City Attorney Performance Evaluation

City Commission Approved Combined Review

Cit	y Attorney	October 5, 2011																	
RA'	TING SCALE D	EFINITIONS (1-5)																	
Unsatisfactory (1) - Improvement (2) Needed Meets Job (3) Standard Exceeds Job (4) Standard Outstanding (5)		The employee's work performance is inadequate and definitely inferior to the standards of performance required for the job. Performance at this level cannot be allowed to continue. The employee's work performance does not consistently meet the standards of the position. Serious effort is needed to improve performance. The employee's work performance consistently meets the standards of the position. The employee's work performance is frequently or consistently above the level of a satisfactory employee. The employee's work performance is consistently excellent when compared to the standards of the job.																	
											No	t evaluated (NE)	The employee's work performance period.	e was i	ot obs	erved d	uring tl	his eval	uation
											I.	Performan	ce Evaluation and Achieveme	<u>nts</u>					
											1.	City Commissio	n/ Boards Relationships	<u>NE</u>	1	_2_	3	4	_5
											A.	A. Provides sound legal advice to the City Commission, Boards, Commissions and City staff.						~	
В.	s. Reporting to the City Commission, Boards, and City staff is timely, clear, concise and thorough.			Na to			Province and the second	L											
C.	Accepts direction	n/instructions in a positive manner.					<u>x</u>												
D.	. Keeps the City Commission, Boards, and City staff informed of issues relevant to the requirements of the position.					Magazi Ammanana.		<u> </u>											
E.		ne necessary to the responsibilities and is readily available to						<u> </u>											

Cor	mments:							
2.	Legal Research and Review	<u>NE</u>	1	_2_	_3_	4	5_	
	Effectively identifies legal issues and performs research and investigations.	*****		<u></u>		4		
	Effectively reviews and interprets legal instruments, reports and documents prepared by departments.	Walter State of State		- de d'Anni 1977 de statos		1		
Con	mments:							
							······································	
3.	Employee/Public Relations	<u>ne</u>	1	_2_	3	4	_5_	
	Works well with other employees.	-				4		
В.	Meeting and handling the public while recognizing ethical obligation to the City.					+		
Comments:								
			, <u> </u>				- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
4.	Communication	<u>NE</u>	_1_	2	_3_	4	5	
A.	Oral communication is clear, concise and articulate.					×		
В.	Written communications (e.g.) contracts, resolutions and other legal documents are clear, concise and accurate.	· —		-		¥		
Co	Comments:							

5. Quantity/Quality	<u>NE</u>	_1_	_2_	_3_	_4_	_5	
A. Amount of work performed.					<u> </u>		
B. Completion of work on time.					4		
C. Accuracy.					4	we we	
D. Thoroughness.		-			4		
Comments:							
				-			
	,			<u> ,</u>	<u></u>		
6. Personal Traits	NE	1	2	3	_4_	_5	
A. Initiative.					4		
B. Judgement.				No. to solution and	4		
C. Fairness and Impartiality.					\leq		
D. Analytical Ability.			.,,,		4		
Comments:							
			·, · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
7. Litigation/Administrative Proceedings	<u>NE</u>	1_	_2_	_3_	4	_5_	
A. Provides timely and effective representation of the					M		
City's interest in litigation.					×		
 B. Controls and monitors costs and performance of retained outside legal counsel. 					土		
Comments:							

II. <u>Sumr</u>	nary Rating	
	rmance Rating – Considering the results obtained against established performate rall job performance, the following rating is provided (circle one):	nce standards
Unsatisfactory	Improvement Needed Meets Job Standards Exceeds Job Standards	Outstanding
Comments: _		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
,		
III. <u>Futu</u>	re Goals and Objectives	
Specific goals	s and objectives to be achieved in the next evaluation period:	, , ,
—		
Jame MAYOR/CO	MMISSIONER J	
SHAWN D. S	SMITH, CITY ATTORNEY	
ATTEST:		
CHERYL SM	AITH, CITY CLERK Dated 10/01/1	//_