										
Set-Up Fee										
Claim Assump										
Bill Recovery										

Projected Claim Assumption Fee										
	Auto BI	Auto PD	Auto Phys Damage	Errors & Omissions	Gen. Liab. BI	Gen. Liab. PD	Police Prof.	W/C Lost Time	W/C Med Only	Total
Average Annual Claim Count	3	7	30	1	11	3	3	40	61	157
Claim Fee										
Estimated Annual Claim Fees										

DRAFT COPY

City of Key West, Florida
 Projected Annual Cost of Claims Administration

Convul										
	Auto BI	Auto PD	Auto Phys. Damage	Errors & Omissions	Gen. Liab. BI	Gen. Liab. PD	Police Prof.	W/C Lost Time	W/C Med Only	Total
Average Annual Claim Count	3	7	30	1	11	3	1	40	51	157
Claim Fee										
Estimated Annual Claim Fees										
Loss Run Reports										
On Line Access										
Program Administration										
Loss Control Services										
Banking Fees										
Claim Reporting										
Set Up Fee										
Claim Assumption										
Bill Reduction										
Total										

Projected Claim Assumption Fee										
	Auto BI	Auto PD	Auto Phys. Damage	Errors & Omissions	Gen. Liab. BI	Gen. Liab. PD	Police Prof.	W/C Lost Time	W/C Med Only	Total
Average Annual Claim Count	3	7	30	4	17	1	2	58	14	119
Claim Fee										
Estimated Annual Claim Fees										

DRAFT
 PREPARED BY
 [Signature]

City of Key West, Florida
Projected Annual Cost of Claims Administration

Employers Mutual										
	Auto BI	Auto PD	Auto Phys Damage	Errors & Omissions	Gen. Liab. BI	Gen. Liab. PD	Police Prof.	W/C Lost Time	W/C Med Only	Total
Average Annual Claim Count	3	7	30	1	14	3	1	40	61	157
Claim Fee	\$ 650	\$ 650	\$ 850	\$ 700	\$ 700	\$ 700	\$ 700	\$ 960	\$ 150	
Estimated Annual Claim Fees	\$ 1,950	\$ 4,550	\$ 19,500	\$ 700	\$ 7,700	\$ 2,100	\$ 700	\$ 38,000	\$ 9,150	\$ 84,350
Loss Run Reports										
On Line Access										
Data Conversion										
Program Administration										
Loss Control Services										
Banking Fees										
Claim Reporting										
Set Up Fee										
Claim Assumption Fee										
Bill Reduction Fee (Average of 1,694 bills processed annually)										
Total										
										\$ 46,600
										\$ 9,817
										\$ 140,267

Projected Claim Assumption Fee										
	Auto BI	Auto PD	Auto Phys Damage	Errors & Omissions	Gen. Liab. BI	Gen. Liab. PD	Police Prof.	W/C Lost Time	W/C Med Only	Total
Average Annual Claim Count	3	2	18	4	12	1	2	58	14	118
Claim Fee	\$ 350	\$ 350	\$ 360	\$ 400	\$ 400	\$ 400	\$ 400	\$ 475	\$ 100	
Estimated Annual Claim Fees	\$ 1,050	\$ 700	\$ 6,300	\$ 1,500	\$ 6,800	\$ 400	\$ 800	\$ 27,650	\$ 1,400	\$ 46,600

Comments:
1. Proposed a bill reduction fee of \$5.50 per bill or \$1.50 per line with no minimum

INTEROFFICE MEMORANDUM

To: Sandy Barroso, Risk Manager
CC: Mark Finigan, Assistant City Manager
Sue Snider, Purchasing
From: Cheri Smith, City Clerk
Date: August 25, 2009
Subject: **THIRD PARTY CLAIM ADMINISTRATION**
RF# 09-011

Attached for your review are copies of the proposals opened Tuesday, August 25, 2009 at 3:30 p.m. in response to the above referenced project.

1. Alternative Service Concepts, LLC
6010 Catteridge Drive
Suite 103
Sarasota, FL 34232
2. Cannon Colman Management Services, Inc. (COMSI)
2600 Lake Lucien Drive
Suite 225
Maitland, FL 32751
3. Corvel Enterprises Corp, Inc.
210 North University Drive, #501
Coral Springs, FL 33071
4. EMCAS
12466 West Atlantic Blvd.
Coral Springs, FL 33071
5. Employers Mutual, Inc. (EMI)
700 Central Parkway
Stuart, FL 34994
6. Gallagher Bassett Services, Inc.
2 Pierce Place, 5th Floor
Itasca, IL 60143

INTEROFFICE MEMORANDUM

To: Sandy Barroso, Risk Manager
CC: Mark Finigan, Assistant City Manager
Sue Snider, Purchasing
From: Cheri Smith, City Clerk
Date: August 25, 2009
Subject: **THIRD PARTY CLAIM ADMINISTRATION**
RFP 09-011

7. Integrated Claim Solutions, Inc.
666 Matland Avenue
Allamonte Springs, FL 32701
8. Johns Eastern Company, Inc.
P.O. Box 110259
Lakewood Ranch, FL 34211-0004
9. Preferred Governmental Claims Solutions, Inc.(PGCS)
P.O. Box 958456
Lake Mary, FL 32795-8456
10. PMA Management Corp. (PMAMC)
2701 North Rocky Point Drive
Suite 250
Tampa, FL 33607
11. York Claims Services, Inc.
1117 Perimeter Center West
Atlanta, GA 30338

ES/asmh

**THE CITY OF KEY WEST, FLORIDA
REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS
RFP #09-011**



**THIRD PARTY CLAIM ADMINISTRATION
EFFECTIVE DATE OCTOBER 1, 2009**

**Proposal Return Date
August 25, 2009**

I. BACKGROUND INFORMATION

Key West lies near the end of the chain of islands known as the Florida Keys, and is the southern-most city in the continental United States. The island-community is located about 90 miles north of Cuba and 150 miles southwest of Miami at a latitude of 24 degrees, 33 minutes, 5 seconds North and at a longitude of 81 degrees, 48 minutes, 14 seconds West. The island has an area of 4.2 square miles, while the City-incorporating the northern part of neighboring Stock Island-has an area of 5.79 square miles. The City initially developed because of its proximity to the Florida Straits, the abutting Florida Reef, strong offshore ocean currents (the Gulf Stream), and the area's unpredictable winds, combined with a large natural deep-water harbor and deep channels into the harbor. The Florida Straits are the northern-most sea passage from the Gulf of Mexico to the Atlantic Ocean. For three centuries this passage formed part of the great nautical trade route that carried ships from Caribbean and South American ports to their European homelands. The location of Key West serves as a gateway both to the Caribbean and between the Atlantic Ocean and the Gulf of Mexico was recognized by the military at an early date. Another important regional factor in the development of the City has been its proximity to Cuba, 90 miles to the south.

Key West's long and colorful past begins with its European discovery in 1513 by Ponce de Leon. The island was first known as Cayo Hueso (Isle of Bones) because it was littered with remains from an Indian battlefield or burial ground. The name "Key West" is the English version of the Spanish term. The first permanent occupancy in the City occurred in 1822, complete with a small naval depot, whose purpose was to rid the area of pirates. The presence of the U.S. Navy has been a major factor in the growth and development of Key West ever since. The settlement was incorporated in 1828, four years after becoming the county seat of Monroe County. The City grew and prospered, based first on fishing and salvaging ships wrecked on the nearby reefs, and later on cigar manufacturing with Cuban refugees and imported Cuban tobacco. Other economic activities included sponging and related commercial functions. By 1890, Key West was the largest and richest city in Florida. However, after the turn of the century its major industries were in decline. Little construction was undertaken between the First and Second World Wars and the City saw a steady decline in population between 1919 and 1935. World War II brought prosperity back to Key West. Population more than doubled between 1940 and 1960. Nation-wide military base closings and personnel reductions beginning in the 1960s were major contributors to the City's second major cycle of population decline. After recording the highest number of residents in its history in 1960, Key West experienced over a 25 percent loss in population by 1980. The 1990 Census showed a slight increase.

Further information may be obtained from the City's website at:

<http://www.keywestcity.com>

**THE CITY OF KEY WEST, FLORIDA
REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS
FOR
THIRD PARTY CLAIMS ADMINISTRATION**

GENERAL INFORMATION AND COVERAGES REQUESTED

The City of Key West, Florida is requesting proposals for the following:

- Third Party Claims Administration

The City's current insurance program is structured on a "Multi-Peril" basis that contains various self-insured retentions. It is the desire of the City for the selected administrator to adjust all claims that fall within its retentions. Following displays the City's current retention levels.

Property	\$50,000 except 5% for wind related losses and \$1.5 million for flood related losses
General Liability	\$100,000
Automobile Liability	\$100,000
Public Officials Liability	\$100,000
Workers' Compensation	\$325,000
Police Professional	\$100,000

The target effective date of the programs will be October 1, 2009 however, may be delayed depending on the amount of time a new claims administrator may require to convert the City's historical claim information so it is compatible with their claim system. It is the intent of the City to agree to a one (1) year term with rights to renew with the successful proposer(s) for four (4) additional one-year terms at the sole option of the City. Consideration may be given to longer-term agreements based on price, terms and conditions.

In conjunction with this RFP, the City is seeking proposals for its Property and Casualty Insurance Program. Based on the proposals received, it is possible that a Large Deductible or Fully Insured program will be selected. The selection of a third party claims administrator will be contingent upon the City maintaining a Self Insured program.

As a prerequisite of being selected, the Third Party Administrator must be acceptable to the Insurers selected by the City.

Items contained in this Request For Proposals (RFP) are considered to be an integral part of the proposed programs. Adherence to the items listed here is intended by the City unless specifically otherwise accepted by both the Proposer and the City. Acceptance of modification of any portion of the items contained herein will not serve to waive or modify any other portion of the proposed program.

CURRENT PROGRAM

Gallagher Bassett Services Inc. currently administers all claims that fall within the City's self-insured retention. The agreement with Gallagher Bassett requires them to administer the claims for a single fee as long as a contractual relation exists between the two organizations. Depending on the cost, the successful proposer may be requested to assume the administration of all open claims. Proposers will be requested to provide separate pricing for the assumption of all open claims.

As of May 31, 2009 the City has the following number of claims currently active.

Policy Year	Workers' Compensation		Police Professionals	Auto Liability	General Liability	Public Officials	Total
	Lost Time	Medical Only					
1981/83	1						1
1983/85	1						1
1988/89	1						1
1989/90	1						1
1990/91	1						1
1991/92	1						1
1998/99	2						2
1999/00	2						2
2000/01	4						4
2001/02	7						7
2002/03	9		1				10
2003/04	7		1	1	1		10
2004/05	1				1	1	3
2005/06	9				4		13
2006/07	14		1		2	1	18
2007/08	17	1	1	1	5	1	26
2008/09	17	13		5	7	1	43
Total	95	14	4	7	20	4	144

DESIRED PROGRAM

The City prefers the successful Proposer to provide its services on a "Life of Contract" basis and to agree to administer all claims until they are concluded as long as a contractual relationship exists between the City and the Proposer. Alternatives, such as "Cradle to Grave" will be considered.

The City utilizes the current claims administrator to reduce all medical bills to the State Fee Schedule. Proposers are therefore requested to include this service in their pricing structure. If a separate charge is required for this service, it should be clearly stated within the proposal.

It is anticipated that the successful proposer will assume the administration for all prior year claims. All proposals should clearly state the cost associated with the assumption of all claims.

RATING DATA

The following information has been included as attachments to assist in the underwriting of the account:

- Projected payrolls by workers' compensation classification codes;
- The City's most recent Experience Modification worksheets.

Currently valued loss runs and narrative description of losses in excess of \$50,000 will be provided upon request. Please direct your requests to:

**Mr. Sid Webber
Interisk Corporation
1111 N. Westshore Blvd.
Suite 208
Tampa, Florida 33607
Ph: (813) 287-1040
Fax: (813) 287-1041**

All interested proposers are solely responsible to ensure requests for loss runs and narrative description of major are properly received. The City of Key West nor Interisk assumes responsibility for the timely receipt of such requests.

EFFECTIVE DATE OF AGREEMENT

The effective date of the Agreement will be October 1, 2009 to October 1, 2010. It is anticipated that the agreement will be renewed with the successful proposer for a minimum of four (4) additional years, however City maintains the right to terminate the agreement upon each anniversary date without penalty.

REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS SCHEDULE

The following schedule will be strictly adhered to. No extension of deadlines will be granted.

Activity	Deadline
Distribution of RFP	6/23/09
Deadline for Agents to Submit Requests for Additional Information	7/29/09
Issue Addendum to RFP	8/4/09
Proposal Return Date	8/25/09
Finalize Recommendation Report	9/8/09
Presentation of Recommendations to City Commission	9/15/09
Effective Date of Coverage	10/1/09

SUBMISSION OF PROPOSALS

All proposal forms must be executed and submitted in a sealed envelope. The face of the envelope shall contain, in addition to the below address, the date and time of the bid opening. Bids not submitted on attached bid forms may be rejected. All bids are subject to the conditions specified herein and on the attached sheets.

Sealed Proposals should be submitted with two (2) signed originals and four (4) complete copies of the originals clearly marked on the outside of the sealed envelope with:

The City of Key West, Florida
Proposal for 2009/2010 Claims Administration Program

Hand delivered Proposals may request a receipt. Proposals received after the deadline will be returned unopened. The deadline for the submission of all proposals is 3:30 PM, August 25, 2009. Proposers should be aware that certain "express mail" services do not guarantee specific time delivery to Key West, Florida. It is the sole responsibility of each proposer to ensure its proposal is received in a timely fashion.

All proposers are required to complete the following forms that are attached to this RFP.

REQUIRED FORMS TO BE COMPLETED BY ALL PROPOSERS

All proposers shall complete the "Anti-Kickback Affidavit and the Public Entity Crime Form that is attached and made part of this RFP.

PROPOSAL RETURN ADDRESS

Proposals should be returned to:

City Clerk
City of Key West
525 Angela St.
Key West, FL 33040
(305) 809-3831

VALID DATE OF PROPOSALS

Proposals shall remain valid until November 1, 2009 to provide additional time for clarification in the event that an extension of the current program(s) is undertaken.

RIGHT TO REJECT PROPOSALS

The City reserves the right to reject any or all proposals, to waive irregularities and informalities in any or all proposals, and to re-advertise for proposals.

The City specifically reserves the right to separately accept or reject any item and/or items of a proposal and to award and/or negotiate a contract in the best interest of the City.

ADHERENCE TO INFORMATION AND PROPOSAL

Information presented in this Request for Proposal and all statements contained in the written proposals received are intended to be relied upon by the City. All coverages and services must be issued as proposed unless the City authorizes individual changes. Any changes authorized by the City will not alter any other items contained in this Request for Proposal.

ADMINISTRATOR'S QUALIFICATIONS

All Proposers must be currently licensed in Florida as a Third Party Administrator in accordance with Florida Statute §626.88 – 626.894.

AUTHORITY OF PROPOSER

Proposals should be signed by an authorized representative of the Third Party Administrator providing the service.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION/INSPECTION

Every attempt has been made to furnish complete and accurate information to the best of City's knowledge. Proposers are encouraged to determine, at their sole expense, additional information required to develop their proposals including any inspections and loss control surveys.

If additional information is required, requests must be submitted in writing to:

**Mr. Sid Webber
Interisk Corporation
1111 N. Westshore Blvd.
Suite 208
Tampa, Florida 33607
Ph: (813) 287-1040
Fax: (813) 287-1041**

All requests for additional information must be received no later than **3:00 PM, July 29, 2009**. Based on the requests received, an addendum to the specifications will be issued to all Proposers.

SAMPLE POLICIES AND CONTRACTS

All proposals must contain a sample contract for review.

RATE CHANGE

All proposers must ensure that the rates proposed will apply for a minimum of 1 year. Proposers will be required to provide Ninety (90) days written notice of the rates that will be charged for subsequent contract years.

TERMINATION/NON-RENEWAL NOTICE

Proposer will be required to provide a ninety (90) days written notice prior to the termination or non-renewal of the agreement.

CLAIM REPORTS

Claim reports shall be furnished monthly. Reports should be completed in plain English and received by the City within twenty (20) days following the end of each month. The reports should include a detailed description of individual claims and the amount paid for each claim and any open reserves that are assigned. Individual allocations by operating location may be necessary.

Claim reports must continue to be furnished without charge until the last open claim is closed, or until the Proposer is no longer providing a service to the City.

COORDINATION WITH EXCESS INSURER

The Proposer will adhere to any and all reporting requirements of the City's Insurers and to coordinate all specific and aggregate recoveries.

SUBROGATION AND SECOND DISABILITY FUND RECOVERIES

The Proposer will coordinate all subrogation and Second Disability Fund recoveries for all claims being administered by the Administrator even if such a claims are no longer active.

USE OF PROPOSAL FORMS

Proposers must submit their proposals on the forms included in this Request. Additional information regarding the Proposer's organization may be submitted in addition to the Proposal Forms.

In addition, if an addendum to this request is issued, the Proposer must acknowledge receipt of such addendum by completing and returning with their proposals the acknowledgment form, which will accompany the addendum.

**THE CITY OF KEY WEST, FLORIDA
REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS
FOR
THIRD PARTY CLAIMS ADMINISTRATION**

GENERAL

The City is seeking competitive proposals from organizations that have the ability to administer the claims that are within the self-insured retentions of the City's insurance programs. Concurrent with this effort, the City is seeking competitive proposals for its Property and Casualty insurance. Proposers participating in the RFP for the City's insurance programs are being encouraged to submit alternative programs to include "Large Deductibles" and other more traditional programs. This may result in the successful insurer being unwilling to unbundle the claims service. In addition, the Proposer must be acceptable to the insurers if such a program is maintained.

Allocated and Unallocated Fees

All proposals must clearly and completely explain all charges that are not included in the Proposer's base fee. The amount of such fees should be clearly presented.

Insurance Requirements

The successful proposer will be required to maintain throughout the life of the contract, insurance protection as specified in the attached forms.

**THE CITY OF KEY WEST, FLORIDA
REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS
FOR
THIRD PARTY CLAIMS ADMINISTRATION**

PROPOSAL FORMS

GENERAL INFORMATION

Use of the proposal forms will enable a faster more complete analysis of the Proposal(s) submitted. Please complete this general proposal form in addition to a separate proposal for each coverage proposed. Additional information can be attached to the forms.

Name of Third Party Administrator? _____

Address: _____

Telephone Number: _____

Are the following services included within the price?
Quoted?

Initial contact with claimant within 24 hours?	Yes _____	No _____
Recorded statements of the claimant?	Yes _____	No _____
Contact with the treating physician within 24 hours?	Yes _____	No _____
Narrative summaries on major claims?	Yes _____	No _____
Medical bills reduced to State fee schedule?	Yes _____	No _____
Subrogation and Second Injury Fund activities?	Yes _____	No _____
Preparation of all State mandated reports?	Yes _____	No _____

Notification of all potential excess claims to insurer?

Yes _____ No _____

Quarterly meetings with the City?

Yes _____ No _____

Provide monthly loss reports to the City?

Yes _____ No _____

If any of the above responses are no, please explain

Are Curriculum Vitae's of adjusters attached?

Yes _____ No _____

What is the current caseload for the adjusters who will be assigned to the City's account?

Are services being proposed on:

a Life of Contract Basis?

Yes _____ No _____

Cradle to Grave Basis?

Yes _____ No _____

Other Basis?

Yes _____ No _____

If services being proposed is not on either a Life of Contract Basis or Cradle to Grave Basis provide full explanation on how the fee will be applied.

Will the proposer charge any initial or maintenance fees?

Yes _____ No _____

If so, please explain

Please explain required banking arrangements

Does the proposer have an approved safety program filed with the State of Florida?

Yes _____ No _____

Quoted Price:

	Cost Per Claim	Cost Per Run-Off Claim
General Liability		
Bodily Injury		
Property Damage		
Automobile Liability		
Bodily Injury		
Property Damage		
Public Officials Liability		
Police Professional Liability		
Workers Compensation		
Medical Only Claims		
Indemnity Claims		

Is an alternative pricing structure proposed?

Yes _____ No _____

If so, please specify

Will a minimum fee apply to the contract?

Yes _____ No _____

If so, please specify

Are there any exceptions to the specifications?

Yes _____ No _____

If so, please specify

The Proposer stated below is the authorized agent of the company or companies proposed, and is authorized to commit the proposing company to the terms and conditions stated above.

Signature of Authorized Representative

Date

PROJECTED PAYROLLS

CITY OF KEY WEST, FLORIDA
PROJECTION OF PAYROLLS
BY
WORKERS' COMPENSATION CLASSIFICATION

PROJECTED PAYROLLS		
CLASS CODE	DESCRIPTION	PROJECTED PAYROLL
5508	STREET OR ROAD PAVING	\$263,286
6836	MARINA & DRIVERS	681,399
7382	BUS COMPANY & DRIVERS	992,260
7580	SEWAGE DISPOSAL PLANT OPERATIONS AND DRIVERS	221,246
7590	GARBAGE WORKS	197,132
7704	FIREFIGHTERS & DRIVERS	4,166,694
7720	POLICE OFFICERS & DRIVERS	5,628,140
8380	AUTOMOBILE SERVICE OR REPAIR CENTERS & DRIVERS	314,130
8392	AUTOMOBILE STORAGE GARAGE/ PARKING LOT	422,326
8810	CLERICAL	5,737,821
8820	ATTORNEY	392,510
9015	BUILDINGS - OPERATIONS BY OWNER	341,940
9102	PARK - NOC	1,549,901
9410	MUNICIPAL EMPLOYEES	1,288,684
TOTAL		\$22,197,469

EXPERIENCE MODIFICATION WORK SHEETS

STATE OF FLORIDA
DEPARTMENT OF FINANCIAL SERVICES
DIVISION OF WORKERS COMPENSATION

SELF INSURER EXPERIENCE RATING

EMPLOYER NAME		EMPLOYER ADDRESS		PLANT	ACCOUNT	EMPLOYER ID
KEY WEST, CITY OF		KEY WEST, CITY OF		SUN	00000	010444
ACCIDENT DATE	BASE NUMBER	PROVIGATION STATE	TOTAL LOSSES	ACTUAL LOSSES	PRIMARY	ACTUAL EXCESS
04/30/2006	261 88 1898	FINAL		48,788	5,000	43,788
11/10/2004	117 42 7848	FINAL		10,250	5,000	5,250
09/07/2006	989 38 5816	FINAL		16,438	5,000	11,438
06/13/2006	288 88 4882	FINAL		5,954	5,000	954
06/21/2006	248 88 4894	FINAL		5,708	5,000	708
06/18/2006	257 87 7438	FINAL		9,710	5,000	4,710
01/12/2006	198 58 8342	FINAL		12,738	5,000	7,738
03/07/2006	388 72 8646	FINAL		18,818	5,000	13,818
07/25/2005	588 41 8838	FINAL		19,368	5,000	14,368
07/21/2005	888 88 7871	OPEN		21,898	5,000	16,898
12/29/2004	041 32 4181	FINAL		78,248	3,000	75,248
08/02/2005	388 70 7118	FINAL		12,848	5,000	7,848
07/11/2005	261 71 8878	FINAL		35,120	5,000	30,120
04/19/2005	267 88 2855	OPEN		205,370	5,000	200,370
	EXCESS CASES		14	488,331	70,000	418,331
	NON-EXCESS CASES		81	98,048	25,000	73,048
	10/01/2004 - 09/30/2005		88	488,330	105,000	383,330
08/30/2005	288 38 8088	FINAL		91,788	5,000	86,788
06/02/2008	983 82 0143	FINAL		18,238	5,000	13,238
08/18/2006	217 44 8238	OPEN		53,288	5,000	48,288
04/25/2006	257 48 2823	FINAL		7,592	5,000	2,592

ALOSS EXCEEDS STATE ACCIDENT LIMITATION OF \$ 182,500.00. PRIMARY VALUE BASED ON ACTUAL LOSS, ACTUAL EXCESS BASED ON LIMITED LOSS. LIMITED LOSS USED IN TOTALS

DATE	BASE NUMBER	PROVIGATION STATE	TOTAL LOSSES	ACTUAL LOSSES	RATIO	PRIMARY	ACTUAL EXCESS
0508	8.1134	0.0816	2,822	.18	388	1,880	
0606	8.0974	0.0488	7,301	.20	1,480	5,821	
7382	8.1123	0.0887	16,884	.28	2,987	13,897	
7640	8.0472	0.0148	3,819	.18	881	2,938	
7881	8.1273	0.0238	1,885	.20	217	1,668	
7904	8.1114	0.0158	88,428	.18	12,828	75,600	
7720	8.0841	0.0128	78,384	.20	14,178	64,206	
8980	8.0917	0.0141	2,401	.19	437	1,964	
8382	8.0882	0.0133	3,277	.22	1,181	2,096	
8410	8.0882	0.0014	8,648	.20	1,328	7,320	
8880	8.0883	0.0010	388	.20	57	331	
8818	8.1007	0.0181	8,247	.20	1,248	7,000	
8402	8.1007	0.0188	28,788	.20	5,758	23,030	
8440	8.0881	0.0182	21,182	.20	4,288	16,894	
10/01/2004	08/30/2005		242,487		47,888	194,600	
9308	8.1151	0.0318	5,851	.18	1,071	4,780	
8217	8.1273	0.0278	1,418	.18	255	1,163	
8438	8.0807	0.0188	8,625	.20	1,925	6,700	
7382	8.1147	0.0287	88,814	.20	5,383	83,431	
7880	8.0877	0.0148	4,288	.18	788	3,500	
7980	8.1048	0.0280	0	.20	0	0	
7704	8.0883	0.0158	71,847	.18	12,888	58,959	
7720	8.0801	0.0128	81,287	.20	14,288	67,000	

EXPERIENCE MODIFICATION		TOTAL	ELIGIBLE FOR RATING**
BASE	ADJUSTED	EXCESS	EXCESS
TOTAL A	TOTAL B	TOTAL C	TOTAL D

TOTAL "A" DIVIDED BY TOTAL "B"

FORM NO. 1-7-75 (5/12/80)

DIVISION OF FINANCE
DEPARTMENT OF FINANCIAL SERVICES
DIVISION OF WORKERS COMPENSATION
REF INURED EMERGENCY RATES

EMPLOYEE BASE		EMPLOYEE NAME		FUND	ACCOUNT	QUANTITY (L)
10/01/2008		KEY WEST, CITY OF		8000	00300	040444
ACRUAL DATE	ACRUAL QUANTITY	COMPLETION DATE	STATUS	AMOUNT	FRANCHISE	PRICE
06/11/2008	2	580 88 0043	FINAL	8,211	K, 0000	3, 844
06/08/2008	2	578 88 0768	FINAL	5, 000		859
11/20/2008	2	373 69 5045	FINAL	4, 000		2, 888
03/19/2008	2	338 72 0506	OPEN	57, 000		32, 095
11/01/2008	2	397 47 0076	OPEN	82, 500		47, 600
04/24/2008	2	894 38 0709	OPEN	11, 848		0, 888
08/01/2008	2	575 38 0823	FINAL	26, 740		21, 780
10/18/2008	2	322 90 4244	FINAL	74, 727		68, 787
08/28/2008	2	383 76 0300	FINAL	24, 302		23, 302
10/21/2008	2	102 54 5001	FINAL	K, 508		508
03/21/2008	2	102 28 0001	FINAL	5, 804		899
01/23/2008	2	299 88 0853	FINAL	K, 884		884
01/21/2008	2	511 88 0871	OPEN	150, 788		146, 788
09/24/2008	2	002 48 8336	OPEN	78, 087		78, 087
04/06/2008	2	309 27 0017	OPEN	47, 000		42, 000
02/01/2008	2	002 48 8336	OPEN	51, 549		38, 888
05/18/2008	2	253 55 0745	OPEN	17, 824		12, 228
04/15/2008	2	294 11 7481	OPEN	53, 704		48, 704
01/28/2008	2	512 52 4955	FINAL	28, 657		18, 657
01/12/2008	2	440 84 0821	FINAL	7, 348		2, 348
07/01/2008	2	118 80 0429	FINAL	11, 187		8, 187
04/23/2008	2	335 45 5045	FINAL	18, 288		11, 288

TOTAL QUANTITY	TOTAL PRICE	TOTAL AMOUNT	TOTAL FRANCHISE	TOTAL PRICE
4380	0.0872	488, 185	0.0141	5, 758
4380	0.0792	388, 248	0.0133	7, 856
4380	0.0084	8, 408, 248	0.0014	7, 857
4380	0.0049	288, 348	0.0010	248
9015	0.0864	341, 817	0.0151	8, 183
9102	0.0876	1, 848, 779	0.0185	50, 574
8410	0.1188	1, 888, 511	0.0182	24, 828
10/01/2008	08/20/2008	28, 878, 382		252, 887
5580	0.1123	245, 687	0.0915	7, 701
6817	0.1112	83, 144	0.0278	7, 438
6836	0.0844	681, 388	0.0958	14, 448
7382	0.0874	331, 408	0.0287	24, 426
7880	0.0840	211, 882	0.0708	4, 880
7706	0.0778	4, 788, 831	0.0158	68, 278
7720	0.0888	7, 038, 502	0.0128	80, 280
8388	0.0888	887, 370	0.0181	5, 470
8382	0.0823	384, 282	0.0122	7, 804
8310	0.0858	5, 888, 320	0.0014	7, 888
8380	0.0042	914, 887	0.0010	88
8010	0.0778	682, 884	0.0181	7, 888
9102	0.0876	1, 743, 701	0.0182	32, 433
8410	0.0853	1, 888, 888	0.0152	27, 755
10/01/2008 - 08/20/2008		24, 428, 488		288, 488

EXPENSE MODIFICATION	FRANCHISE	TOTAL EXPENSE	RELIEF FOR RATES**
IE			
EXCESS			
EXCESS			
EXCESS			
TOTAL			

TOTAL "A" DIVIDED BY TOTAL "B"

STATE OF LOUISIANA

STATE OF LOUISIANA
 DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE & POLICE
 DIVISION OF WORKERS COMPENSATION
 SELF INSURANCE EXPERIENCE RATING

ACCT. NO.	INSURANCE DATE	EMPLOYER NAME	FLND	ACCT. NO.	EMPLOYER NO.	
	10/01/2008	KEY WEST, CITY OF	090	00000	010444	
ACCT. NO.	INSURANCE DATE	EMPLOYER NAME	FLND	ACCT. NO.	EMPLOYER NO.	
			090	00000	010444	
					PAGE 3	
ACCT. NO.	INSURANCE DATE	CASE NUMBER	TOTAL LOSS	ACTUAL LOSS	PRIMARY	EMERGENCY
	01/26/2009	204 27 8926 OPEN		34,795	5,000	29,795
	01/18/2009	204 26 0338 FINAL		5,892	5,000	892
	01/18/2009	092 07 5922 OPEN		159,278	5,000	154,278
	11/25/2009	204 77 4992 FINAL		86,688	5,000	81,688
	11/12/2009	207 78 0369 OPEN		32,284	5,000	27,284
	08/25/2008	201 92 1458 OPEN		10,462	5,000	5,462
	02/28/2009	094 44 2171 OPEN		110,004	5,000	105,004
		EMERGENCY CASES	23	1,272,242	165,000	1,107,242
		NON-CROSS CASES	25	24,618	35,818	0
		10/01/2009 - 09/30/2008	140	1,310,951	200,818	1,107,242
	03/02/2007	177 62 7888 FINAL		27,400	5,000	22,400
	12/18/2008	559 11 4516 OPEN		79,639	5,000	74,639
	12/12/2008	204 11 0743 FINAL		9,009	5,000	4,009
	12/14/2008	299 65 7898 OPEN		38,928	5,000	33,928
	05/08/2007	202 92 8395 OPEN		156,121	5,000	151,121
	12/18/2008	096 17 4292 OPEN		92,622	5,000	87,622
	03/01/2007	204 17 1029 OPEN		44,438	5,000	39,438
	12/22/2008	206 39 4369 OPEN		14,466	5,000	9,466
	07/08/2007	098 08 7855 FINAL		9,875	5,000	4,875
	01/30/2007	402 17 0690 FINAL		5,544	5,000	544
	01/17/2007	418 39 8822 FINAL		7,075	5,000	2,075

CASE NO.	INSURANCE DATE	PAYROLL	EMERGENCY RATE	EMERGENCY LOSS	EMERGENCY RATE	PRIMARY	EMERGENCY LOSS

EXPERIENCE MONITORING	TOTAL EMERGENCY LOSS	BELIEVABLE FOR RATING
PRIMARY		
EXCESS		
EXCESS		
EXCESS		
TOTAL A		

STATE OF ILLINOIS
DEPARTMENT OF FINANCIAL SERVICES
DIVISION OF WORKERS COMPENSATION
SELF INSURER EXPERIENCE RATING

EFFECTIVE DATE		EMPLOYER NAME			PURSE	ACCIDENT	EMPLOYER NO.
10/01/2006		KEY WEST, CITY OF			000	000000	000000
ACTUAL LOSS RATE	PROMULGATED RATE	NET AMOUNT	ACTUAL LOSSES	PRIMARY	EXCESS		
07/10/2007	1	227 12 6064	FINAL	43,185	5,000	38,185	
08/13/2007	1	222 75 2214	OPEN	13,594	5,000	8,594	
12/18/2006	1	224 24 3008	OPEN	162,527	5,000	157,527	
02/13/2007	1	225 52 2772	OPEN	7,501	5,000	2,501	
02/13/2006	1	222 75 2214	OPEN	30,000	5,000	25,000	
12/31/2006	1	219 62 1742	OPEN	76,291	5,000	71,291	
02/01/2007	1	221 75 2214	OPEN	20,422	5,000	15,422	
09/16/2007	1	221 75 2214	OPEN	22,126	5,000	17,126	
10/01/2006	1	224 11 2823	OPEN	47,322	5,000	42,322	
10/01/2006	1	224 11 2823	OPEN	53,669	5,000	48,669	
03/19/2007	1	222 75 2214	OPEN	28,237	5,000	23,237	
04/18/2007	1	224 11 2823	OPEN	15,000	5,000	10,000	
08/01/2007	1	227 11 2823	OPEN	43,159	5,000	38,159	
	1	EXCESS CASES		39	120,000	81,000	
	1	NON-EXCESS LOSSES		107	44,417	0	
	1	10/01/2006 - 09/30/2007		121	1,117,129	164,417	
	1	EMPLOYER TOTALS	344	2,327,140	473,085	2,854,085	

CLASS	EXCESS	PRIMARY	NET	EXCESS	PRIMARY	EXCESS
EMPLOYER	TOTALS...	88,022,078		232,270	122,484	109,786

EXPERIENCE MODIFICATION		473,085	TOTAL	232,270	INE. SIZE FOR RATING**
PRIMARY	102,200	B VALUE	102,200		4,234,234
EXCESS	1,000,000	TOTAL E	1,000,000		1,000,000
TOTAL A	1,002,200				

TOTAL "A" DIVIDED BY TOTAL "B"

**REQUIRED FORMS TO BE COMPLETED BY
ALL PROPOSERS**

ANTI-KICKBACK AFFIDAVIT

STATE OF FLORIDA

SS

COUNTY OF MONROE

I, the undersigned, hereby duly sworn, depose and say that no portion of the sum herein bid will be paid to any employee of the City of Key West as a commission, kickback, reward or gift, directly or indirectly by me or any member of my firm or by an officer of the corporation.

BY: _____

sworn and prescribed before me this _____ day of _____, 2009

NOTARY PUBLIC, State of Florida

My commission expires: _____

SWORN STATEMENT PURSUANT TO SECTION 287.133(3)(A)
FLORIDA STATUTES, ON PUBLIC ENTITY CRIMES

THIS FORM MUST BE SIGNED AND SWORN TO IN THE PRESENCE OF A NOTARY PUBLIC OR OTHER OFFICIAL AUTHORIZED TO ADMINISTER OATHS,

1. This sworn statement is submitted to _____
by _____
(Print individual's name and title)
for _____
(print name of entity submitting sworn statement)

whose business address is _____
and (if applicable) its Federal Employer Identification Number (FEIN) is _____
(If the entity has no FEIN, include the Social security Number of the individual signing
this sworn statement: _____)

2. I understand that a "public entity crime" as defined in Paragraph 287.133(1)(g), Florida Statutes, means a violation of any state or federal law by a person with respect to and directly related to the transaction of business with any public entity or with an agency or political subdivision of any other state or of the United States, including, but not limited to, any bid or contract for goods or services to be provided to any public entity or an agency or political subdivision of any other state or of the United States and involving antitrust, fraud, theft, bribery, collusion, racketeering, conspiracy, or material misrepresentation.
3. I understand that "conviction" as defined in Paragraph 287.133(1)(g), Florida Statutes, means a finding of guilt or a conviction of a public entity crime, with or without an adjudication of guilt, in any federal or state trial court of record relating to charges brought by indictment of information after July 01, 1989, as a result of a jury verdict, nonjury trial, or entry of a plea of guilty or nolo contendere.
4. I understand that an "affiliate" as defined in Paragraph 287.133(1)(a), Florida Statutes, means:
- 1. A predecessor or successor of a person convicted of a public entity crime;
- or

2. An entity under the control of any natural person who is active in the management of the entity and who has been convicted of a public entity crime. the term "affiliate" includes those officers, directors, executives, partners, shareholders, employees, members and agents who are active in the management of an affiliate. The ownership by one person of shares constituting a controlling interest in another person, or a pooling of equipment of income among persons when not for fair market value under an arm's length agreement, shall be a prima facie case that one person controls another person. A person who knowingly enters into a joint venture with a person who has been convicted of a public entity crime in Florida during the preceding 36 months shall be considered an affiliate.
5. I understand that a "person" as defined in Paragraph 287.133(1)(c), Florida Statutes, means any natural person or entity organized under the laws of any state of the United States with the legal power to enter into a binding contract and which bids or applies to bid on contracts for the provision of goods or services let by a public entity, or which otherwise transacts or applies to transact business with a public entity. The term "person" includes those officers, directors, executives, partners, shareholders, employees, members, and agents who are active in management of an entity.
6. Based on information and belief, the statement which I have marked below is true in relation to the entity submitting this sworn statement (indicate which statement applies).

_____ Neither the entity submitting this sworn statement, or any of its officers, directors, executives, partners, shareholders, employees, members, or agents who are active in the management of the entity, nor any affiliate of the entity has been charged with and convicted of a public entity crime subsequent to July, 1989.

_____ The entity submitting this sworn statement, or one or more of its officers, directors, executives, partners, shareholders, employees, members, or agents who are active in the management of the entity, or an affiliate of the entity has been charged with and convicted of a public entity crime subsequent to July 01, 1989.

_____ The entity submitting this sworn statement, or one or more of its officers, directors, executives, partners, shareholders, employees, members, or agents who are active in the management of the entity, or an affiliate of the entity has been charged with and convicted of a public entity crime subsequent to July 01, 1989. However, there has been a subsequent proceeding before a Hearing Officer of the State of Florida, Division of Administrative Hearings and the Final Order entered by the Hearing Officer determined that it was not in the public interest to place the entity submitting this sworn statement on the convicted vendor list. (attach a copy of the final order).

I UNDERSTAND THAT THE SUBMISSION OF THIS FORM TO THE CONTRACTING OFFICER FOR THE PUBLIC ENTITY IDENTIFIED IN PARAGRAPH ONE (1) ABOVE IS FOR THAT PUBLIC ENTITY ONLY AND, THAT THIS FORM IS VALID THROUGH DECEMBER 31 OF THE CALENDAR YEAR IN WHICH IT IS FILED. I ALSO UNDERSTAND THAT I AM REQUIRED TO INFORM THE PUBLIC ENTITY PRIOR TO ENTERING INTO A CONTRACT IN EXCESS OF THE THRESHOLD AMOUNT PROVIDED IN SECTION 287.017, FLORIDA STATUTES, FOR THE CATEGORY TWO OF ANY CHANGE IN THE INFORMATION CONTAINED IN THIS FORM.

(SIGNATURE)

(DATE)

STATE OF _____

COUNTY OF _____

PERSONALLY APPEARED BEFORE ME, the undersigned authority _____ who, after first being sworn by me, (name of individual) affixed his/her signature in the space provided above of this day of _____, 2009

NOTARY PUBLIC

My commission expires: _____

**REQUIRED INSURANCE TO BE MAINTAINED
BY SUCCESSFUL PROPOSER**

Prior to execution of the final contract, the successful proposer will be required to provide evidence that the following insurance is in place.

Type of Insurance	Limits
Workers' Compensation	Statutory
Employers' Liability	\$500,000
General Liability	\$1 million
Vehicle Liability	\$1 million
Professional Liability	\$1 million

The successful proposer will be required to maintain the above insurance during the entire term and any extensions of the contract. All coverages must be provided by insurers licensed to conduct business within the State of Florida and acceptable to the City.

New Name. New Look. More Solutions.

**EMI is now
Ascension Benefits &
Insurance Solutions**



We've changed our name, but you can count on the same dedicated, local team of professionals to provide the consultative approach, attention to detail and high quality services you've come to expect from EMI. Now, as part of Ascension's nationwide network, we can offer even greater access to specialized expertise, resources and best practices to meet your specific goals.

For more information about how about how Ascension can address your governmental insurance needs, please contact me or visit www.ascensionfl.com.

Kevin Cothron
Chief Operating Officer
Ascension Benefits & Insurance Solutions of Florida
772-919-8593 direct
kcothron@ascensionins.com

Our name change is effective October 22, 2012. You can still reach us through our current email addresses, or the address noted here.



ASCENSION®

Benefits & Insurance Solutions
OF FLORIDA



Public Entity Solutions

For over 30 years, our consultants have been trusted advisors to municipalities in Florida and throughout the Southeast. Our comprehensive services include:

- General Liability
- Umbrella Liability
- Employee Benefits Liability
- Directors & Officers Liability
- Liquor Liability
- Property & Casualty
- Employee Benefits
- Auto Liability
- Workers Compensation
- Third Party Claims Administration
- Loss Control Services