

**BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS, BROWARD COUNTY, FLORIDA  
FINAL CONSULTANT EVALUATION  
OF PRIME VENDOR - SALTZ MICHELSON ARCHITECTS INC**

<b>FIXED CONTRACT - CONSULTANT</b>			
Project Nbr / Contract Nbr / Title <b>53291 / 53291CONS / MAIN JAIL ADA ELEVATOR AND LOBBY RENOVATION</b>			Commission District(s) <b>4</b>
Award Amount <b>\$12,750.00</b>	Change Amount <b>\$0.00</b>	Total Cost <b>\$12,750.00</b>	
Goal Type <b>NONE</b>	County Established <b>0.00</b>	Vendor Committed <b>0.00</b>	Attained <b>0.00</b>
<b>EVALUATION SUMMARY</b>			
SALTZ MICHELSON ARCHITECTS INC Is RECOMMENDED For Future Contracts Remarks:			Numerical Score <b>3.33</b>
Overall Rating	<b>GOOD</b>		
Unsatisfactory (1.0 - 1.8)   Poor (1.81 - 2.59)   Fair (2.60 - 3.19)   Good (3.20 - 4.49)   Excellent (4.50 - 5.00)			
<b>COUNTY CONTACT INFORMATION</b>			
Overseeing Division <b>CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT DIVISION</b>			
Contract Administrator <b>Steve Hammond</b>		Email: shammond@broward.org	
Project Manager <b>Stephen Urbanek</b>		Email: surbanek@broward.org	
<b>APPROVED EVALUATION</b>			
Rated By		Reviewed By	
Date: Not Available		Date: Not Available	

**BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS, BROWARD COUNTY, FLORIDA  
FINAL CONSULTANT EVALUATION  
OF PRIME VENDOR - SALTZ MICHELSON ARCHITECTS INC**

<b>A) Design/Engineering Services and Preliminary Bid Document Preparation Phase</b>		<b>Section Score: 3.33</b>
<b>Evaluation Question</b>	<b>Rating</b>	
1. Thorough site investigation	3 - Fair	
2. Meeting cost limitations	3 - Fair	
3. Design/results suitability	4 - Good	
4. Cooperative & responsive	4 - Good	
5. Timeliness of submissions	3 - Fair	
6. *Plans clear/detailed	3 - Fair	
7. *Plan/spec accuracy	N/A	
8. Environmentally suitable (preliminary staff review)	N/A	
9. Accuracy and completeness of appropriate County Attorney forms	N/A	
Comments:		
<b>B) Contract Goals Evaluation</b>		<b>Section Score: N/A</b>
<b>Evaluation Question</b>	<b>Rating</b>	
1. Compliance with contract goals	N/A	
1. Compliance with contract goals	N/A	
1. Compliance with contract goals	N/A	
2. Compliance with the submission of Quarterly Performance Reports	N/A	
2. Compliance with the submission of Quarterly Performance Reports	N/A	
2. Compliance with the submission of Quarterly Performance Reports	N/A	
3. Subcontractors were fully and promptly paid	N/A	
3. Subcontractors were fully and promptly paid	N/A	
3. Subcontractors were fully and promptly paid	N/A	
4. Compliance with submission of EEO Plan and Affirmative Action Plan	N/A	
4. Compliance with submission of EEO Plan and Affirmative Action Plan	N/A	
4. Compliance with submission of EEO Plan and Affirmative Action Plan	N/A	
Comments:		

**BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS, BROWARD COUNTY, FLORIDA  
 FINAL CONSULTANT EVALUATION  
 OF PRIME VENDOR - SALTZ MICHELSON ARCHITECTS INC**

<b>C) Final Document Preparation, Bid, and Award By Consultant (A/E)</b>		<b>Section Score: N/A</b>
<b>Evaluation Question</b>	<b>Rating</b>	
1. Specs afford competition	N/A	
2. Specs complete/thorough	N/A	
3. Accuracy of documents	N/A	
4. Requirements within A/E estimate	N/A	
5. Cooperative attitude	N/A	
6. Timeliness of submissions	N/A	
7. Pre-bid conference participation	N/A	
8. Response to inquiries	N/A	
9. Bid evaluation quality/timeliness	N/A	
10. Response to building & permitting agencies	N/A	
11. Addendums preparation & permit applications	N/A	
Comments:		

**BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS, BROWARD COUNTY, FLORIDA**  
**FINAL CONSULTANT EVALUATION**  
**OF PRIME VENDOR - SALTZ MICHELSON ARCHITECTS INC**

<b>E) Construction Phase Completion</b>		<b>Section Score: N/A</b>
<b>Evaluation Question</b>	<b>Rating</b>	
1. Environmentally Suitable(Final Staff Review)	N/A	
2. Plans Clear/Detailed	N/A	
3. Drawings Reflect True Conditions	N/A	
4. Plans/Specs Accurate/Coordinated	N/A	
5. Design Constructability	N/A	
6. Timeliness/Quality of Processing Submittals	N/A	
7. Product/Equipment Selection Availability	N/A	
8. Field Consultation and Investigations	N/A	
9. Quality of Support Services	N/A	
10. Overall Construction Contract Adm.	N/A	
11. Project Closeout Documentation Review	N/A	
12. Validity of Claims for Extra Costs	N/A	
13. Did Consultant provide sufficient copies of signed plans to allow for timely review and approval by all Permitting Agencies?	N/A	
14. Did the Consultant actively participate in overcoming problems with the Contractor, Building Officials and/or Regulatory Agencies?	N/A	
15. Change Order Processing (Accuracy, Timeliness, Documentation, etc.)	N/A	
16. Did the Consultant exercise adequate/effecting coordination and contract of subconsultant(s) or associate(s) work and paperwork?	N/A	
17. Prompt Payment to subconsultant(s)/associate(s) (other than SBDDs)	N/A	
18. Proactive Participation in Resolution of Dispute(s)	N/A	
Comments:		