



Jim Young <jjyoung@keywestcity.com>

Re: Hi Don

1 message

Don Craig <dcraig@keywestcity.com>

Mon, Jul 11, 2011 at 2:40 PM

To: TGofKW@aol.com

Cc: Shawn Smith <sdsmith@keywestcity.com>, Jim Scholl <jscholl@keywestcity.com>, Mark Finigan <mfinigan@keywestcity.com>, Larry Erskine <lerskine@keywestcity.com>, Brendon Cunningham <bcunning@keywestcity.com>, Enid Torregrosa <etorregr@keywestcity.com>, Jim Young <jjyoung@keywestcity.com>, John Woodson <jwoodson@keywestcity.com>

Mr Gaffney , as I promised in my email of Friday , you have a decision as of today as to the possibility of replacing the one non conforming sign which has been the subject of your correspondence and phone calls. After confirming the facts and reviewing the code yet an additional third time , I have come to the conclusion that you may replace the sign removed by theft or misunderstanding as to rightful ownership , both or singly without you knowledge or permission. Please contact the building department to obtain the appropriate permit to replace. Please understand this determination applies only to the one sign which was removed without permission. All other signs at this location you wish to replace must abide by the regulations now in place. If you have any questions , please call me. When you are next in the department please stop by to see me.

Thanks.

Donald

On Fri, Jul 8, 2011 at 5:51 PM, <TGofKW@aol.com> wrote:

Thank you Donald.

I appreciate your quick reply.

The problem with your suggestion is that sign has been now made and sitting in storage since it was originally approved. It has been paid for.

It is a pretty expensive proposition to have to replace.

I look forward to talking to you Monday.

Thank you again.

Hope you have a nice weekend.

Cordially,

Timothy Gaffney
President

Vacation Depot
Unique Vacations: Key West & Palm Springs
305-294-1525
FAX: 305-768-2372

www.desertprincess.cc
www.vacationdepot.com

In a message dated 7/8/2011 5:42:07 P.M. Eastern Daylight Time, dcraig@keywestcity.com writes:

you will have an answer on Monday. After a long discussion with the City Attorney about how the signs were removed and the intricacies of our land Development Regulations as they pertain to non conforming signs in the historic district which if applied for now would not be allowed . Although you may be allowed to replace the sign , would it be possible to reduce the size of the sign in order to help clean up the visual clutter that hits one in the face as one strolls through out this area ? Sometimes a tastefully conceived sign is just as effective as a sign of the size you wish to replace. One good thing has resulted from this matter, the Planning staff and the Historic District are now committed to revising our sign regulations so that the City can better and more articulately control the location , type and size of signs throughout the Historic District.
I will speak to you on Monday.
Best regards,
Donald

On Fri, Jul 8, 2011 at 3:01 PM, <TGofKW@aol.com> wrote:
