# Historic Architectural Review Commission Staff Report for Item 10 

To: Chairman Bryan Green and Historic Architectural Review Commission Members<br>From: Enid Torregrosa-Silva, MSHP<br>Historic Preservation Planner<br>Meeting Date: $\quad$ April 26, 2022<br>Applicant: T.S. Neal Architects<br>Application Number: H2022-0013<br>Address: 1106 Petronia Street

## Description of Work:

Renovations to existing residence including the replacement of vertical wood siding with board and batten. New one-story rear addition. Relocation of existing accessory structure to be used as pool house. New pool, deck, driveway, and site improvements.

## Site Facts:

The property under review contains a one and a half-story wood frame house listed as a contributing resource to the historic district. Portions of a one-story accessory structure is shared with the west side neighboring property, 1104 Petronia Street. The frame vernacular house was built circa 1883, while the construction date for the accessory structure dates approximately from 1972. Some changes to the house include four dormers with gable roofs, the most southernmost rear addition, and the existing east side addition. The latter two are not historic alterations.


Aerial photograph from 1972.
Arrows marking the accessory structure and the principal structure.

## Ordinance and Guidelines Cited on Review:

- Building exteriors-Wood (page 24), specifically guideline 1.
- Roofing (page 26), specifically guideline 1.
- Windows (pages 29-30), specifically guidelines 1,2 , and 3.
- Additions and alterations (pages 37a-37k), specifically guidelines $1,6,11,12,13,14,19$, 24,30 , and 31.
- New Construction (pages 38a-38q), specifically guidelines $1,2,12,18,22,23$, and 24.
- Decks, patios, hot tubs, spas, pools, and related equipment (page 39a), specifically first paragraph and guideline 1.
- Fences (pages 41-42), specifically 1, 2, and 3.
- Parking areas, landscaping, and open space environment (page 43), specifically guidelines 1, 3, and 6 .
- Lan Development Regulations, Section 102-252, Requirements precedent to relocation.


## Staff Analysis:

The Certificate of Appropriateness under review proposes renovations to the historic house consisting of repairs of wood windows and wood replacement windows for the dormers. In addition, new wood board and batten is proposed to replace existing wood tongue and groove board installed vertically in both, east and west elevations, and repairs of wood siding where needed. Other renovations to the historic house include replacement of existing gingerbread railings with same and posts replacements, all at the front porch. New metal shingle roofing and gutters system is noted in the plans. Furthermore, the plans include the replacement of an existing non-historic rear addition with a one-story frame structure. The historic sawtooth portion of the house has been altered in the east and south elevations, but the west elevation will be restored, including its roof form. The new proposed rear addition will have a gable roof and will extend approximately $4^{\prime}-6^{\prime \prime}$ to the east side of the house. Both side elevations of the new rear addition will be similar, and the rear elevation will have 5 insulated aluminum doors. The new addition will have wood lap siding with 5 " reveal and azek trims, 6 over 6 insulated windows, insulated impact aluminum doors at the rear, and metal 5 v -crimp as roofing system.

The plan also includes the relocation of a one-story accessory structure from the southwest corner of the lot to the southeast portion of the site. The structure will be installed overs a new deck and will be used as a pool cabana with a full bathroom. New impact windows and doors will be installed, and replacement of siding and metal shingles are proposed as needed.

A new pool and deck are proposed behind the historic house. The deck will be approximately 22 " above adjacent grade. In addition, a brick driveway is proposed on the northwest side of the lot and a 4 feet tall picket fence and gate are proposed as an entryway from the driveway to the front yard.

## Consistency with Cited Guidelines:

Staff finds the proposal to be consistent with cited guidelines. Staff also finds the relocation and re use of the accessory structure to be consistent with the relocation ordinance and the intent of the guidelines. This house has very unusual proportions due to the size and location of the four dormers. The proposed board and batten will accentuate the verticality of the house, but the design approach is a correct solution to stop water infiltration while maintaining the visual characteristics of the current siding.

## 1106 Petronia Street



## HARC MAJOR PROJECTS CERTIFICATE OF APPROPRIATENESS

$\$ 400$ NON-REFUNDABLE BASE APPLICATION FEE - OTHER FEES MAY BE APPLICABLE

## City of Key West

1300 White street
KEY WEST, FLORIDA 33040

| MARC COL \# |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| \& $2-00$ | REVISION \# | INITIAL \& DATE |
| FLOOD ZONE | ZONING DISTRICT <br> Hf | BLDG PERMIT \# |

A PRE-APPLICATION MEETING WITH HARC STAFF IS REQUIRED PRIOR TO SUBMITTAL
ADDRESS OF PROPOSED PROJECT:
NAME ON DEED:
OWNER'S MAILING ADDRESS:

APPLICANT NAME:
APPLICANT'S ADDRESS:

APPLICANT'S SIGNATURE:


ANY PERSON THAT MAKES CHANGES TO AN APPROVED CERTIFICATE OFAPPROPRIATENESS MUST SUBMIT A NEW APPLICATION. FLORIDA STATUTE 837.06: WHOEVER KNOWINGLY MAKES A FALSE STATEMENT IN WRITING AND WITH THE INTENT TO MISLEAD A PUBLIC SERVANT IN THE PERFORMANCE OF HIS OR HER OFFICIAL DUTY SHALL BE GUILTY OF A MISDEMEANOR OF THE SECOND DEGREE PUNISHABLE PER SECTION 775.082 OR 775.083. THE APPLICANT FURTHER HEREBY ACKNOWLEDGES THAT THE SCOPE OF WORK AS DESCRIBED IN THE APPLICATION SHALL BE THE SCOPE OF WORK THAT IS CONTEMPLATED BY THE APPLICANT AND THE CITY. THE APPLICANT FURTHER STIPULATES THAT SHOULD FURTHER ACTION BE TAKEN BY THE CITY FOR EXCEEDING THE SCOPE OF THE DESCRIPTION OF WORK, AS DESCRIBED HEREIN, AND IF THERE IS CONFLICTING INFORMATION BETWEEN THE DESCRIPTION OF WORK AND THE SUBMITTED PLANS, THE AFOREMENTIONED DESCRIPTION OF WORK SHALL BE CONTROLLING

$$
\text { SOME } \quad \text { ACEDSSONT }
$$

PROJECT INCLUDES: REPLACEMENT OF WINDOWS $\nless$ RELOCATION OF A STRUCTURE $\&$ ELEVATION OF A STRUCTURE PROJECT INVOLVES A CONTRIBUTING STRUCTURE: YES __ NO __ INVOLVES A HISTORIC STRUCTURE: YES $\mathbb{X}$ NO__ PROJECT INVOLVES A STRUCTURE THAT IS INDIVIDUALLY LISTED ON THE NATIONAL REGISTER: YES $\qquad$ No \&

DETAILED PROJECT DESCRIPTION INCLUDING MATERIALS, HEIGHT, DIMENSIONS, SQUARE FOOTAGE, LOCATION, ETC.
GENERAL: RENOVATION OF EXISTING RSSIOBNEE, NEW POOL DECK AC REM Y/ORO, ONO RSLOCNOTS SAL STMUCTUNS [POO MOL F) ON PROPERTY.

MAIN BUILDING: REFPCACS FOUNDATIONS, COMPLETE IMFINDL ROWOVDTION, NEW ROOF, ON SITS PARKING SPAE, REPLACE VELTIENL SIDING W. BOARD + BOATS SIDING, NEW POOL + DECK AT


DEMOLITION (PLEASE FILL OUT AND ATTACH DEMOLITION APPENDIX):

APPLICATIONS MUST BE SUBMITTED IN PERSON WITH HARD COPIES BY 3PM ON THE SCHEDULED DEADLINE PLEASE SEND AN ELECTRONIC COPY OF ALL DOCUMENTS TO HARC@CITYOFKEYWEST-FL.GOV



THIS APPLICATION MAY BE REVIEWED BY PLANNING DEPARTMENT STAFF.

# HARC Certificate of Appropriateness: Demolition Appendix 



## City of Key West

1300 WHITE STREET
KEY WEST, FLORIDA 33040

| MARC COA \# | INITIAL \& DATE |
| :--- | :--- |
| ZONING DISTRICT | BLDG PERMIT \# |

ADDRESS OF PROPOSED PROJECT:
PROPERTY OWNERS NAME: JOHN $\sigma$ KRISTIN COMBS
APPLICANT NAME:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 1106 \text { PETRONHA STRESS } \\
& \text { JOHN }-~ K R I S T E N ~ C O M B S ~ \\
& \text { SETHNENL }
\end{aligned}
$$

1 hereby certify I am the owner of record and that the work shall conform to all applicable laws of this jurisdiction. By receiving a Certificate of Appropriateness, I realize that this project will require a Building Permit approval PRIOR to proceeding with the work outlined above and that a final inspection is required under this application. I also understand that any changes to an approved Certificate of Appropriateness must be submitted for review.


DETAILED PROJECT DESCRIPTION OF DEMOLITION

> REMOVES EXISTING FOUNDATIONS FLOORS. REMOVE EXISTING REAL A SYMMETLLLAL SDNTDATM SDDTION, REMOVE EXSTIVC REAR O SIDE TACHES

## CRITERIA FOR DEMOLITION OF CONTRIBUTING OR HISTORIC STRUCTURES:

Before any Certificate of Appropriateness may be issued for a demolition request, the Historic Architectural Review Commission must find that the following requirements are met (please review and comment on each criterion that applies);
(1) If the subject of the application is a contributing or historic building or structure, then it should not be demolished unless its condition is irrevocably compromised by extreme deterioration or it does not meet any of the following criteria:
(a) The existing condition of the building or structure is irrevocably compromised by extreme deterioration.

(2) Or explain how the building or structure meets the criteria below:
(a) Embodies no distinctive characteristics of a type, period, or method of construction of aesthetic or historic significance in the city and is not a significant and distinguishable building entity whose components may lack individual distinction.
THE REAR A SYMMBTRLAL DOONION ANS THEN MOPITTES OVER THEYBAS F DDED ONTO W TH OTHER INFILL ADDITIONS O POACHES.

Nothing in this application is intended to alter the authority of the Building Official to condemn for demolition dangerous buildings, as provided in Section 102-218 of the Land Development Regulations and Chapter 14 of the Code of Ordinances.
(b) Is not specifically associated with events that have made a significant contribution to local, state, or national history.
NOT TO OVR KNOWLSO GF
(c) Has no significant character, interest, or value as part fo the development, heritage, or cultural characteristics of the city, state or nation, and is not associated with the life of a person significant in the past.

```
NON ARS KNOWN
```

(d) Is not the site of a historic event with significant effect upon society.
NOT TO OUR KNOW LEDCF
(e) Does not exemplify the cultural, political, economic, social, or historic heritage of the city. ITDOESS NOT
(f) Does not portray the environment in an era of history characterized by a distinctive architectural style.

IT potss no?
(g) If a part of or related to a square, park, or other distinctive area, nevertheless should not be developed or preserved according to a plan based on the area's historic, cultural, natural, or architectural motif.

No
(h) Does not have a unique location or singular physical characteristic which represents an established and familiar visual feature of its neighborhood or of the city, and does not exemplify the best remaining architectural type in a neighborhood.

Nothing in this application is intended to alter the authority of the Building Official to condemn for demolition dangerous buildings, as provided in Section 102-218 of the Land Development Regulations and Chapter 14 of the Code of Ordinances.

```
NO
```

(i) Has not yielded, and is not likely to yield, information important in history.

N

## CRITERIA FOR DEMOLITION OF NON-CONTRIBUTING OR NON-HISTORIC STRUCTURES:

The following criteria will also be reviewed by the Historic Architectural Review Commission for proposed demolitions. The Commission shall not issue a Certificate of Appropriateness that would result in the following conditions (please review and comment on each criterion that applies);
(1) Removing buildings or structures that are important in defining the overall historic character of a district or neighborhood so that the character is diminished.
 - wIll NOT DIMNISH. CMORACTOR OF NEICHBOLHODD
(2) Removing historic buildings or structures and thus destroying the historic relationship between buildings or structures and open space.

THE NEW DODTION WILL KEEP THE RELATION SHIES BET WEFT THE BUL DINES LODEN SORE.
(3) Removing an historic building or structure in a complex; or removing a building facade; or removing a significant later addition that is important in defining the historic character of a site or the surrounding district or neighborhood.

THERE IS NOTHING CHALAGIBL DEFINING ABOUT THESE DPPITIONS.
(4) Removing buildings or structures that would otherwise qualify as contributing.


April 13, 2022

HARC
1300 White Street
Key West FL 33040

## RE: 1104 and 1106 Petronia Rear Shed

Dear HARC Members:
This letter serves to confirm that I am in agreement with the Combs proposal to relocate the rear shed (currently located on the property line between our properties) onto their property. As you will recall, the Commission recently approved our plan which included removal of the shed from 1104 Petronia. In consideration of the Combs agreement to pay for the relocation, I have relinquished any rights I may have had to the rear shed.

Very truly yours,


Chris Mario
Owner 1104 Petronia






1106 Petronia Street circa 1965. Monroe County Library.









BEARING BASE:
ALL BEARINGS ARE BASED
ON N6554'O6"E ASSUMED ALONG THE CENTERLINE OF PETRONIA STREET.
ALL ANGLES DEPICTED ARE 90 DEGREES UNLESS OTHERWISE INDICATED

ALL UNITS ARE SHOWN IN U.S. SURVEY FEET

ADDRESS:
1106 PETRONIA STREET
KEY WEST, FL 33040
COMMUNITY NO: : 120168 MAP NO.: I 2087C-1516K MAP DATE: O2-18-2005 BASE FLOOD ELEVATION: N/A

## MAP OF BOUNDARY SURVEY



ASSUMED


LOCATION MAP - NTS











The Historic Architectural Review Commission will hold a public meeting at 5:00 p.m., Tuesdav, April 26, 2022 at City Hall, 1300 White Street, Key West, Florida. The purpose of the hearing will be to consider a request for:
RENOVATIONS OF EXISTING RESIDENCE INCLUDING THE REPLACEMENT OF VERTICAL WOOD SIDING WITH BOARD AND BATTEN. NEW ONE-STORY REAR ADDITION. RELOCATION OF EXISTING ACCESSORY STRUCTURE TO BE USED AS POOL HOUSE. NEW POOL, DECK, DRIVEWAY AND SITE IMPROVEMENTS. DEMOLITION OF ALTERED REAR ADDITION, SIDE ADDITION AND ACCESSORY STRUCTURE.

## \#1106 PETRONIA STREET

Applicant - T.S. Neal- Architect Application \#H2022-0013
If you wish to see the application or have any questions, you may visit the Planning Department during regular office hours at 1300 White Street, call 305-809-3973 or visit our website at www.cityofkeywest-fl.gov.

THIS NOTICE CAN NOT BE REMOVED FROM THE SITE UNTIL HARC FINAL DETERMINATION

## 융 qPublic.net ${ }^{\text {™ }}$ Monroe County, FL

## Disclaimer

The Monroe County Property Appraiser's office maintains data on property within the County solely for the purpose of fulfilling its responsibility to secure a just valuation for ad valorem tax purposes of all property within the County. The Monroe County Property Appraiser's office cannot guarantee its accuracy for any other purpose. Likewise, data provided regarding one tax year may not be applicable in prior or subsequent years. By requesting such data, you hereby understand and agree that the data is intended for ad valorem tax purposes only and should not be relied on for any other purpose.

By continuing into this site you assert that you have read and agree to the above statement.
Summary

| Parcel ID | 00022400-000000 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Account\# | 1023183 |
| Property ID | 1023183 |
| Millage Group | 10KW |
| Location | 1106 PETRONIA St, KEY WEST |
| Address |  |
| Legal | KW PT LOTS 7-10 SQR 4 TR 6 G2-78 OR1001-1777 OR1001-1778 OR1075-772/73 |
| Description | OR1206-518 OR1990-454/55 OR3134-0390 <br> (Note: Not to be used on legal documents.) |
| Neighborhood | 6103 |
| Property | SINGLE FAMILY RESID (0100) |
| Class |  |
| Subdivision |  |
| Sec/Twp/Rng | 05/68/25 |
| Affordable | No |
| Housing |  |



## Owner

| COMBS JOHN | COMBS KRISTEN |
| :--- | :--- |
| 3645 Reeds Lake Blvd SE | 3645 Reeds Lake Blvd SE |
| Grand Rapids MI 49506 | Grand Rapids MI 49506 |

## Valuation

|  | 2021 | 2020 | 2019 | 2018 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| + Market Improvement Value | \$126,597 | \$129,685 | \$104,711 | \$107,703 |
| + Market Misc Value | \$1,071 | \$1,071 | \$1,071 | \$1,071 |
| + Market Land Value | \$501,181 | \$455,764 | \$430,267 | \$395,208 |
| = Just Market Value | \$628,849 | \$586,520 | \$536,049 | \$503,982 |
| = Total Assessed Value | \$188,391 | \$185,790 | \$181,613 | \$178,227 |
| - School Exempt Value | (\$25,000) | (\$25,000) | $(\$ 25,000)$ | (\$25,000) |
| = School Taxable Value | \$163,391 | \$160,790 | \$156,613 | \$153,227 |

Land

| Land Use | Number of Units | Unit Type | Frontage | Depth |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| RES SUPERIOR DRY (01SD) | $3,706.00$ | Square Foot | 0 | 0 |

Buildings

| Building ID Style | 1711 |  |  | Exterior Walls | ABOVE AVERAGE WOOD1933 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2 STORY ELEV | ATION |  | Year Built |  |
| Building Type | S.F.R. - R1 / R1 |  |  | EffectiveYearBuilt | 2010 |
| Gross SqFt | 1641 |  |  | Foundation | WD CONC PADS |
| Finished Sq Ft | 1311 |  |  | Roof Type | GABLE/HIP |
| Stories | 1 Floor |  |  | Roof Coverage | METAL |
| Condition | GOOD |  |  | Flooring Type | SFT/HD WD |
| Perimeter | 120 |  |  | Heating Type | NONE with 0\% NONE |
| Functional Obs | 0 |  |  | Bedrooms | 3 |
| Economic Obs | 0 |  |  | Full Bathrooms | 1 |
| Depreciation \% | 12 |  |  | Half Bathrooms | 0 |
| Interior Walls | WALL BD/WD WAL |  |  | Grade | 500 |
|  |  |  |  | Number of Fire PI | 0 |
| Code De | cription | Sketch Area | Finished Area | Perimeter |  |
| OPX EX | OPEN PORCH | 114 | 0 | 50 |  |
| FLA FL | OR LIV AREA | 1,311 | 1,311 | 214 |  |
| OPF OP | PRCH FIN LL | 216 | 0 | 84 |  |
| TOTAL |  | 1,641 | 1,311 | 348 |  |



## Sales

| Sale Date | Sale Price | Instrument | Instrument Number | Deed Book | Deed Page | Sale Qualification | Vacant or Improved |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $10 / 21 / 2021$ | $\$ 1,225,000$ | Warranty Deed | 2346021 | 3134 | 0390 | 01 -Qualified | Improved |

## Permits

| Number | Date Issued | Date Completed | Amount | Permit Type | Notes |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 9600434 | $1 / 1 / 1996$ | $8 / 1 / 1996$ | $\$ 9,945$ | FENCE 18\% |  |

## View Tax Info

View Taxes for this Parcel

## Sketches (click to enlarge)



Photos



TRIM Notice

```
2021 TRIM Notice (PDF)
```


## 2021 Notices Only

The Monroe County Property Appraiser's office maintains data on property within the County solely for the purpose of fulfilling its responsibility to secure a just valuation for ad valorem tax purposes of all property within the County. The Monroe County Property Appraiser's office cannot guarantee its accuracy for any other purpose. Likewise, data provided regarding one tax year may not be applicable in prior or subsequent years. By requesting such data, you hereby understand and agree that the
User Privacy Policy
GDPR Privacy Notice

