Calculations of the Commission's Evaluation of Shawn Smith as City Attorney submitted October 5, 2011

| Category | Question Letter | 5 POINTS | 4 POINTS | 3 POINTS | 2 POINTS | 1 POINT | AVERAGE | | |
|--|-------------------------------|----------|----------|----------|--------------|------------|---------|--|--|
| City Commission & Boards Relationship | A) | 5 | 2 | | | | 4.71 | | |
| | В) | 6 | 1 | | | | 4.86 | | |
| | C) | 4 | 2 | 1 | | | 4.43 | | |
| | D) | 5 | 2 | | | | 4.71 | | |
| | E) | 7 | | | | | 5.00 | | |
| | Average for Category ————— 4. | | | | | | | | |
| Legal Research and Review | A) | 4 | 2 | 1 | | | 4.43 | | |
| | В) | 4 | 3 | | | | 4.57 | | |
| | | | | A | verage for C | ategory - | → 4.50 | | |
| Employee/Public Relations | A) | 3 | 4 | | | | 4.43 | | |
| | В) | 5 | 2 | | | | 4.71 | | |
| | | | | А | verage for C | Category - | → 4.57 | | |
| Communication | A) | 4 | 3 | | | | 4.57 | | |
| | В) | 4 | 2 | 1 | | | 4.43 | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |

1

| Category | Question Letter | 5 POINTS | 4 POINTS | 3 POINTS | 2 POINTS | 1 POINT | AVERAGE | | | |
|--|-------------------------------|----------|----------|----------|-------------|------------|-------------|--|--|--|
| | | | | , | Average for | Category - | → 4.50 | | | |
| Quantity/Quality | A) | 5 | 1 | 1 | | | 4.57 | | | |
| | В) | 3 | 2 | 2 | | | 4.14 | | | |
| | C) | 4 | 3 | | | | 4.57 | | | |
| | D) | 5 | 2 | | | | 4.71 | | | |
| | Average for Category ──→ 4.50 | | | | | | | | | |
| Personal Traits | A) | 4 | 3 | | | | 4.57 | | | |
| | В) | 4 | 3 | | | | 4.57 | | | |
| | C) | 4 | 3 | | | | 4.57 | | | |
| | D) | 4 | 3 | | | | 4.57 | | | |
| | Average for Category | | | | | | | | | |
| Litigation/ Administrative Proceedings | A) | 4 | 3 | | | | 4.57 | | | |
| rioceeuiligs | В) | 5 | 2 | | | | 4.71 | | | |
| | | | | , | Average for | Category - | → 4.64 | | | |
| TOTAL OVE | RALL AV | ERAGE | | | | | 4.58 | | | |