City Attorney Performance Evaluation
CommissionER KAUFMAN

Date: MARCH 31 2025

| RATING | SCALE | DEFINITIONS | (1-5) |
|--------|-------|--------------------|-------|
| | | | |

| K | ATING SCALE D | EFINITIONS (1-5) | | | | | | | | | |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|-----------------------------|----------|---------------------|--|--|--|
| U | nsatisfactory (1) - | The employee's work performan standards of performance requir cannot be allowed to continue. | ce is in ed for | adequa the job | ite and Perfo | definite rm a nce | ely infe | rior to th level | | | |
| | | | | nce does not consistently meet the ous effort is needed to improve performance. | | | | | | | |
| | eets Job (3) andard | The employee's work performan position. | ce cons | istently | meets | the sta | ndards | of the | | | |
| Standard level of a satisfactory employee. Outstanding (5) The employee's work performation the standards of the job. | | ance is frequently or consistently above the | | | | | | | | | |
| | | The employee's work performant the standards of the job. | ce is co | nsisten | tly exce | llent w | hen cor | npared to | | | |
| | | The employee's work performand period. | ce was | not obs | erved d | luring (| this eva | luation | | | |
| I. | Performanc | e Evaluation and Achieveme | nts | | | | | | | | |
| 1. | City Commission | / Boards Relationships | NE | 1 | , <u>2</u> | 3 | 4 | 5_ | | | |
| A. | Provides sound leg Boards, Commissi | gal advice to the City Commission, ons and City staff. | | X _ | gy-ir-qu-si-blin | | | | | | |
| В. | | ity Commission, Boards, nely, clear, concise and thorough. | | | X | | | | | | |
| C. | . Accepts direction/instructions in a positive manner. | | | X | | | - | | | | |
| D. | | mmission, Boards, and City ssues relevant to the requirements | | X | | | | | | | |
| E. | | necessary to the responsibilities is readily available to | | | X | | | | | | |

| | Improvious de Paris | | | | | | |
|----|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------|---|-------------|-----|---------------------|---|
| | | | | | | | |
| 2. | Leval Research and Review | NE | 1 | 2 | _3_ | 4 | 5 |
| A. | Effectively identifies legal issues and performs research and investigations. | *************************************** | | X | | Military, almosphin | |
| В. | Effectively reviews and interprets legal instruments, reports and documents prepared by departments. | | X | | | | |
| Co | mments: | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| 3. | Employee/Public Relations | <u>NE</u> | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| A. | Works well with other employees. | | | X | | | |
| В. | Meeting and handling the public while recognizing ethical obligation to the City. | | X | | _ | _ | |
| Co | mments: | | _ | _ | | | |
| | | | | | - | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| 4. | Communication | <u>NE</u> | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| A. | Oral communication is clear, concise and articulate. | | | X | | | |
| в. | Written communications (e.g.) contracts, resolutions, and other legal documents are clear, concise and accurate. | | | X | | **** | |
| | | | | | | | |

Comments:

Comments:

| 5. Quantity/Quality | <u>NE</u> | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | _5_ |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------|--------|-----|--------|----------|---------|
| A. Amount of work performed. | | | X | Market | | - |
| B. Completion of work on time. | | X | | | _ | |
| C. Accuracy. | | _ | X | | | |
| D. Thoroughness. | | X | | | | |
| Comments: | | | | | | |
| | , | _ | - | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| 6. Personal Traits | <u>NE</u> | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| A. Initiative. | | X | | | | |
| B. Judgement. | | X | | _ | | |
| C. Fairness and Impartiality | | | | | | |
| D. Analytical Ability. | | | X | | | |
| Comments: | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| 7. Litigation/Administrative Proceedings | <u>NE</u> | 1 | 2 | 3 | _4_ | 5 |
| A. Provides timely and effective representation of the City's interest in litigation. | | b-Arma | | × | _ | |
| B. Controls and monitors costs and performance of retained outside legal counsel. | | X | *** | | <u> </u> | <u></u> |
| Comments: | | | | | | |

Page 3 of 4

Performance Evaluation - City Attorney

| | | _ | |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| II. Summary Rating | | •• | |
| Overall Performance Rating – Consider as well as overall job performance, the | ing the results obtained a following rating is provid | gainst established perforn ed (circle one): | nance standards |
| Unsatisfactory Improvement Needed | Meets Job Standards | Exceeds Job Standards | Outstanding |
| Comments: | | | |
| | _ | | |
| | | | The state of the s |
| III. Future Goals and Objectiv | JAS | | |
| Specific goals and objectives to be achie | | n period: | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| Combined Score of All Commission Me | mbers | | |
| Ello The City Ath Cardas | teanirs a Meeta | Smithay | 1 |
| RONALD J. RAMSINGH, CITY ATTOR | NEY an evaluation, and and not occur, a | hish depite | |
| KERI O'BRIEN, CITY CLERK | The c.A. | Date: 3/31/2025 | 5 |

Performance Evaluation - City Attorney