

Carlene Smith

From: Nicole Malo
Sent: Wednesday, April 18, 2012 9:11 AM
To: Carlene Smith
Subject: FW: 1107 Grinnell Improvements

Nicole Malo
Planner
City of Key West Planning Department
305-809-3778

From: WPHORN@aol.com [mailto:WPHORN@aol.com]
Sent: Wednesday, April 18, 2012 7:46 AM
To: nmalo@keywestcity.com
Subject: Fwd: 1107 Grinnell Improvements

Nicole,
This is the latest email coordination from Tim to his neighbor. I guess we are going to have to discuss at the meeting the possibility of tabling it again. Tim, the owner does not want to table this for the third time and have to pay for the filing fees again, but the neighbors lawyer, Bart is saying they want us to again.
Thanks, Bill

William P. Horn , LEED® AP, BD&C
Principal

WILLIAM P. HORN ARCHITECT, PA
915 Eaton Street
Key West, FL 33040
Phone: 305-296-8302
Fax: 305-296-1033
Website: www.williamphornarchitectpa.com

From: Tim.Young@Fluor.com
To: tonyw@tonywilliscpa.com, Bart@bartonsmithpl.com
CC: WPHORN@aol.com
Sent: 4/17/2012 6:56:30 P.M. Eastern Daylight Time
Subj: 1107 Grinnell Improvements

-
Dear Tony (and Legal Counsel, Bart Smith),

I hope you can relax a bit now that Tax Prime Time is ending.

I wanted to following up on status on the improvement projects for 1107 Grinnell --

Modifications on South Side of Property -

It is understood that you have not lodged specific opposition with Planning about my improvements but initially indicated you opposed as you had no time to consider. As you, Tony, and Bart both know this matter was agreeably continued from the February Planning Board meeting, and then again, in March, continued for one more additional month to the certain date of the April meeting. I know my immediate neighbors, the Goddins, at 1109 were at the meetings and were disappointed as they wanted to express their support of my planned improvements. They stated they will not be able to attend this month, or next. In any event I am sure the Board would like to get this off their agenda. To that end my architect, Bill Horn, met with you and your legal counsel on March 23, a little over three weeks ago. Based upon input from this meeting, directly from you to my architect, the already approved (by HARC) plan was, in response to your comments, revised by Bill as follows:

- 1) a large privacy screen feature (9' wide x 6' high) was added to the planned deck.
- 2) an existing second floor bedroom window was modified from that planned, to provide for further privacy, including the addition of a Bahama type shutter sloping over the window.
- 3) planned pool/a.c. equipment was moved further away from the south side of the property, into the anticipated variance area at the back of the lot.
- 4) the openings to the upper deck were cut back approx. 3' from that approved by HARC.
- 5) I am committing to additional plantings intended to grow up for further separation (even though as you can see there is much foliage already on my property, and the property in between us -- although some, not on my property, has been cut, in the approximately 40 feet between my building and your front building), and
- 6) also I reduced the size of the deck somewhat.

The newly planned modifications, which are based upon your input, represent additional time and cost associated with my property improvement efforts. But I wanted to recognize your thoughts, and input and to cooperate, even beyond what some might consider reasonable, out of respect for you and in the spirit of our fine neighborhood.

I directed my architect to incorporate these items, this was communicated to your counsel, and the new plan was drawn up and made available.

No Opposition to the Back Lot Line Variance - Bathrooms Addition.

Also this confirms that you do not oppose the addition of bathrooms as planned for the rear area of my property as approved by HARC and submitted to the Planning Board for a variance. Neither you, Tony, or your Counsel, Bart, have voiced any opposition to me or my architect concerning the addition of a two story structure, partially positioned in the generally/currently required back lot set-back area. As you know this addition will not be visible from your property, and simply continues along the existing back line of my existing dwelling. You may not be aware but there was a substantially similar variance (longer in length, actually) that was approved when the lot was subdivided. From a previous email from your counsel it was clear that you were "comfortable" with the back lot line variance for the bathrooms. And, it is understood, that you again stated, at the meeting with my architect, Bill Horn, that you had no opposition to the bathrooms addition as planned and presented in drawings before the Board in connection with the variance request. Thank you.

Moving Ahead. This is a busy time; and at this point, recognizing your input, as has been incorporated I would like to proceed with the next step for the improvements. Your cooperation in this regard would be greatly appreciated.

Tony, thank you very much,

Tim, 1107 Grinnell

The information transmitted is intended only for the person or entity to which it is addressed and may contain proprietary, business-confidential and/or privileged material.

If you are not the intended recipient of this message you are hereby notified that any use, review, retransmission, dissemination, distribution, reproduction or any action taken in reliance upon this message is prohibited. If you received this in error, please contact the sender and delete the material from any computer.

Any views expressed in this message are those of the individual sender and may not necessarily reflect the views of the company.
