

Historic Architectural Review Commission Staff Report for Item 4

To: Chairman Bryan Green and Historic Architectural Review

Commission Members

From: Enid Torregrosa-Silva, MSHP

Historic Preservation Planner

Meeting Date: November 23, 2021

Applicant: Artibus Design, Engineers

Application Number: H2021-0050

Address: 317 Whitehead Street

Description of Work:

Demolition of CMU structure. Demolition of connection to historic one-story wood frame structure. Second Reading.

Site Facts:

The site under review consists of two historic buildings. The northernmost structure, originally known as 311 Whitehead Street, is a contributing resource build circa 1910 and it is a one-story frame structure used as an office in the past years. The building to the south is a CMU one-story structure with a two-story addition at its rear. The building was built circa 1960 and the rear addition is from 1975. The structure was built for offices until the previous owners sold it this year. Currently both buildings are attached.

A BPAS approval will be required for conversion of office space into residence. On October 27, 2021 the Commission approved submitted design plans for renovations of the historic frame vernacular house, for a new two-story frame house and the first reading for demolition of a one-story cmu structure.

Ordinance Cited on Review:

• Section 102-217 (3), demolition for contributing and historic structures

of the Land Development Regulations for the cmu building and wood elements.

Staff Analysis:

The Certificate of Appropriateness proposes the demolition of a cmu structure, build circa 1960, with an addition dated 1975.

It is staff's opinion that the request for this demolition should be reviewed based by the demolition criteria of Chapter 102 Section 218 (a) of the LDR's. The criteria state the following.

- (a) The historic architectural review commission shall issue a certificate of appropriateness for an application for demolition:
 - (1) If the subject of the application is a contributing or historic building or structure, then it should not be demolished unless its condition is irrevocably compromised by extreme deterioration or it does not meet any of the criteria of section 102-125(1) through (9).

It is staff's opinion that the existing cmu building shows signs of deterioration but not to the extent of irrevocable compromised by extreme deterioration. The building is historic due to its more than 50 years of construction, but it does not belong to the period of significance of the historic district which is 1949.

The following is the criteria of section 102-125:

- 1 Embodies no distinctive characteristics of a type, period, or method of construction of aesthetic or historic significance in the city and is not a significant and distinguishable building entity whose components may lack individual distinction;
 - Staff opines that the building does not possess distinctive characteristics of the period it was built. The front elevation was completely altered with a more traditional form and textures; therefore, the building has lost its integrity on its principal façade and it is not a distinguishable example of its time.
- 2 Is not specifically associated with events that have made a significant contribution to local, state, or national history;
 - Staff understands that no significant events have ever occurred in the site relevant to local, state, or national history.
- 3 Has no significant character, interest, or value as part of the development, heritage, or cultural characteristics of the city, state or nation, and is not associated with the life of a person significant in the past;

The building under review is not a significant or important part of the development, heritage, or cultural record of the city.

4 Is not the site of a historic event with a significant effect upon society;

The site is not associated to any significant event.

5 Does not exemplify the cultural, political, economic, social, or historic heritage of the city;

This is not the case.

6 Does not portray the environment in an era of history characterized by a distinctive architectural style;

The building in question cannot be considered a unique example of a distinctive architectural style as its main character defining features have been altered and lost.

7 If a part of or related to a square, park, or other distinctive area, nevertheless should not be developed or preserved according to a plan based on the area's historic, cultural, natural, or architectural motif;

This is not the case.

8 Does not have a unique location or singular physical characteristic which represents an established and familiar visual feature of its neighborhood or of the city, and does not exemplify the best remaining architectural type in a neighborhood; and

The building in question does not exemplify a remaining architectural type in its neighborhood and does not possess any significant familiar visual features.

9 Has not yielded, and is not likely to yield, information important in history.

The building in question does not yield important information in history.

In conclusion, it is staff's opinion that the request for demolition of the cmu building and connector to the historic wood frame house can be considered by the Commission as it meets the criteria for demolition stated under the Land Development Regulations. If approved, this will be the last of two required readings.

APPLICATION

HARC MAJOR PROJECTS CERTIFICATE OF APPROPRIATENESS

\$420 NON-REFUNDABLE BASE APPLICATION FEE - OTHER FEES MAY BE APPLICABLE Rev 12/14/2020 FT



City of Key West 1300 White Street Key West, Florida 33040

HARC COA#	REVISION #	INITIAL & DATE	
FLOOD ZONE	ZONING DISTRICT	BLDG PERMIT #	

A PRE-APPLICATION MEETING WITH HARC STAFF IS REQUIRED PRIOR TO SUBMITTAL

ADDRESS OF PROPOSED PROJECT:	317 Whitehead St	
NAME ON DEED:	Michael R Marceau	PHONE NUMBER
OWNER'S MAILING ADDRESS:	PO Box 584, Kennebunkport ME 04046	EMAIL
APPLICANT NAME:	Serge Mashtakov P.E.	PHONE NUMBER (305) 304-3512
APPLICANT'S ADDRESS:	3710 N Roosevelt Blvd, Key West, FL 33040	EMAIL Serge@artibusdesign.com
APPLICANT'S SIGNATURE:	Serge Mashtakov	DATE 08/10/2021
ANY PERSON THAT MAKES CHANGES	TO AN APPROVED CERTIFICATE OF APPROPRIATEN	ECC MUCT CURMIT A NEW ARRANGE
PROJECT INVOLVES A STRUCTURE TH	OF WINDOWS RELOCATION OF A STRUCTURE STRUCTURE: YES NO INVOLVES A I	HISTORIC STRUCTURE: YES X_NO STER: YESNO X
	ON INCLUDING MATERIALS, HEIGHT, DIMENSIONS, SO	
existing wood frame windows sonlesses	historic one story wood frame, restoration of the external of the external of the fraction of the external of	erior siding, replacement and repairs to
	nent of roofing (victorian shingles), demolition of the e	
Wood siding exterior aluminum window	olition of joining link, replacement with new detached	principle structure 2 story wood frame
MAIN BUILDING: Restoration of wood	vs, wood front doors, victorian shingle metal roofing, + siding, windows and doors, on front and exposed side	-/- 29'-11" total height from crown of road.
and window rear elevation, new interior	build-out.	e elevations, new aluminum sliding doors
DEMOLITION (PLEASE FILL OUT AND A	ATTACH DEMOLITION APPENDIX):	

APPLICATIONS MUST BE SUBMITTED IN PERSON WITH HARD COPIES BY 3PM ON THE SCHEDULED DEADLINE PLEASE SEND AN ELECTRONIC COPY OF ALL DOCUMENTS CITY_HARC@CITYOFKEYWEST-FL.GOV

ACCESSORY STRUCTURE(S):	
PAVERS: HARC approved pavers, pervious style	FENCES: 6ft wood picket fences on rear and left side property line
to replace existing concrete and provide better drainage for	HARC approved style. Existing fence on right side to remain.
major tree located on the right property line.	g and side to ramain.
DECKS: Existing concrete front porch to remain.	PAINTING: White paint both buildings or HARC approved
New house wood frame front porches, historic style T&G decking	pastel color
Rear pool deck - composite over wood frame.	
SITE (INCLUDING GRADING, FILL, TREES, ETC):	POOLS (INCLUDING EQUIPMENT):
No major grading is proposed.	16ftx18ft pool in the rear of the property, pool equipment
	behind decorative fountain wall in the back.
ACCESSORY EQUIPMENT (GAS, A/C, VENTS, ETC):	OTHER:
A/C equipment on the sides, 2 units together with trash and recicle	
area behind 6ft privacy fence on right side. One unit on left side	

OFFICIAL USE ONLY:	HARC COMMISSION REVIEW	EXPIRES ON:
MEETING DATE:	APPROVEDNOT APPROVEDDEFERRED FOR FUTURE CONSIDERATION	INITIAL:
MEETING DATE;	APPROVEDNOT APPROVEDDEFERRED FOR FUTURE CONSIDERATION	INITIAL:
MEETING DATE: REASONS OR CONDITIONS:	APPROVEDNOT APPROVEDDEFERRED FOR FUTURE CONSIDERATION	INITIAL:
	FRET SHALL NOT GREED 4 PREST	
	FRET SHALL NOT GROED 4 PRET	
MOSTH TIDE 10	FRET SHALL NOT GROED & PERT	
MOSTH TIDE 10	FROT SHALL NOT EXCORD 4 FROM SECOND READING FOR DEMO:	

THIS APPLICATION MAY BE REVIEWED BY PLANNING DEPARTMENT STAFF.

HARC CERTIFICATE OF APPROPRIATENESS: DEMOLITION APPENDIX



HARC COA#	INITIAL & DATE
20 21 - COGO ZONING DISTRICT	BLDG PERMIT #
	DECO / ENGINE W

ADDRESS OF PROPOSED PROJECT:

PROPERTY OWNER'S NAME:

APPLICANT NAME:

317 Whitehead St

Michael R Marceau

Serge Mashtakov P.E.

I hereby certify I am the owner of record and that the work shall conform to all applicable laws of this jurisdiction. By receiving a Certificate of Appropriateness, I realize that this project will require a Building Permit approval PRIOR to proceeding with the work outlined above and that a final inspection is required under this application. I also understand that any changes to an approved Certificate of Appropriateness must be submitted for review.

PROPERTY OWNER'S SIGNATURE

DATE AND PRINT NAME

DETAILED PROJECT DESCRIPTION OF DEMOLITION

Demolish existing two story CMU structure with wood frame front porch enclosure and joining link between the historic 1 story wood frame structure and the CMU building. Manually demolish concrete drive to protect root system of the existing tree.

CRITERIA FOR DEMOLITION OF CONTRIBUTING OR HISTORIC STRUCTURES:

Before any Certificate of Appropriateness may be issued for a demolition request, the Historic Architectural Review Commission must find that the following requirements are met (please review and comment on each criterion that applies):

- (1) If the subject of the application is a contributing or historic building or structure, then it should not be demolished unless its condition is irrevocably compromised by extreme deterioration or it does not meet any of the following criteria:
 - (a) The existing condition of the building or structure is irrevocably compromised by extreme deterioration.

The existing concrete structure is experiencing seveier concrete spalling damage in many areas and shows signs of spalling propagation in others. It appears that the concrete used has high chlorine content (salt in the agreegate) and spalling damage will continue to propagate. Permit records show concrete repairs building permit back in 1995 of a small value which clearly wasn't effective


- (2) Or explain how the building or structure meets the criteria below:
 - (a) Embodies no distinctive characteristics of a type, period, or method of construction of aesthetic or historic significance in the city and is not a significant and distinguishable building entity whose components may lack individual distinction.

The building has strictly itilitarian office/commercial building look for a structure constructed in 1963. With later alterations and enclosure of the front porch to mimic the adecent wood frame structure. Which in reality destroid and altered any estetical value of the 1963 modern style front entry into the efforce. Later 2nd story addition further deteriated the character of the building and is currently a nuisance to the otherwise beatiful neighborhood.


(b)	Is not specifically associated with events that have made a significant contribution to local, state, or national history.
	nown events that made a significant contribution to local, state or national history have occured in this building.
(c)	Has no significant character, interest, or value as part of the development, heritage, or cultural characteristics of the citate or nation, and is not associated with the life of a person significant in the past.
No I	known historically significant persons associated with this structure.
(d)	Is not the site of a historic event with significant effect upon society.
	building wasn't a site of any historica event important to Key West society.
	The state of the s
(e)	Does not exemplify the cultural, political, economic, social, or historic heritage of the city.
Does	s not exemplify any cultural, political, economic, social or historic heritage of Key West.
(f)	Does not portray the environment in an era of history characterized by a distinctive architectural style.
No	distinctive character or architectural style can be assigned to what is left of the structure.
(g)	If a part of or related to a square, park, or other distinctive area, nevertheless should not be developed or preserved
	according to a plan based on the area's historic, cultural, natural, or architectural motif.
	N/A
(h)	Door not have a unique leading as its standard to the standard
(11)	Does not have a unique location or singular physical characteristic which represents an established and familiar visual feature of its neighborhood or of the city and does not exemplify the best remaining architectural type in a neighborhood.
	N/A


(i) Has not yielded, and is not likely to yield, information important in history,
Not likely to yield any important historical information.
CRITERIA FOR DEMOLITION OF NON-CONTRIBUTING OR NON-HISTORIC STRUCTURES:
The following criteria will also be reviewed by the Historic Architectural Review Commission for proposed demolitions. The Commission shall not issue a Certificate of Appropriateness that would result in the following conditions (please review and comment on each criterion that applies):
(1) Removing buildings or structure that are important in defining the overall historic character of a district or neighborhood so that the character is diminished.
The building is not important to the overall historic character of a district. If anything it is a nuisance and
a distruction to the neighborhood it is located in.
(2) Removing historic buildings or structures and thus destroying the historic relationship between buildings or structures and open space.
Proposed demolition actually restores historical accuracy by removing a link between one story wood frame historical
structure and to be replaced with properly proportioned wood frame structure following the style of the distict.
(3) Removing an historic building or structure in a complex; or removing a building façade; or removing a significant later addition that is important in defining the historic character of a site or the surrounding district or neighborhood.
The CMU structure, the joining link, the 2nd story rear addition nor later wood frame enclosure in the front are not important in defining
the historic character of the site and are only obstracting the existing wood frame 1 story part of the compound.
(4) Removing buildings or structures that would otherwise qualify as contributing.
N/A

Nothing in this application is intended to alter the authority of the Building Official to condemn for demolition dangerous buildings, as provided in Section 102-218 of the Land Development Regulations and Chapter 14 of the Code of Ordinances.




1912 Sanborn Map





1948 Sanborn Map



1962 Sanborn Map

PROJECT PHOTOS



311 Whitehead Street circa 1910. Monroe County Library.



1941 Aerial photo. Monroe County Library.



311 Whitehead Street. Monroe County Library.



317 Whitehead Street circa 1965. Monroe County Library.

309-317 WHITEHEAD ST. HISTORICAL PHOTOS



311 WHITEHEAD STREET (PART OF 317 NOW)



317 WHITEHEAD STREET

317 WHITEHEAD ST. EXISTING BUILDING PHOTOS



HISTORICAL BUILDING FRONT SIDE VIEW



HISTORICAL BUILDING LEFT SIDE VIEW

317 WHITEHEAD ST. EXISTING BUILDING PHOTOS



FRONT SIDE VIEW



HISTORICAL BUILDING REAR SIDE VIEW

317 WHITEHEAD ST. EXISTING BUILDING PHOTOS



LEFT SIDE VIEW



RIGHT SIDE VIEW

LEGAL DESCRIPTION: MAP OF BOUNDARY SURVEY On the Island of Key West, known on William A. Whitehead's map, delineated in February, A.D. 1829, as Part of Lot Three (3), in Square A PART OF LOT 3, SQUARE 25 Twenty-Five (25), described by metes and bounds as follows: WILLIAM A. WHITEHEAD'S MAP OF THE ISLAND OF KEY WEST Beginning at a point on Whitehead Street, One Hundred Eighty-Five KEY WEST, MONROE COUNTY, FLORIDA (185) feet from the corner of Caroline and Whitehead Streets; thence at right angles to Whitehead Street in a Northeasterly direction One ADDRESS: 317 WHITEHEAD STREET Hundred (100) feet; thence at right angles in a Northwesterly direction Sixteen and One-Fourth (16.25) feet; thence at right angles in a KEY WEST, FLORIDA 33040 Southwesterly direction Ten (10) feet; thence at right angles in a Northwesterly direction Sixteen and One-Fourth (16.25) feet; thence at CERTIFIED TO: right angles in a Southwesterly direction Ninety (90) feet to a point on Michael R. Marceau Whitehead Street; thence at right angles in a Southeasterly direction Spottswood, Spottswood & Sterling, PLLC along Whitehead Street Thirty-two and One-Half (32.50) feet to the Chicago Title Insurance Company Point of Beginning. Fidelity National Title Insurance Company AND On the Island of Key West, known on William A. Whitehead's map, delineated in February, A.D. 1829, as Part of Lot Three (3), in Square Twenty-Five (25), described by metes and bounds as follows: Commencing at a point on the Northeasterly side of Whitehead Street, distant One Hundred Eighty-Five (185) feet from the corner of the intersection of Whitehead Street and Caroline Street, and running thence at right angles to Whitehead Street in a Northeasterly direction One Hundred (100) feet; thence at right angles in a Southeasterly direction Thirty-Four (34) feet, Six (6) inches to the Northwesterly boundary of the land now owned by Virginia Lee Mock as described in deed recorded in Deed Book G-24, Pages 44 and 45, Monroe County, Florida Public Records; thence meandering the said Northwesterly boundary of the land of the said Virginia Lee Mock in a Southwesterly direction One Hundred (100) feet to Whitehead Street; thence in a Northwesterly direction along the Northeasterly line of Whitehead Street Thirty-Four (34) feet, Six (6) inches to the point or place of beginning. SUBJECT TO (an easement for ingress and egress newly authored by the undersigned) On the Island of Key West, Monroe County, Florida and being a part of Lot 3, Square 25, according to William A. Whitehead's Map of said Island, delineated in February, 1829 and being more particularly described as follows: COMMENCE at the intersection of the Northeasterly Right-of-Way Line of Whitehead Street and the Southeasterly Right of Way Line of Caroline Street; thence in a ABBREVIATIONS: Southeasterly direction along the said Northeasterly Right-of-Way line = CALCULATED = CENTRAL ANGLE of Whitehead Street for 152.50 feet to the POINT OF BEGINNING; = CENTERLINE thence Northeasterly 90.00 feet; thence Southeasterly 5.00 feet; thence = CHAINLINK FENCE Southwesterly 90.00 feet; thence Northwesterly 5.00 feet to the Point of CONC. = CONCRETE = DEED Beginning. = ELECTRIC BOX = ELECTRIC METER EM TOGETHER WITH (an easement for ingress and egress newly = FIELD = FENCE INSIDE authored by the undersigned) = FENCE OUTSIDE = FENCE ON LINE On the Island of Key West, Monroe County, Florida and being a part of = GROUND LEVEL = ARC LENGTH Lot 3, Square 25, according to William A. Whitehead's Map of said = MEASURED Island, delineated in February, 1829 and being more particularly NAVD = NORTH AMERICAN VERTICAL described as follows: COMMENCE at the intersection of the **DATUM 1988** NGS = NATIONAL GEODETIC SURVEY NGVD = NATIONAL GEODETIC VERTICAL Northeasterly Right-of-Way Line of Whitehead Street and the Southeasterly Right of Way Line of Caroline Street; thence in a DATUM (1929) Southeasterly direction along the said Northeasterly Right-of-Way line = PLAT of Whitehead Street for 152.50 feet to the POINT OF BEGINNING; = PERMANENT IDENTIFIER PID = RADIUS thence Northeasterly 90.00 feet; thence Northwesterly 5.00 feet; thence ROL = ROOF OVERHANG LINE Southwesterly 90.00 feet; thence Southeasterly 5.00 feet to the Point of SCO = SANITARY CLEAN-OUT Beginning. SMH = SANITARY MANHOLE = SEWER VALVE = WATER METER = WATER VALVE LINES NOT TO SCALE — PLATTED LOT LINES PLASTIC FENCE — — — — WOOD FENCE FIRE HYDRANT 🖒 CHAIN LINK FENCE —O——O— UTILITY POLE CONC. OVERHEAD WIRES UTILITY POLE METAL TO PROPERTY LINE UTILITY POLE WOOD 🕥 1.) THIS SURVEY WAS PERFORMED FOR THE SOLE AND EXCLUSIVE BENEFIT OF THE PARTIES LISTED HEREIN AND SHALL NOT BE RELIED UPON BY ANY OTHER ENTITY OR INDIVIDUAL WHOMSOEVER. LIKEWISE, ANY REUSE OF THIS SURVEY FOR ANY REECE & ASSOCIATES PURPOSE OTHER THAN WHICH WAS ORIGINALLY INTENDED, WITHOUT THE WRITTEN PERMISSION OF THE UNDERSIGNED SURVEYOR & MAPPER. WILL BE DONE SO AT PROFESSIONAL SURVEYORS AND MAPPERS THE RISK OF THE REUSING PARTY AND WITHOUT ANY LIABILITY TO THE LICENSED BUSINESS (LB) NO. 7846 UNDERSIGNED SURVEYOR & MAPPER. 2.) LEGAL DESCRIPTIONS HAVE BEEN FURNISHED BY THE CLIENT OR HIS/HER 127 INDUSTRIAL ROAD, BIG PINE KEY, FL. 33043 RÉPRESENTATIVE PUBLIC RECORDS HAVE NOT BEEN RESEARCHED BY THE OFFICE (305) 872 - 1348 SURVEYOR TO DETERMINE THE ACCURACY OF THESE DESCRIPTIONS NOR HAVE EMAIL: INFO@REECESURVEYING.COM ADJOINING PROPERTIES BEEN RESEARCHED TO DETERMINE OVERLAPS OR HIATUS 3.) THIS SURVEY DOES NOT PURPORT TO SHOW OWNERSHIP OF WALLS OR FENCES ALONG PROPERTY LINES I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THIS SURVEY WAS MADE UNDER MY RESPONSIBLE CHARGE 4.) ADDITIONS OR DELETIONS TO THIS SURVEY MAP BY OTHER THAN THE SIGNING AND MEETS THE STANDARDS OF PRACTICE AS SET FORTH BY THE FLORIDA BOARD PARTY OR PARTIES IS PROHIBITED WITHOUT WRITTEN CONSENT OF THE SIGNING OF PROFESSIONAL SURVEYORS AND MAPPERS IN CHAPTER 5J-17, FLORIDA SCALE: 1"=20' PARTY OR PARTIES ADMINISTRATIVE CODE, PURSUANT TO SECTION 472.027, FLORIDA STATUTES. THIS FIELD WORK 03/30/21 5.) FOUNDATIONS BENEATH THE SURFACE ARE NOT SHOWN. SURVEY, WHEN SCHEDULE B HAS BEEN PROVIDED MEETS THE PROVISIONS OF 6.) MEASURED DIMENSIONS EQUAL PLATTED OR DESCRIBED DIMENSIONS UNLESS FLORIDA ENDORSEMENT FORM 9, SUBPARAGRAPH 1(B) 1(SETBACKS), REVISION INDICATED OTHERWISE. 1(B)3(ENCROACHMENTS), & 1(B)4(EASEMENTS), SCHEDULE "B" HAS NOT BEEN 7.) UNITS OF MEASUREMENT ARE U.S. SURVEY FEET AND DECIMAL PARTS THEREOF. PROVIDED. 8.) THE EXPECTED USE OF THE PROPERTY IS RESIDENTIAL WITH AN ACCURACY SHEETS: 1 OF 1 NOT VALID WITHOUT THE STANDARD OF ONE FOOT IN 7,500 FEET. ACCURACY WAS VERIFIED THROUGH A SIGNATURE AND THE ORIGINAL DRAWN BY: GF REDUNDANCY OF MEASUREMENTS TO MEET OR EXCEED CLOSURE. RAISED SEAL OF A FLORIDA 9.) SYMBOLS SHOWN HEREON AND IN THE LEGEND MAY HAVE BEEN ENLARGED FOR SURVEYOR AND MAPPER CHECKED BY: RER CLARITY, THESE SYMBOLS HAVE BEEN PLOTTED AT THE CENTER OF THE FIELD ROBERT E. REECE

LOCATION AND MAY NOT REPRESENT THE ACTUAL SHAPE OR SIZE OF THE FEATURE

PROFESSIONAL SURVEYOR AND MAPPER

FLORIDA LICENSE NO. LS 5632

INVOICE NO.: 21022603

PROPOSED DESIGN

HARC PERMIT PLANS FOR 317 WHITEHEAD ST




SITE LOCATION


LOCATION MAP

PROJECT LOCATION:
317 WHITEHEAD ST,
KEY WEST, FL 33040

CLIENT:

MICAEL R MARCEAU





TUS: FINAL

ARTIBUS DESIGN

3710 N. ROOSEVELT BLVD KEY WEST, FL 33040 (305) 304-3512 www.ARTIBUSDESIGN.COM CA # 30835

ARTIBUS DESIGN

MICAEL R MARCEAU

317 WHITEHEAD ST


317 WHITEHEAD ST KEY WEST, FL 33040

EXISTING SITE PLAN

AS SHOWN 09/29/21 0A SAM

PROJECT NO: DRAWING NO: REVISION:

2106-01 C-101



SITE DATA:

TOTAL SITE AREA: $\pm 6,537.39$ SQ.FT

LAND USE: HRO FLOOD ZONE: AE6

SETBACKS

FRONT:

REQUIRED 5'-0"

EXISTING 13'-6-1/2"

PROPOSED NO CHANGES

LEFT SIDE:

REQUIRED 5'-0" EXISTING 4'-10"

PROPOSED NO CHANGES

RIGHT SIDE:

REQUIRED 5'-0" EXISTING 5'-4-1/2" PROPOSED ±6'-7"

IMPROVEMENT

REAR:

REQUIRED 10 FT EXISTING $5^{\prime}-2^{\prime\prime}$ PROPOSED $\pm 17^{\prime}-11^{\prime\prime}$

IMPROVEMENT

MAXIMUM IMPERVIOUS SURFACE RATIO:

REQUIRED: 60% (3,922.43 SQ.FT.)
EXISTING 79.81% (±5,517.89 SQ.FT.)
PROPOSED 58.43% (±3,820.39 SQ.FT.)

IMPROVEMENT

MAXIMUM BUILDING COVERAGE:


REQUIRED 50% (3,268.69 SQ.FT.)
EXISTING 52.21% (±3,413.7 SQ.FT)
PROPOSED 41.68% (±2,725.0 SQ.FT.)
IMPROVEMENT


OPEN SPACE MINIMUM:

REQUIRED 35% (2,288.08 SQ.FT.) EXISTING 20.18% (\pm 1,319.5 SQ.FT.) PROPOSED 35.07% (\pm 2,293.0 SQ.FT.)

IMPROVEMENT

NO BUILDING ADDITIONAL COVERAGE
TO THE REAR SETBACK IS PROPOSED
NO CALCULATIONS ARE PROVIDED





THIS DRAWING IS NOT VALID WITHOUT THE SIGNATURE AND ORIGINAL SEAL

THIS DRAWING IS NOT VALID WITHOUT THE SIGNATURE AND ORIGINAL SEAL

THIS DRAWING IS NOT VALID WITHOUT THE SIGNATURE AND ORIGINAL SEAL

THIS DRAWING IS NOT VALID WITHOUT THE SIGNATURE AND ORIGINAL SEAL

THIS DRAWING IS NOT VALID WITHOUT THE SIGNATURE AND ORIGINAL SEAL

THIS DRAWING IS NOT VALID WITHOUT THE SIGNATURE AND ORIGINAL SEAL

THIS DRAWING IS NOT VALID WITHOUT THE SIGNATURE AND ORIGINAL SEAL

THIS DRAWING IS NOT VALID WITHOUT THE SIGNATURE AND ORIGINAL SEAL

THIS DRAWING IS NOT VALID WITHOUT THE SIGNATURE AND ORIGINAL SEAL

THIS DRAWING IS NOT VALID WITHOUT THE SIGNATURE AND ORIGINAL SEAL

SIGNATURE:


DATE:

SERGE MASHTAKOV PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER

SERGE MASHTAKOV PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER

TO DAWNING NOT. REVISION:

2 1 06-01 A-101 1



THIS DRAWING IS NOT VALID WITHOUT THE SIGNATURE AND ORIGINAL SEAL

STATUS: FINAL


ARTIBUS DESIGN
ENGINEERING AND PLANNING

ARTIBUS DESIGN
ENGINEERING AND PLANNING

ARTIBUS DESIGN
STATURE AND ORIGINAL SEAL

ARTIBUS DESIGN
ENGINEERING AND PLANNING

ENGINEERING AND PLANNING
ENGINEERING AND PLANNING
ENGINEERING AND PLANNING
ENGINEERING AND PLANNING
ENGINEERING AND PLANNING
ENGINEERING AND PLANNING
ENGINEERING AND PLANNING
ENGINEERING AND PLANNING
ENGINEERING AND PLANNING
ENGINEERING AND PLANNING
ENGINEERING AND PLANNING
ENGINEERING AND PLANNING
ENGINEERING AND PLANNING
ENGINEERING AND PLANNING
ENGINEERING AND PLANNING
ENGINEERING AND PLANNING
ENGINEERING AND PLANNING
ENGINEERING AND PLANNING
ENGINEERING AND PLANNING
ENGINEERING AND PLANNING
ENGINEERING AND PLANNING
ENGINEERING AND PLANNING
ENGINEERING AND PLANNING
ENGINEERING AND PLANNING
ENGINEERING AND PLANNING
ENGINEERING AND PLANNING
ENGINEERING AND PLANNING
ENGINEERING AND PLANNING
ENGINEERING AND PLANNING
ENGINEERING AND PLANNING
ENGINEERING AND PLANNING
ENGINEERING AND PLANNING
ENGINEERING AND PLANNING
ENGINEERING AND PLANNING
ENGINEERING AND PLANNING
ENGINEERING AND PLANNING
ENGINEERING AND PLANNING
ENGINEERING AND PLANNING
ENGINEERING AND PLANNING
ENGINEERING AND PLANNING
ENGINEERING AND PLANNING
ENGINEERING AND PLANNING
ENGINEERING AND PLANNING
ENGINEERING AND PLANNING
ENGINEERING AND PLANNING
ENGINEERING AND PLANNING
ENGINEERING AND PLANNING
ENGINEERING AND PLANNING
ENGINEERING AND PLANNING
ENGINEERING AND PLANNING
ENGINEERING ENGINEERING AND PLANNING
ENGINEERING ENGINEERING ENGINEERING ENGINEERING
ENGINEERING ENGIN



DRAWING IS NOT VALID WITHOUT THE SIGNATURE AND ORIGINAL SEAL

DRAWING IS NOT VALID WITHOUT THE SIGNATURE AND ORIGINAL SEAL

DRAWING IS NOT VALID WITHOUT THE SIGNATURE AND ORIGINAL SEAL

DRAWING IS NOT VALID WITHOUT THE SIGNATURE AND ORIGINAL SEAL

DRAWING IS NOT VALID WITHOUT THE SIGNATURE AND ORIGINAL SEAL

DRAWING IS NOT VALID WITHOUT THE SIGNATURE AND ORIGINAL SEAL

DRAWING IS NOT VALID WITHOUT THE SIGNATURE AND ORIGINAL SEAL

DRAWING IS NOT VALID WITHOUT THE SIGNATURE AND ORIGINAL SEAL

DRAWING IS NOT VALID WITHOUT THE SIGNATURE AND ORIGINAL SEAL

DRAWING IS NOT VALID WITHOUT THE SIGNATURE AND ORIGINAL SEAL

DRAWING IS NOT VALID WITHOUT THE SIGNATURE AND ORIGINAL SEAL

DRAWING IS NOT VALID WITHOUT THE SIGNATURE AND ORIGINAL SEAL

DRAWING IS NOT VALID WITHOUT THE SIGNATURE AND ORIGINAL SEAL

DRAWING IS NOT VALID WITHOUT THE SIGNATURE AND ORIGINAL SEAL

DRAWING IS NOT VALID WITHOUT THE SIGNATURE AND ORIGINAL SEAL

DRAWING IS NOT VALID WITHOUT THE SIGNATURE AND ORIGINAL SEAL

DRAWING IS NOT VALID WITHOUT THE SIGNATURE AND ORIGINAL SEAL

DRAWING IS NOT VALID WITHOUT THE SIGNATURE AND ORIGINAL SEAL

DRAWING IS NOT VALID WITHOUT THE SIGNATURE AND ORIGINAL SEAL

SITE:

317 WHITEHEAD ST

KEY WEST, FL 33040

TITLE:

PROPOSED FLOOR PLAN

SEALE AT 11117: DATE:

AS SHOWN D9/29/21 DA SAM

PROJECT NO: DRAWING NO: REVISION:

2106-01 A-103

SERGE MASHTAKOV PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER STATE OF FLORIDA LICENSE NO 71480





ARTIBUS DESIGN
ENGINEERING AND PLANNING

ARTIBUS DESIGN
ENGINEERING AND PLANNING

ARTIBUS DESIGN
3710 N. ROOSEVELT BLVD
KEY WEST, FL 33040
(305) 304-3512
www.ARTIBUSDESIGN.COM
CA # 30835

MICAEL R MARCEAU


317 WHITEHEAD ST

TURE:

SERGE MASHTAKOV PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER STATE OF FLORIDA LIGENSE NO 71480







THIS DRAWING IS NOT VALID WITHOUT THE SIGNATURE AND DRIGINAL SEAL

THIS DRAWING IS NOT VALID WITHOUT THE SIGNATURE AND DRIGINAL SEAL

SIGNATURE:

DATE:

SIGNATURE:

DATE:

SERGE MASHTAKOV PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER STATE OF FLORIDA LICENSE NO 71480

PROJECT:

SERGE MASHTAKOV PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER STATE OF FLORIDA LICENSE NO 71480


REV. DESCRIPTION:

BY: DATE:

STATUS: FINAL

ARTIBUS DESIGN

ARTIBUS D





THIS DRAWING IS NOT VALID WITHOUT THE SIGNATURE AND ORIGINAL SEAL

STATUS: FINAL

ARTIBUS DESIGN
ENGINEERING AND PLANNING

ARTIBUS DESIGN
3710 N. RODSEVELT BLVD
KEY WEST, FL 33040
(305) 304-3512
WWW.ARTIBUSDESIGN.COM
CA # 30835

GLIENT: MICAEL R MARCEAU

PROJECT:
317 WHITEHEAD ST
KEY WEST, FL 33040
TITLE: PROPOSED ELEVATIONS

SCALE AT 11x17: DATE: DRAWN: DHEDKED:
AS SHOWN 09/29/21 DA SAM
PROJECT DRAWN: OP/29/21 DA SAM
PROJECT DRAWN: OP/29/21 DA SAM
PROJECT DRAWN: REVISION:
2106-01 A-107 1



BASE FLOOD EL. AE6 - - - - - -

PROPOSED LEFT ELEVATION (ONLY NEW STRUCTURE SHOWN)

> REV: DESCRIPTION: BY: DATE:
> STATUS: FINAL ARTIBUS DESIGN
> ENGINEERING AND PLANNING ARTIBUS DESIGN 3710 N. ROOSEVELT BLVD KEY WEST, FL 33040 (305) 304-3512 www.ARTIBUSDESIGN.COM CA # 30835 MICAEL R MARCEAU 317 WHITEHEAD ST 317 WHITEHEAD ST KEY WEST, FL 33040 PROPOSED ELEVATIONS SERGE MASHTAKOV PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER STATE OF FLORIDA LICENSE NO 71480

2106-01 A-108

The Historic Architectural Review Commission will hold a public meeting at 5:00 p.m., October 27, 2021 at City Hall, 1300 White Street, Key West, Florida. In order to view the live feed of the meeting, you can tune in to Comcast channel 77, AT&T Uverse channel 99, or type this link into your browser:

http://keywestcity.granicus.com/MediaPlayer.php?publish_id=1&embed=1 If you wish to participate virtually, please contact HARC staff at 305-809-3975. The purpose of the hearing will be to consider a request for:

RENOVATIONS TO HISTORIC ONE-STORY WOOD FRAME STRUCTURE. CONSTRUCTION OF NEW TWO-STORY WOOD FRAME STRUCTURE TO REPLACE EXISTING CMU STRUCTURE. SITE IMPROVEMENTS. DEMOLITION OF CMU STRUCTURE. DEMOLITION OF CONNECTION TO HISTORIC ONE-STORY WOOD FRAME STRUCTURE.

#317 WHITEHEAD STREET

Applicant – Artibus Design App

Application #H2021-0050

If you wish to see the application or have any questions, you may visit the Planning Department during regular office hours at 1300 White Street, call 305-809-3973 or visit our website at www.citvofkevwest-fl.gov.

THIS NOTICE CAN NOT BE REMOVED FROM THE SITE UNTIL HARC FINAL DETERMINATION

ADA ASSISTANCE: It is the policy of the City of Key West to comply with all requirements of the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA). Please call the TTY number at 800-955-8771 or 800-955-8770 (Voice) or the ADA Coordinator at 305-809-3811 at least five business days in advance for sign language interpreters, assistive listening devices, or materials in accessible format.

PROPERTY APPRAISER INFORMATION



Disclaimer

The Monroe County Property Appraiser's office maintains data on property within the County solely for the purpose of fulfilling its responsibility to secure a just valuation for ad valorem tax purposes of all property within the County. The Monroe County Property Appraiser's office cannot guarantee its accuracy for any other purpose. Likewise, data provided regarding one tax year may not be applicable in prior or subsequent years. By requesting such data, you hereby understand and agree that the data is intended for ad valorem tax purposes only and should not be relied on for any other purpose.

By continuing into this site you assert that you have read and agree to the above statement.

Summary

Parcel ID 00004490-000000 1004677 Account# 1004677 Property ID Millage Group 10KW

Location

317 WHITEHEAD St. KEY WEST Address

Legal

KW PT LT 3 SOR 25 G71-343/44 G72-528/29 A4-460/61 OR294-445/47 OR491-356/57 OR605-887 OR819-1809/12 OR819-1815/18 OR863-1434/35 OR877-1405 Description OR909-1035/36 OR2558-1823/24 OR3097-0350 OR3097-343

(Note: Not to be used on legal documents.)

Neighborhood

Property

ONE STORY OFFICE (1700)

Class Subdivision

06/68/25 Sec/Twp/Rng Affordable

Housing



Owner

MARCEAU MICHAEL R PO Box 584

Kennebunkport ME 04046

Valuation

	2021	2020	2019	2018
+ Market Improvement Value	\$196,353	\$196,353	\$205,854	\$0
+ Market Misc Value	\$2,215	\$2,235	\$2,256	\$0
+ Market Land Value	\$1,441,409	\$1,441,409	\$1,264,910	\$1,061,504
= Just Market Value	\$1,639,977	\$1,639,997	\$1,473,020	\$1,061,504
= Total Assessed Value	\$1,317,690	\$1,197,900	\$1,089,000	\$990,000
- School Exempt Value	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
= School Taxable Value	\$1,639,977	\$1,639,997	\$1,473,020	\$1,061,504

Land

Land Use	Number of Units	Unit Type	Frontage	Depth	
(1700)	6,537.00	Square Foot	67	100	

Commercial Buildings

Style OFFICE BLD-1 STORY / 17C

Gross Sq Ft 3,799 Finished Sa Ft 3 534 Perimiter 0 Stories

Interior Walls

Exterior Walls AB AVE WOOD SIDING

Quality 300 () Roof Type

Roof Material

Exterior Wall1 AB AVE WOOD SIDING

Exterior Wall2 Foundation Interior Finish **Ground Floor Area** Floor Cover **Full Bathrooms** Half Bathrooms **Heating Type** Year Built 1963

Year Remodeled Effective Year Built 1991

Condition Code	Description	Sketch Area	Finished Area	Perimeter
OPX	EXC OPEN PORCH	133	0	0
FLA	FLOOR LIV AREA	3,534	3,534	0
OPU	OP PR UNFIN LL	102	0	0
OUU	OP PR UNFIN UL	30	0	0
TOTAL		3,799	3,534	0

Yard Items

Description	Year Built	Roll Year	Quantity	Units	Grade
RW2	1975	1976	1	157 SF	3
FENCES	1989	1990	1	500 SF	2
UTILITY BLDG	1994	1995	1	18 SF	4
FENCES	1994	1995	1	30 SF	4

Sales

Sale Date	Sale Price	Instrument	Instrument Number	Deed Book	Deed Page	Sale Qualification	Vacant or Improved
5/5/2021	\$1,150,000	Warranty Deed	2319413	3097	0350	01 - Qualified	Improved
5/5/2021	\$100	Quit Claim Deed	2319411	3097	343	11 - Unqualified	Improved
3/7/2012	\$0	Quit Claim Deed		2558	1823	11 - Unqualified	Improved
4/1/1983	\$75,000	Warranty Deed		877	1405	U - Unqualified	Improved
2/1/1975	\$40,000	Conversion Code		605	887	Q - Qualified	Improved


Permits

Number ♦	Date Issued ♦	Date Completed 🗢	Amount ♦	Permit Type ◆	Notes ♦
15-4105	10/19/2015	5/13/2017	\$8,950	Commercial	REPLACE GRAVEL ROOF.
10-00000095	1/14/2010		\$500	Commercial	INSTALL SIGN
06-3374	6/5/2006	9/28/2006	\$2,000	Commercial	INSTALL TORCH DOWN MODIFIED RUBBER 1 1/2 SQRS.
05-4598	12/14/2005	12/31/2005	\$500	Commercial	RUN POWER FOR ARM ACROSS DRIVEWAY
05-4772	10/31/2005	12/31/2005	\$500	Commercial	EMERGENCY ELECTRIC REPAIR FROM WILMA
05-3183	10/7/2005	12/31/2005	\$5,415	Commercial	RELOCATE LIFTMASTER ARM AT BARRIER ENTRANCE
B953330	10/1/1995	12/1/1995	\$1,000	Commercial	CBS STORAGE BLDG/WALL
B953475	10/1/1995	12/1/1995	\$1,700	Commercial	CANVAS COVERED CARPORT
B951363	4/1/1995	8/1/1995	\$400	Commercial	REPAIR CONCRETE
B950502	2/1/1995	8/1/1995	\$1,200	Commercial	SCAPE/REPAINT BUILDING

View Tax Info

View Taxes for this Parcel

Sketches (click to enlarge)



Photos



Map



TRIM Notice

2021 TRIM Notice (PDF)

2021 Notices Only

 $\textbf{No data available for the following modules:} \ \textbf{Buildings}, \textbf{Mobile Home Buildings}, \textbf{Exemptions}.$

The Monroe County Property Appraiser's office maintains data on property within the County solely for the purpose of fulfilling its responsibility to secure a just valuation for ad valorem tax purposes of all property within the County. The Monroe County Property Appraiser's office cannot guarantee its accuracy for any other purpose. Likewise, data provided regarding one tax year may not be applicable in prior or subsequent years. By requesting such data, you hereby understand and agree that the User Privacy Policy GDPR Privacy Notice



Last Data Upload: 10/13/2021, 3:12:36 AM

Version 2.3.151