

Historic Architectural Review Commission Staff Report for Item 7

To: Chairman Bryan Green and Historic Architectural Review Commission

Members

From: Kathleen McDonald, MHP

Historic Preservation Planner II

Meeting Date: May 24, 2022

Applicant: T. S. Neal Architects

Address: #1209 Royal Street

Description of Work:

Renovation to historic residence, new rear addition, and new pool and deck at rear yard.

Site Facts:

The property under review contains a historic one-story frame vernacular structure, which is listed on our survey as contributing, with a year built circa 1928. The structure is approximately 670-square-feet, and the footprint has only had relatively minor additions since its construction. The front portion of the house with the low slope is a 184-square-foot addition that was likely done sometime between 1962 and 1965, as it does not show up on the 1962 Sanborn map, but it does show in the 1965 photo. There is also a small non-historic shed attached to the rear of the house.

Guidelines Cited on Review:

- Guidelines for Windows (pages 29-30), specifically guidelines 2, 3 and 10.
- Guidelines for Additions and Alterations on Contributing, Non-Contributing, and Non-Historic Buildings and Structures (pages 37a-37k), specifically guidelines 1, 5, 6, 11, 12, 13, 14, 19, 24, 26, 30 and 31.
- Guidelines for Decks, Patios, Hot Tubs, Spas, Pools and Related Equipment (page 39a).

Staff Analysis:

The Certificate of Appropriateness under review proposes renovations to the existing historic house, construction of a new addition at the rear of the house, and installation of a new pool and deck in the rear yard.

Renovations to the historic house include replacement of the existing concrete front stoop with a new wood front stoop, as well as the creation of a new entry stoop on the north elevation. The new entry on the north elevation would also require the replacement of a historic window with a new

wood door. The replacement of two sets of historic windows on the side elevations is also proposed to allow for new egress units.

The new addition proposed at the rear of the structure would be approximately 887-square-feet and the highest point of the new addition would be 8-inches below the historic ridgeline of the main house. Materials proposed for the new addition include aluminum impact windows and doors, as wells as drop lap siding and metal roofing to match existing.

The proposed pool would be approximately 216-square-feet and the proposed pool equipment would be located on the south side yard and would be screened with a 4-foot fence.

Consistency with Cited Guidelines:

Staff finds the current proposal to be mostly consistent with the cited guidelines, except for the cited guidelines 2, 3 and 10 for Windows.

The proposal to replace two sets of historic windows on the side elevations goes against guideline 2 under Windows, which calls for historic windows to be retained and repaired. The proposed replacement windows would require a slightly larger opening, going against guidelines 3 and 10 under Windows, which speak to maintaining original window opening sizes. However, staff does recognize that the applicant intends for the replacement windows to act as means of egress, so it will be up to the Commission whether to approve on this basis or not.

In terms of the rest of the proposal, staff does not find any real conflict with the guidelines. Although the mass and footprint of the new addition seem out of scale in relation to the historic house, staff recognizes that the existing house is an unusually small scale compared to most historic houses in the district. Staff finds the way in which the addition was designed (using sawtooth roof forms) brings down the scale as much as possible, while also maintaining building-to-lot proportions found in the surrounding context. The proposed addition also does not utilize the same wall plane of the historic house (as guideline 24 under Additions recommends), and while it does protrude from the side elevations (instead of recessing) when viewed from the front, the intent of the guidelines to distinguish the addition from the historic house is still met.

Staff also finds that the proposed pool, pool deck, and pool equipment meet the relevant guidelines.

APPLICATION

HARC MAJOR PROJECTS CERTIFICATE OF APPROPRIATENESS \$400 NON-REFUNDABLE BASE APPLICATION FEE - OTHER FEES MAY BE APPLICABLE



City of Key West 1300 WHITE STREET KEY WEST, FLORIDA 33040

HARC COA #	REVISION #	INITIAL & DATE
FLOOD ZONE	ZONING DISTRICT	BLDG PERMIT #

A PRE-APPLICATION MEETING WITH HARC STAFF IS REQUIRED PRIOR TO SUBMITTAL

PROJECT INVOLVES A CONTRIBUTION PROJECT INVOLVES A STRUCTURE TO DETAILED PROJECT DESCRIPTION GENERAL: REPORT MAIN BUILDING: REPORT PROJECT DESCRIPTION MAIN BUILDING: REPORT PROJECT DESCRIPTION PROJECT INVOLVES SIGNAL DEMOLITION (PLEASE FILL OUT AND A	HAT IS INDIVIDUALLY LICE REPTION INCLUDING MAT TO #X15TING DECK AT RE LICENS OF FLOOR FR. REGULED, NEW MAT PRUSE 7000 DEC.	ISTED ON THE TERIALS, HER BALYAL BALYAL MANNE, NB MANNE, NB MANNE, NB	NATIONAL REGISTRATIONAL REGISTRATION	ISTER: YES NO.	E, LOCATION, ETC.
DETAILED PROJECT DESCRIPTION OF THE PROJECT DESCRIPTION OF THE PROJECT DESCRIPTION OF THE PROJECT OF THE PROJEC	HAT IS INDIVIDUALLY LI RIPTION INCLUDING MA TO #XISTING - DECE AT RE	ISTED ON THE TERIALS, HER BASA DBA BAR YAR	SHT, DIMENSION	ISTER: YES NO.	S, LOCATION, ETC.
DETAILED PROJECT DESCRIPTION OF THE PROJECT DESCRIPTION OF THE PROJECT DESCRIPTION OF THE PROJECT OF THE PROJEC	HAT IS INDIVIDUALLY LI RIPTION INCLUDING MA TO #XISTING - DECE AT RE	ISTED ON THE TERIALS, HER BASA DBA BAR YAR	SHT, DIMENSION	ISTER: YES NO.	S, LOCATION, ETC.
DETAILED PROJECT DESCRIPTION OF THE PROJECT DESCRIPTION OF THE PROJECT DESCRIPTION OF THE PROJECT OF THE PROJEC	HAT IS INDIVIDUALLY LI RIPTION INCLUDING MA TO #XISTING - DECE AT RE	ISTED ON THE TERIALS, HER BASA DBA BAR YAR	SHT, DIMENSION	ISTER: YES NO.	S, LOCATION, ETC.
DETAILED PROJECT DESCRIPTION OF THE PROJECT DESCRIPTION OF THE PROJECT DESCRIPTION OF THE PROJECT OF THE PROJEC	HAT IS INDIVIDUALLY LI RIPTION INCLUDING MA TO #XISTING - DECE AT RE	ISTED ON THE TERIALS, HER BASA DBA BAR YAR	SHT, DIMENSION	ISTER: YES NO.	S, LOCATION, ETC.
PROJECT INVOLVES A STRUCTURE TO DETAILED PROJECT DESCR GENERAL: RE NOV紙りりル	HAT IS INDIVIDUALLY LI RIPTION INCLUDING MA TO #XISTING	ISTED ON THE TERIALS, HEIG ISSSI OBJA	SHT, DIMENSION	IS, SQUARE FOOTAGE	LOCATION, ETC.
PROJECT INVOLVES A STRUCTURE TO DETAILED PROJECT DESCR GENERAL: RE NOV紙りりル	HAT IS INDIVIDUALLY LI RIPTION INCLUDING MA TO #XISTING	ISTED ON THE TERIALS, HEIG ISSSI OBJA	SHT, DIMENSION	IS, SQUARE FOOTAGE	LOCATION, ETC.
PROJECT INVOLVES A STRUCTURE TO DETAILED PROJECT DESCR	HAT IS INDIVIDUALLY LI	ISTED ON THE	NATIONAL REG	IS, SQUARE FOOTAGE	LOCATION, ETC.
PROJECT INVOLVES A STRUCTURE TI	HAT IS INDIVIDUALLY L	ISTED ON THE	NATIONAL REG	ISTER: YES NO	又
					_
					_
		NO.			
PROJECT INCLUDES: REPLACEMEN		RELOCATION			N OF A STRUCTURE
WORK AND THE SUBMITTED PLANS, THE AF	OREMENTIONED DESCRIPT	TION OF WORK S	HALL BE CONTROL	LING.	
CONTEMPLATED BY THE APPLICANT AND THE EXCEEDING THE SCOPE OF THE DESCRIPTION	ON OF WORK, AS DESCRIBE	ED HEREIN, AND	IF THERE IS CONF	LICTING INFORMATION BE	TWEEN THE DESCRIPTION OF
THE APPLICANT FURTHER HEREBY ACKNOW	LEDGES THAT THE SCOPE	OF WORK AS D	ESCRIBED IN THE	APPLICATION SHALL BE TH	HE SCOPE OF WORK THAT IS
PERFORMANCE OF HIS OR HER OFFICIAL DU	ITY SHALL BE GUILTY OF A	MISDEMEANOR	OF THE SECOND D	EGREE PUNISHABLE PER	SECTION 775.082 OR 775.083.
ANY PERSON THAT MAKES CHAN FLORIDA STATUTE 837.06: WHOEVER KNOW					
	1.000	sur f			
APPLICANT'S SIGNATURE:	1	11/)		DATE 4-20-2022
			3304		
APPLICANT'S ADDRESS:	22974 OVE				WECHTECTS COM
APPLICANT NAME:	SETH NEAL!			PHONE NUMBER	-8854
	MIDDLETON		/	Pate Mar VICI	TOR & g man.
OTTLE O NOTICE TO PRODUCE	O SLUD I	TERT AN	20111	EMAIL TUPOTTES	Not a grail.com
OWNER'S MAILING ADDRESS:	6 SILAS M			- Admitte	
NAME ON DEED: DWNER'S MAILING ADDRESS:	ROBERT PATE			PHONE NUMBER 978	

APPLICATIONS MUST BE SUBMITTED IN PERSON WITH HARD COPIES BY 3PM ON THE SCHEDULED DEADLINE PLEASE SEND AN ELECTRONIC COPY OF ALL DOCUMENTS TO HARC@CITYOFKEYWEST-FLGOV

ACCESSORY STRUCTURE(S	FOOL A	T REAL >	MRD	
PAVERS: PALLING	+ R5M 7000.	PECL	FENCES: WOOD 4"+6" FENCE	
DECKS: CUODO 700C	DBCL		PAINTING:	
SITE (INCLUDING GRADING	, FILL, TREES, ETC):		POOLS (INCLUDING EQUIPMENT):	
ACCESSORY EQUIPMENT (C.):	OTHER:	
OFFICIAL USE ONLY:		HARC CON	IMISSION REVIEW	EXPIRES ON:
MEETING DATE:	ADDROVED.	NOT APPROV	EDDEFERRED FOR FUTURE CONSIDERATIO	INITIAL:
EETING DATE:	APPROVED _	NOT APPROV		INITIAL:
EETING DATE:	APPROVED _	NOT APPROV		INITIAL:
REASONS OR CONDITIONS:				
STAFF REVIEW COMMENTS:				
FIRST READING FOR DEMO:			SECOND READING FOR DEMO:	A.19

THIS APPLICATION MAY BE REVIEWED BY PLANNING DEPARTMENT STAFF.

HARC STAFF SIGNATURE AND DATE:

HARC CHAIRPERSON SIGNATURE AND DATE:

HARC Certificate of Appropriateness: Demolition Appendix




HARC COA #	INITIAL & DATE		
ZONING DISTRICT	BLDG PERMIT #		
HMDR			


ADDRESS OF PROPOSED PROJECT:	1209 ROVIN STUDIST			
PROPERTY OWNER'S NAME:	PETER MATUCHUP & ROBBET PATIFICAL			
APPLICANT NAME:	SETH NEAL /1.5. NEAL DRUMBET			
Appropriateness, I realize that this project	and that the work shall conform to all applicable laws of this jurisdiction. By receiving a Certificate of ct will require a Building Permit approval PRIOR to proceeding with the work outlined above and that plication. I also understand that any changes to an approved Certificate of Appropriateness must be			
PROPERTY OWNER'S SIGNATURE	4/20/2022 Peter Matvichuk DATE AND PRINT NAM			
	DETAILED PROJECT DESCRIPTION OF DEMOLITION			
REPLACE FOUNDATION FFZ 57000 AT FRONT MEAN AT SIDE FUTLY, REMO	LOOL FRAMING, REMOVE SWED ADDITION AT PEAR, ZEMOVE CO NOLETE , REMOVE LOW WALL AT SILEWALK, TEMOVE PORTION OF WALL US BEDLOOM WINDOW! TO MEET EGDESS SKESS			
CRITERIA	A FOR DEMOLITION OF CONTRIBUTING OR HISTORIC STRUCTURES:			
Before any Certificate of Appropriat must find that the following requiren	teness may be issued for a demolition request, the Historic Architectural Review Commission ments are met (please review and comment on each criterion that applies);			
(1) If the subject of the application is a irrevocably compromised by extreme d	contributing or historic building or structure, then it should not be demolished unless its condition is deterioration or it does not meet any of the following criteria:			
(a) The existing condition	of the building or structure is irrevocably compromised by extreme deterioration.			
NA				
(2) Or explain how the building or struc				
(a) Embodies no distinctiv city and is not a significan	ve characteristics of a type, period, or method of construction of aesthetic or historic significance in th nt and distinguishable building entity whose components may lack individual distinction.			

(b) Is	s not specifically associated with events that have made a significant contribution to local, state, or national history
/	VOT TO OUR KNOWLEDGE
(c) H	las no significant character, interest, or value as part fo the development, heritage, or cultural characteristics of the or nation, and is not associated with the life of a person significant in the past.
N	ON ALB KNOWN
(d) Is	s not the site of a historic event with significant effect upon society.
NG	1 TO OUL KNOW LEACH
(e) D	oes not exemplify the cultural, political, economic, social, or historic heritage of the city.
1	TIDES NOT
(f) Do	nes not portray the environment in an era of history characterized by a distinctive architectural style.
N	0
(g) If	a part of or related to a square, park, or other distinctive area, nevertheless should not be developed or preserved rding to a plan based on the area's historic, cultural, natural, or architectural motif.
N	<i>a</i>

(i) Has not yielded, and is not likely to yield, information important in history. CRITERIA FOR DEMOLITION OF NON-CONTRIBUTING OR NON-HISTORIC STRUCTURES: The following criteria will also be reviewed by the Historic Architectural Review Commission for proposed demolitions. Ti Commission shall not issue a Certificate of Appropriateness that would result in the following conditions (please review at comment on each criterion that applies); (1) Removing buildings or structures that are important in defining the overall historic character of a district or neighborhood so that the character is diminished. (2) Removing historic buildings or structures and thus destroying the historic relationship between buildings or structures and open space. (3) Removing an historic building or structure in a complex; or removing a building facade; or removing a significant later addition that is important in defining the historic character of a site or the surrounding district or neighborhood.	NO
CRITERIA FOR DEMOLITION OF NON-CONTRIBUTING OR NON-HISTORIC STRUCTURES: The following criteria will also be reviewed by the Historic Architectural Review Commission for proposed demolitions. Till Commission shall not issue a Certificate of Appropriateness that would result in the following conditions (please review and comment on each criterion that applies); (1) Removing buildings or structures that are important in defining the overall historic character of a district or neighborhood so that the character is diminished. (2) Removing historic buildings or structures and thus destroying the historic relationship between buildings or structures and open space. (3) Removing an historic building or structure in a complex; or removing a building facade; or removing a significant later addition that is important in defining the historic character of a site or the surrounding district or neighborhood.	
CRITERIA FOR DEMOLITION OF NON-CONTRIBUTING OR NON-HISTORIC STRUCTURES: The following criteria will also be reviewed by the Historic Architectural Review Commission for proposed demolitions. Tile Commission shall not issue a Certificate of Appropriateness that would result in the following conditions (please review and comment on each criterion that applies); (1) Removing buildings or structures that are important in defining the overall historic character of a district or neighborhood so that the character is diminished. (2) Removing historic buildings or structures and thus destroying the historic relationship between buildings or structures and open space. (3) Removing an historic building or structure in a complex; or removing a building facade; or removing a significant later addition that is important in defining the historic character of a site or the surrounding district or neighborhood.	(i) Her not yielded, and is not likely to yield, information important in history
CRITERIA FOR DEMOLITION OF NON-CONTRIBUTING OR NON-HISTORIC STRUCTURES: The following criteria will also be reviewed by the Historic Architectural Review Commission for proposed demolitions. TI Commission shall not Issue a Certificate of Appropriateness that would result in the following conditions (please review at comment on each criterion that applies); (1) Removing buildings or structures that are important in defining the overall historic character of a district or neighborhood so that the character is diminished. (2) Removing historic buildings or structures and thus destroying the historic relationship between buildings or structures and open space. (3) Removing an historic building or structure in a complex; or removing a building facade; or removing a significant later addition that is important in defining the historic character of a site or the surrounding district or neighborhood.	
The following criteria will also be reviewed by the Historic Architectural Review Commission for proposed demolitions. To Commission shall not issue a Certificate of Appropriateness that would result in the following conditions (please review at comment on each criterion that applies); (1) Removing buildings or structures that are important in defining the overall historic character of a district or neighborhood so that the character is diminished. (2) Removing historic buildings or structures and thus destroying the historic relationship between buildings or structures and open space. (3) Removing an historic building or structure in a complex; or removing a building facade; or removing a significant later addition that is important in defining the historic character of a site or the surrounding district or neighborhood.	77.0
Commission shall not Issue a Certificate of Appropriateness that would result in the following conditions (please review at comment on each criterion that applies); (1) Removing buildings or structures that are important in defining the overall historic character of a district or neighborhood so that the character is diminished. (2) Removing historic buildings or structures and thus destroying the historic relationship between buildings or structures and open space. (3) Removing an historic building or structure in a complex; or removing a building facade; or removing a significant later addition that is important in defining the historic character of a site or the surrounding district or neighborhood.	CRITERIA FOR DEMOLITION OF NON-CONTRIBUTING OR NON-HISTORIC STRUCTURES:
character is diminished. (2) Removing historic buildings or structures and thus destroying the historic relationship between buildings or structures and open space. (3) Removing an historic building or structure in a complex; or removing a building facade; or removing a significant later addition that is important in defining the historic character of a site or the surrounding district or neighborhood.	commission shall not issue a Certificate of Appropriateness that would result in the following conditions (please review a
(3) Removing an historic building or structure in a complex; or removing a building facade; or removing a significant later addition that is important in defining the historic character of a site or the surrounding district or neighborhood.	
(3) Removing an historic building or structure in a complex; or removing a building facade; or removing a significant later addition that is mportant in defining the historic character of a site or the surrounding district or neighborhood.	
mportant in defining the historic character of a site or the surrounding district or neighborhood.	2) Removing historic buildings or structures and thus destroying the historic relationship between buildings or structures and open space.
(4) Removing buildings or structures that would otherwise qualify as contributing.	3) Removing an historic building or structure in a complex; or removing a building facade; or removing a significant later addition that is apportant in defining the historic character of a site or the surrounding district or neighborhood.
4) Removing buildings or structures that would otherwise qualify as contributing.	
	4) Removing buildings or structures that would otherwise qualify as contributing.



1948 Sanborn map with the property at 1209 Royal Street indicated in red.



PROJECT PHOTOS



1965 photo showing the structure at 1209 Royal Street.










Boundary Survey Map of Lot 11, Square 2, Tract 12 of KEY WEST INVESTMENT COMPANY'S AMENDED DIAGRAM



- 1. The legal description shown hereon was furnished by the client or their agent. This survey does not determine or imply ownership.
- 2. Underground foundations and utilities were not located.
- 3. All angles are 90° (Measured & Record) unless otherwise noted.
- 4. Street address: 1209 Royal Street, Key West, FL.
- 5. This survey is not valid without the signature and the original raised seal of a Florida licensed surveyor and mapper.
- 6. Lands shown hereon were not abstracted for rights-of-way, easements, ownership, or other instruments of record.
- 7. North arrow is assumed and based on the Plat.
- 8. Date of field work: March 3, 2022
- 9. Ownership of fences is undeterminable, unless otherwise noted.

BOUNDARY SURVEY OF: On the Island of Key West known as Lot Eleven (11) of Square Two (2) in Tract Twelve (12) according to the Key West Investment Company's amended diagram of part of Tract Twelve (12), duly recorded in Plat Book 1, Page 49, Monroe County, Florida, and which lot is bounded and described as follows: COMMENCING 92 feet from the corner of Catherine and Royal Streets, and run thence along Royal Street 46 feet in a Southeasterly direction; thence at right angles in a Northeasterly direction 93 feet; thence at right angles in a Northwesterly direction 46 feet; thence at right angles in a Southwesterly direction 93 feet to the Point of Beginning on Royal Street.

BOUNDARY SURVEY FOR: Robert Patterson; Oropeza Stones & Cardenas, PLLC; Old Republic National Title Insurance Company;

I HEREBY CERTIFY that this survey was made under my responsible charge and meets the Standard of Practice as set forth by the Florida Board of Professional Surveyors & Mappers in Chapter 5J-17, Florida Administrative Code, pursuant to Section 472.027, Florida Statutes.

J. LYNN O'FLYNN, INC. THIS SURVEY IS NOT J. Lynn O'Flynn, PSM *ASSIGNABLE* Florida Reg. #6298




March 8, 2022

PROPOSED DESIGN


T.S. NEAL

ARCHITECTS INC











FEMA MAP FLOOD ZONE X



1209 ROYAL STREET *KEY WEST,F L 33040*



THE NEW STRUCTURE IS CLASSIFIED AS AN R-3 TYPE OCCUPANCY & IS DESIGNED TO MEET THE FOLLOWING:

FBC 2020 - RESIDENTAL (FBC-R) A.S.C.E. 24-05 REGULATIONS PER FBC 07/ASCE 07-10 EXPOSURE "C" LIVE LOAD 40 PSF

GENERAL NOTES:

. DO NOT SCALE ANY DRAWING. 2. WRITTEN DIMENSIONS HAVE PRECEDENCE OVER SCALED DIMENSIONS. LARGER SCALE DETAILS HAVE PRECEDENCE OVER SMALLER SCALE DETAILS. ANY DISCREPANCIES ARE TO REPORTED TO ARCHITECT PRIOR TO CONSTRUCTION. 3. CONSULT THE ARCHITECT IN THE EVENT ANY ITEM OF WORK NECESSARY FOR THE

WITH INDUSTRY STANDARDS, ALL BUILDING CODE REQUIREMENTS AND IN A PROFESSIONAL MANNER BY MECHANICS SKILLED AND LICENSED IN THEIR RESPECTIVE

5. ALL MANUFACTURED ARTICLES, MATERIALS AND EQUIPMENT SHALL BE APPLIED, INSTALLED, ERECTED AND CONNECTED IN ACCORDANCE WITH MANUFACTURER'S DIRECTIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS. 6. ANY DISCREPANCIES BETWEEN DRAWINGS, LOCAL CODES, BUILDING INSPECTOR

REQUIREMENTS AND/OR EXISTING CONDITIONS SHALL BE REFERRED TO THE ARCHITECT FOR RESOLUTION. ALL DIMENSIONS AND CONDITIONS OF EACH TRADE ARE TO BE VERIFIED PRIOR TO COMMENCEMENT OF CONSTRUCTION OR THE WORK OF EACH SPECIFIC TRADE. 7. ALL WORK SHALL CONFORM TO THE REQUIREMENTS OF MUNICIPAL, LOCAL, FEDERAL AND STATE LAWS, AS WELL AS ANY OTHER GOVERNING REQUIREMENTS, AND

THE WORK SHALL BE REMOVED, REPLACED, AND RECTIFIED. 9. ALL LEGALLY REQUIRED APPROVALS AND PERMITS NECESSARY FOR THE EXECUTION AND COMPLETION OF THE WORK SHALL BE OBTAINED. 10. ALL TIE-INS AND UTILITY SERVICES ARE TO BE COORDINATED WITH THE RESPECTIVE

8. ALL DAMAGED AND DEFECTIVE MATERIAL AND WORKMANSHIP IN CONNECTION WITH

CONVENTIONAL GUIDELINES, WHETHER OR NOT SPECIFIED ON THE DRAWINGS.

12. ALL EXISTING TREES, SHRUBS, VEGETATION, AND LANDSCAPE ELEMENTS OR FEATURES ADJACENT TO AND IN THE VICINITY OF THE BUILDING AND STAGING AREAS SHALL BE PROTECTED DURING THE ENTIRE PERIOD OF CONSTRUCTION.

13. ANY REVISIONS MUST BE APPROVED BY: ARCHITECT PRIOR TO TO CONSTRUCTION

14. ALL DRAWINGS, SPECIFICATIONS AND RELATED DOCUMENTS ARE THE COPYRIGHT PROPERTY OF THE ARCHITECT AND ENGINEER. DRAWINGS, SPECIFICATIONS AND RELATED DOCUMENTS ARE FOR USE ON THIS PROJECT ONLY AND USE OR REPRODUCTION OF A PART OR WHOLE IS FORBIDDEN WITHOUT THE ARCHITECT'S AND ENGINEER'S WRITTEN PERMISSION THIS DRAWING IS NOT TO BE USED FOR CONSTRUCTION UNTIL SEALED AND SIGNED BY THE ARCHITECT/ENGINEER.

SCOPE OF WORK:

DRAWING SCHEDULE:

AD1.1 DEMOLITION PLAN & ELEVATOINS

A1.1 PROPOSED SITE & FLOOR PLAN

A3.1 PROPOSED ELEVATIONS

EX1.1 EXISTING FLOOR PLANS & ELEVATIONS

T1.1 TITLE, SITE DATA, SURVEY & PROJECT INFORMATION

C1.1 SURVEY & EXISTING SITE PLAN + TREE PROTECTION

RENOVATION TO EXISTING RESIDENCE WITH REAR ADDITION, POOL DECK AND POOL.

2. THE GENERAL CONTRACTOR SHALL OBTAIN A LINE LOCATION SERVICE & DISCONNECT ANY EXISTING UTILITIES REQUIRED FOR CONSTRUCTION & SITE WORK. THE EXISTING UTILITIES SHALL BE REMOVED TO THE EXTENT REQUIRED TO ACCOMMODATE NEW CONSTRUCTION AND AS APPROVED BY LOCAL UTILITIES REPRESENTATIVES. INSTALL NEW UTILITIES AND SERVICES AS REQUIRED FOR New House Construction. Provide Underground Service Unless DIRECTED & AGREED OTHERWISE. AREAS WHERE SERVICES ARE REMOVED SHALL BE EXCAVATED TO MINIMUM EXTENT REQUIRED.

3. THE DRAWINGS SHALL BE COORDINATED WITH THE ACTUAL EXISTING SITE CONDITIONS, EXISTING TREE LOCATIONS, AND REMOVAL OF ANY EXISTING STRUCTURES OR FENCES ETC. ANY POTENTIAL CONFLICTS OR DISCREPANCIES THAT MAY AFFECT THE HOUSE LOCATION OR ANY SIGNIFICANT FEATURES SHALL BE REPORTED TO THE ARCHITECT AND OWNER PRIOR TO CONSTRUCTION. THE GENERAL CONTRACTOR SHALL COORDINATE AND MAKE ADJUSTMENTS AS MAY BE AGREED UPON AT THAT TIME TO ALL FINISH FLOOR ELEVATIONS WITH THE ARCHITECT AND OWNER AT THE TIME OF BUILDING STAKEOUT. ACTUAL NUMBERS OF TREADS AND RISERS OR STEPS MAY VARY FROM THE NUMBER SHOWN ON THE DRAWINGS BASED ON ACTUAL FIELD CONDITIONS.


4. THE GENERAL CONTRACTOR SHALL MAINTAIN ON SITE DRAINAGE AWAY FROM FOOTING TRENCHES AND SHALL PROVIDE POSITIVE SLOPES AWAY FROM HOUSE AND DRIVES. BUT UNDER NO CIRCUMSTANCE CAUSE ADDITIONAL DRAINAGE OR EROSION TO ADJACENT PROPERTIES. PROVIDE TEMPORARY DIVERSIONS & SILT FENCES AS NECESSARY.

5. THE GENERAL CONTRACTOR SHALL PROTECT ADJACENT PROPERTIES AND ALL ON SITE VEGETATION AND TREES OUTSIDE THE BUILDING FOOTPRINT FROM ANY HARM OR ADVERSE CONDITIONS RELATED TO CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITIES DURING THE ENTIRE TIME OF CONSTRUCTION. THE REMOVAL OF ANY TREES OR VEGETATION IN THE AREA OF THE BUILDING FOOTPRINT SHALL BE COORDINATED WITH THE ARCHITECT & OWNER PRIOR TO REMOVAL. IF TREES OCCUR IN THE AREA ADJACENT TO NEW CONSTRUCTION, THEIR REMOVAL SHALL BE APPROVED BY THE OWNER & ARCHITECT. PROVIDE A FENCED TREE PROTECTION ZONE AROUND SIGNIFICANT AND PROTECTED TREES REMAINING. NO PARKING OR STACKING OF MATERIALS, DEBRIS, OR SOIL SHALL BE PERMITTED UNDER TREE CANOPY OR ON TOP OF TREE ROOTS. MEET ON SITE WITH ARCHITECT & OWNER TO DETERMINE SPECIFIC TREES TO PROTECT PRIOR TO ANY SITE WORK & TO IDENTIFY BOUNDS OF CONTRACTORS STAGING & MATERIALS STORAGE AREAS & EMPLOYEE PARKING. THE GENERAL CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR LEAVING THE SITE CLEAN & ORDERLY EACH & EVERY DAY & IN NO CIRCUMSTANCE IS TRASH OR LITTER ALLOWED TO REMAIN IN VIEW OR OUTSIDE OF SECURE CONTAINERS. THE PORT-O-LET & DUMPSTERS & TRASH CONTAINERS MUST BE PLACED IN AN AREA AGREEABLE TO BOTH THE OWNER & SERVICING AGENCY. THEY MAY NOT BE ALLOWED TO OVERFLOW OR BECOME AN NUISANCE OR EYESORE AND SHALL BE EMPTIED REGULARLY.

6. THE GENERAL CONTRACTOR SHALL PROVIDE EROSION CONTROL MEANS & METHODS TO PREVENT SITE EROSION & SHALL DIRECT GROUNDWATER IN A NATURAL FLOW DIRECTION TO COLLECTOR AREAS. NO EXCESS DIRT, MUD, DEBRIS, WASTE, CONSTRUCTION DEBRIS, WASHOUT, OR CLEANING OPERATIONS SHALL BE ALLOWED OFF SITE OR ALLOWED TO FLOW OFF SITE. ALL SILT FENCING OR OTHER EROSION CONTROL METHODS SHALL BE MAINTAINED IN GOOD WORKING CONDITION.

7. THE LOCATION OF ANY EXISTING AND PROPOSED SITE UTILITIES AND SERVICE ENTRANCES & ROUTES SHALL BE DETERMINED ON SITE WITH THE OWNER PRIOR TO ANY WORK BEING PERFORMED. LOCAL UTILITY REPRESENTATIVES SHALL BE INFORMED AND SHALL AGREE AND APPROVE ALL UTILITY WORK BEING PERFORMED. PROVIDE UNDERGROUND SERVICE TO FULLEST EXTENT POSSIBLE AS AGREEABLE TO THE OWNER.

8. SHOULD THE OWNER OR CONTRACTOR DECIDE TO PLACE FILL DIRT ON SITE IN THE BUILDING AREA THEY SHALL NOTIFY THE ARCHITECT & GEOTECHNICAL ENGINEERS FOR RECOMMENDATIONS ON PLACEMENT OF FILL SO AS NOT TO CAUSE ANY ADVERSE EFFECT ON TREES OR EXISTING SOILS.



SCALE: NTS

TREE PROTECTION AND PRESERVATION NOTES

1. PROTECT DESIGNATED EXISTING TREES SCHEDULED TO REMAIN AGAINST: -UNNECESSARY CUTTING, BREAKING, OR SKINNING OF ROOTS

-SKINNING AND BRUISING OF BARK -SMOTHERING OF TREES BY STOCKPILING CONSTRUCTION OR EXCAVATION MATERIALS WITHIN PROTECTION BARRIER

2. PROTECTION BARRIERS (TREE BARRICADES) SHALL BE PLAINLY VISIBLE AND SHALL CREATE A CONTINUOUS BOUNDARY AROUND TREES OR VEGETATION CLUSTERS IN ORDER TO PREVENT ENCROACHMENT WITHIN THE

3. FOR ALL TREES TO BE PRESERVED, SEE TREE PROTECTION AND BARRICADE ELEVATION DETAIL.

4. No Grade Changes Shall Be Made Within The Protective Barrier Zones Without Prior Approval.

5. THE METHOD OF PROTECTION IS TO MAKE CERTAIN THAT 50% OF THE AREA UNDER THE CANOPY DRIPLINE REMAINS UNDISTURBED (NO GRADE CHANGE OR ROOT CUT) AND THERE SHALL BE NO DISTURBANCE TO THE ROOT PLATE.

6. GENERAL CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR THE REPLACEMENT AND MITIGATION COSTS OF TREES DAMAGED BEYOND REPAIR THAT HAVE BEEN IDENTIFIED AS PROTECTED AND PRESERVED. IF TREES ARE HARMED THROUGH LACK OF PROTECTION OR THROUGH NEGLIGENCE ON THE PART OF THE CONTRACTOR, THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BEAR THE BURDEN OF THE COST OF REPAIR OR REPLACEMENT.

7. ROOT PRUNING SHALL BE DONE BY OR UNDER SUPERVISION OF AN ISA CERTIFIED ARBORIST, AND MEET OR EXCEED ANSI A300 OR APPROVED TREE CARE INDUSTRY STANDARDS. A CERTIFIED ARBORIST MUST BE ONSITE DURING THE ENTIRETY OF ROOT PRUNING.


8. No Root Pruning Shall Be Done Within A Distance Of 3x The Diameter The Tree Unless Authorized


9. NO MORE THAN 30% OF THE TREES ROOTS MAY BE PRUNED.

10. A PRUNING TRENCH SHALL BE CLEARED IN A WAY THAT EXPOSES THE ROOTS WHILE LEAVING THEM INTACT. USE HAND TOOLS OR AN AIR KNIFE. LIMITS OF TRENCH TO BE DETERMINED BY THE ARBORIST.

11. ALL ROOTS OUTSIDE OF THE PROTECTIVE BARRICADE TO BE REMOVED DURING THE DEVELOPMENT SHALL BE SEVERED CLEAN USING A SHARP TOOL TO PROVIDE A CLEAN CUT. ROOTS SHALL BE LEFT WITH CLEAN SMOOTH ENDS AND NO RAGGED EDGES AND A TWO-INCH LAYER OF MULCH SHALL BE APPLIED OVER THE SURFACE OF EXPOSED ROOTS DURING DEVELOPMENT WITHIN ONE HOUR OF DAMAGE OR EXPOSURE.

12. AFTER PRUNING, TREE ROOTS SHALL BE COVERED AND KEPT MOIST. FILL PRUNING TRENCH WITH TOPSOIL AND WATER DAILY FOR A PERIOD DETERMINED BY THE ARBORIST.





3. All angles are 90° (Measured & Record) unless otherwise noted.

4. Street address: 1209 Royal Street, Key West, FL. 5. This survey is not valid without the signature and the original raised seal of a Florida licensed surveyor and mapper.

6. Lands shown hereon were not abstracted for rights-of-way, easements, ownership, or other instruments of record.

7. North arrow is assumed and based on the Plat.

8. Date of field work: March 3, 2022

9. Ownership of fences is undeterminable, unless otherwise noted.

BOUNDARY SURVEY OF: On the Island of Key West known as Lot Eleven (11) of Square Two (2) in Tract Twelve (12) according to the Key West Investment Company's amended diagram of part of Tract Twelve (12), duly recorded in Plat Book 1, Page 49. Monroe County, Florida, and which lot is bounded and described as follows: COMMENCING 92 feet from the corner of Catherine and Royal Streets, and run thence along Royal Street 46 feet in a Southeasterly direction; thence at right angles in a Northeasterly direction 93 feet; thence at right angles in a Northwesterly direction 46 feet; thence at right angles in a Southwesterly direction 93 feet to the Point of Beginning on Royal Street.

BOUNDARY SURVEY FOR: Robert Patterson; Oropeza Stones & Cardenas, PLLC; Old Republic National Title Insurance Company;

I HEREBY CERTIFY that this survey was made under my responsible charge and meets the Standard of Practice as set forth by the Florida Board of Professional Surveyors & Mappers in Chapter 5J-17. Florida Administrative Code, pursuant to Section 472.027, Florida Statutes.

J. LYNN O'FLYNN, INC.

J. Lynn O'Flynn, PSM Florida Reg. #6298

March 8, 2022

THIS SURVEY IS NOTASSIGNABLE

. LYNN O'FLYNN, Inc. Professional Surveyor & Mapper

SURVEY FOR REFERENCE ONLY. CONTRACTOR TO SUBMIT ORIGINAL SIGNED & SEALED SURVEY



ARCHITECTS INC

22974 OVERSEAS HWY

CUDJOE KEY, FL


33042


305-340-8857 251-422-9547




NOT FOR CONSTRUCTION, FOR HARC APPROVAL PURPOSES ONLY

DRAWN: EDSA / TSN CHECKED: DATE: 04-20-2022







T.S. NEAL ARCHITECTS INC. 22974 OVERSEAS HWY

> CUDJOE KEY, FL 33042

305-340-8857 251-422-9547




NOT FOR CONSTRUCTION, FOR HARC APPROVAL PURPOSES ONLY

DRAWN: EDSA / TSN CHECKED:

REVISION # DATE





T.S. NEAL
ARCHITECTS INC.

22974 OVERSEAS HWY
CUDJOE KEY, FL



33042

305-340-8857



NOT FOR CONSTRUCTION.
FOR HARC APPROVAL
PURPOSES ONLY

209 ROYAL STREET KEY WEST,F L 33040


DEMOLITION PLANS & ELEVATIONS

STATEMENT OF THE CONTROL OF THE CON

DATE: 04-20-2022









305-340-8857 251-422-9547





NOT FOR CONSTRUCTION,
FOR HARC APPROVAL
PURPOSES ONLY

WEY WEST, F L 33040

DRAWING TITLE: FLOOR & SITE PLAN

DRAWN: EDSA / TSN
CHECKED:
DATE: 04-20-2022





T.S. NEAL

ARCHITECTS INC.

22974 OVERSEAS HWY CUDJOE KEY, FL

33042

305-340-8857 251-422-9547


NOT FOR CONSTRUCTION,

FOR HARC APPROVAL

PURPOSES ONLY




DRAWN: EDSA / TSN
CHECKED:
DATE: 04-20-2022



A3.1SHEET #





The Historic Architectural Review Commission will hold a public meeting at <u>5:00 p.m., May 24, 2022 at City</u> <u>Hall, 1300 White Street</u>, Key West, Florida. In order to view the live feed of the meeting, you can tune in to Comcast channel 77, AT&T Uverse channel 99, or type this link into your browser:

http://keywestcity.granicus.com/MediaPlayer.php?publish_id=1&embed=1 If you wish to participate virtually, please contact HARC staff at 305-809-3975. The purpose of the hearing will be to consider a request for:

RENOVATION TO HISTORIC RESIDENCE, NEW REAR ADDITION, AND NEW POOL AND DECK AT REAR YARD. DEMOLITION OF A SHED ADDITION AT REAR. REMOVAL OF CONCRETE STOOPS AT FRONT AND REAR. REMOVAL OF LOW WALL AT SIDEWALK AND PORTION OF WALL AT SIDE ENTRY.

#1209 ROYAL STREET

Applicant – T.S. Neal Architects Application #H2022-0015

If you wish to see the application or have any questions, you may visit the Planning Department during regular office hours at 1300 White Street, call 305-809-3975 or visit our website at www.citvofkevwest-fl.gov.

THIS NOTICE CAN NOT BE REMOVED FROM THE SITE UNTIL HARC FINAL DETERMINATION

ADA ASSISTANCE: It is the policy of the City of Key West to comply with all requirements of the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA). Please call the TTY number at 800-955-8771 or 800-955-8770 (Voice) or the ADA Coordinator at 305-809-3811 at least five business days in advance for sign language interpreters, assistive listening devices, or materials in accessible format.

HARC POSTING AFFIDAVIT

STATE OF FLORIDA: COUNTY OF MONROE:

BEFORE ME, the undersigned authority, personally appeared
who first being duly sworp on oath
depose and says that the following statements are true and correct to the best of his/her knowledge and belief:
me, ner miewedge and belief.
1. That a legal notice for Public Notice of Hearing of the Historic Architectural Review Commission (HARC) was placed on the following address:
18 day of Mo , 20 22. on the
This legal notice(s) contained an area of at least 8.5"x11".
The property was posted to notice a public hearing before the Key West Historic Architectural Review Commission to be held on
The legal notice(s) is/are clearly visible from the public street adjacent to the property.
The Certificate of Appropriateness number for this legal notice is
2. A photograph of that legal notice posted in the property is attached hereto.
Signed Name of Affiant: 7. SETH NEWL Date: 5-24-2022 Address: 22974 0 VENSEN MW City: CLOSOF VE State, Zip: FU 33047
The forgoing instrument was acknowledged before me on this day of, 20_2
By (Print name of Affiant)
NOTARY PUBLIC Jung Wen Sign Name:
Print Name: Telly 09 cll
Notary Public - State of Florida (seal) Commission # HH 250430
My Commission Expires: Aff 1 7, 2026 Expires April 7, 2026





PROPERTY APPRAISER INFORMATION

Disdaimer

The Monroe County Property Appraiser's office maintains data on property within the County solely for the purpose of fulfilling its responsibility to secure a just valuation for ad valorem tax purposes of all property within the County. The Monroe County Property Appraiser's office cannot guarantee its accuracy for any other purpose. Likewise, data provided regarding one tax year may not be applicable in prior or subsequent years. By requesting such data, you hereby understand and agree that the data is intended for ad valorem tax purposes only and should not be relied on for any other purpose.

By continuing into this site you assert that you have read and agree to the above statement.

Summary

Parcel ID Accounts 00029590-000000 1030350 Property ID Miliage Group 1030350

10KW 1209 ROYALST, KEY WEST Location

KW INVESTMENT COSUB PB1-49 LOT 11 SQR 2 TR 12 OR290-339/43 OR966-560 Legal Description

OR1172-1394/95 OR2268-74 OR2313-1358 (Note: Not to be used on legal documents.)

6096

Neighborhood Property SINGLE FAMILY RESID (0100)

Class Subdivision

Sec/Twp/Rng Affordable 05/68/25

Housing



Owner

PATTERSON ROBERT MATVICHUK PETER P 6 Silas Meriam Wav 6 Silas Meriam Wav Middleton MA 01949 Middleton MA 01949

Valuation

	2021	2020	2019	2018
+ Market Improvement Value	\$77,921	\$79,003	\$73,592	\$73,592
+ Market Misc Value	\$1,257	\$1,257	\$1,257	\$1,257
+ Market Land Value	\$473,575	\$519,178	\$514,857	\$502,515
 Just Market Value 	\$552,753	\$599,438	\$589,706	\$577,364
= Total Assessed Value	\$552,753	\$599,438	\$589,706	\$565,764
- School Exempt Value	\$ 0	\$0	\$0	\$0
- School Tarable Value	\$559.759	\$500.498	\$599.704	\$577.94A

Land

Land Use	Number of Units	Unit Type	Frontage	Depth
RESIDENTIAL DRY (010D)	4,278.00	Square Foot	46	93

Buildings

Building ID 2282 Style Building Type 1 STORY ELEV FOUNDATION S.F.R.- R1/R1 **682** Finished Sq Ft Stories Condition Perimeter Functional Obs GOOD Depreciation % Interior Walls WALL BD/WD WAL

Exterior Walls ABOVE AVERAGE WOOD 1948 2010 CONCRETE Year Built Effective Ye Foundation GABLE/HIP METAL Roof Type Roof Coverage Flooring Type Heating Type

CERM/CLAY TILE

Code	Description	Sketch Area	Finished Area	Perimeter
FLA	FLOOR LIV AREA	670	670	0
OPU	OP PR UNFIN LL	12	0	0
TOTAL		682	670	0

Yard Items

Description	Year Built	RollYear	Quantity	Units	Grade
FENCES	1984	1985	1	100 SF	2
CONC PATIO	1984	1985	1	16 SF	1
CONC PATIO	1984	1985	1	160 SF	1
CONC PATIO	1984	1985	1	180 SF	1

Sales

Sale Date	Sale Price	Instrument	Instrument Number	Deed Book	Deed Page	Sale Qualification	Vacant or Improved
3/24/2022	\$940,000	Warranty Deed	2367795	3163	1899	01 - Qualified	Improved
100 N NA	\$102.000	Morrooty Dood		1172	1904	O- Cupilford	Improved


Permits

Number \$	Date Issued \$	Date Completed	Amount ◆	Permit Type \$	Nates ♦
0000389	2/18/2000	11/29/2000	\$150		REPLACE 100 SF SIDING

View Tax Info

View Taxes for this Parcel

Sketches (click to enlarge)



Photos





Мар



TRIM Notice

2021 TRIM Notice (PDF)

2021 Notices Only

The Monroe County Property Appraiser's office maintains data on property within the County solely for the purpose of fulfilling its responsibility to secure a just valuation for ad valorem tax purposes of all property within the County. The Monroe County Property Appraiser's office cannot guarantee its accuracy for army other purpose, likewise, data provided pending one tax year may not be applicable in prior or subsequent years. By requesting such data, you hereby understand and agree that the

User Privacy Policy GDPR Privacy Notice

Last Data Upload: 5/13/2022, 9:12:59 AM

Developed by

Schneider

GEOSPATIAL

Version 2,3,194