City Attorney Performance Evaluation

September 20, 2011

RATING SCALE DEFINITIONS (1-5)

•	
Unsatisfactory (1) -	The employee's work performance is inadequate and definitely inferior to the standards of performance required for the job. Performance at this level cannot be allowed to continue.
Improvement (2) Needed	The employee's work performance does not consistently meet the standards of the position. Serious effort is needed to improve performance.
Meets Job (3) Standard	The employee's work performance consistently meets the standards of the position.
Exceeds Job (4) Standard	The employee's work performance is frequently or consistently above the level of a satisfactory employee.
Outstanding (5)	The employee's work performance is consistently excellent when compared to the standards of the job.
Not evaluated (NE)	The employee's work performance was not observed during this evaluation period.

I. Performance Evaluation and Achievements

1.	City Commission/ Boards Relationships	<u>NE</u>	<u>i</u>	2	_3_	4	-
A.	Provides sound legal advice to the City Commission, Boards, Commissions and City staff.						
В.	Reporting to the City Commission, Boards, and City staff is timely, clear, concise and thorough.					<u></u>	
C.	Accepts direction/instructions in a positive manner.						
D.	Keeps the City Commission, Boards, and City staff informed of issues relevant to the requirements of the position.		 .				
E.	Dedicates the time necessary to the responsibilities of the position and is readily available to Commissioners.						

Comments:						
2. <u>Legal Research and Review</u>	<u>NE</u>	_1_	_2_	_3_	_4_	_5_
A. Effectively identifies legal issues and performs research and investigations.						//
B. Effectively reviews and interprets legal instruments, reports and documents prepared by departments.						<u> </u>
Comments:	<u> </u>				<u>-</u>	
3. Employee/Public Relations	<u>NE</u>	_1_	2	_3_	4	5_/
A. Works well with other employees.						<u>'</u> /
B. Meeting and handling the public while recognizing ethical obligation to the City.						$\underline{\nu}$
Comments:						
						<u> </u>
4. Communication	<u>NE</u>	_1_	_2_	_3_	_4_	5
A. Oral communication is clear, concise and articulate.						
B. Written communications (e.g.) contracts, resolutions, and other legal documents are clear, concise and accurate.						V
Comments:						

5. Quantity/Quality	<u>NE</u>	_1_		3	_4_	5	//
A. Amount of work performed.							/_
B. Completion of work on time.							
C. Accuracy.			 				/
D. Thoroughness.							
Comments:							
6. Personal Traits	<u>NE</u>	1	_2_	3	4	_5	_
A. Initiative.	<u></u>						
B. Judgement.							
C. Fairness and Impartiality.							
D. Analytical Ability.							
Comments:							
7. <u>Litigation/Administrative Proceedings</u>	<u>NE</u>	1	_2_	_3_	_4_	5_	<u>/</u>
A. Provides timely and effective representation of the City's interest in litigation.							
B. Controls and monitors costs and performance of retained outside legal counsel.						\overline{V}	
Comments:							

_				
. <u>Summa</u>	ry Rating			
verall Perform well as overal	ance Rating – Considerin I job performance, the fo	g the results obtained a llowing rating is provid	gainst established performed (circle one):	nance standards
nsatisfactory	Improvement Needed	Meets Job Standards	Exceeds Job Standards	Outstanding
omments:				
	·			
II. Future	e Goals and Objectiv	ves_		
pecific goals	and objectives to be achie	eved in the next evaluati	on period:	
	Billing	Sween	ystr	
		Solid		
COMMISSION	VER MARK ROSSI			
SHAWN D. SI	MITH, CITY ATTORNE	Z Y		
ATTEST:				
CHERYL SM	ITH, CITY CLERK		Dated	126/11