City Attorney Performance Evaluation

Date: 3 /12 /2024

| | RATING SCALE | DEFINITIONS (1-5) | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------|---|--|-----------|---------|----------|----------|----------|---------|--|--|
| ı | Unsatisfactory (1) | The employee's work performance is inadequate and definitely inferior to the standards of performance required for the job. Performance at this level cannot be allowed to continue. The employee's work performance does not consistently meet the standards of the position. Serious effort is needed to improve performance. | | | | | | | | |
| | mprovement (2) Needed | | | | | | | | | |
| Meets Job (3) Standard | | The employee's work performance consistently meets the standards of the position. | | | | | | | | |
| S | exceeds Joh (4) tendard | The employee's work performant level of a satisfactory employee. | ice is fr | equent | ly or co | nsisten | tly abs | ve the | | |
| Outstanding (5) | | The employee's work performance is consistently excellent when compared to the standards of the job. | | | | | | | | |
| N | ot evaluated (NE) | The employee's work performan period. | ce was | not obs | erved (| luring : | this eve | lustion | | |
| I. | Performance | e Evaluation and Achieveme | nts | | | | | | | |
| 1. | Cin Commission | / Boards Relationships | NE | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5_ | | |
| A. | Provides sound leg Boards, Commissi | gal advice to the City Commission, ons and City staff. | | _ | | | | X | | |
| B. | Reporting to the C and City staff is tir | ity Commission, Boards, nely, clear, concise and thorough. | | _ | | | • | X | | |
| C. | Accepts direction/i | nstructions in a positive manner. | | | | | | X | | |
| D. | Keeps the City Corstaff informed of is of the position. | nmission, Boards, and City sues relevant to the requirements | | - | | - | | | | |
| E. | Dedicates the time of the position and Commissioners. | necessary to the responsibilities is readily available to | | | | | | Χ | | |

| Comments: | Ron has Stepped Into THE THE ONE # 4 18 BECAUSE W. | ROLL (TH | F M | AC, M | FILEN | TLY! | A HKH BAR |
|--|---|-------------|--------|--------|--------|---------|-------------|
| | BOARDS + POSITIONS. ALSO, TA | to C | 100 01 | FFICE | HAS | HAL | TRIMS |
| | THAT RON SCENS TO BUIN TO | DUCH | MITA | ANU | AO | PR LSS | ING, |
| 2. Leval Res | earch and Review | NE | _1_ | 2 | _3 | 4_ | _5_ |
| A. Effectively research ar | videntifies legal issues and performs and investigations. | | | | - | - | X |
| B. Effectively reports and | reviews and interprets legal instruments, documents prepared by departments. | | | | | * | |
| Comments: | AGAIN THE LUS TAAN PE | RFE | 1.15 | 86 | Tous | & OF | = An |
| | ISSUE THAT DID NOT BEGIL | | | | | | ** *** |
| | DITH THIS DEPT, DOMIN, + | DTHO | R_D | epis | - AT | Sin. | 5 POINT |
| | NOVE GOT TO REGILE THY | | | | | | |
| | ATTORNUY IN OUR DECISIONS P | RIOR | Ton | TAK! | MG TA | ו מדער | 21 |
| 3. Employee/ | Public Relations | NE | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| A. Works well | with other employees. | | | | | X | |
| | d handling the public while ethical obligation to the City. | | | | | | × |
| Comments: | A: BASILMY, SEF# 2. SOM | v 67 | recy! | 62 19. | رحيرو | U87 B | 10870 |
| 1 | TRONG) TO CONSULT WILE | GAL | SORT. | at Cir | nmiss. | بر راسة | Ankhara |
| į | FADECISION, AGAN, NI | 77 C | ROM | FD E | W TA | +2561 | TY AT TRACK |
| 1 <u>!</u> Bb Th | NFRI, INTHINUSSED HAR + 14 TIUR COCKRAIN BUTNUSA TUND 8 FMY TORM. | 557 1 LB | aft | TRY. | IN | OBI | FURE |
| 4. Communica | | NE | 1 | _2_ | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| A. Oral commu | mication is clear, concise and articulate. | | | | | | * |
| B. Written com and other leg accurate. | munications (e.g.) contracts, resolutions, gal documents are clear, concise and | | | | | | χ. |
| Comments: | nun tellars! Ron. | HAS | INIL | L 60 | Th | רט זי | TRA |

| 5. Quantity/Quality | NE | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5_ |
|--|------------------|-------------|-------------|---------|-------------|---|
| A. Amount of work performed. | | | | | | × |
| B. Completion of work on time. | | - | | | _ | 20 |
| C. Accuracy. | | dinana. | | ******* | - | × |
| D. Thoroughness. | | | _ | | | <u>a</u> _ |
| Comments: | | | | | | |
| • | | | | | | un. |
| , | | | | | | |
| 6. Personal Traits | <u>NE</u> | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| A. Initiative. | | | | | | <u>ــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــ</u> |
| B. Judgement. | | Afficherous | | | | λ_0 |
| C. Fairness and Impartiality. | | | | | | <i>></i> 0 |
| D. Analytical Ability. | | | | - | | x |
| Comments: Row TAKUS THE INITIONS down "FOR CLARITY WITHINY | PRES | NO2 | 1 × 1 € | ony | 10 B KIN | Ronge 17 |
| 7. <u>Litigation/Administrative Proceedings</u> A. Provides timely and effective representation of the City's interest in litigation. | <u>NE</u> | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | <u>5</u> |
| B. Controls and monitors costs and performance of retained outside legal counsel. | | | | | | <u>~</u> X |
| Comments: ON AT LEAST & UCASICAS, HAS | S WETE OSCI). | HED | Ber | ch 7 | 15 | A-1RING |
| Performance Evaluation - City Attorney | | | | | Pa | ge 3 of 4 |

n. Summary Rating

Overall Performance Rating - Considering the results obtained against established performance standards as well as overall job performance, the following rating is provided (circle one):

Improvement Needed Meets Job Standards Exceeds Job Standards Outstanding Unsatisfactory

Comments: RUALITY NOWLD BE SOMEWHORK BETWEEN BACKODS + OUTSFANDING, ROBSON NOULD BE BECAGE OF HIS MILLINGNOSS TO WORK & TENDE ITY NHOW NORKING, BOSICALY, WHOLE NOW STAFF OF ATTORNEYS WHO HAVE BEACH BROUGHT HOME "WINS" FOR THE CITY. I'V HAD THE PLOASURE OF NORIZING WITH NICK, ROPISSONS THE C/A DEFICE WHO CONVEYS ROWS SOME SENSE OF CARE OTHERS IN THE LEFILE REFLET ROWS CARING, THIS SPURKS LOUDLY LICK ROW.

III. Future Goals and Objectives

| Specific goals and objectives to be achieved in the next evaluation period: |
|---|
| (1) STATED GOALS ENCLUDE HIRING I MORE IN HOUSE ATTY TO COMMOTE STATE |
| 4 10 BUSULE ALL BOARDS + DEPARTMENTS / TAUD INCA RESPECTABILIT AROSEN |
| CSTRIBLE ATMERTINGS & DECISION HOWER (3) PROCESS ASSET YOU WIR ALL |
| CATSTATE COASSISTIN MART DIRECTORS WISTATISGIANT LUCAL AMORANHETO |
| GOMS + OBS. SO TANT IT FITS WITHOUR CITY'S UNIQUE PERSONALITY |
| · |

Combined Score of All Commission Members

RONALD J. RAMSINGH, CITY ATTORNEY

Page 4 of 4

Performance Evaluation - City Attorney