City Attorney Performance Evaluation

December 2013

| RATING | SCALE | DEFINITIONS | (1-5) |
|--------|--------------|--------------------|-------|
| | | | |

| Un | satisfactory (1) - | (1) - The employee's work performance is inadequate and definitely inferior to standards of performance required for the job. Performance at this level cannot be allowed to continue. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|---|---|---|--|-----------------|--|--|----------|--|--|--|----|---|---|------------|---|-----|---|-----|--------------|
| Needed st Meets Job (3) T Standard per Exceeds Job (4) T Standard le Outstanding (5) T th Not evaluated (NE) T | | The employee's work performance does not consistently meet the standards of the position. Serious effort is needed to improve performance. The employee's work performance consistently meets the standards of the position. The employee's work performance is frequently or consistently above the level of a satisfactory employee. The employee's work performance is consistently excellent when compared to the standards of the job. The employee's work performance was not observed during this evaluation period. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | I. | <u>Performan</u> | ce Evaluation and Achieveme | <u>nts</u> | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | 1. | City Commission | n/ Boards Relationships | <u>NE</u> | 1 | _2_ | 3 | _4_ | <u>5</u> ′ * |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | A. | | egal advice to the City Commission, sions and City staff. | | | | _ | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | В. | B. Reporting to the City Commission, Boards, and City staff is timely, clear, concise and thorough. | | | | | | | X |
| C. | Accepts direction | /instructions in a positive manner. | | | | | | _X_ | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| D. | D. Keeps the City Commission, Boards, and City staff informed of issues relevant to the requirements of the position. | | | | | | | X | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Е. | | ne necessary to the responsibilities and is readily available to | _ | | Panamini Contra | | | <u>X</u> | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| Comments: | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|-----------|---------|---------------|-------------|-------|---------------|--|
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| 2. Legal R | Research and Review | <u>NE</u> | 1 | 2 | _3_ | 4 | 5 | |
| | ······································ | | | | | | | |
| | rely identifies legal issues and performs and investigations. | | | | | | <u>X_</u> | |
| | rely reviews and interprets legal instruments, and documents prepared by departments. | | | | | | <u>X</u> _ | |
| Comments: | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| 3. Employ | yee/Public Relations | <u>NE</u> | _1_ | _2_ | _3_ | _4_ | _5_ | |
| A. Works | well with other employees. | | | | | X | | |
| B. Meeting recogni | | | | | | ** | | |
| Comments | ONLY ROASON FOR THIS M | MRK | 15 1 | DUE | 10 5 | oms | conflicts | |
| | THAT I HAVE DBGORVED ARU | UNG | HEN C | A. A. | DV158 | TS WI | 11+ INFO | |
| | EMPLOYER PISABREES WI | | | | | | | |
| IS NECESSARY BUT I SSUE IS NOT NECESSARILY CITY ATTORNOY'S FAULT. | | | | | | | | |
| 4. Comm | unication | <u>NE</u> | _1_ | _2_ | 3 | 4 | _5 | |
| A. Oral communication is clear, concise and articulate. | | | | | | | X | |
| B. Written communications (e.g.) contracts, resolutions, and other legal documents are clear, concise and accurate. | | _ | _ | | | | <u>X</u> | |
| Comments | | BRT1 | <u></u> | -! (2, 1, | 4 | | J CA | |
| Comments | SUMOASLY, TAKES TIME TO BX | PLAT | N Leg | AL T | ano | nolss | y + Communica | |
| | IN FLAIR LARGUARA | | | | | · / | " | |

| 5. Quantity/Quality | <u>NE</u> | 1 | _2_ | 3 | 4 | 5 5+ |
|--|-------------|---|-------------|-----|-----------------|---------------------|
| A. Amount of work performed. | | | | | | 土土 |
| B. Completion of work on time. | <u></u> | | | | | $\overline{\Sigma}$ |
| C. Accuracy. | | | | | | 上 |
| D. Thoroughness. | | | | | | \rightarrow |
| Comments: | | | | | | |
| | <u></u> | | | | | |
| | | *************************************** | | | | |
| 6. Personal Traits | <u>NE</u> | 1 | _2_ | _3_ | _4_ | _5_ |
| A. Initiative. | | | | | | |
| B. Judgement. | | | | | | \mathcal{X} |
| C. Fairness and Impartiality. | | | | | | <u>X</u> |
| D. Analytical Ability. | | | | | | <u>x</u> |
| Comments: I HAVE NEVER BROUGH HAVE NOT GOTTSON TIME LEVEN NHENI HAVE DI HEAR WHAT THAT AD | T BEST | TOVI | er ca | BLE | DDV | 100,- |
| 7. Litigation/Administrative Proceedings | <u>NE</u> | _1_ | _2_ | _3_ | _4_ | _5_ |
| A. Provides timely and effective representation of the City's interest in litigation. | | | | | | X |
| B. Controls and monitors costs and performance of retained outside legal counsel. | | | | | | × |
| Comments: Except for TAT YOU DEPARTMENT. | TORIN | WHIC THE | AN A | SE | 7760 1857 11 | D PUCISION |
| Performance Evaluation - City Attorney | | | • | | | age 3 of 4 |

П. **Summary Rating**

Overall Performance Rating - Considering the results obtained against established performance standards as well as overall job performance, the following rating is provided (circle one):

Unsatisfactory Improvement Needed Meets Job Standards Exceeds Job Standards Outstanding



Comments: 7/715 NILL BE REDUNDANT 10 EVERY EVALUATION EVER GIVEN SINCE THIS CITY OF TORNEY BEGON ... I FEFL STRONGLY THAT SINCE I HONE BUENON THIS COMMUSSION, MR SMITH IS ONE OF OUR BEST DECISIONS, THERE IS/WAS NO RETESON TO COMMONION BACK SECTION. TO UNDERSTAND THIS COMMONT ON DONG COMMENT REGARDING FUTERS GORS+OBJECTIVES, PLEASE REVIEW MY LAST PERFORMANCE EVALOW CA.

Ш. **Future Goals and Objectives**

Specific goals and objectives to be achieved in the next evaluation period: HDP1 NG THAT WITH THE APDITION OF NEW ASSISTANT & A, THAT NE CAN WADE ALITTLE MORE EFFECTIVELY THROUGH BACKLOGS DUBRUAD IMPOSSO ON THIS DEPARTMONT, BUL OF THIS

PEPARTMENT'S STAFF PURFORMS FOR ABOVE EXPECTATIONS.

MAINTAINING YARTZEVER OF PROSILIBREY, EFFECTIVENESS

AND SUCCESS SHOULD CONTINUE TO BE THE GODE. --

LLAYTON LOPEZ

WN D. SMITH, CITY ATTORNEY

Dated 1-22-14