

Historic Architectural Review Commission Staff Report for Item 15

То:	Chairman Haven Burkee and Historic Architectural Review Commission Members
From:	Daniela Salume, MFA Historic Architectural Preservationist
Meeting Date:	May 27, 2025
Applicant:	Richard J. McChesney
Application Number:	C2025-0041
Address:	620 Dey Street

Description of Work:

After the fact - Demolition of historic accessory structure in rear of property and removal of existing fence.

Site Facts:

The building under review is a historic and contributing structure to the historic district, built in 1890. The floor plan has an octagonal shape on the front of the building. The site includes the main two-story frame structure, a one-story frame structure at the rear which has been demolished and was over setbacks, and a pool with surrounding brick decking. Currently the house sits on piers and is located within an AE-6 flood zone.



Photo of property under review. Monroe County Library.



Photo of property under review. Real Property Record Card.



Photo of property under review 4/19/74. Monroe County Library.




Photo of property under review. Previous shed structure already demolished.



Photo of property under review. Under construction of new accessory structure.



Photo of property under review.



Ordinance Cited on Review:

- Section 102-217 (4), demolition for contributing and historic structures of the Land Development Regulations.
- Section 102-218 Criteria for Demolition of the Land Development Regulations.

Staff Analysis:

The Certificate of Appropriateness includes after-the-fact demolition of a historic accessory structure located at the rear of the property, which was removed due to the presence of black mold. The application also proposes the removal of an existing concrete block knee wall with wood fencing above, totaling approximately 100 linear feet but no mention of the proposed design is included on the plans. In addition, the existing wooden fence on the east side of the main structure is proposed for demolition and will be replaced with a new 6-foot wood fence and gate, spanning approximately 13 linear feet.



Existing fence on east side to be demolished.



Photo of black mold found on accessory structure.



Elevation of shed (already demolished) with main structure.

It is staff's opinion that the request for this demolition shall be based on the demolition criteria of Chapter 102 Section 218 (a) of the LDR's. The criteria for demolition of historic structures state the following:

(a) The historic architectural review commission shall issue a certificate of appropriateness for an application for demolition:

(1) If the subject of the application is a contributing or historic building or structure, then it should not be demolished unless its condition is irrevocably compromised by extreme deterioration, or it does not meet any of the criteria of section 102-125(1) through (9).

Elements proposed for demolition are historic, however, the structure had toxic black mold as seen on the photos provided.

The following is the criteria of section 102-125:

1 Embodies no distinctive characteristics of a type, period, or method of construction of aesthetic or historic significance in the city and is not a

significant and distinguishable building entity whose components may lack individual distinction.

Staff opines that the historic shed structure has no distinctive characteristics of a type, period or method of construction.

2 Is not specifically associated with events that have made a significant contribution to local, state, or national history.

It is staff understanding that no significant events have ever happened on the site relevant to local, state, or national history.

3 Has no significant character, interest, or value as part of the development, heritage, or cultural characteristics of the city, state, or nation, and is not associated with the life of a person significant in the past.

It is staff's understanding that the elements under review have no significant character and are not associated with the life of a significant person in the past.

4 Is not the site of a historic event with a significant effect upon society.

It is staff's understanding that the site is not associated with any significant event.

5 Does not exemplify the cultural, political, economic, social, or historic heritage of the city.

It is staff's understanding that the elements under review do not exemplify the cultural, political, economic, social or historic heritage of the city.

6 Does not portray the environment in an era of history characterized by a distinctive architectural style.

Staff believes that the existing building does not portray an era of history characterized by a distinctive architectural style.

7 If a part of or related to a square, park, or other distinctive area, nevertheless should not be developed, or preserved according to a plan based on the area's historic, cultural, natural, or architectural motif.

This is not the case.

8 Does not have a unique location or singular physical characteristic which represents an established and familiar visual feature of its neighborhood or of the city, and does not exemplify the best remaining architectural type in a neighborhood; and

The elements under review do not have a unique location or physical characteristics which represent an established and familiar visual feature of its neighborhood or of the city.

9 Has not yielded, and is not likely to yield, information important in history.

Staff believes that the elements under review are not likely to yield important information in history.

It is staff's opinion that the request for after-the-fact demolition may be considered, as the structures under review meet the criteria for demolition outlined in the guidelines. Specifically, the accessory structure was reported to contain toxic black mold, and photographs submitted by the applicant support the claim of hazardous interior conditions. However, staff emphasizes that demolition of a historic accessory structure, regardless of condition, should not have occurred without prior permits or approval. That said, the Commission can consider the application based on the facts presented and the condition of the structure at the time of removal. If approved, this will be the only required reading for demolition. Staff will review submitted construction plans for demolition and design to ensure consistency with submitted drawings.

APPLICATION

HARC MAJOR PROJECTS CERTIFICATE OF APPROPRIATENESS



City of Key West 1300 White Street Key West, Florida 33040

REVISION #	INITIAL & DATE
ZONING DISTRICT	BLDG PERMIT #

A PRE-APPLICATION MEETING WITH HARC STAFF IS REQUIRED PRIOR TO SUBMITTAL

ADDRESS OF PROPOSED PROJECT:	620 Dey Street							
NAME ON DEED:	Kurt and Linda Gehring	PHONE NUMBER						
OWNER'S MAILING ADDRESS:	620 Dey Street. Key West, FL 33040	EMAIL						
APPLICANT NAME: APPLICANT'S ADDRESS:	Richard J. McChesney 500 Fleming Street, Key West, FL 33040	PHONE			305-294-9556 @spottswoodlaw.ccm			
APPLICANT'S SIGNATURE:	Ringref	DATE	4	28	25			
ANY PERSON THAT MAKES CHANGES	TO AN APPROVED CERTIFICATE OF APPROPRIATEN	ESS MU	ST S	UBMIT	A NEW APPLICATION.			

FLORIDA STATUTE 837.06: WHOEVER KNOWINGLY MAKES A FALSE STATEMENT IN WRITING AND WITH THE INTENT TO MISLEAD A PUBLIC SERVANT IN THE PERFORMANCE OF HIS OR HER OFFICIAL DUTY SHALL BE GUILTY OF A MISDEMEANOR OF THE SECOND-DEGREE PUNISHABLE PER SECTION 775.082 OR 775.083. THE APPLICANT FURTHER HEREBY ACKNOWLEDGES THAT THE SCOPE OF WORK AS DESCRIBED IN THE APPLICATION SHALL BE THE SCOPE OF WORK THAT IS CONTEMPLATED BY THE APPLICANT AND THE CITY. THE APPLICANT FURTHER STIPULATES THAT SHOULD FURTHER ACTION BE TAKEN BY THE CITY FOR EXCEEDING THE SCOPE OF THE DESCRIPTION OF WORK, AS DESCRIBED HEREIN, AND IF THERE IS CONFLICTING INFORMATION BETWEEN THE DESCRIPTION OF WORK AND THE SUBMITTED PLANS, THE AFOREMENTIONED DESCRIPTION OF WORK SHALL BE CONTROLLING.

PROJECT INCLUDES: REPLACEMENT OF WINDOWS_____ RELOCATION OF A STRUCTURE____ ELEVATION OF A STRUCTURE____ PROJECT INVOLVES A CONTRIBUTING STRUCTURE: YES____ NO____ INVOLVES A HISTORIC STRUCTURE: YES____ NO____ PROJECT INVOLVES A STRUCTURE THAT IS INDIVIDUALLY LISTED ON THE NATIONAL REGISTER: YES____ NO____

DETAILED PROJECT DESCRIPTION INCLUDING MATERIALS, HEIGHT, DIMENSIONS, SQUARE FOOTAGE, LOCATION, ETC.

GENERAL: Application seeks approval of the reconstructed one-story accessory structure in the rear

yard. The previous 351.19 sq. ft. wood accessory structure was found to have black mold and thereafter demolished.

A new 354 sq. ft. one-story structure with hardie board siding was constructed within the same footprint

but allowing for a side setback improvement. Roof material will be metal V-crimp.

MAIN BUILDING:

No changes to the principal structure is proposed.

DEMOLITION (PLEASE FILL OUT AND ATTACH DEMOLITION APPENDIX):

Previous one story, approximately 325 sq. ft one-story wood accessory structure

Demo approximately 100 linear feet of a non-historic concrete block knee wall topped with wood fence

Wood fence and gate is located on the east side of residence and is approx 13 linear feet.

APPLICATIONS MUST BE SUBMITTED IN PERSON WITH HARD COPIES BY 3PM ON THE SCHEDULED DEADLINE PLEASE SEND AN ELECTRONIC COPY OF ALL DOCUMENTS CITY_HARC@CITYOFKEYWEST-FL.GOV

ACCESSORY STRUCTURE(S):

New accessory structure is a one-story 354 sq	. ft. structure with a height of 25'-1"
The new wood structure is clad in hardie boa	rd siding and topped with a metal V-crimp roof.
PAVERS: NA	FENCES:
	Side wood fence and gate is proposed to be demo'd
DECKS: NA	PAINTING:
SITE (INCLUDING GRADING, FILL, TREES, ETC):	POOLS (INCLUDING EQUIPMENT): NA
ACCESSORY EQUIPMENT (GAS, A/C, VENTS, ETC):	OTHER:

OFFICIAL USE ONLY:		HARC CON	IMISSION REVIEW	EXPIRES ON:
				1.1.1.7.(.4.)
MEETING DATE:				INITIAL:
	APPROVED _	NOT APPROVED .	DEFERRED FOR FUTURE CONSIDERATION	INITIAL:
MEETING DATE:			DEFERRED FOR FUTURE CONSIDERATION	INTIAL.
	APPROVED .	NUTAPPROVED .		INITIAL:
MEETING DATE:	APPROVED .	NOT APPROVED	DEFERRED FOR FUTURE CONSIDERATION	INTTAL.
REASONS OR CONDITIONS:				
				_
STAFF REVIEW COMMENTS:				<u>.</u>
FIRST READING FOR DEMO:			SECOND READING FOR DEMO	
HARC STAFF SIGNATURE AND D	DATE:		HARC CHAIRPERSON SIGNATURE AND DATE:	

THIS APPLICATION MAY BE REVIEWED BY PLANNING DEPARTMENT STAFF.

HARC CERTIFICATE OF APPROPRIATENESS: DEMOLITION APPENDIX

City of Ke 1300 White Stre Key West, Florid	et	HARC COA #	INITIAL & DATE BLDG PERMIT #
ADDRESS OF PROPOSED PROJECT:	620 Dey Street		
PROPERTY OWNER'S NAME:	Kurt and Linda Gehring		
APPLICANT NAME:	Richard McChesney/Spotts	wood Law Firm	
Appropriateness I realize that this project	and that the work shall conform to all applic will require a Building Permit approval PRIOF cation. I also understand that any changes Rich Athor	R to proceeding with the w to an approved Certificate	iork outlined above and that a
	DETAILED PROJECT DESCRIPTION OF		
This application is for the demolition		DEMOLITION	
	ructure. Subject outbuilding was a wood	structure and found to have	e toxic black mold.
2. The second is an existing wall/fence			
			A.:
Before any Certificate of Appropriate	OR DEMOLITION OF CONTRIBUTING OR ness may be issued for a demolition rec ents are met (please review and comme	quest, the Historic Archit	ectural Review Commission
(1) If the subject of the application is a co	ontributing or historic building or structure, deterioration or it does not meet any of the	then it should not be demo	lished unless its condition is
(a) The existing condition of the	ne building or structure is irrevocably comp	romised by extreme deteri	oration.
The accessory structur	e was ridden with black mold.		
(2) Or explain how the building or structu	ire meets the criteria below:		

(a) Embodies no distinctive characteristics of a type, period, or method of construction of aesthetic or historic significance in the city and is not a significant and distinguishable building entity whose components may lack individual distinction.

Although considered historic by age, the accessory structure is not original to the house and had no distinctive character defining

The fence does not meet HARC guidelines

Nothing in this application is intended to alter the authority of the Building Official to condemn for demolition dangerous buildings, as provided in Section 102-218 of the Land Development Regulations and Chapter 14 of the Code of Ordinances.

(b) Is not specifically associated with events that have made a significant contribution to local, state, or national history. Neither the accessory structure nor the fence are associated with a significant event nor contribution. (c) Has no significant character, interest, or value as part of the development, heritage, or cultural characteristics of the city, state or nation, and is not associated with the life of a person significant in the past. Neither structure has significant character or value (d) Is not the site of a historic event with significant effect upon society. This location is not the site of historic event (e) Does not exemplify the cultural, political, economic, social, or historic heritage of the city. Does not apply to either structure Does not portray the environment in an era of history characterized by a distinctive architectural style. (f) Does not apply to either structure (g) If a part of or related to a square, park, or other distinctive area, nevertheless should not be developed or preserved according to a plan based on the area's historic, cultural, natural, or architectural motif. Does not apply to either structure (h) Does not have a unique location or singular physical characteristic which represents an established and familiar visual feature of its neighborhood or of the city, and does not exemplify the best remaining architectural type in a neighborhood. Does not apply to eiher structure

Nothing in this application is intended to alter the authority of the Building Official to condemn for demolition dangerous buildings, as provided in Section 102-218 of the Land Development Regulations and Chapter 14 of the Code of Ordinances.

(i)	Has not yielded, and is not likely to yield, information important in history,	
		Correct, neither structure is likely to yield important historical information	
	-		

CRITERIA FOR DEMOLITION OF NON-CONTRIBUTING OR NON-HISTORIC STRUCTURES:

The following criteria will also be reviewed by the Historic Architectural Review Commission for proposed demolitions. The Commission shall not issue a Certificate of Appropriateness that would result in the following conditions (please review and comment on each criterion that applies):

(1) Removing buildings or structure that are important in defining the overall historic character of a district or neighborhood so that the character is diminished.

The accessory structure did not contribute in defining the over historic character of the neighborhood and therefore its replacement will not diminish the historic importance of the district.

The fence is new construction and therefor this does not apply.

(2) Removing historic buildings or structures and thus destroying the historic relationship between buildings or structures and open space.

Although historic by age, the accessory structure is not original to the primary home. As far as the relationship between the primary

residence and the accessory structure, the new building replaces it within the same footprint.

The fence is not historic.


(3) Removing an historic building or structure in a complex; or removing a building façade; or removing a significant later addition that is important in defining the historic character of a site or the surrounding district or neighborhood.

This does not apply to either structure.


(4) Removing buildings or structures that would otherwise qualify as contributing.

Neither structure would qualify as contributing to the historic district.


SANBORN MAPS




1962 Sanborn Map




1948 Sanborn Map



1926 Sanborn Map



1912 Sanborn Map





1899 Sanborn Map

PROJECT PHOTOS













620 Dey Street

Proposed New Accessory Structure




620 Dey Street


Wood fence on east side. View from front facing south.




SURVEY



PROPOSED DESIGN






No 96937	NOT DIG AUT AUT		COPIES SA-NE SED SIN CATIONII TRONII RT H 26931 S. & I		9		E NOT HE SH ED ON	,
	DATE: REVSET DESCRIPTION	04/27/25 1 ISSUED HARC SET						
SHED			SITE PLAN - HARC APPLICATION			KEY WEST, FL 33040		
CALE 3/16" = 1'				SU	EY	7)

0'-10" EAVE TO SIDE PROPERTY LINE

- ORIGINAL ACCESSORY STRUCTURE & SHED (ALREADY DEMOLISHED)


- 2'-9" TO REAR PROPERTY LINE




	No 96937	13:52:06 -04'00							
		LAKEWOOD ENGINEERING							
				XĐ	WES7,)				
		DESCRIPTION	ISSUED HARC SET						
		DATE: REV SET	25 1						
Y LINE				PLICATION			040		
				SITE PLAN - HARC APPLI		620 DEY ST.	KEY WEST, FL 33(
PROPERTY LINE				SITE PL					
RTY LINE			er) 1			SU		~	

PROPOSED ACCESSORY









No 96937 * * STATE OF STATE OF STATE OF STATE OF	PRIVIES CAPIES OF THE OWNING MARK NOT CAVE TREES DONE DAY SALES AND THE SHA AUTHENTICATION COTE MUST BE VERIFIED ON ANY ELECTRUNC COURS
	R OBERT HULEC PE LIC # 98937
	1)1 4470/5 4) M25/4 2040 32 57428
	T DESCHIPTION ISSUED HARC SET
	DATE: REVSET 04/27/25 1
	SITE PLAN - HARC APPLICATION 620 DEY ST. KEY WEST, FL 33040
	PROPOSED STRUCTURE











NOTICING

The Historic Architectural Review Commission will hold a public meeting at <u>5:00 p.m., May 27, 2025, at City</u> Hall, 1300 White Street, Key West, Florida. The purpose of the hearing will be to consider a request for:

AFTER THE FACT – NEW ONE-STORY ACCESSORY STRUCTURE IN SAME FOOTPRINT AT REAR OF PROPERTY. DEMOLITION OF HISTORIC ACCESSORY STRUCTURE IN REAR OF PROPERTY AND REMOVAL OF EXISTING FENCE.

#620 DEY STREET

Applicant – Richard J. McChesney Application #C2025-0041

If you wish to see the application or have any questions, you may visit the Planning Department during regular office hours at 1300 White Street, call 305-809-3975 or visit our website at <u>www.cityofkeywest-fl.gov</u>.

THIS NOTICE CAN NOT BE REMOVED FROM THE SITE UNTIL HARC FINAL DETERMINATION

ADA ASSISTANCE: It is the policy of the City of Key West to comply with all requirements of the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA). Please call the TTY number at 800-955-8771 or 800-955-8770 (Voice) or the ADA Coordinator at 305-809-3811 at least five business days in advance for sign language interpreters, assistive listening devices, or materials in accessible format.

HARC POSTING AFFIDAVIT

STATE OF FLORIDA: COUNTY OF MONROE:

BEFORE ME, the undersigned authority, personally appeared _____

Richard Michesney, who, first being duly sworn, on oath, depose and says that the following statements are true and correct to the best of his/her knowledge and belief:

1. That a legal notice for Public Notice of Hearing of the Historic Architectural Review Commission (HARC) was placed on the following address:

62	O Day	St., Ke.	West,	FL 33040
224	_ day of	May		, 20 <u>25</u> .

This legal notice(s) contained an area of at least 8.5"x11".

The property was posted to notice a public hearing before the Key West Historic Architectural Review Commission to be held on M_{64} 27 .

The legal notice(s) is/are clearly visible from the public street adjacent to the property.

The Certificate of Appropriateness number for this legal notice is <u>C2025-009</u>.

2. A photograph of that legal notice posted in the property is attached hereto.

Signed	Ņ	am	e of Affiant:
Date: Addres City: State,	K	en	500 Flening St. West FL 33040


The forgoing	instrument was	acknowledged	before	me on	this,	22 NO	day (of
May		. 2020						

By (Print name of Affiant)	Richard	Т.	McChesney	who is
personally known to me or				as
identification and who did t	ake an oath.			


1 Custo NOTARY PUBLIC Sign Name: Print Name:

DIANE T. CASTILLO Notary Public - State of Flottida (Seal) My Commission Expires: DIANE T. CASTILLO Commission # HH 199219 Expires December 3, 2025





PROPERTY APPRAISER INFORMATION



	TITT			TL				F D T	7					CONSTRUCT	FION DATA	A State	The sea			-
	Pell)AU	CHI	-FR	14		10-	4-7	7	IMPROVEMENT	· · · · · ·	2	3	4	IMPROVEMENT	- International service	2	3		4
	10 A	JA MA	2111		597.0	2	10	1 1	-			PRR	1.1			INTER	RIOR FINISH			
N								-		TYPE		Den	utinty +		Unfinished		1			
					4 191	14				OF	1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1	2 BAIH	O.P. Opnepy		Wd. or Ceil. Bds. Wallboard Acousti	1100	V18			4
1					11					STRUCTURE		The second	bect		Plaster, No Furring	V do	VIC			-
					1	1	1	557	7		RES	RES	RES.		Plaster, Furring					
					#	1	C	171	6	YEAR BUILT			1973+	Sec. Alter	Drywall *	*				
				-+-+	-		-		-11	TEAR BUILT			1713-		Wood Panel					
		_						1100			F	ROOMS			PLY PANEL			4		
					# 2		9	4%		Total Rooms Eff. Apts.					None	11	LOORS			-
							1	111		Rm. Apts.					Single Pine			V		
										Rm. Apts.					Concrete					
										No. of Baths	2	2			Conc., Asph. Tile					
	4				-				-11	No. of Rest Rms.				1. A.	Conc., Terrazzo					
										Fixt. R. Rms.				1. S.	Double Pine	V 12	10			1
	10									Fixt. R. Rms. Total Fixtures					Double Hardwood Precast Conc.	100	12			
	R+1	9									FOL	INDATION			Parquet					-
					13					Continuous Wall					Conc. Q. Tile					
										Piers CONE	V		and the second se		Conc. Cer. Tile					
				8						Piling				1990 B	Marble					C
										Frame	ADJU	JSTMENTS								-
				+++	ICF		-		-1	Frame Height				100		PI	UMBING			
			L Y	104	12.					Front & Interior				2	None					
		_		500		10PY				Apt. Equiv.			and the second		Poor					*
		1		D	CAL	- /				Partitions					Good, Plain	V8	VS	-		1000
			-	OF	AD	1SF				Special Use					Good, Tile					
	1	K		917							ENTER				Nese	H IV	EATING			
				-					-11	Wallboard	EXTER	RIOR WALLS		1	None Unit Heat	V				
							-			Corr. Metal					Chtrl. Heating					
	9			-					_	Corr. Asbestos					C'ntrl. Cooling					
										Wd. Fr. Stucco	17				Cintri. Cool & Heat					
				12						Wd. Fr. Asbestos			E Constant			ELE	CTRICITY			
				5+1						C.B. Plain C.B. Stucco					Poor	14 A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A				
		- 10. 13	52							Wd. Fr. Siding	V30	30	V		Average	V 3	13			
				193					-11	Tile Stucco	7 22	100	-		Good		1 V			
		30	1) 1	17					-11	Brick					CLASS & SCALE	NH/D	(HID	LE		
		m	10	1			100			Reinf. Conc.						195	83	7		
			Ta			-	00			Panel, Glass, Mtl.					CONST. UNITS	173			-	
				SI			OP					-			CLASS UNITS	101	0			
		7	18			1	+1				ROC	DF TYPE			TOTAL UNITS	931	83			Ser.
		5	8				0-	t		Flat, Shed	191				BASE RATE	11.00	15.15			
		12	II	10			. 0.			Hip, Gable	V8	18	V				15.15	3.09		Sec. 1
						J		and the second sec		Bar Joist					ADJ. RATE		12.57	3.09		
		-					OF			Wood Truss					AREA	18:00.	1956	813		
		1.	11-		-	1	++			Prestressed Steel Truss					E.F. 1- 3FIX		650			1
							0.2			51001 11035			The second second			- march	000			
							012	-							E.F.			0-1		
											ROOF	MATERIAL			REP. COST NEW	18,864	25,237	516		5
										Sht. Mtl. Roll COMP.			/		CONDITION	55	55	376 95		
		-							-11	T. & G., B.U.	V4				DEP. REP. VALUE		13.880	368		
									-1	Shing., Wd., Etc. Shing., Asbestos	T	V4			ET HET HEUL		TION ADJUSTME			
										Tile, Cement									-	T
CA.DD					LAN	D				Tile, Clay					NO. PHY.	A	DJUSTMENT		%	co
CARD	/ 50	ALE I" =			USE	CODE	- insta			Bermuda					1				1990	
PLOTTED	FI	OWK. BY			DAT	E				Slate					2					
				-		-		1	_	Gypsum					3	9	•			
RANDOM	CL	ASSED BY			DAT	F									4		and the second second			1

.

PROPERTY RECORD CARD

Disclaimer

The Monroe County Property Appraiser's office maintains data on property within the County solely for the purpose of fulfilling its responsibility to secure a just valuation for ad valorem tax purposes of all property within the County. The Monroe County Property Appraiser's office cannot guarantee its accuracy for any other purpose. Likewise, data provided regarding one tax year may not be applicable in prior or subsequent years. By requesting such data, you hereby understand and agree that the data is intended for ad valorem tax purposes only and should not be relied on for any other purpose.

By continuing into this site you assert that you have read and agree to the above statement.

GEHRING LINDA 620 Dey St Key West FL 33040

Summary

Parcel ID	00000880-000000	
Account#	1000892	
Property ID	1000892	
Millage Group	12KW	
Location	620 DEY St, KEY WEST	
Address		
Legal	KW PT LOT 1 SQR 12 G26-347 OR620-237	
Description	OR876-2277 OR876-2280/81 OR997-1510/11	
	OR1554-45 OR1554-46/47 OR1619-1513/14	
	OR1662-1501 OR2572-590/93 OR2946-1142	
	OR3090-2018	
	(Note: Not to be used on legal documents.)	
Neighborhood	6108	
Property Class	SINGLE FAMILY RESID (0100)	
Subdivision		
Sec/Twp/Rng	06/68/25	
Affordable	No	1000892 12/18/2023
Housing		1000892 12/18/2023

Owner

GEHRING KURT		
620 Dey St		
Key West FL 33040		

Valuation

I and

	2024 Certified Values	2023 Certified Values	2022 Certified Values	2021 Certified Values
+ Market Improvement Value	\$697,325	\$666,349	\$673,219	\$1,121,300
+ Market Misc Value	\$43,996	\$29,676	\$30,136	\$26,499
+ Market Land Value	\$1,509,600	\$1,265,400	\$980,500	\$725,200
= Just Market Value	\$2,250,921	\$1,961,425	\$1,683,855	\$1,872,999
= Total Assessed Value	\$2,037,465	\$1,852,241	\$1,683,855	\$1,554,828
- School Exempt Value	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
= School Taxable Value	\$2,250,921	\$1,961,425	\$1,683,855	\$1,872,999

Historical Assessments

Year	Land Value	Building Value	Yard Item Value	Just (Market) Value	Assessed Value	Exempt Value	Taxable Value	Maximum Portability
2024	\$1,509,600	\$697,325	\$43,996	\$2,250,921	\$2,037,465	\$O	\$2,250,921	\$0
2023	\$1,265,400	\$666,349	\$29,676	\$1,961,425	\$1,852,241	\$O	\$1,961,425	\$0
2022	\$980,500	\$673,219	\$30,136	\$1,683,855	\$1,683,855	\$0	\$1,683,855	\$0
2021	\$725,200	\$1,121,300	\$26,499	\$1,872,999	\$1,554,828	\$O	\$1,872,999	\$0
2020	\$719,650	\$1,122,291	\$26,860	\$1,868,801	\$1,413,480	\$O	\$1,868,801	\$0
2019	\$758,500	\$1,133,981	\$27,221	\$1,919,702	\$1,284,982	\$O	\$1,919,702	\$O
2018	\$507,617	\$751,829	\$27,581	\$1,287,027	\$1,168,165	\$O	\$1,287,027	\$0

The Maximum Portability is an estimate only and should not be relied upon as the actual portability amount. Contact our office to verify the actual portability amount.

LC									
	Land Use	Number of Units	Unit Type	Frontage	Depth				
	RESIDENTIAL DRY (010D)	4,625.00	Square Foot	0	0				

Buildings

Building ID Style Building Type Building Nam Gross Sq Ft Finished Sq Ft Stories Condition Perimeter Functional Ob Economic Obs Depreciation Interior Walls	e 3157 : 2428 2 Floor GOOD 352 vs 0 5 0 % 5	ATION		Exterior Walls Year Built EffectiveYearBuilt Foundation Roof Type Roof Coverage Flooring Type Heating Type Bedrooms Full Bathrooms Half Bathrooms Grade Number of Fire Pl	ABOVE AVERAGE WOOD 1925 2018 CONCR FTR GABLE/HIP METAL SFT/HD WD FCD/AIR DUCTED 4 3 1 700 0
Code	Description	Sketch Area	Finished Area	Perimeter	
OPX	EXC OPEN PORCH	504	0	160	
FLA	FLOOR LIV AREA	2,428	2,428	342	
OPU	OP PR UNFIN LL	180	0	58	
OPF	OP PRCH FIN LL	45	0	36	
TOTAL		3,157	2,428	596	

Yard Items

Description	Year Built	Roll Year	Size	Quantity	Units	Grade
FENCES	1982	1983	5 x 52	1	260 SF	5
WALL AIR COND	1983	1984	0 x 0	1	1 UT	1
CUSTOM PATIO	2012	2013	0 x 0	1	1900 SF	2
RES POOL	1982	1983	0 x 0	1	220 SF	4

Sales

Sale Date	Sale Price	Instrument	Instrument Number	Deed Book	Deed Page	Sale Qualification	Vacant or Improved	Grantor	Grantee
4/19/2021	\$1,950,000	Warranty Deed	2314990	3090	2018	01 - Qualified	Improved		
1/28/2019	\$100	Quit Claim Deed	2203472	2946	1142	30 - Unqualified	Improved		
5/29/2012	\$1,000,000	Warranty Deed		2572	590	02 - Qualified	Improved		
2/17/2000	\$650,000	Warranty Deed		1619	1513	Q - Qualified	Improved		
12/31/1998	\$394,000	Warranty Deed		1554	0046	Q - Qualified	Improved		
3/1/1983	\$145,000	Warranty Deed		876	2280	Q - Qualified	Improved		
2/1/1975	\$55,000	Conversion Code		620	237	Q - Qualified	Improved		


Permits

				Permit	
Number	Date Issued	Status	Amount	Туре	Notes
24-0452	02/20/2024	Completed	\$10,400	Residential	POOL RESURFACING
22-3474	02/06/2023	Completed	\$15,000	Residential	REPAIR AND REPLACE ROTTON BOARDS AND PAINT ALL EXTERIOR HOUSE AND COTTAGE
18-280	03/13/2018	Completed	\$12,605		COTTAGE WINDOWS WILL BE REPLACE WITH ALUMINUM IMPACT WINDOWS . 3 ON THE WEST SIDE 4 ON NORTH SIDE
18-281	03/13/2018	Completed	\$97,898		CHANGE PROJECT REPLACEING A PORTION OF WINDWOS WITH WOOD INMPACT WINDOWS LEAVING 10 ORIGINAL HIST WINDOWS. ON FIRST FLR NORTH SIDE 2 WOOD IMPACT INSTALL . ALONG WITH 3 WOOD IMPACT WINDOWS ON WEST SIDE OF HOUSE. NO WOOD IMPACTT WINDOWS WILL BE INSTALL ON 2ND FLRINSTALLING WOOD IMPACT DOORS ON FIRST FLR FRONT DOOR WITH SIDCE LIFTHS AND TRANSOM NORTH SIDE . ON SECOND FLR NORTH SIDE FRONT OF HOUSE PORCH DOORS ALUMINIM IMPACT WINDOWS WILL BE INSTALLED ON FRIST FLOOR BACK OF HOUSE 3 ON SW SIDE 3 MORE SW SIDE 1 SE SIDE SECOND FLR 1 WINDWOS SOUTH SIDE
12-2660	07/24/2012	Completed	\$18,000		REPLACE EXISTING BRICK & CONCRETE WITH NEW MATERIAL
12-2336	06/29/2012	Completed	\$4,800		INSTALL NEW TRIZONE MINI SPLIT AC SYSTEM
05-3333	08/08/2005	Completed	\$2,400		UPGRADE ELECTRICAL SERVICE
05-1170	04/12/2005	Completed	\$2,400	Residential	REROOF 4SQS V-CRIMP ELEVATED ROOF
02-0336	02/11/2002	Completed	\$9,077		ROOFING
0102229	06/11/2001	Completed	\$1,000	Residential	DEMO GARAGE
M951692	05/01/1995	Completed	\$4,500		REPLACE 3 TON AC
B943446	10/01/1994	Completed	\$6,000	Residential	RENOVATION
B943468	10/01/1994	Completed	\$3,500		INSTALL SATEL.ANTENNA

View Tax Info

View Taxes for this Parcel

Sketches (click to enlarge)



Photos



Map



TRIM Notice

2024 TRIM Notice (PDF)

The Monroe County Property Appraiser's office maintains data on property within the County solely for the purpose of fulfilling its responsibility to secure a just valuation for ad valorem tax purposes of all property within the County. The Monroe County Property Appraiser's office cannot guarantee its accuracy for any other purpose. Likewise, data provided regarding one tax year may not be applicable in prior or subsequent years. By requesting such data, you hereby understand and agree that the

| <u>User Privacy Policy</u> | <u>GDPR Privacy Notice</u> Last Data Upload: 5/22/2025, 1:37:21 AM Contact Us

