City Attorney Performance Evaluation

December 2, 2013

RATING	SCALE	DEFINI	ITIONS	(1-5)

Un	satisfactory (1) -	- The employee's work performance is inadequate and definitely inferior to the standards of performance required for the job. Performance at this level cannot be allowed to continue.								
Improvement (2) The employee's work performance standards of the position. Serious		ce does not consistently meet the seffort is needed to improve performance.								
	eets Job (3) andard	- ·		ce consistently meets the standards of the						
	xceeds Job (4) The employee's work performance is frequently or contained level of a satisfactory employee. The employee's work performance is consistently except the standards of the job.		or con	nsistently above the						
Ou			nsistent	ıtly excellent when compared to						
No	t evaluated (NE)	nted (NE) The employee's work performance was not observed during period.				uring t	his eval	luation		
I.	<u>Performan</u>	ce Evaluation and Achieveme	<u>nts</u>							
1.	City Commission	n/Boards Relationships	<u>NE</u>	_1_	_2_	3	4	_5		
A.		gal advice to the City Commission, ions and City staff.								
В.	3. Reporting to the City Commission, Boards, and City staff is timely, clear, concise and thorough.							<u></u>		
C.	. Accepts direction/instructions in a positive manner.							1		
D.	2. Keeps the City Commission, Boards, and City staff informed of issues relevant to the requirements of the position.			, ,				1		
E.	E. Dedicates the time necessary to the responsibilities of the position and is readily available to Commissioners.							_2		

Comments: Sharum is	Ste Ce	taly	Commiss 2 C	ioner To	ny Yaniz M
between He	e enlu	wy .			
2. <u>Legal Research and Review</u>	<u>NE</u>	<u>1</u>	2 3	4_	5_
A. Effectively identifies legal issues and perforesearch and investigations.	rms				
B. Effectively reviews and interprets legal instreports and documents prepared by departm				_	
Comments: Consistent, gr	ser abor	e ar	e be rame	yonel fical	on.
	,				
3. Employee/Public Relations	<u>NE</u>	1	2 3	4_	_5
A. Works well with other employees.					
B. Meeting and handling the public while recognizing ethical obligation to the City.					
Comments: Great liais	n betw Le Con	an St ungsi	in t	Re.	general
4. Communication	<u>NE</u>	_1_	2 3	4	5
A. Oral communication is clear, concise and a	rticulate				
B. Written communications (e.g.) contracts, re and other legal documents are clear, concis accurate.		. <u> </u>		. ,	
Comments: Buny Clark	1 to c	omple	y s	guz	

5.	Quantity/Quality	<u>NE</u>	1	_2_	_3_	_4_	5
A.	Amount of work performed.	***					
B.	Completion of work on time.				 .		
C.	Accuracy.						
D.	Thoroughness.			***************************************			
Co	mments: Not enough hou gel get he get i to provide hun or We're goup	n l c Wil	en Jene Joil	de	W Juni	ay eli vel	noel Soll
6.	Personal Traits	<u>NE</u>	_1_	_2_	_3_	_4_	_5
A.	Initiative.						
B.	Judgement.			·			
C.	Fairness and Impartiality.						
D.	Analytical Ability.						
Co	mments: J'd hete to et when sharin was a she team - Ho when they	ruh 1	www.	le	e d	Qsu po be	ty ty
7.	Litigation/Administrative Proceedings	<u>NE</u>	_1_	_2_	_3_	4_	5
A.	Provides timely and effective representation of the City's interest in litigation.						
В.	Controls and monitors costs and performance of retained outside legal counsel.						_
Сс	omments: See place						<u> </u>

II. Summary Rating
Overall Performance Rating – Considering the results obtained against established performance standards as well as overall job performance, the following rating is provided (circle one):
Unsatisfactory Improvement Needed Meets Job Standards Exceeds Job Standards Outstanding
Comments: Shaw orlhu stop get the job done - guen the amount of work it seem inchelik
III. Future Goals and Objectives
Specific goals and objectives to be achieved in the next evaluation period: Please pull Community odelities Steph Please
SMAWN D. SMITH, CITY ATTORNEY
ATTEST:
CHERYL SMITH, CITY CLERK Dated

Commissioner Tony Yaniz