City Attorney Performance Evaluation

November 1, 2022

<u>R</u> A	TING SCALE D	EFINITIONS (1-5)																		
Unsatisfactory (1) - Improvement (2) Needed Meets Job (3) Standard Exceeds Job (4) Standard Outstanding (5)		The employee's work performance is inadequate and definitely inferior to the standards of performance required for the job. Performance at this level cannot be allowed to continue.																		
		The employee's work performance does not consistently meet the standards of the position. Serious effort is needed to improve performance. The employee's work performance consistently meets the standards of the position. The employee's work performance is frequently or consistently above the level of a satisfactory employee. The employee's work performance is consistently excellent when compared to the standards of the job.																		
											Not evaluated (NE)		The employee's work performance was not observed during this evaluation period.							
											I.	<u>Performance</u>	ce Evaluation and Achieveme	nts				5		
											1.	City Commission	/ Boards Relationships	<u>NE</u>	1	_2_	3	4	5_	
A.	. Provides sound legal advice to the City Commission, Boards, Commissions and City staff.		_	_				<u> </u>												
В.	. Reporting to the City Commission, Boards, and City staff is timely, clear, concise and thorough.				_	_		<u>√</u>												
C.	Accepts direction/instructions in a positive manner.						_	\checkmark												
D.	D. Keeps the City Commission, Boards, and City staff informed of issues relevant to the requirements of the position.					_														

Commissioners.

E. Dedicates the time necessary to the responsibilities of the position and is readily available to

Comments:								
•	Y and Day	and Davies	N/IC	1	2	2		5
4.	Legal Kese	earch and Review	<u>NE</u>			3	4	
A.		identifies legal issues and performs ad investigations.	***************************************				_	<u> </u>
В.		reviews and interprets legal instruments, documents prepared by departments.	<u> </u>					
Co	mments:					-		
3.	Employee	Public Relations	<u>NE</u>	1	2	3	4	_5_
A.	Works wel	l with other employees.				_	\checkmark	
В.	 Meeting and handling the public while recognizing ethical obligation to the City. 		_					<u>/</u>
Comments:								

4.	Communi	cation	<u>NE</u>	1	2	3	4	5
A.	Oral comm	nunication is clear, concise and articulate.			5.			
B.		mmunications (e.g.) contracts, resolutions, egal documents are clear, concise and		_	_	\$0.JP.Date	_	<u>/</u>
Co	Comments:							

5. Quantity/0	<u>Duality</u>	NE	1	_2_	_3_	4	_5_
A. Amount of	work performed.						
B. Completion	of work on time.				_		
C. Accuracy.		_	_	-	_		<u>/</u>
D. Thoroughn	ess.						<u></u>
Comments:							
	•••••						- 72.72
6. Personal T	<u>raits</u>	<u>NE</u>	1	2	3	4	_5
A. Initiative.							
B. Judgement.						_	$\overline{}$
C. Fairness an	d Impartiality.			_	_		
D. Analytical	Ability.					_	
C							
Comments:							
	6						
7. Litigation	Administrative Proceedings	<u>NE</u>	1	2	3	4_	_5_
	mely and effective representation of the rest in litigation.					_	
	nd monitors costs and performance outside legal counsel.	\checkmark		_			<u></u>
Comments:	I obsorved the oversight of the accounting side of this is	lega	l cou	usel	lu	t ni	ot
	the accounting Side of this invaluation - City Attorney	tem.					ge 3 of 4

II. <u>Sumn</u>	nary Rating			
	mance Rating – Considerin all job performance, the following			ance standards
Unsatisfactory	Improvement Needed	Meets Job Standards	Exceeds Job Standards	Outstanding
Comments: _				<u> </u>
	3 (1911)			
III. <u>Futur</u>	e Goals and Objective	<u>*</u>		
	and objectives to be achiev			
1) Dinaliz	e algotistions was	th the Walsh for	mily for admi	ral's cut
			400	
SHAWN D. SI	MITH, CITY ATTORNEY			
ATTEST:				
CHERYL SM	TH, CITY CLERK		Dated	