City Attorney Performance Evaluation MAYOR CRAIG CATES

October 16, 2012

RATING SCALE DEFINITIONS (1-5)

Unsatisfactory (1) -	The employee's work performance is inadequate and definitely inferior to the standards of performance required for the job. Performance at this level cannot be allowed to continue.									
Improvement (2) Needed	The employee's work performance does not consistently meet the standards of the position. Serious effort is needed to improve performance.									
Meets Job (3) Standard	The employee's work performance consistently meets the standards of the position.									
Exceeds Job (4) Standard	The employee's work performance is frequently or consistently above the level of a satisfactory employee.									
Outstanding (5)	The employee's work performance is consistently excellent when compared to the standards of the job.									
Not evaluated (NE)	e was n	ot obse	erved d	uring tl	his eval	uation				
I. <u>Performan</u>	ce Evaluation and Achieveme	<u>nts</u>								
1. City Commissio	n/ Boards Relationships	<u>NE</u>	1	_2_	<u>3</u> .	4	_5			
	egal advice to the City Commission, sions and City staff.	***************************************				· .	5			
	City Commission, Boards, imely, clear, concise and thorough.	***************************************								
C. Accepts direction	/instructions in a positive manner.						_5			
	ommission, Boards, and City issues relevant to the requirements					Allermanner	5			
	ne necessary to the responsibilities and is readily available to					-	5			

Co	mments:								
		-				,	·····		
2.	Legal Research and Review	<u>NE</u>	1_	_2_	3	_4_	_5_		
A.	Effectively identifies legal issues and performs research and investigations.						_5		
B.	Effectively reviews and interprets legal instruments, reports and documents prepared by departments.		, ·				5		
Co	mments:								
				-					
	-								
3.	Employee/Public Relations	<u>NE</u>	_1_	_2_	3	4_	_5_		
A.	Works well with other employees.						_5_		
B. Meeting and handling the public while recognizing ethical obligation to the City.					<u></u>		5		
Co	Comments:								
	<u> </u>			-					
				,					
4.	Communication	<u>NE</u>	_1_	_2_	3	_4_	5_		
A.	Oral communication is clear, concise and articulate.						_5		
В.	Written communications (e.g.) contracts, resolutions, and other legal documents are clear, concise and accurate.						5		
Co	omments:	.				<u></u>			

						-
			-			
5. Quantity/Quality	<u>NE</u>	_1_	_2_	3	4_	_5
A. Amount of work performed.	<u>.</u>			. —		5
B. Completion of work on time.						5
C. Accuracy.		- <u>.</u>				5
D. Thoroughness.				10000000000		5
Comments:						
				•	.'	<u> </u>
			• .			
6. Personal Traits	<u>NE</u>	<u>· 1</u>	_2_	3	4_	5_
A. Initiative.			<u> </u>			5
B. Judgement.				`		5
C. Fairness and Impartiality.		•				5
D. Analytical Ability.	 .					5
Comments:						

7. <u>Litigation/Administrative Proceedings</u>	<u>NE</u>	_1_	2	3	_4_	<u>\$</u>
A. Provides timely and effective representation of the City's interest in litigation.						5
B. Controls and monitors costs and performance of retained outside legal counsel.		*****				5
Comments:						

_					-
II. <u>Summ</u>	ary Rating				()
Overall Perform as well as overa	nance Rating – Considering Il job performance, the foll	the results obtained lowing rating is prov	l against establis vided (circle one)	hed performance):	standards
Unsatisfactory	Improvement Needed	Meets Job Standard	ls Exceeds Job	Standards Ou	standing
Comments:	SLAWN COA WITH PROPE TINTEGRITY	atinues assionalism		•	the host
			-		,
III. Future	e Goals and Objective	<u>es</u>			
Specific goals a	and objectives to be achieved to Garage	ed in the next evaluation of the second of t	ation period:	uf disci	RepANCIES
IN OUR	T YOU to Co 2 OrdINACES 2006	so they	CAN B	e evenly	/
MAYOR CRA	CATES				. *
SHAWN D. SM	MITH, CITY ATTORNEY				
ATTEST:					
CHERYL SMI	TH, CITY CLERK		Da	ted 10/12	5/12