Staff Report

## 14 Add 24" high of wood lattice over an existing 6' high side fence - \#727

 Windsor Lane, Robert Delaune (H12-01-429)The house located on \#727 Windsor Lane is not listed in the surveys. This application is for the extension of an existing solid 6' fence to 8' using a wood lattice. The owner of this property was represented by Seatech with an after the fact application that included, among other things, the 6' fence with 2' lattice over it. The Commission reviewed that application (H11-01-1017) on August 23, 2011 and motioned to approve it with the condition that the existing fence that was built on the southwest side should be lowered to 6' high.

This new application proposes the installation of 24 " of wood lattice over the existing 6' tall solid wood fence. This treatment will start 8' from the front property line.

Guidelines that should be reviewed for this request:

Fences (pages 40-41 of the guidelines);
(6) Six foot fences may began from the rear of where the façade of the house joins the front porch, or at least ten (10) feet from the front property line.

According with the Land Development Regulations fences can not exceed 6' high, only non-residential areas do not have a height restriction.

It is staff's opinion that this request is inconsistent with the guidelines as well as with the Land Development Regulations. A similar proposal was submitted for the same address as an after the fact application and the Commission requested the removal of the 2' lattice in order to approve a 6' high fence. If approved this request will require a high variance from the Planning Board.

Application


This application for Certificate of Appropriateness must precede applications for building permits, right of way permits, variances, and development review approvals. Applications must meet or exceed the requirements outlined by the Secretary of the Interior's Standards for Rehabilitation and Key West's Historic Architectural Guidelines.

Once completed, the application shall be reviewed by staff for completeness and either approved or scheduled for presentation to the Historic Architectural Review Commission at the next available meeting. The applicant must be present at this meeting. The filing of this application does not ensure approval as submitted.

Applications that do not possess the required Submittal will be considered incomplete and will not be reviewed for approyail. Date: $3 / 12 / 12$
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## Correspondence

# Windsor Village Homeowners Association, Inc. P.O. Box 1432 <br> Key West, Fl. 33041 

March 13, 2012

## To: HARC

Ref: 727 Windsor Lane
719 Windsor Lane
Dear Members of HARC:
The owners of 727 Windsor Lane and 719 Windsor Lane both had and have permission for the improvements to their property.

Specifically, the Association and its members have no objection to the variance being requested between two of its members, Charles Lee and William Newman. This is a fence with a request for a trellis for privacy. It does not effect the property of any other member or any of the common element of the association.

Sincerely,


President
Windsor Village Homeowners Association

## Fwd: Fence on Windsor Lane

From: "Charies E. Lee" [charlesleecbs@aol.com](mailto:charlesleecbs@aol.com)
To: robdelaune@bellsouth.net

Here is the next door neighbor's letter. William Newman.
Charles E. Lee
charlesleecbs@aol.com
-----Original Message----
From: Newman, William Royall [wnewman@indiana.edu](mailto:wnewman@indiana.edu)
To: charlesleecbs [charlesleecbs@aol.com](mailto:charlesleecbs@aol.com)
Sent: Fri, Mar 9, 2012 7:13 am
Subject: Fence on Windsor Lane
Dear Charles,
I support the variance that would allow a trellis to be built on top of the fence separating our yards on Windsor Lane. The trellis would allow my wife and myself to exercise the right to privacy and enjoyment of our house and yard.

Best regards,
William Newman

## Robert L. Delaune, Architect p.a.

## 619 Eaton Street Suite 1 Key West Florida 33040-6994 ph/fax: 3052930364

13 March 2012
Enid Torregosa
City of Key West Preservation Planner
3140 Flagler Avenue
Key West, Florida 33040
Re: 727 Windsor Lane

## Dear Enid:

Pursuant to our discussion of this HARC application earlier today, I request that you attach the following information to the application for review by the Commissioners along with the drawings, photographs, etc.

Not withstanding any provisions of the HARC Design Guidelines, the following mitigating conditions exist at this property that constitute sufficient justification for favorable review and approval of this application. These conditions are as outlined below.

1. The HARC Guidelines are just that, guidelines. They are not a set of inviolable rules, and design judgement must exercised in their implementation in any particular situation.
2. The privacy (or rather, lack of privacy) situation that exists between 719 and 727 Windsor is unique to these properties, and is not at all typical of other properties in the Historic District, as is evidence by the photographs attached with the application.
3. The application requests the minimum structure required to address the privacy problem.
4. The requested privacy fence is all but invisible from the public right-of-way, owing to the presence of a legal-constructed 6 ' fence along the street front of both properties that effectively eliminates any view into the parts of the properties where the fence is to be placed. Thus, approval of this application will not result in any degradation of the District as experience from any public right of way.

In light of the above-listed factors, it is my opinion that the Commission has the latitude to approve, and should approve, this application.

Please don't hesitate to contact me if you have any additional questions or concerns with this application.

Best Personal Regards,

## Robert L. Delaune, Architect p.a. robdelauneabellsouthnet Fl. Req. ARool 13373

619 Eaton Street Suite 1 Key West Florida 33040-6994 ph/fax: 3052930364

## Sanborn Maps


\#727 Windsor Lane Sanborn map 1962 copy

\#727 Windsor Lane Sanborn Map 1948 copy

## Project Photos



Photo taken by the Property Appraiser's office c1965; 727 Windsor Lane; built c1926; in 1976, became part of the Windsor Lane Village Condos; Monroe County Library

## Demolished

TOP OF EXISTING FRONT FENCE POST (TO BE CUT -OFF)


727 WINDSOR LANE - VIEW FROM ACROSS STREET


NEW LATTICE PANEL HERE

727 WINDSOR LANE - SIDEWALK IN FRONT OF HOUSE

TOP OF EXISTING FENCE 6'-O" ABV. CROWN OF ROAD ( $5^{\prime}-6^{\prime \prime}$ ABY. SIDEWALK \& GRADE)


727 WINDSOR LANE - VIEW FROM SIDE DECK WITHOUT PROPOSED LATTICE TOPPING


## Photos of the after the fact fence submitted as part of the packet for the HARC meeting of August 23, 2011

Photographs


FRONT LEFT CORNER OF HOUSE RED TAGGED FENCE


SOUTH WEST SIDE OF HOUSE
LOOKING TOWARDS THE REAR
RED TAGGED DECK \& FENCE


SOUTH WEST SIDE OF HOUSE LOOKING TOWARDS THE FRONT RED TAGGED DECK \& FENCE


SOUTH WEST SIDE OF HOUSE
LOOKING TOWARDS THE FRONT RED TAGGED DECK \& FENCE


SOUTH WEST SIDE OF HOUSE
LOOKING TOWARDS FRONT
AREA WHERE SHED WAS REMOVED, ROOF STRUCTURE TO REMAIN


SOUTH WEST SIDE OF HOUSE
LOOKING TOWARDS THE FRONT


SOUTH WEST SIDE OF HOUSE
EXISTING WINDOWS


SOUTH WEST SIDE OF HOUSE LOOKING TOWARDS THE FRONT RED TAGGED DECK \& FENCE

## Excerpt of the minutes for the HARC meeting of August 23, 2011

# Minutes of the Key West Historical Architectural Review Commission August 23, 2011 Approved - 09/13/2011 <br> Page 4 of 6 

The Commissioners discussed the Guidelines as related to the white roof. The applicant restated they are willing to paint the roof. A discussion of the need for the additional roof as well as the potential for other means of waterproofing followed.

A motion was made by Mr. Carlos Rojas, seconded by Mr. Bryan Green, that the Item be passed as is with a white roof. The motion Failed by the following vote:

Absent: 1 - Mr. Metzler
Yes: 2 - Mr. Green, Mr. Rojas
No: 2 - Mr. Muench, Chairman Molinet
A motion was made by Mr. Nils Muench, seconded by Mr. Bryan Green, that the Item be Passed with the understanding the roof will be painted gray. The motion carried by the following vote:

Absent: 1 - Mr. Metzler
Yes: 3 - Mr. Muench, Mr. Green, Chairman Molinet
No: 1 - Mr. Rojas
9 Construction of new ticket kiosk to replace current vendor area -
\#O Duval Street - Antonio A. Osborn Jr. (H11-01-1073)
A motion was made by Mr. Nils Muench, seconded by Mr. Bryan Green, that the Item be Postponed. The motion passed by a unanimous vote.

10a Install new wood deck and wood fence. Install new sliding glass door for new deck access After the Fact
\#727 Windsor Lane - Seatech of the Florida Keys (H11-01-1017)
Brandon Ophlin from Seatech of the Florida Keys presented the project. The project is to build a wood deck and install a new fence. A sliding glass door was installed for access.

No public comment.
Enid Torregrosa presented her staff report. Ms. Torregrosa stated this is an After the Fact application for a non-contributing structure. Ms. Torregrosa stated on her report that they built a new wood deck and an eight ( $8^{\prime}$ ) foot tall fence but the new plans include a six ( $6^{\prime}$ ) foot tall fence. They have committed to remove the upper part of the fence in order to make the fence six ( $6^{\prime}$ ) foot tall fence which complies with the Guidelines pages 40 and 41 . Staff understands that the Guidelines for Decks pages 39 and 40 should be reviewed particularly Guideline \#4 the Proportions of Decking Patio or Pool dimension should not exceed fifty (50\%) percent of the total lot minus the building footprint. The Guidelines for fences pages 40 and 41 should be reviewed. Staff does not make recommendations on After the Fact applications.

The Commissioners had an extensive discussion concerning the fences but finally decided the fence on this application is within the Guidelines and the past noncomplying fence will need to be addressed by Code Compliance. The Commissioners requested the applicant to agree on the record that the fence will be lowered to six ( $6^{\prime}$ ) foot tall - which he did.

A motion was made by Mr. Carlos Rojas, seconded by Mr. Bryan Green, that the design portion of the Item be Passed. The motion carried by the

# Minutes of the Key West Historical Architectural Review Commission August 23, 2011 <br> Approved - 09/13/2011 <br> Page 5 of 6 

following vote:
Absent: 1 - Mr. Metzler
Yes: 4 - Mr. Muench, Mr. Green, Mr. Rojas, Chairman Molinet
10b Remove existing shed -
After the Fact
\#727 Windsor Lane - Seatech of the Florida Keys (H11-01-1017)
Brandon Ophlin from Seatech of the Florida Keys presented the project. The shed was removed to accommodate the new wood deck.

No public comment.
Enid Torregrosa presented her staff report. Ms. Torregrosa stated they partially demolished a non-historic shed. They left the roof and front wall of the shed. Staff understands that the Commission can consider the request for demolition since it is non-historic. Staff does not make recommendations on After the Fact applications.

The Commissioners had a brief discussion to clarify what had taken place.
A motion was made by Mr. Carlos Rojas, seconded by Mr. Nils Muench, that the demolition portion of the Item be Passed. The motion carried by the following vote:

Absent: 1 - Mr. Metzler
Yes: 4 - Mr. Muench, Mr. Green, Mr. Rojas, Chairman Molinet
11a Renovate existing two-story structure. Construct new wood deck. Provide new set of stairs with covered landing. Replace existing windows. Repair damaged members \#1009 Grinnell Street - Seatech of the Florida Keys (H11-01-1074)

The applicant requested to postpone the item.
A motion was made by Mr. Carlos Rojas, seconded by Mr. Nils Muench, that the Item be Postponed. The motion carried by the following vote:

Absent: 1 - Mr. Metzler
Yes: 4 - Mr. Muench, Mr. Rojas, Mr. Green, Chairman Molinet
11b Remove rear deteriorated portion of building -
\#1009 Grinnell Street - Seatech of the Florida Keys (H11-01-1074)
The applicant requested to postpone the item.
A motion was made by Mr. Carlos Rojas, seconded by Mr. Nils Muench, that the Item be Postponed. The motion carried by the following vote:

Absent: 1 - Mr. Metzler
Yes: 4 - Mr. Muench, Mr. Rojas, Mr. Green, Chairman Molinet
12 Replace existing deck with new one. Replace two doors and remove outdoor shower fixtures. Repair portion of existing wood fence -
After the Fact
\#721F Windsor Lane - Seatech of the Florida Keys (H11-01-1075)

# City Of Key West <br> Planning Department <br> 3140 Flagler Avenue <br> Key West, Florida 33040 

August 24, 2011

Mr. Erik Myers
Seatech of the Florida Keys
830 Crane Blvd.
Summerland Key, Florida 33042

> RE: INSTALL NEW WOOD DECK AND WOOD FENCE. INSTALL NEW SLIDING GLASS DOOR FOR NEW DECK ACCESS. REMOVE EXISTING SHED- AFTER THE FACT
> FOR: \#727 WINDSOR LANE - HARC APPLICATION \# H11-01-1017 KEY WEST HISTORIC DISTRICT

Dear Mr. Myers:
This letter is to notify you that the Key West Historic Architecture Review Commission approved the proposed design and the first reading for demolition for the above mentioned project on the public hearing held on Tuesday, August 23, 2011. The Commissioners motioned that the existing fence that was built on the southwest elevation should be lowered to 6 ' high.

Because this project includes a demolition request, a second reading will take place on September 13, 2011 at Old City Hall, 510 Greene Street. This second reading will be just for the demolition. Should you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact me at your convenience.

On behalf of the Historic Architectural Review Commission of our City, thank you for your interest in the preservation of Key West's historic heritage.

Sincerely:


Survey



## Proposed Plans



LATTICE TOPPING FOR SIDE YARD FENCE 727 W INDSOR LANE, KEY WEST

Noticing


The Historic Architectural Review Commission will hold a public hearing at 5:30 p.m., March 28, 2012 at Old City Hall, 510 Greene Street, Key West, Florida. The purpose of the hearing will be to consider a request for:

## ADD 24" HIGH OF WOOD LATTICE OVER AN EXISTING 6' HIGH SIDE FENCE \#727 WINDSOR LANE <br> Applicant- Robert Delaune.- Application Number H12-01-429

If you wish to see the application or have any questions, you may visit the Planning Department during regular office hours at 3140 Flagler Avenue call 809-3973 or visit our website at www.keywestcity.com .

## Property Appraiser Information

# Karl D. Borglum <br> Property Appraiser Monroe County, Florida 

office (305) 292-3420
fax (305) 292-3501
Website tested on Internet Explorer

## ------ GIS Mapping requires Adobe Flash 10.3 or higher. <br> $\qquad$

## Property Record View

Alternate Key: 1019356 Parcel ID: 00018730-000500

## Ownership Details

## Mailing Address:

LEE CHARLES E
POBOX 4118
KEY WEST, FL 33040

## Property Details

PC Code: 07 -COMPOUNDS
Millage Group: 10 KW
Affordable
Housing:
Section-
Township- 06-68-25
Range:
Property
727 WINDSOR LN KEY WEST
Location:
Legal KW PT TR 5 (A/K/A LOT 5 WINDSOR VILLAGE AND $1 / 11$ INT IN COMMON AREAS A AND B) (F/K/A UNIT 5
Description: WINDSOR VILLAGE CONDO) OR644-335/338 OR644-339 OR644-344/481 OR644-490 OR644-494C OR647416C OR647-418/421AMD OR650-762C OR650-761C OR651-588 OR1260-33 OR1516-945/948 OR17112357/2369(TERMINATION OF CONDO) OR1749-543/570DEC/COV OR1827-436/440 OR1827-481/482 OR1827502/503 OR1827-516/519Q/C OR1919-466/467AMD OR2280-963/977AMD OR2282-683/85Q/C


Land Details

| Land Use Code | Frontage | Depth | Land Area |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 010D - RESIDENTIAL DRY | 0 | 0 | $2,035.00$ SF |

## Building Summary

Number of Buildings: 1
Number of Commercial Buildings: 0
Total Living Area: 1032
Year Built: 1953

## Building 1 Details

Building Type R1
Effective Age $\frac{16}{6}$
Year Built 1953
Functional Obs 0

## Condition A

Perimeter 136
Special Arch 0
Economic Obs 0

Quality Grade 450
Depreciation \% 18
Grnd Floor Area 1,032

Bedrooms 2

| 2 Fix Bath 0 | Vacuum | 0 |  |
| ---: | :--- | ---: | :--- |
| 3 Fix Bath | 0 | Garbage Disposal | 0 |
| 4 Fix Bath | 0 | Compactor | 0 |
| 5 Fix Bath 0 | Security | 0 |  |
| 6 Fix Bath 0 | Intercom | 0 |  |
| 7 Fix Bath 0 | Fireplaces | 0 |  |
| Extra Fix 0 | Dishwasher | 0 |  |



Sections:

| Nbr Type | Ext Wall | $\begin{gathered} \# \\ \text { Stories } \end{gathered}$ | Year | Attic A/C |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Basement } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | Finished Basement \% | Area |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 OPF |  | 1 | 1990 | N | N | 0.00 | 0.00 | 30 |
| 2 FLA | 12:ABOVE AVERAGE WOOD | 1 | 1990 | N | N | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1,032 |
| 3 OPU |  | 1 | 1990 | N | N | 0.00 | 0.00 | 110 |

## Misc Improvement Details

| Nbr | Type | \# Units | Length | Width | Year Built | Roll Year | Grade | Life |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | WD2:WOOD DECK | 299 SF | 23 | 13 | 1987 | 1988 | 2 | 40 |
| 2 | FN2:FENCES | 126 SF | 21 | 6 | 1987 | 1988 | 5 | 30 |

## Building Permits

| Bldg | Number | Date Issued | Date Completed | Amount | Description | Notes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $02-0215$ | $01 / 25 / 2002$ | $11 / 16 / 2002$ | 1,000 |  | PLUMBING |

## Parcel Value History

Certified Roll Values.

## View Taxes for this Parcel.

| Roll Year | Total Bldg Value | Total Misc Improvement Value | Total Land Value | Total Just (Market) Value | Total Assessed Value | School Exempt Value | School Taxable Value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2011 | 106,207 | 2,175 | 211,564 | 319,946 | 181,915 | 25,000 | 156,915 |
| 2010 | 111,326 | 2,253 | 107,464 | 221,043 | 179,227 | 25,000 | 154,227 |
| 2009 | 125,249 | 2,370 | 198,901 | 326,520 | 174,515 | 25,000 | 149,515 |
| 2008 | 115,186 | 2,448 | 327,940 | 445,574 | 174,341 | 25,000 | 149,341 |
| 2007 | 120,528 | 2,564 | 580,280 | 703,372 | 169,263 | 25,000 | 144,263 |
| 2006 | 269,925 | 2,642 | 250,355 | 522,922 | 165,135 | 25,000 | 140,135 |
| 2005 | 205,377 | 2,796 | 229,265 | 437,438 | 160,325 | 25,000 | 135,325 |
| 2004 | 147,872 | 2,924 | 157,630 | 308,426 | 155,655 | 25,000 | 130,655 |
| 2003 | 163,302 | 3,079 | 54,981 | 221,362 | 152,753 | 25,000 | 127,753 |
| 2002 | 102,232 | 3,195 | 81,806 | 187,233 | 149,173 | 25,000 | 124,173 |
| 2001 | 114,660 | 3,361 | 80,031 | 198,052 | 146,824 | 25,000 | 121,824 |
| 2000 | 114,660 | 2,934 | 70,698 | 188,293 | 142,548 | 25,000 | 117,548 |
| 1999 | 96,619 | 2,581 | 70,698 | 169,898 | 138,801 | 25,000 | 113,801 |
| 1998 | 64,146 | 1,773 | 70,698 | 136,616 | 136,616 | 25,000 | 111,616 |
| 1997 | 49,312 | 1,419 | 64,476 | 115,207 | 115,207 | 0 | 115,207 |
| 1996 | 49,312 | 1,460 | 64,476 | 115,248 | 115,248 | 0 | 115,248 |
| 1995 | 49,312 | 1,520 | 64,476 | 115,308 | 115,308 | 0 | 115,308 |
| 1994 | 44,100 | 1,396 | 64,476 | 109,972 | 109,972 | 0 | 109,972 |
| 1993 | 44,100 | 1,444 | 64,476 | 110,020 | 110,020 | 0 | 110,020 |


| 1992 | 44,100 | 1,485 | 64,476 | 110,061 | 110,061 | 0 | 110,061 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1991 | 44,100 | 1,536 | 64,476 | 110,112 | 110,112 | 0 | 110,112 |
| 1990 | 42,179 | 1,429 | 60,867 | 104,475 | 104,475 | 0 | 104,475 |
| 1989 | 32,390 | 0 | 54,020 | 86,410 | 86,410 | 0 | 86,410 |
| 1988 | 27,523 | 0 | 54,020 | 81,543 | 81,543 | 0 | 81,543 |
| 1987 | 38,574 | 1,133 | 23,333 | 63,040 | 63,040 | 0 | 63,040 |
| 1986 | 38,790 | 1,171 | 23,333 | 63,294 | 63,294 | 0 | 63,294 |
| 1985 | 34,438 | 0 | 14,084 | 48,522 | 48,522 | 0 | 48,522 |
| 1984 | 32,050 | 0 | 14,084 | 46,134 | 46,134 | 0 | 46,134 |
| 1983 | 32,050 | 0 | 14,084 | 46,134 | 46,134 | 0 | 46,134 |
| 1982 | 32,738 | 0 | 14,084 | 46,822 | 46,822 | 0 | 46,822 |

## Parcel Sales History

NOTE: Sales do not generally show up in our computer system until about two to three months after the date of sale. If a recent sale does not show up in this list, please allow more time for the sale record to be processed. Thank you for your patience and understanding.

| Sale Date | Official Records Book/Page | Price | Instrument | Qualification |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $5 / 1 / 1993$ | $1260 / 33$ | 125,000 | WD | Q |
| $2 / 1 / 1976$ | $650 / 761 \mathrm{C}$ | 22,900 | 00 | Q |

This page has been visited 49,475 times.
Monroe County Property Appraiser
Karl D. Borglum
P.O. Box 1176

Key West, FL 33041-1176

