J. Weekley

Date: 2/28/2024

City Attorney Performance Evaluation

R	ATING SCALE D	EFINITIONS (1-5)								
Unsatisfactory (1) -		The employee's work performance is inadequate and definitely inferior to the standards of performance required for the job. Performance at this level cannot be allowed to continue.								
Improvement (2) Needed		The employee's work performance does not consistently meet the standards of the position. Serious effort is needed to improve performance.								
Meets Job (3) Standard		The employee's work performance consistently meets the standards of the position.								
Exceeds Job (4) Standard		The employee's work performance is frequently or consistently above the level of a satisfactory employee.								
Oı	utstanding (5)	The employee's work performance is consistently excellent when compared to the standards of the job.								
Not evaluated (NE)		The employee's work performance was not observed during this evaluation period.								
I.	Performance	ee Evaluation and Achieveme	nts							
1.	City Commission	/ Boards Relationships	<u>NE</u>	_1_	<u>2</u>	3	4	_5_		
A.	•	•						<u> </u>		
В.		the City Commission, Boards, is timely, clear, concise and thorough.								
C.	Accepts direction/instructions in a positive manner.				-			<u> </u>		
D.	_	mmission, Boards, and City ssues relevant to the requirements					Market State State of the State	<u> </u>		
E.		necessary to the responsibilities is readily available to	Management of the second			•		<u>+</u>		

C	omments:			T, All-Sub-			
2.	Legal Research and Review	<u>NE</u>	1	2	3	4	_5_
A.	Effectively identifies legal issues and performs research and investigations.			·	-	And the Control of th	7
В.	Effectively reviews and interprets legal instruments reports and documents prepared by departments.	·					X
Co	omments:		- Apply open giber in dischall do hood of the second				
	Employee/Public Relations Works well with other employees.	<u>NE</u>	_1_	_2_	3	4	5
	Meeting and handling the public while recognizing ethical obligation to the City.						<u>×</u>
Co	omments:						
4.	Communication	<u>NE</u>	1	2	3	4	_5_
A.	Oral communication is clear, concise and articulate.	Morror Regulation St.			drift desired to		+
В.	Written communications (e.g.) contracts, resolutions and other legal documents are clear, concise and accurate.	S,	 -	_	Marie A. ana	Warning.	<u> </u>
Coı	mments:	94-4695 mpp A	and the same of th		et at un control		

				Augital any gran			
5.	Quantity/Quality	<u>NE</u>	1	2	3	4	5
A.	Amount of work performed.	_		WW. 12		***********	<u>X</u>
B.	Completion of work on time.		-				X
C.	Accuracy.						*
D.	Thoroughness.						+
Сс	omments:						
	the state of the s						
_				and a second			
	Personal Traits	NE	1	2	3	4	_5_
A.	Initiative.			**********	**************		4
B.	Judgement.				•	*****	4
C.	Fairness and Impartiality.				-		+
D.	Analytical Ability.	Annual and a second and a					4
Co	mments:						
	Service (proposació						
				77,474 (2004)			
7.	Litigation/Administrative Proceedings	<u>NE</u>	1	2	3	_4_	5
A.	Provides timely and effective representation of the City's interest in litigation.		franco de la consequencia della consequencia de la consequencia de la consequencia de la	-			<u> </u>
В.	Controls and monitors costs and performance of retained outside legal counsel.	*					
Coı	mments:						

	Application of the Control of the Co			
Overall Perform	nary Rating mance Rating – Considering the all job performance, the following			ance standards
Unsatisfactory	Improvement Needed Med	ets Job Standards	Exceeds Job Standards	Outstanding
Comments:				
III. <u>Futur</u>	e Goals and Objectives			
Specific goals a	and objectives to be achieved in	the next evaluation	1 period:	
Kee	and objectives to be achieved in poly what	you have	been don	49
Great	Job OH KEE	orng the	- COMMISSION	4 intermed
KU	e of All Commission Members AMSINGH, CITY ATTORNEY	6	Jenny W	cekly
KERI O'BRIEI	N, CITY CLERK	C	Date: 2/28/2024	6-1

Page 4 of 4

Performance Evaluation - City Attorney