

## Daniela Salume

---

**From:** Jennifer Willoughby <cumberland.terrace@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, December 9, 2025 10:00 AM  
**To:** Daniela Salume; Matthew Crawford  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] Re: [EXTERNAL] [EXTERNAL] [EXTERNAL] 2025-0100

**CAUTION:** This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Hello,

Here is my comments i would like to forward to the board members :

Joe and I we live at 622 united st, the only neighboring property to 624 united st. 622 united is our primary residence for the last 10 years even when Joe was active duty.

We are so very concerned about the proposed plan of 624 united states, our neighbor property. They are proposing to move their house even closer to our house with a 2.1 ft setback on our side. It will not only destroy our trees we planted 11 years ago and the 40 year old palm but it is so close to our property line and MORE THAN HALF the allowed set back of 5ft that we all have to abide by.

622 united is our primary residence that is under construction and we had to have 5ft setbacks and we conserved our trees to the best because we want to keep Key West beautiful.

2.1 ft is just too close, its a fire hazard and nobody can get through.

Why would the set back limitations for the old house that would be totally moved and totally transformed would not apply only to our side but it matters to the street set back. ? Why would we need to have a new house 2.1 ft off our property?

the house had a 3.2ft set back because it was grandfathered in and now they are asking to even have a smaller one in order to maximize the rentability of the lot. The design does not look anything like the house nor one house in the neighborhood

The position of the fences is explicit on their intend with 1304 elizabeth st, to section off that piece of property off from 624 united for 1304 elizabeth. And when they do so the pervious coverage will be way above the 50% that is allowed. Dont you think its strange that there is no access the rear of the property from the house and a 6ft fence instead without gates?

on the 'neighbor existing fence', the 3ft fence is not ours. so they would need to tear down their fence, tear down the bushes and cut our palms that have grown to make a new fence. it will destroy the root system and they will eventually die from it or from the foundation work that will have to be as well on the property line for the structure to be at 2.1ft off our bedroom wall.

when you accept this layout and this compact set up you ll be pushing for vacation rentals and not long term residents in the neighborhood.

When the new 5 houses project went in front of the board in January 2024 it was reduced to 4 houses because it was too dense for the neighborhood. Please look at it the same way, this proposal is not harmonious and does not take into consideration the most important factor: living in the historic district.

I am asking the board to deny this proposal for a new proposal that allows breathing room and a decent setback with the neighbor, conserves the landscaping and allows the neighborhood to keep its long term residents way of life. Please talk with the neighbors that live in this small neighborhood.

thank you for your time and consideration,

Jennifer Willoughby

615-753-5539