City Attorney Performance Evaluation

November 1, 2022

RATING SCALE DEFINITIONS (1-5)

Unsatisfactory (1)	The employee's work performance is inadequate and definitely inferior to the standards of performance required for the job. Performance at this level cannot be allowed to continue.
Improvement (2)	The employee's work performance does not consistently meet the
Needed	standards of the position. Serious effort is needed to improve performance.
Meets Job (3) Standard	The employee's work performance consistently meets the standards of the position.
Exceeds Job (4) Standard	The employee's work performance is frequently or consistently above the level of a satisfactory employee.
Outstanding (5)	The employee's work performance is consistently excellent when compared to the standards of the job.
Not evaluated (NE)	The employee's work performance was not observed during this evaluation period.

I. Performance Evaluation and Achievements

1.	City Commission/ Boards Relationships	<u>NE</u>	1	 3	4	_5_	
A.	Provides sound legal advice to the City Commission, Boards, Commissions and City staff.			 		_X	
В.	Reporting to the City Commission, Boards, and City staff is timely, clear, concise and thorough.			 		_X_	
C.	Accepts direction/instructions in a positive manner.			 	_X_	****	
D.	Keeps the City Commission, Boards, and City staff informed of issues relevant to the requirements of the position.	***************************************		 		_X	
E.	Dedicates the time necessary to the responsibilities of the position and is readily available to					Y	

2.	Legal Research and Review	NE	_1_	2	3	4	5
A.	Effectively identifies legal issues and performs research and investigations.						_X
B.	Effectively reviews and interprets legal instruments, reports and documents prepared by departments.						X
Co	mments: I was coincidentally reminded about this ev	aluatio	n after (calling i	for a leg	gal opini	on.
3.	Employee/Public Relations	<u>NE</u>	1	_2_	3	_4_	_5_
A	Works well with other employees.					_X_	
Λ.	works wen with other employees.						
В.	Meeting and handling the public while recognizing ethical obligation to the City.	empt to					X
B. Cor dep	Meeting and handling the public while	•	assess l	——blame o	— any o	—— ne indiv	_X ridual o
B. Condep	Meeting and handling the public while recognizing ethical obligation to the City. mments: The lower score here is by no means an attempartment. However, interdepartmental communication a	across th	assess l	——blame o	—— n any o improv	—— ne indiv vement,	_X ridual o
B. Conder	Meeting and handling the public while recognizing ethical obligation to the City. mments: The lower score here is by no means an attempt attempt. However, interdepartmental communication agressive Commission agenda. Communication	across th	assess l	——blame o	—— n any o improv	—— ne indiv vement,	_X ridual o

Shawn will not tell you what they want to hear, but frequently, what we need to hear. In the

course of disseminating his sage advice, there is appropriate debate as to protocol... all of which I welcome to

Comments:

my edification.

5. Quantity/Quality	<u>NE</u>	1	_2_	_3_	4	_5_	
A. Amount of work performed.	-					_X	
B. Completion of work on time.					_X		
C. Accuracy.	waterspire of					X	
D. Thoroughness.	-	Married State of Stat				_X_	
Comments: Again, the notation on the trissues cannot be completed in the desired to higher government levels, courts and litigate to NOT receive sought-after results when true. I have never questioned the quality countine, at the volume of work performed.	time due to other circu ants either with or oppo desired. We've had at	mstance osing. The	es such a herefore cases th	as waiti e it is a : is year,	ng for re reasonal where t	esponses fr ble expecta that has bee	om ation
6. Personal Traits	<u>NE</u>	1	_2_	3	_4_	_5_	
A. Initiative.		All and principal property and principal princ		Paragonal Associates sup-		X	
B. Judgement.	***				***************************************	_X_	
C. Fairness and Impartiality.						_X	
D. Analytical Ability	-					_X_	
Comments:							
7. <u>Litigation/Administrative Proceeding</u>	gs <u>NE</u>	1	2	3	4	5_	
A. Provides timely and effective represent City's interest in litigation.	tation of the	de constitution de la constitución de la constituci	-			_X_	
B. Controls and monitors costs and perfor of retained outside legal counsel.	rmance	***************************************				_X	
Comments:							

Summary Rating	
Out and I Day Comment of Dating	

Overall Performance Rating – Considering the results obtained against established performance standards as well as overall job performance, the following rating is provided (circle one):

Unsatisfactory	Improvement Needed	Meets Job Standards	Exceeds Job Standards	Outstanding
Comments:			•	
<u></u>				

II. Future Goals and Objectives

Specific goals and objectives to be achieved in the next evaluation period:

Before anything else can be addressed or approached, we (all of us in this city's leadership) should work harder at improving our intercommunication (in all directions... back and forth, up and down chain) before we can utilize the gifts that each of us bring to the table. THEN, I will list specific goals not already being worked on.

COMMISSIONER CLAYTON LOPEZ

SHAWN D. SMITH, CITY ATTORNEY

ATTEST:

CHERYL SMITH, CITY CLERK

Dated