## City Attorney Performance Evaluation

September 20, 2011

## **RATING SCALE DEFINITIONS (1-5)**

Unsatisfactory (1) -  Improvement (2) Needed  Meets Job (3) Standard  Exceeds Job (4) Standard  Outstanding (5)  Not evaluated (NE)		The employee's work performance is inadequate and definitely inferior to the standards of performance required for the job. Performance at this level cannot be allowed to continue.																	
		The employee's work performance does not consistently meet the standards of the position. Serious effort is needed to improve performance.  The employee's work performance consistently meets the standards of the position.  The employee's work performance is frequently or consistently above the level of a satisfactory employee.  The employee's work performance is consistently excellent when compared to the standards of the job.  The employee's work performance was not observed during this evaluation period.																	
											I.	<u>Performan</u>	ce Evaluation and Achieveme	<u>nts</u>				·	
											1.	City Commission	n/ Boards Relationships	<u>NE</u>	_1_	_2_	_3_	_4_	_5_
											A.	A. Provides sound legal advice to the City Commission, Boards, Commissions and City staff.							K
											В.	B. Reporting to the City Commission, Boards, and City staff is timely, clear, concise and thorough.							*
C.	C. Accepts direction/instructions in a positive manner.							4											
D.	D. Keeps the City Commission, Boards, and City staff informed of issues relevant to the requirements of the position.							X											
E. Dedicates the time necessary to the responsibilities of the position and is readily available to Commissioners.								<u> 4</u>											

Comments:	AS ARS BEEN THE COSE SINCE SHAWN BECAME CH, HE HAS  DEMONSTRATED A COMMITMENT TO THE CITY ANDIHIS CHARGE							
	Beyond ALL UxpedATIONS!							
					·			
2. Legal Re	esearch and Review	<u>NE</u>	1	_2_	3	4	_5_	
	ely identifies legal issues and performs and investigations.	<del></del>					*	
	ely reviews and interprets legal instruments, and documents prepared by departments.						<u>X</u>	
Comments:	AGAIN, SHAWN IS THOROUGH	Bey	OND I	Врре	CTAT	71025	AND	
	AGAIN, SHAWN IS THEROUGH PUTS IN THE TIME + EFFORT TO "A+" EVALUATION!	407	20~71	NUALL	Y Del	n AND	AN	
	"At" EVALUATION!				<del></del>			
3. Employe	ee/Public Relations	<u>NE</u>	1	2	_3_	_4_	_5	
A. Works w	vell with other employees.	-				X		
_	and handling the public while ring ethical obligation to the City.					41-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-	X	
Comments:		, "-						
			<del></del>	<u> </u>			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
							. , , ,	
4. Commu	unication	<u>NE</u>	_1_	_2_	_3_	_4_	_5_	
<ul><li>A. Oral communication is clear, concise and articulate.</li><li>B. Written communications (e.g.) contracts, resolutions and other legal documents are clear, concise and</li></ul>							<u> </u>	
							3.0	
accurate					<del></del>		<u>_X</u>	
Comments:		ALISE	5" NH	EN NE	C8351	7RY . 1	WHIN	
	Reduced TO WRITING, ALL FORMS OF FOR CONTENT AND INTENT.	F Comi	MUNICA	110x) pg	RO PAS	ily B	+ PLAINE	

5.	Quantity/0	<u>Quality</u>	<u>NE</u>	_1_	_2_	3	4_	_5
A.	A. Amount of work performed.							$\underline{\mathcal{X}}$
В.	Completion	n of work on time.			•		<u>×</u>	<del></del>
C.	Accuracy.							X
D.	Thoroughr	ness.						X
Co	mments:	IF THE CITY'S BUDGET CON	15 246	-NAL d	T.17.	TH1.3	11-02	1
	miironis.							
		UNDER SHAWN'S LEADERSHIP						
		HESITATE TO APPROVE BOTH INC	KE NT	3 5 d	* (0)	5 1126	21104	T MAD
		AT LEAST ANDIHOR STAFF PO. REPLES ENTATION HAS INCREASED IM NOCK-LOAD, THIS TEAM HAS BEEN 4	MENSE	7.100	HUUS HIS DUR	tturn	sy inc	REASOS TOO
6	Personal T	WORK-LOAD, THIS TEAM HAS BEEN 4	ρ 10 TH NE	E TASA 1	< <u>'</u> 2	3	4	5
0.	1 CISULAL 1	tratts	112		<del></del>		<u> </u>	
A.	Initiative.		<del></del>		<del></del>			<u>X</u>
В.	Judgemen	t.						<u>X</u>
C. Fairness and Impartiality.				<del></del>			<del></del>	×
D.	. Analytical	Ability.						X
Co	omments:	SAFANN'S MIND IS ANNAYS NO	RICIA	4.7	fis a	omMi.	5510NE	2.X
		TRUSTS HIS OFICION implice	TLy. K	1816	REPAS I	N GON	THIS	15
		HIS SELF MITIUATION, ORGANIA	29710 A	14DB	2115!	<i>†</i>		
		300, 200						
7.	Litigation	n/Administrative Proceedings	<u>NE</u>	1_	_2_	_3_	_4_	_5_
A		timely and effective representation of the erest in litigation.						X
B. Controls and monitors costs and performance of retained outside legal counsel.								XX
C		•	712 س	 A 120	RENKE I	s perse	ARMA	Jet + la lingi
C	omments.	COST IN MIND, HE HAS DONE SO FR NEXD FOR FULTIME OUTSIDE COUNSE	um Tut Limma	E 13e91	ממותק ל	· THIS	Reduc	eD TITE
P	erformance	Evaluation - City Attorney		7	•			age 3 of 4

SHAWNS	IMTATIVES	70 Re	duce e	0575 pm	relude	EXTERNSIAN
Researchp	051710NS AND	DTHER	FORNA	RO-01	TOFT	to Bux
	AAT THE C.					

## II. Summary Rating

Overall Performance Rating - Considering the results obtained against established performance standards
as well as overall job performance, the following rating is provided (circle one):

Unsatisfactory	Improvement Needed	Meets Job Standards	Exceeds Job Standards	Outstanding
EVAZ 15	TO PRODUCE	ANY THING NOW.	1 PROBLEM NIT	1 I Nonlo
			EAS MUCH CARE	
	N THIS BYAL C			
III. <u>Future</u>	Goals and Objective	<u>res</u>		
			on period: THORE,	
,		•	out CITY ATTY	
Busy WI	THALL OF THE	ISSUBJ CHR	RENTLY FACING	THE
			enges but as	
WITHSHA	WNIN HIS CH	RRENT 90517	ion!	
COMMISSION	JER CLAYTON LOPEZ	<u>.</u>		
SHAWN D. SM	MITH, CITY ATTORNE	Ÿ		
ATTEST:				
CHERYL SMI	TH, CITY CLERK	<del></del>	Dated	