## **City Attorney Performance Evaluation**

November 14, 2013

R	A	I	$\mathbf{I}$	<b>IG</b>	S	$\mathbf{C}A$	LE	D	EI	$\Pi$	$\mathbf{II}$	Π	$\mathbf{O}$	NS_	<u>(1</u> .	<u>-5)</u>

Unsatisfactory (1) -  Improvement (2) Needed  Meets Job (3) Standard		The employee's work performance is inadequate and definitely inferior to the standards of performance required for the job. Performance at this level cannot be allowed to continue.										
		The employee's work performance does not consistently meet the standards of the position. Serious effort is needed to improve performance.  The employee's work performance consistently meets the standards of the position.										
Outstanding (5)  Not evaluated (NE)		The employee's work performance is consistently excellent when compared to the standards of the job.										
		The employee's work performance was not observed during this evaluation period.										
I.	Performan	ce Evaluation and Achieveme	<u>nts</u>									
1.	City Commission	n/ Boards Relationships	<u>NE</u>	_1_	_2_	3	_4_	_5_				
A.	Provides sound legal advice to the City Commission, Boards, Commissions and City staff.				<u></u>			- Simmer of the same of the sa				
1 0		City Commission, Boards, imely, clear, concise and thorough.										
C. Accepts direction/in		/instructions in a positive manner.					*					
D.	D. Keeps the City Commission, Boards, and City staff informed of issues relevant to the requirements of the position.							V	/			
E.	Dedicates the tim of the position an Commissioners.											

Comments:		OGMMISSIONET PAIR ROSSI							
2. <u>Legal Research and Review</u>	<u>NE</u>	_1_		3	_4_				
A. Effectively identifies legal issues and performs research and investigations.		<del></del>				L			
B. Effectively reviews and interprets legal instruments, reports and documents prepared by departments.			****			<u></u>			
Comments:									
		<u> </u>			·				
3. Employee/Public Relations	<u>NE</u>	1		_3_	_4_	_5			
A. Works well with other employees.				<del></del>					
B. Meeting and handling the public while recognizing ethical obligation to the City.				<u> </u>					
Comments:									
			····						
4. Communication	<u>NE</u>	_1_	2	· <u>3</u> ·	_4_	_5			
A. Oral communication is clear, concise and articulate.									
B. Written communications (e.g.) contracts, resolution and other legal documents are clear, concise and accurate.	s, 	_	w						
Comments:									

			-			
5. Quantity/Quality	<u>NE</u>	_1_		_3_	_4_	5
A. Amount of work performed.						
B. Completion of work on time.					<del> </del>	<u>~</u>
C. Accuracy.					1/	
D. Thoroughness.			ARABEL ARABA			<u>.L</u>
Comments:		······································				
			·			
6. Personal Traits	<u>NE</u>	_1_	2	3_	4_	<u>5</u>
A. Initiative.						<u></u>
B. Judgement.						1
C. Fairness and Impartiality.					<u>i</u>	
D. Analytical Ability.	-					Ŀ
Comments:						
	·:					
- ·				-		· · ·
7. <u>Litigation/Administrative Proceedings</u>	<u>NE</u>	<u>1</u>	_2_	3	4	_5_
A. Provides timely and effective representation of the City's interest in litigation.						_
B. Controls and monitors costs and performance of retained outside legal counsel.						
Comments: Yen	1 9	igo d	l p	7	14/-	<u></u>

<del></del>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
II. Summa	ary Rating
Overall Perform	ance Rating - Considering the results obtained against established performance standards
	ll job performance, the following rating is provided (circle one):
Unsatisfactory	Improvement Needed Meets Job Standards Exceeds Job Standards Outstanding
<b>C</b> .	
Comments:	
III. Future	e Goals and Objectives
Specific goals a	nd objectives to be achieved in the next evaluation period:
* "	
,	
	$\mathcal{M}$
COMMISSION	IÉR MARK-ROSSI
SHAWN D. SM	MITH, CITY ATTORNEY
ATTEST:	
CITEDATI CAST	TIL OUTS OF DDIA
CHERYL SMI.	TH, CITY CLERK Dated ///22//>

Commissioner Mark Rossi