Vendor Offeror Evaluation Form RFP Number: #003-17 City of Key West Bikeshare System

| Name of Offeror: | sortcha | 13. Ke | | |
|---|---------------|-----------------------|----------------------|------------|
| Item | Max Points | Independent Points | With Panel Points | Final Rank |
| Past Performance on Similar Projects | 25 | 10 | | |
| Comments: | | | | |
| 5 | ee note | -5 | | |
| 2. Project Approach and ProductComments: 5ee | 25 Note: | 10 | | |
| 3. Experience of Key Personnel | 10 | 4 | | |
| Comments: GRE No | otes | | | |

| 4. Sponsorship Approact to the Project | 20 30 | 5 | | |
|--|---|---|---|---------------------|
| Comments: See | notes | | | |
| 5. Price Comments: Sec Na | 05 5 | _5 | | |
| 6. References Comments: 424 0 | 05 ofes | 4 | | |
| Total | 100 | 38 | | |
| The point values and final rand of the Merits of the Offeror's p | k indicated above proposal. Attach d | Evaluator Certification e unless otherwise noted in the additional sheets for commen | he form, reflect my best incuts as necessary. | dependent judgement |
| Name | | Signature | | Date |

RFP #003-17 City of Key West Bikeshare System Proposal Review Notes — Chris Hamilton

Scoring

| 1. | Past Performance | 25 |
|-----|------------------------------|-----|
| 2. | Project Approach and Product | 25 |
| 3. | Experience of Key Personnel | 10 |
| 4. | Sponsorship Approach | 30 |
| 5. | Price | 5 |
| 6. | References | 5 |
| Tot | tal Points Possible | 100 |

Past Performance (25 Potential Points)

Social Bicycles (also known as SoBi) listed 29 similar projects, including the City of Key West and listed 11 upcoming projects. They said they had 7,000 bikes in 30+ locations throughout the USA. They have 37 employees. It is unclear in which of those projects they also play the role of operator although in every case their software system goes with the hardware so they are involved in every one in that way. For example, they listed Key West but we know they didn't operate that system but simply sold the bikes and software to Instabike. This is the case in many of their listed places as vendor like Gotcha Bike will do the operations. They have a longer history with a couple programs going back to 2013. They have good experience in all different size situations. Headquartered in NYC.

Zagster provided detail on three similar sized projects. The additional detail was helpful. They said they operated 170 programs nation-wide. Their website included links to 20 cities, 20 universities, 15 corporate campuses and 16 real estate development programs. They specialize in small to medium size places. They have 53 employees. Having more employees than SoBi may reflect that all their systems are turnkey meaning they also do the operations in addition to providing hardware/software in every program. Offices listed in Cambridge, MA and San Francisco, CA.

Gotcha Bike uses the SoBi system. SoBi system has been used in lots of places. Gotcha Bikes track record as an operator is very slim. Of the 10 projects, they listed, six were in 2016 and four were listed to start in 2017. Headquartered in Charleston, SC.

<u>Discussion</u>: Gotcha Bikes is very new and doesn't have the track record of Social Bicycles and Zagster. Gotcha only does operations and they use the SoBi system. Social Bicycles has been around a while but mostly as a provider of the hardware and system meaning the bikes, stations and the system software/interface that everything runs on. They have more recently begun providing turnkey (hardware + operations) solutions. The Social Bicycles are well known

and used across the country. They were the first in North America to use the "smart bike" system. Zagster has been operating for several years. They got into the business to provide a turnkey solution to smaller cities and places. Their model, where they own everything, was unique at the time they started it. They quickly filled a void for small cities and small places such as universities and corporate campuses. They have the most experience with the kind of service Key West identified in its RFP. Zagster 20; Social Bicycles 16; Gotcha 10.

Project Approach and Product (25 Potential Points)

Gotcha Bike

It looks like they just provided a boiler-plate approach by describing the SoBi bike and system, infrastructure, How It Works, Operations, Membership, Marketing, Customer Support, Project Implementation, Data and Performance Tracking and PCI Compliance. They didn't specifically answer the RFP's 23 questions (A to W). They didn't discuss user revenue. They only discussed Phase One launch of the system (although there's a square for "system expansion" in their timeline) and not how they'd approach subsequent phases. They said they'd hire and train local people. This section is very thin. Gotcha Bikes says they will launch within 90 days with 75 bikes at 10 stations. They note on page 14 that "acquiring outside funding for a no-cost to the City program may lengthen the process." They say they "will hire a local management team to oversee the system health and efficiently solve any maintenance issues that may arise. The org chart shows they'll hire a Key West GM and fleet management team.

Gotcha Bike Payment Plan:

- \$2.50 per trip (up to 30 minutes) + \$6-8/hour overage fee
- \$10 Day Pass (up to 120 minutes) + \$6-8/hour overage fee
- \$15 Monthly Plan (includes 60 minutes daily + \$6-8/hour overage fee
- \$120 Annual Plan (includes 90 minutes daily + \$6-8/hour overage fee

This kind of payment structure looks to be compatible with our desire to protect the current bike rental shops.

Social Bicycles

Social Bicycles took the time to provide a thorough approach to the project. They very nicely answered every question A to W for this section. Social Bicycles proposes that in Phase One they'll deploy 60 bikes at 10 stations and in Phase Two, a year later they'll deploy an additional 190 bikes at 20 stations for a system total of 250 bikes at 30 stations. Their software and bike are well tested and they provide a nice description, graphics and pictures on how everything will work. Same with the docks and station signage. They provide an optional solar powered RFID dispenser. Not sure that we'd need or want user cards (often used to integrate with transit systems that use these) but it is a nice feature although the cost is unknown. The SoBi software/backend system is tested and proven.

Social Bicycles Payment Plan

- \$8 an hour (by minute thereafter)
- \$15 per month membership (includes free first hour) + standard rate after 1st hour
- \$65 per year membership (includes free first hour) + 13 cents per minute

This payment plan seems somewhat compatible with the City's desire to protect the current bike shop rental industry as \$16 for two hours is about the average for a daily rental on the island. A four-hour rental would be \$32. The monthly membership, Corporate and Low Income memberships would be good for people that live and work here. However, the initial \$8 an hour charge may discourage short-term (non-monthly or annual users) – potential customers wanting to use it for a day, few days or week) as a typical 30-minute ride would cost \$4. The City indicated it desires visitors to use the Duval Loop and/or the bikeshare system and having a \$4 to \$8 cost for each trip may discourage bike ride options and thus not compliment the Loop bus.

In the first phase, they propose a staff of 2, a GM/Operations Manager and a Fleet Tech as well as a "warehouse" for repairs/admin and 1 rebalancing truck and 1 rebalancing e-bike. In phase 2 they propose 1 GM, 1 Ops Manager and 3 fleet techs as well as an additional balancing e-bike.

The marketing program seems well thought out and proposes a potential big sponsor for branding. The web and mobile platforms seem solid. Customer service is 24/7 365 provided from their main hub with emergency access to the local team.

They say they can launch phase 1 within 60 days of contract signing. Phase 2 would happen approximately 365 days after that. They plan to cover all Key West and Stock Island.

They provide low-income and unbanked solutions.

Social Bicycles takes exception to the City's desire to receive all system user revenue. They tell us that "it is not possible to finance equipment and operations on sponsorship alone."

Zagster

Zagster took the time to provide a thorough and thoughtful proposal that considers the desires articulated in the RFP. They avidly articulate the City's stated approach on bikeshare as A to B transportation. They indicate they'll turn all user fees over to the City of Key West. Of the other two proposals, one doesn't discuss it and the other says no. This is the same model they use everywhere so we know this isn't something new they are doing just because we requested it.

They explain that they'll start with a study to create a model to help them understand the demand and where it will come from and compare this to other places to ensure success. After this then they'll provide more detail on timing, sponsorship and user pricing.

They recommend three phases. Phase One would be 80 bikes at 16 stations in Old Town. As Phase One launches fundraising for Phase 2 would commence and would target 80 bikes at 16 3 | RFP #003-17 Proposal Notes by Chris Hamilton, Planning Department, April 3, 2017

stations north of White Street in New Town on Flager, North Roosevelt and the beaches. Phase 3 would include 30 to 40 bikes at 6 to 8 stations on Stock Island. They say Phase one would launch in August, Phase Two in October and Phase 3 in February 2018. At system buildout that would be up to 40 stations and 200 bikes.

The Zagster bicycle is well tested and has gone through many iterations. It has everything the City required. Docking and signage look good too. The system functions from a user perspective just like the other two vendors, so all three systems use similar bikes and similar systems and can be configured the same.

Zagster goes into a thorough discussion of the pricing scheme and why this is compatible with our desire to do no harm to the current bike shop rental industry on the island. This analysis is very thoughtful. They discuss how people may walk to one end of Duval and desire to bicycle back. They discuss how charging hourly rates (they mention Orlando's Juice and Tampa's Coast charging \$8 an hour) creates financial barriers to usage and defeats the purpose of bikeshare as transportation as opposed to recreational/touring use.

Zagster Proposed Payment Plan

\$3 for up to one hour and \$15 per hour thereafter.

The proposed price structure of \$3 for up to one hour and \$15 per additional hour provides the level of incentive we want encouraging quick A to B transportation and discouraging competition with our bike rental shops.

Having this revenue returned to the City also provides the City the option to help with system expansion and special needs.

Zagster says they will hire "three local mechanics and one rebalancer in step with each of the three phases."

They discussed the ability to use different kinds of trikes, cargo trikes and even handcycle to help comply with ADA.

Their marketing, customer service (24/7 365), web site, mobile and other operations have all be used in dozens and dozens of places and is strong and robust. They provide a good discussion on how they deal with issues of equity.

They believe they can execute Phase One within 30 days of contract signing.

Discussion.

User revenue to City?

Zagster YesSocial Bicycles No

Gotcha Bikes Didn't discuss

As far as the Product, all three vendors propose using a smart-bike system. Both Gotcha Bike and Social Bicycles propose using the SoBi bike and system which is well tested and strong. Likewise, Zagster's bike and system is in use in many cities and places and has proven reliable and strong. The docks and signage are similar too. There is negligible advantage of one vendor's hardware/system vs. another. So, in that sense I think it is a draw regarding Product. Regarding the Project, all three vendors propose hiring local teams and/or contracting portions of the work out to local bike shops. Social Bicycles even names two locals who have been operating their bicycles here in Key West already. However, Gotcha Bike didn't provide much beyond boiler plate on how they'd approach implementing a system here. Both Social Bicycles and Zagster provided a lot of detail and thoroughly covered all 23 sub-parts to the question. Social Bicycles also provided a lot of nice pictures and graphics to illustrate their points. What seems to distinguish the two companies' approach to the project is Social Bicycles reliance on revenues generated from user fees and thus the pricing structure they propose. The \$8 an hour proposed by Social Bicycles doesn't seem to encourage short term, A to B use like the \$3 first hour \$15 per hour thereafter proposed by Zagster or even the \$2.50 for the first 30 minutes proposed by Gotcha Bike. This is a fundamental point of the project. Social Bicycles' pricing scheme is more akin to bike rental than bike transportation. Zagster doesn't rely on user fees in any city. They do this so that the need for revenue from this source doesn't put pressure on the price as they want more people biking to be the goal, not revenue. This is the approach Key West described wanting in the RFP. Zagster 24; Social Bicycles 18; Gotcha Bike 10.

Experience of Key Personnel (10 Potential Points)

The primary contact for Zagster, Chad Jacobs has launched bikeshare in 20 cities and 7 universities. Their executive team includes a co-founder and long-time executive who came from Zipcar, which launched the whole carshare industry. The others on the team have years of experience in sales, marketing and public relations.

The Social Bicycles team is steeped in experience. I have met their CEO and founder Ryan Rzepecki a couple times at industry meetings and find him a visionary with impeccable integrity. The rest of the NYC team has a wide-range of needed knowledge. Social Bicycles goes the extra step of even identifying their local Key West team of Sean Blaise and Amanda Evens who operate Key West's Instabike.

The Gotcha Bike team seems well-rounded but only a few bullets are provided as the six people they mention are described in less than half a page.

<u>Discussion</u>: Gotha Bike's team is obviously new. They provide little info to go on. The Zagster team has been around a while and the leaders are from Zipcar so they've been in the sharing industry even prior to the bikeshare industry getting off the ground. That's good experience. Mr. Jacobs, who would come to Key West to launch the project, has lots of experience setting up similar sized programs. Social Bicycles has an innovative and veteran team in NYC. I've had the pleasure of meeting their founder and

heard him speak. It is a very well-run company. It is nice to have a known quantity as SoBi has identified their local team already. Social Bicycles 10; Zagster 9; Gotcha Bike 4.

Sponsorship Approach (30 Potential Points)

The entirety of Gotcha Bike's approach to Sponsorship and Revenue reads: "Gotcha offers an entire team dedicated to finding and securing bike share sponsors. We will work with key West to create sponsorship packages" and then they listed available branding assets includes on the bikes, stations, signs and web.

Social Bicycles provided one paragraph about Sponsorship and Revenue. They said they had many sponsored programs and were currently leading sponsorship sales efforts for New Orleans, Sacramento and Eugene. Their approach is to find one big 'naming rights sponsor' who would receive branding on the bikes and stations. They said it would take 6-12 months to secure a sponsor but are willing to launch a 60-bike system within 60 days without sponsorship. They'd use the sponsorship to expand the system to 250 bikes. If they don't put together a title sponsor they'll put together several smaller supporting sponsors to meet funding goals.

Zagster provided about 2 and half pages of explanation to this question. They started by reiterating that 93% of all user generated revenue goes back to the City (they keep 7% for credit card processing). They acknowledged the City would set the prices and that this ensures no competition with existing bike rental companies. Zagster says they can source "ample" businesses to fund the program in full. They say that this is the same method they've deployed with 100% success rate across the country from big cities like Albuquerque to small rural towns.

They say no two communities are the same so they'll sit down with the City to look for the best areas of support. They'll determine stakeholders and deploy their business development team to execute contact, schedule meetings and hold informational seminars. They talk about building relationships within the business community. They aim to have sponsors signed on within the first few months. Typically, Zagster asks for 3-year contracts with options for 2 one-year renewals. They usually find partners who see value in hosting stations and mention banks, breweries, coffee shops, hotels, multifamily properties development groups and universities. They connect bikeshare to local business growth and offer 5 different packages including a Station Sponsor at \$9,000.

Discussion: Gotcha Bike is nearly unresponsive in this section. They've only launched a half dozen programs and only half of those included needing to get sponsors. Social Bicycles was weak here too. Like Gotcha Bike they've only launched operations in a few of the 30+ systems they've deployed. Like many big city programs, they rely on getting one big sponsor to help underwrite everything. Getting sponsorship revenue is built into the way Zagster fundamentally work as they turn back the revenues to the system owner cities, schools or corporate campuses. They've always relied on sponsorships, not user revenues to underwrite their systems. They also have online tools and seminars that help cities, help them find sponsors. They've told us they'd like our help and guidance but they've said they'd do all the work. While they didn't provide an overwhelming amount of detail, compared to the others it was a much better response. Given what they've told us and their track record for finding sponsors, one would have to be more comfortable going with this approach. Zagster 25; Social Bicycles 13; Gotcha Bike 5.

Price (5 Potential Points)

Gotcha Bike and Zagster provided the information asked for. Social Bicycles simply said they'd provide the program to the City at no cost and left it at that. Gotcha Bike 5; Zagster 5; Social Bicycles 3.

References (5 Potential Points)

Gotcha: <u>Philip Overview</u>, <u>City of Charleston</u>. They are launching next month and he like everything about the bike (SoBi) and the operations leading to launch. Good sponsorship and funding. While he liked them he said they had little experience in operating for a city as the projects they've done previously were for universities. <u>Charles Hall, Savanah College</u>. He is "satisfied." Would use them again. <u>Don Andrae</u>, <u>Auburn University</u>. Auburn sponsored the system so they didn't have to get sponsors. Likes the operations and customer service (lots of compliments) and reliability. Would definitely use again. **(4)**

Social Bicycles. <u>Steve Hoyt-McBeth, Portland Regional Gov.</u> He said that SoBi provided the bikes/system but that another company Motivate did all the set up and operations. Was frustrated with some aspects of SoBi's bikes including GPS tracking, the keypad going bad and the shaft drives failing. <u>Patricia Borne, City of Long Beach, NY.</u> They like most everything about the service, operations, bikes and suggest a similar system would work in Key West as the cities are similar. <u>Peter Topalovic, City of Hamilton, CA.</u> SoBi supplies equipment and is the operator. They like the smart bike system. They like the bikes and the customer service and operations. Had "difficult" time rounding up sponsors but have delivered on budget. **(3)**

Zagster. <u>Valerie Hermanson, Council of Governments, Albuquerque, NM</u>. Had gotten sponsors before selecting Zagster via RFP. Good on budget. Satisfied with operations. Says Zagster is an "affordable model" and appreciated being able to set their own pricing. They talked about negotiating with other vendors whose reliance on pricing schemes changed up the bikes so they like this model. Only problems have been with U-lock which they say is taken care of on new bikes. Yes would use them again as they were on time and on budget. <u>David Littlejohn, City of Carmel, IN</u>. 2 years into operation and like it. They receive upgrades in equipment with no additional charges, including getting all new bikes. They discuss how other systems you need to purchase bike rather than turnkey like this. They are renewing with Zagster and getting all new bikes and stations and app. <u>Amy Lewin, City of Fort Collins</u>. "Rollout went smoothly and system is running well." Say you need strong city team to assist them with sponsors although they are "revamping" approach. Like the bikes and stations and say software after being clunky has improved. Customer service is improved and more responsive. "Zagster is a great bikeshare option for a smaller city." Would use again. (5)

| Name of Offeror: S& | récal B | rejeles | <u> </u> | |
|---|---------------|-----------------------|----------------------|------------|
| Item | Max Points | Independent Points | With Panel Points | Final Rank |
| Past Performance on Similar Projects | 25 | 16 | | |
| Comments: SER NE | tes | | | |
| 2. Project Approach and Product Comments: See 05 | 25 25 | 18 | | |
| 3. Experience of Key Personnel Comments: 500 no | 10 tes | 10 | | |

| Name | | Signature | | 4 (6) T(|
|--|--------------------|---|---|---------------------|
| The point values and final rank of the Merits of the Offeror's pro | indicated above un | Evaluator Certification less otherwise noted in the itional sheets for commen | e form, reflect my best ina tr as necessary. | lependent judgement |
| Total | 100 | | | |
| 6. References Comments: | 05 25 | 3 | | |
| 5. Price Comments: 500 8 | 05 20tes | _3 | | |
| to the Project Comments: See | 30 otes | 13 | | |
| 4. Sponsorship Approach | | 13 | | |

RFP #003-17 City of Key West Bikeshare System Proposal Review Notes — Chris Hamilton

Scoring

| 1. | Past Performance | 25 |
|----|------------------------------|-----|
| 2. | Project Approach and Product | 25 |
| 3. | Experience of Key Personnel | 10 |
| 4. | Sponsorship Approach | 30 |
| 5. | Price | 5 |
| 6. | References | 5 |
| To | tal Points Possible | 100 |

Past Performance (25 Potential Points)

Social Bicycles (also known as SoBi) listed 29 similar projects, including the City of Key West and listed 11 upcoming projects. They said they had 7,000 bikes in 30+ locations throughout the USA. They have 37 employees. It is unclear in which of those projects they also play the role of operator although in every case their software system goes with the hardware so they are involved in every one in that way. For example, they listed Key West but we know they didn't operate that system but simply sold the bikes and software to Instabike. This is the case in many of their listed places as vendor like Gotcha Bike will do the operations. They have a longer history with a couple programs going back to 2013. They have good experience in all different size situations. Headquartered in NYC.

Zagster provided detail on three similar sized projects. The additional detail was helpful. They said they operated 170 programs nation-wide. Their website included links to 20 cities, 20 universities, 15 corporate campuses and 16 real estate development programs. They specialize in small to medium size places. They have 53 employees. Having more employees than SoBi may reflect that all their systems are turnkey meaning they also do the operations in addition to providing hardware/software in every program. Offices listed in Cambridge, MA and San Francisco, CA.

Gotcha Bike uses the SoBi system. SoBi system has been used in lots of places. Gotcha Bikes track record as an operator is very slim. Of the 10 projects, they listed, six were in 2016 and four were listed to start in 2017. Headquartered in Charleston, SC.

<u>Discussion</u>: Gotcha Bikes is very new and doesn't have the track record of Social Bicycles and Zagster. Gotcha only does operations and they use the SoBi system. Social Bicycles has been around a while but mostly as a provider of the hardware and system meaning the bikes, stations and the system software/interface that everything runs on. They have more recently begun providing turnkey (hardware + operations) solutions. The Social Bicycles are well known

and used across the country. They were the first in North America to use the "smart bike" system. Zagster has been operating for several years. They got into the business to provide a turnkey solution to smaller cities and places. Their model, where they own everything, was unique at the time they started it. They quickly filled a void for small cities and small places such as universities and corporate campuses. They have the most experience with the kind of service Key West identified in its RFP. Zagster 20; Social Bicycles 16; Gotcha 10.

Project Approach and Product (25 Potential Points)

Gotcha Bike

It looks like they just provided a boiler-plate approach by describing the SoBi bike and system, infrastructure, How It Works, Operations, Membership, Marketing, Customer Support, Project Implementation, Data and Performance Tracking and PCI Compliance. They didn't specifically answer the RFP's 23 questions (A to W). They didn't discuss user revenue. They only discussed Phase One launch of the system (although there's a square for "system expansion" in their timeline) and not how they'd approach subsequent phases. They said they'd hire and train local people. This section is very thin. Gotcha Bikes says they will launch within 90 days with 75 bikes at 10 stations. They note on page 14 that "acquiring outside funding for a no-cost to the City program may lengthen the process." They say they "will hire a local management team to oversee the system health and efficiently solve any maintenance issues that may arise. The org chart shows they'll hire a Key West GM and fleet management team.

Gotcha Bike Payment Plan:

- \$2.50 per trip (up to 30 minutes) + \$6-8/hour overage fee
- \$10 Day Pass (up to 120 minutes) + \$6-8/hour overage fee
- \$15 Monthly Plan (includes 60 minutes daily + \$6-8/hour overage fee
- \$120 Annual Plan (includes 90 minutes daily + \$6-8/hour overage fee

This kind of payment structure looks to be compatible with our desire to protect the current bike rental shops.

Social Bicycles

Social Bicycles took the time to provide a thorough approach to the project. They very nicely answered every question A to W for this section. Social Bicycles proposes that in Phase One they'll deploy 60 bikes at 10 stations and in Phase Two, a year later they'll deploy an additional 190 bikes at 20 stations for a system total of 250 bikes at 30 stations. Their software and bike are well tested and they provide a nice description, graphics and pictures on how everything will work. Same with the docks and station signage. They provide an optional solar powered RFID dispenser. Not sure that we'd need or want user cards (often used to integrate with transit systems that use these) but it is a nice feature although the cost is unknown. The SoBi software/backend system is tested and proven.

Social Bicycles Payment Plan

- \$8 an hour (by minute thereafter)
- \$15 per month membership (includes free first hour) + standard rate after 1st hour
- \$65 per year membership (includes free first hour) + 13 cents per minute

This payment plan seems somewhat compatible with the City's desire to protect the current bike shop rental industry as \$16 for two hours is about the average for a daily rental on the island. A four-hour rental would be \$32. The monthly membership, Corporate and Low Income memberships would be good for people that live and work here. However, the initial \$8 an hour charge may discourage short-term (non-monthly or annual users) – potential customers wanting to use it for a day, few days or week) as a typical 30-minute ride would cost \$4. The City indicated it desires visitors to use the Duval Loop and/or the bikeshare system and having a \$4 to \$8 cost for each trip may discourage bike ride options and thus not compliment the Loop bus.

In the first phase, they propose a staff of 2, a GM/Operations Manager and a Fleet Tech as well as a "warehouse" for repairs/admin and 1 rebalancing truck and 1 rebalancing e-bike. In phase 2 they propose 1 GM, 1 Ops Manager and 3 fleet techs as well as an additional balancing e-bike.

The marketing program seems well thought out and proposes a potential big sponsor for branding. The web and mobile platforms seem solid. Customer service is 24/7 365 provided from their main hub with emergency access to the local team.

They say they can launch phase 1 within 60 days of contract signing. Phase 2 would happen approximately 365 days after that. They plan to cover all Key West and Stock Island.

They provide low-income and unbanked solutions.

Social Bicycles takes exception to the City's desire to receive all system user revenue. They tell us that "it is not possible to finance equipment and operations on sponsorship alone."

Zagster

Zagster took the time to provide a thorough and thoughtful proposal that considers the desires articulated in the RFP. They avidly articulate the City's stated approach on bikeshare as A to B transportation. They indicate they'll turn all user fees over to the City of Key West. Of the other two proposals, one doesn't discuss it and the other says no. This is the same model they use everywhere so we know this isn't something new they are doing just because we requested it.

They explain that they'll start with a study to create a model to help them understand the demand and where it will come from and compare this to other places to ensure success. After this then they'll provide more detail on timing, sponsorship and user pricing.

They recommend three phases. Phase One would be 80 bikes at 16 stations in Old Town. As Phase One launches fundraising for Phase 2 would commence and would target 80 bikes at 16 3 | RFP #003-17 Proposal Notes by Chris Hamilton, Planning Department, April 3, 2017

stations north of White Street in New Town on Flager, North Roosevelt and the beaches. Phase 3 would include 30 to 40 bikes at 6 to 8 stations on Stock Island. They say Phase one would launch in August, Phase Two in October and Phase 3 in February 2018. At system buildout that would be up to 40 stations and 200 bikes.

The Zagster bicycle is well tested and has gone through many iterations. It has everything the City required. Docking and signage look good too. The system functions from a user perspective just like the other two vendors, so all three systems use similar bikes and similar systems and can be configured the same.

Zagster goes into a thorough discussion of the pricing scheme and why this is compatible with our desire to do no harm to the current bike shop rental industry on the island. This analysis is very thoughtful. They discuss how people may walk to one end of Duval and desire to bicycle back. They discuss how charging hourly rates (they mention Orlando's Juice and Tampa's Coast charging \$8 an hour) creates financial barriers to usage and defeats the purpose of bikeshare as transportation as opposed to recreational/touring use.

Zagster Proposed Payment Plan

\$3 for up to one hour and \$15 per hour thereafter.

The proposed price structure of \$3 for up to one hour and \$15 per additional hour provides the level of incentive we want encouraging quick A to B transportation and discouraging competition with our bike rental shops.

Having this revenue returned to the City also provides the City the option to help with system expansion and special needs.

Zagster says they will hire "three local mechanics and one rebalancer in step with each of the three phases."

They discussed the ability to use different kinds of trikes, cargo trikes and even handcycle to help comply with ADA.

Their marketing, customer service (24/7 365), web site, mobile and other operations have all be used in dozens and dozens of places and is strong and robust. They provide a good discussion on how they deal with issues of equity.

They believe they can execute Phase One within 30 days of contract signing.

Discussion.

User revenue to City?

Zagster YesSocial Bicycles No

Gotcha Bikes Didn't discuss

As far as the Product, all three vendors propose using a smart-bike system. Both Gotcha Bike and Social Bicycles propose using the SoBi bike and system which is well tested and strong. Likewise, Zagster's bike and system is in use in many cities and places and has proven reliable and strong. The docks and signage are similar too. There is negligible advantage of one vendor's hardware/system vs. another. So, in that sense I think it is a draw regarding Product. Regarding the Project, all three vendors propose hiring local teams and/or contracting portions of the work out to local bike shops. Social Bicycles even names two locals who have been operating their bicycles here in Key West already. However, Gotcha Bike didn't provide much beyond boiler plate on how they'd approach implementing a system here. Both Social Bicycles and Zagster provided a lot of detail and thoroughly covered all 23 sub-parts to the question. Social Bicycles also provided a lot of nice pictures and graphics to illustrate their points. What seems to distinguish the two companies' approach to the project is Social Bicycles reliance on revenues generated from user fees and thus the pricing structure they propose. The \$8 an hour proposed by Social Bicycles doesn't seem to encourage short term, A to B use like the \$3 first hour \$15 per hour thereafter proposed by Zagster or even the \$2.50 for the first 30 minutes proposed by Gotcha Bike. This is a fundamental point of the project. Social Bicycles' pricing scheme is more akin to bike rental than bike transportation. Zagster doesn't rely on user fees in any city. They do this so that the need for revenue from this source doesn't put pressure on the price as they want more people biking to be the goal, not revenue. This is the approach Key West described wanting in the RFP. Zagster 24; Social Bicycles 18; Gotcha Bike 10.

Experience of Key Personnel (10 Potential Points)

The primary contact for Zagster, Chad Jacobs has launched bikeshare in 20 cities and 7 universities. Their executive team includes a co-founder and long-time executive who came from Zipcar, which launched the whole carshare industry. The others on the team have years of experience in sales, marketing and public relations.

The Social Bicycles team is steeped in experience. I have met their CEO and founder Ryan Rzepecki a couple times at industry meetings and find him a visionary with impeccable integrity. The rest of the NYC team has a wide-range of needed knowledge. Social Bicycles goes the extra step of even identifying their local Key West team of Sean Blaise and Amanda Evens who operate Key West's Instabike.

The Gotcha Bike team seems well-rounded but only a few bullets are provided as the six people they mention are described in less than half a page.

<u>Discussion</u>: Gotha Bike's team is obviously new. They provide little info to go on. The Zagster team has been around a while and the leaders are from Zipcar so they've been in the sharing industry even prior to the bikeshare industry getting off the ground. That's good experience. Mr. Jacobs, who would come to Key West to launch the project, has lots of experience setting up similar sized programs. Social Bicycles has an innovative and veteran team in NYC. I've had the pleasure of meeting their founder and

heard him speak. It is a very well-run company. It is nice to have a known quantity as SoBi has identified their local team already. Social Bicycles 10; Zagster 9; Gotcha Bike 4.

Sponsorship Approach (30 Potential Points)

The entirety of Gotcha Bike's approach to Sponsorship and Revenue reads: "Gotcha offers an entire team dedicated to finding and securing bike share sponsors. We will work with key West to create sponsorship packages" and then they listed available branding assets includes on the bikes, stations, signs and web.

Social Bicycles provided one paragraph about Sponsorship and Revenue. They said they had many sponsored programs and were currently leading sponsorship sales efforts for New Orleans, Sacramento and Eugene. Their approach is to find one big 'naming rights sponsor' who would receive branding on the bikes and stations. They said it would take 6-12 months to secure a sponsor but are willing to launch a 60-bike system within 60 days without sponsorship. They'd use the sponsorship to expand the system to 250 bikes. If they don't put together a title sponsor they'll put together several smaller supporting sponsors to meet funding goals.

Zagster provided about 2 and half pages of explanation to this question. They started by reiterating that 93% of all user generated revenue goes back to the City (they keep 7% for credit card processing). They acknowledged the City would set the prices and that this ensures no competition with existing bike rental companies. Zagster says they can source "ample" businesses to fund the program in full. They say that this is the same method they've deployed with 100% success rate across the country from big cities like Albuquerque to small rural towns.

They say no two communities are the same so they'll sit down with the City to look for the best areas of support. They'll determine stakeholders and deploy their business development team to execute contact, schedule meetings and hold informational seminars. They talk about building relationships within the business community. They aim to have sponsors signed on within the first few months. Typically, Zagster asks for 3-year contracts with options for 2 one-year renewals. They usually find partners who see value in hosting stations and mention banks, breweries, coffee shops, hotels, multifamily properties development groups and universities. They connect bikeshare to local business growth and offer 5 different packages including a Station Sponsor at \$9,000.

<u>Discussion</u>: Gotcha Bike is nearly unresponsive in this section. They've only launched a half dozen programs and only half of those included needing to get sponsors. Social Bicycles was weak here too. Like Gotcha Bike they've only launched operations in a few of the 30+ systems they've deployed. Like many big city programs, they rely on getting one big sponsor to help underwrite everything. Getting sponsorship revenue is built into the way Zagster fundamentally work as they turn back the revenues to the system owner cities, schools or corporate campuses. They've always relied on sponsorships, not user revenues to underwrite their systems. They also have online tools and seminars that help cities, help them find sponsors. They've told us they'd like our help and guidance but they've said they'd do all the work. While they didn't provide an overwhelming amount of detail, compared to the others it was a much better response. Given what they've told us and their track record for finding sponsors, one would have to be more comfortable going with this approach. Zagster 25; Social Bicycles 13; Gotcha Bike 5.

Price (5 Potential Points)

Gotcha Bike and Zagster provided the information asked for. Social Bicycles simply said they'd provide the program to the City at no cost and left it at that. Gotcha Bike 5; Zagster 5; Social Bicycles 3.

References (5 Potential Points)

Gotcha: <u>Philip Overview</u>, <u>City of Charleston</u>. They are launching next month and he like everything about the bike (SoBi) and the operations leading to launch. Good sponsorship and funding. While he liked them he said they had little experience in operating for a city as the projects they've done previously were for universities. <u>Charles Hall, Savanah College</u>. He is "satisfied." Would use them again. <u>Don Andrae</u>, <u>Auburn University</u>. Auburn sponsored the system so they didn't have to get sponsors. Likes the operations and customer service (lots of compliments) and reliability. Would definitely use again. **(4)**

Social Bicycles. <u>Steve Hoyt-McBeth, Portland Regional Gov.</u> He said that SoBi provided the bikes/system but that another company Motivate did all the set up and operations. Was frustrated with some aspects of SoBi's bikes including GPS tracking, the keypad going bad and the shaft drives failing. <u>Patricia Borne, City of Long Beach, NY.</u> They like most everything about the service, operations, bikes and suggest a similar system would work in Key West as the cities are similar. <u>Peter Topalovic, City of Hamilton, CA.</u> SoBi supplies equipment and is the operator. They like the smart bike system. They like the bikes and the customer service and operations. Had "difficult" time rounding up sponsors but have delivered on budget. **(3)**

Zagster. <u>Valerie Hermanson, Council of Governments, Albuquerque, NM</u>. Had gotten sponsors before selecting Zagster via RFP. Good on budget. Satisfied with operations. Says Zagster is an "affordable model" and appreciated being able to set their own pricing. They talked about negotiating with other vendors whose reliance on pricing schemes changed up the bikes so they like this model. Only problems have been with U-lock which they say is taken care of on new bikes. Yes would use them again as they were on time and on budget. <u>David Littlejohn, City of Carmel, IN</u>. 2 years into operation and like it. They receive upgrades in equipment with no additional charges, including getting all new bikes. They discuss how other systems you need to purchase bike rather than turnkey like this. They are renewing with Zagster and getting all new bikes and stations and app. <u>Amy Lewin, City of Fort Collins</u>. "Rollout went smoothly and system is running well." Say you need strong city team to assist them with sponsors although they are "revamping" approach. Like the bikes and stations and say software after being clunky has improved. Customer service is improved and more responsive. "Zagster is a great bikeshare option for a smaller city." Would use again. (5)

| Name of Offeror: | Zagst. | er | | |
|--|---------------|-----------------------|----------------------|------------|
| Item | Max Points | Independent Points | With Panel Points | Final Rank |
| 1. Past Performance on Similar Projects | 25 | 20 | | |
| Comments: See N | rotes | | | |
| 2. Project Approach and Product Comments: 5 | 25 n=tes | 24 | | |
| 3. Experience of Key Personnel Comments: 500 8 | 10 Lote 5 | 9 | | |

| Name | Signature | 9/2/50 Date |
|---|---|---|
| The point values and final rank indicated above to of the Merits of the Offeror's proposal. Attach as | unless otherwise noted/in t dditional sheets for comme | he form, reflect my best independent judgement nts as necessary. |
| | Evaluator Certification | |
| Total 100 | | |
| | | |
| Comments: See Note 5 | | |
| 6. References | 5 | |
| Comments: que notes | | |
| 5. Price 05 | _5_ | |
| Comments: See Notes | 5 | |
| 4. Sponsorship Approach to the Project 30 | 25 | |

RFP #003-17 City of Key West Bikeshare System Proposal Review Notes — Chris Hamilton

Scoring

| 1. | Past Performance | 25 |
|-----|------------------------------|-----|
| 2. | Project Approach and Product | 25 |
| 3. | Experience of Key Personnel | 10 |
| 4. | Sponsorship Approach | 30 |
| 5. | Price | 5 |
| 6. | References | 5 |
| Tot | tal Points Possible | 100 |

Past Performance (25 Potential Points)

Social Bicycles (also known as SoBi) listed 29 similar projects, including the City of Key West and listed 11 upcoming projects. They said they had 7,000 bikes in 30+ locations throughout the USA. They have 37 employees. It is unclear in which of those projects they also play the role of operator although in every case their software system goes with the hardware so they are involved in every one in that way. For example, they listed Key West but we know they didn't operate that system but simply sold the bikes and software to Instabike. This is the case in many of their listed places as vendor like Gotcha Bike will do the operations. They have a longer history with a couple programs going back to 2013. They have good experience in all different size situations. Headquartered in NYC.

Zagster provided detail on three similar sized projects. The additional detail was helpful. They said they operated 170 programs nation-wide. Their website included links to 20 cities, 20 universities, 15 corporate campuses and 16 real estate development programs. They specialize in small to medium size places. They have 53 employees. Having more employees than SoBi may reflect that all their systems are turnkey meaning they also do the operations in addition to providing hardware/software in every program. Offices listed in Cambridge, MA and San Francisco, CA.

Gotcha Bike uses the SoBi system. SoBi system has been used in lots of places. Gotcha Bikes track record as an operator is very slim. Of the 10 projects, they listed, six were in 2016 and four were listed to start in 2017. Headquartered in Charleston, SC.

<u>Discussion</u>: Gotcha Bikes is very new and doesn't have the track record of Social Bicycles and Zagster. Gotcha only does operations and they use the SoBi system. Social Bicycles has been around a while but mostly as a provider of the hardware and system meaning the bikes, stations and the system software/interface that everything runs on. They have more recently begun providing turnkey (hardware + operations) solutions. The Social Bicycles are well known

and used across the country. They were the first in North America to use the "smart bike" system. Zagster has been operating for several years. They got into the business to provide a turnkey solution to smaller cities and places. Their model, where they own everything, was unique at the time they started it. They quickly filled a void for small cities and small places such as universities and corporate campuses. They have the most experience with the kind of service Key West identified in its RFP. Zagster 20; Social Bicycles 16; Gotcha 10.

Project Approach and Product (25 Potential Points)

Gotcha Bike

It looks like they just provided a boiler-plate approach by describing the SoBi bike and system, infrastructure, How It Works, Operations, Membership, Marketing, Customer Support, Project Implementation, Data and Performance Tracking and PCI Compliance. They didn't specifically answer the RFP's 23 questions (A to W). They didn't discuss user revenue. They only discussed Phase One launch of the system (although there's a square for "system expansion" in their timeline) and not how they'd approach subsequent phases. They said they'd hire and train local people. This section is very thin. Gotcha Bikes says they will launch within 90 days with 75 bikes at 10 stations. They note on page 14 that "acquiring outside funding for a no-cost to the City program may lengthen the process." They say they "will hire a local management team to oversee the system health and efficiently solve any maintenance issues that may arise. The org chart shows they'll hire a Key West GM and fleet management team.

Gotcha Bike Payment Plan:

- \$2.50 per trip (up to 30 minutes) + \$6-8/hour overage fee
- \$10 Day Pass (up to 120 minutes) + \$6-8/hour overage fee
- \$15 Monthly Plan (includes 60 minutes daily + \$6-8/hour overage fee
- \$120 Annual Plan (includes 90 minutes daily + \$6-8/hour overage fee

This kind of payment structure looks to be compatible with our desire to protect the current bike rental shops.

Social Bicycles

Social Bicycles took the time to provide a thorough approach to the project. They very nicely answered every question A to W for this section. Social Bicycles proposes that in Phase One they'll deploy 60 bikes at 10 stations and in Phase Two, a year later they'll deploy an additional 190 bikes at 20 stations for a system total of 250 bikes at 30 stations. Their software and bike are well tested and they provide a nice description, graphics and pictures on how everything will work. Same with the docks and station signage. They provide an optional solar powered RFID dispenser. Not sure that we'd need or want user cards (often used to integrate with transit systems that use these) but it is a nice feature although the cost is unknown. The SoBi software/backend system is tested and proven.

2 | RFP #003-17 Proposal Notes by Chris Hamilton, Planning Department, April 3, 2017

Social Bicycles Payment Plan

- \$8 an hour (by minute thereafter)
- \$15 per month membership (includes free first hour) + standard rate after 1st hour
- \$65 per year membership (includes free first hour) + 13 cents per minute

This payment plan seems somewhat compatible with the City's desire to protect the current bike shop rental industry as \$16 for two hours is about the average for a daily rental on the island. A four-hour rental would be \$32. The monthly membership, Corporate and Low Income memberships would be good for people that live and work here. However, the initial \$8 an hour charge may discourage short-term (non-monthly or annual users) – potential customers wanting to use it for a day, few days or week) as a typical 30-minute ride would cost \$4. The City indicated it desires visitors to use the Duval Loop and/or the bikeshare system and having a \$4 to \$8 cost for each trip may discourage bike ride options and thus not compliment the Loop bus.

In the first phase, they propose a staff of 2, a GM/Operations Manager and a Fleet Tech as well as a "warehouse" for repairs/admin and 1 rebalancing truck and 1 rebalancing e-bike. In phase 2 they propose 1 GM, 1 Ops Manager and 3 fleet techs as well as an additional balancing e-bike.

The marketing program seems well thought out and proposes a potential big sponsor for branding. The web and mobile platforms seem solid. Customer service is 24/7 365 provided from their main hub with emergency access to the local team.

They say they can launch phase 1 within 60 days of contract signing. Phase 2 would happen approximately 365 days after that. They plan to cover all Key West and Stock Island.

They provide low-income and unbanked solutions.

Social Bicycles takes exception to the City's desire to receive all system user revenue. They tell us that "it is not possible to finance equipment and operations on sponsorship alone."

Zagster

Zagster took the time to provide a thorough and thoughtful proposal that considers the desires articulated in the RFP. They avidly articulate the City's stated approach on bikeshare as A to B transportation. They indicate they'll turn all user fees over to the City of Key West. Of the other two proposals, one doesn't discuss it and the other says no. This is the same model they use everywhere so we know this isn't something new they are doing just because we requested it.

They explain that they'll start with a study to create a model to help them understand the demand and where it will come from and compare this to other places to ensure success. After this then they'll provide more detail on timing, sponsorship and user pricing.

They recommend three phases. Phase One would be 80 bikes at 16 stations in Old Town. As Phase One launches fundraising for Phase 2 would commence and would target 80 bikes at 16 3 | RFP #003-17 Proposal Notes by Chris Hamilton, Planning Department, April 3, 2017

stations north of White Street in New Town on Flager, North Roosevelt and the beaches. Phase 3 would include 30 to 40 bikes at 6 to 8 stations on Stock Island. They say Phase one would launch in August, Phase Two in October and Phase 3 in February 2018. At system buildout that would be up to 40 stations and 200 bikes.

The Zagster bicycle is well tested and has gone through many iterations. It has everything the City required. Docking and signage look good too. The system functions from a user perspective just like the other two vendors, so all three systems use similar bikes and similar systems and can be configured the same.

Zagster goes into a thorough discussion of the pricing scheme and why this is compatible with our desire to do no harm to the current bike shop rental industry on the island. This analysis is very thoughtful. They discuss how people may walk to one end of Duval and desire to bicycle back. They discuss how charging hourly rates (they mention Orlando's Juice and Tampa's Coast charging \$8 an hour) creates financial barriers to usage and defeats the purpose of bikeshare as transportation as opposed to recreational/touring use.

Zagster Proposed Payment Plan

\$3 for up to one hour and \$15 per hour thereafter.

The proposed price structure of \$3 for up to one hour and \$15 per additional hour provides the level of incentive we want encouraging quick A to B transportation and discouraging competition with our bike rental shops.

Having this revenue returned to the City also provides the City the option to help with system expansion and special needs.

Zagster says they will hire "three local mechanics and one rebalancer in step with each of the three phases."

They discussed the ability to use different kinds of trikes, cargo trikes and even handcycle to help comply with ADA.

Their marketing, customer service (24/7 365), web site, mobile and other operations have all be used in dozens and dozens of places and is strong and robust. They provide a good discussion on how they deal with issues of equity.

They believe they can execute Phase One within 30 days of contract signing.

Discussion.

User revenue to City?

Zagster YesSocial Bicycles No

Gotcha Bikes Didn't discuss

As far as the Product, all three vendors propose using a smart-bike system. Both Gotcha Bike and Social Bicycles propose using the SoBi bike and system which is well tested and strong. Likewise, Zagster's bike and system is in use in many cities and places and has proven reliable and strong. The docks and signage are similar too. There is negligible advantage of one vendor's hardware/system vs. another. So, in that sense I think it is a draw regarding Product. Regarding the Project, all three vendors propose hiring local teams and/or contracting portions of the work out to local bike shops. Social Bicycles even names two locals who have been operating their bicycles here in Key West already. However, Gotcha Bike didn't provide much beyond boiler plate on how they'd approach implementing a system here. Both Social Bicycles and Zagster provided a lot of detail and thoroughly covered all 23 sub-parts to the question. Social Bicycles also provided a lot of nice pictures and graphics to illustrate their points. What seems to distinguish the two companies' approach to the project is Social Bicycles reliance on revenues generated from user fees and thus the pricing structure they propose. The \$8 an hour proposed by Social Bicycles doesn't seem to encourage short term, A to B use like the \$3 first hour \$15 per hour thereafter proposed by Zagster or even the \$2.50 for the first 30 minutes proposed by Gotcha Bike. This is a fundamental point of the project. Social Bicycles' pricing scheme is more akin to bike rental than bike transportation. Zagster doesn't rely on user fees in any city. They do this so that the need for revenue from this source doesn't put pressure on the price as they want more people biking to be the goal, not revenue. This is the approach Key West described wanting in the RFP. Zagster 24; Social Bicycles 18; Gotcha Bike 10.

Experience of Key Personnel (10 Potential Points)

The primary contact for Zagster, Chad Jacobs has launched bikeshare in 20 cities and 7 universities. Their executive team includes a co-founder and long-time executive who came from Zipcar, which launched the whole carshare industry. The others on the team have years of experience in sales, marketing and public relations.

The Social Bicycles team is steeped in experience. I have met their CEO and founder Ryan Rzepecki a couple times at industry meetings and find him a visionary with impeccable integrity. The rest of the NYC team has a wide-range of needed knowledge. Social Bicycles goes the extra step of even identifying their local Key West team of Sean Blaise and Amanda Evens who operate Key West's Instabike.

The Gotcha Bike team seems well-rounded but only a few bullets are provided as the six people they mention are described in less than half a page.

<u>Discussion</u>: Gotha Bike's team is obviously new. They provide little info to go on. The Zagster team has been around a while and the leaders are from Zipcar so they've been in the sharing industry even prior to the bikeshare industry getting off the ground. That's good experience. Mr. Jacobs, who would come to Key West to launch the project, has lots of experience setting up similar sized programs. Social Bicycles has an innovative and veteran team in NYC. I've had the pleasure of meeting their founder and

heard him speak. It is a very well-run company. It is nice to have a known quantity as SoBi has identified their local team already. Social Bicycles 10; Zagster 9; Gotcha Bike 4.

Sponsorship Approach (30 Potential Points)

The entirety of Gotcha Bike's approach to Sponsorship and Revenue reads: "Gotcha offers an entire team dedicated to finding and securing bike share sponsors. We will work with key West to create sponsorship packages" and then they listed available branding assets includes on the bikes, stations, signs and web.

Social Bicycles provided one paragraph about Sponsorship and Revenue. They said they had many sponsored programs and were currently leading sponsorship sales efforts for New Orleans, Sacramento and Eugene. Their approach is to find one big 'naming rights sponsor' who would receive branding on the bikes and stations. They said it would take 6-12 months to secure a sponsor but are willing to launch a 60-bike system within 60 days without sponsorship. They'd use the sponsorship to expand the system to 250 bikes. If they don't put together a title sponsor they'll put together several smaller supporting sponsors to meet funding goals.

Zagster provided about 2 and half pages of explanation to this question. They started by reiterating that 93% of all user generated revenue goes back to the City (they keep 7% for credit card processing). They acknowledged the City would set the prices and that this ensures no competition with existing bike rental companies. Zagster says they can source "ample" businesses to fund the program in full. They say that this is the same method they've deployed with 100% success rate across the country from big cities like Albuquerque to small rural towns.

They say no two communities are the same so they'll sit down with the City to look for the best areas of support. They'll determine stakeholders and deploy their business development team to execute contact, schedule meetings and hold informational seminars. They talk about building relationships within the business community. They aim to have sponsors signed on within the first few months. Typically, Zagster asks for 3-year contracts with options for 2 one-year renewals. They usually find partners who see value in hosting stations and mention banks, breweries, coffee shops, hotels, multifamily properties development groups and universities. They connect bikeshare to local business growth and offer 5 different packages including a Station Sponsor at \$9,000.

Discussion: Gotcha Bike is nearly unresponsive in this section. They've only launched a half dozen programs and only half of those included needing to get sponsors. Social Bicycles was weak here too. Like Gotcha Bike they've only launched operations in a few of the 30+ systems they've deployed. Like many big city programs, they rely on getting one big sponsor to help underwrite everything. Getting sponsorship revenue is built into the way Zagster fundamentally work as they turn back the revenues to the system owner cities, schools or corporate campuses. They've always relied on sponsorships, not user revenues to underwrite their systems. They also have online tools and seminars that help cities, help them find sponsors. They've told us they'd like our help and guidance but they've said they'd do all the work. While they didn't provide an overwhelming amount of detail, compared to the others it was a much better response. Given what they've told us and their track record for finding sponsors, one would have to be more comfortable going with this approach. Zagster 25; Social Bicycles 13; Gotcha Bike 5.

Price (5 Potential Points)

Gotcha Bike and Zagster provided the information asked for. Social Bicycles simply said they'd provide the program to the City at no cost and left it at that. Gotcha Bike 5; Zagster 5; Social Bicycles 3.

References (5 Potential Points)

Gotcha: <u>Philip Overview</u>, <u>City of Charleston</u>. They are launching next month and he like everything about the bike (SoBi) and the operations leading to launch. Good sponsorship and funding. While he liked them he said they had little experience in operating for a city as the projects they've done previously were for universities. <u>Charles Hall, Savanah College</u>. He is "satisfied." Would use them again. <u>Don Andrae</u>, <u>Auburn University</u>. Auburn sponsored the system so they didn't have to get sponsors. Likes the operations and customer service (lots of compliments) and reliability. Would definitely use again. **(4)**

Social Bicycles. <u>Steve Hoyt-McBeth, Portland Regional Gov.</u> He said that SoBi provided the bikes/system but that another company Motivate did all the set up and operations. Was frustrated with some aspects of SoBi's bikes including GPS tracking, the keypad going bad and the shaft drives failing. <u>Patricia Borne, City of Long Beach, NY.</u> They like most everything about the service, operations, bikes and suggest a similar system would work in Key West as the cities are similar. <u>Peter Topalovic, City of Hamilton, CA.</u> SoBi supplies equipment and is the operator. They like the smart bike system. They like the bikes and the customer service and operations. Had "difficult" time rounding up sponsors but have delivered on budget. **(3)**

Zagster. <u>Valerie Hermanson, Council of Governments, Albuquerque, NM</u>. Had gotten sponsors before selecting Zagster via RFP. Good on budget. Satisfied with operations. Says Zagster is an "affordable model" and appreciated being able to set their own pricing. They talked about negotiating with other vendors whose reliance on pricing schemes changed up the bikes so they like this model. Only problems have been with U-lock which they say is taken care of on new bikes. Yes would use them again as they were on time and on budget. <u>David Littlejohn, City of Carmel, IN</u>. 2 years into operation and like it. They receive upgrades in equipment with no additional charges, including getting all new bikes. They discuss how other systems you need to purchase bike rather than turnkey like this. They are renewing with Zagster and getting all new bikes and stations and app. <u>Amy Lewin, City of Fort Collins</u>. "Rollout went smoothly and system is running well." Say you need strong city team to assist them with sponsors although they are "revamping" approach. Like the bikes and stations and say software after being clunky has improved. Customer service is improved and more responsive. "Zagster is a great bikeshare option for a smaller city." Would use again. (5)

| Name of Offeror: | GOTCHA | BIKE | | |
|---------------------------------|---------------|-----------------------|----------------------|------------|
| Item | Max Points | Independent Points | With Panel Points | Final Rank |
| 1. Past Performance | | | | |
| on Similar Projects | 25 | 24 | | |
| Comments: | 5044114 | | | |
| The Control of the | ESCH IN COOL | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | ∞, | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | o'-Res. |
| 2. Project Approach and Product | 25 | 24_ | h n | |
| Comments: | | | | |
| SMALLER CLA | ENTS | | | |
| BIGTERHNOLOGY | | | | |
| FRESH ADDROAD | CH | 20 10 | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| 3. Experience of | | | | |
| Key Personnel | 10 | 8 | | |
| Comments: | | | | |
| | _ | 011 | 111 (1) | Ju |
| R | D CY | H RH | AH OU | |
| - | 6 50 | 14 | 5 4 | 12 |

| 4. Sponsorship Approach to the Project | 30 | 20 | | |
|---|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|--|------------------|
| Comments: | | | ¥ | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | - 1 <u>- 3</u> |
| | | | | |
| 5. Price | 05 | _5_ | | |
| Comments: LIKE ALWAL FE LOCAL USE | æ/enc | OURNE MORE | | |
| 6. References Comments: | 05 | | | |
| Со— Total | 100 | 85 | × | £ |
| | | Evaluator Certification | | |
| The point values and final rank in If the Merits of the Offeror's prop | dicated above osal. Attach o | e unless otherwise noted in the | form, reflect my best indep as necessarv. | endent judgement |
| 13/ Udles | | 14) | | 4/5/10 |
| Name Name | | GARY J. VO. Signature | ienoc | Date Date |

GARY J. VOLENGE Signature

| Name of Offeror: | SOCIAL | BICYCLES | | |
|---------------------------------|---------------|-----------------------|---|------------|
| Item | Max Points | Independent Points | With Panel Points | Final Rank |
| 1. Past Performance | | | | |
| on Similar Projects | 25 | 23 | | |
| Comments: | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| 2. Project Approach and Product | 25 | 22 | · <u>· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · </u> | a E E |
| Comments: | | | | |
| TOO CORPORA | 76 | | | |
| 75 | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| 3. Experience of | | | | |
| Key Personnel | 10 | _9_ | | |
| Comments: | | | | |

| 4. Sponsorship Approach to the Project | 30 | 24 | · | |
|--|--------|-------------------------|---------|-----------|
| Comments: | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | ** |
| | | | | |
| 5. Price | 05 | 4 | | |
| Comments: | - | Ser III | | |
| INTERESTIAL | FEE SI | TRUCTURE / TIE | TRS | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | 2 |
| 6. References | | * | - 4 P 6 | * h - n v |
| | 05 | 4 | | |
| Comments: | | - | | |
| | | | | |
| 5 | | | | |
| Total | 100 | 86 | | |
| Total | 100 | | | |
| | | Evaluator Certification | | |

The point values and final rank indicated above unless otherwise noted in the form, reflect my best independent judgement of the Merits of the Offeror's proposal. Attach additional sheets for comments as necessary.

GANY J. VOLEWEZ Genature Signature Date

| Name of Offeror: | ZAGS | | | |
|--|---------------|-----------------------|----------------------|------------|
| Item | Max Points | Independent Points | With Panel Points | Final Rank |
| Past Performance on Similar Projects | 25 | 23 | | |
| Comments: | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| 2. Project Approach and Product | 25 | 22 | | |
| Comments: | | | | |
| NOGERS LOCKING COMPLI BIKE HAS CHAIN | CATED | | | |
| | | | | |
| 3. Experience of | 10 | 8 | | |
| Key Personnel | 10 | | | |
| Comments: | | | | |

| 4. Sponsorship Approact to the Project | h 30 | 22 | | |
|---|---|---|---|----------------|
| Comments: | 30 | 00 | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| 5. Price | 05 | _3 | | |
| Comments: | Higher | User Cost | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| 6. References | | | | |
| Comments: | 05 | | | # E |
| Comments. | | | | |
| | | | | |
| 2 | | | | |
| Total | 100 | 82 | | 2 |
| | | Evaluator Certification | | |
| The point values and final rank of the Merits of the Offeror's pr | indicated above u oposal. Attach add | nless otherwise noted in the fo ditional sheets for comments a | orm, reflect my best independ s necessary. | dent judgement |

GANY J. VOLENER Signature Sold 4/5/17

Name

Date

| Name of Offeror: Gotcha | | | | | |
|--|---------------|-----------------------|----------------------|------------|--|
| Item | Max Points | Independent Points | With Panel Points | Final Rank | |
| Past Performance on Similar Projects | 25 | 15 | | | |
| Comments: Currently in high use areas Joint venture with SoBi | s of the U.S. | | | | |
| 2. Project Approach and Product Comments: No Kioks @ Stations Stations do not require pow Real time map for availabil Generous advertising space Repair is alert and lock | lity | 15 | | | |
| 3. Experience of Key Personnel Comments: | 10 | 5 | | | |

| to the Project | 30 | 30 | | 298 |
|---|---|--|--|----------------------|
| Comments: | | | | |
| Bikes can be customized to | reflect sponsors | ship | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| 5. Price | 05 | 4 | Q | |
| Comments: If executed correctly, no cost | t to city. | | | |
| , | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| 6. References | 05 | 5 | | |
| Comments: | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| T. 1.1 | 100 | 74 | | |
| Total | | 74 | 2 | : |
| | | valuator Certification | | |
| The point values and final rank ind of the Merits of the Offeror's propo | icated above unles sal. Attach additio | ss otherwise noted in the fo onal sheets for comments a | rm, reflect my best in s necessary. | ndependent judgement |
| Rod Delostrinos | | rest 2 To | | April 5, 2017 |
| Name | | Signature | | Date |

| Name of Offeror: Zagste | Name of Offeror: Zagster | | | | | |
|---|--------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|------------|--|--|
| Item | Max Points | Independent Points | With Panel Points | Final Rank | | |
| Past Performance on Similar Projects | 25 | <u>20</u> | | | | |
| Comments: Similar projects indicate m | nunicipal use | | | | | |
| 2. Project Approach and Product | 25 | <u>25</u> | | | | |
| Comments: Free feasibility study befor Not designed to compete w Locking device looks easie Local Mechanics | ith local renta | l bike companies | | | | |
| * | | | | | | |
| 3. Experience of Key Personnel | 10 | 7 | | | | |
| Comments: | | | | | | |

| 4. Sponsorship Approach to the Project | 30 | 30 | |
|--|------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|
| Comments: Potential 93% ROI to city | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| 5. Price | 05 | _3 | |
| Comments: | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| 6. References | 05 | 5 | |
| Comments: | | | |
| | | | |
| Γotal | 100 | 90 | |
| | | Evaluator Certification | |
| The point values and final rank inc of the Merits of the Offeror's prop | | | independent judgement |
| Rod Delostrinos Name | (Arta Ala) | Signature Signature | April 5, 2017 Date |

| Name of Offeror: <u>SoBi</u> | | | | |
|-----------------------------------|---------------|-----------------------|----------------------|------------|
| Item | Max Points | Independent Points | With Panel Points | Final Rank |
| 1. Past Performance | | | | |
| on Similar Projects | 25 | <u>25</u> | | |
| Comments: | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| 2. Project Approach and Product | 25 | 20 | | |
| Comments: | | | | |
| Lock restrictive | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| 2. F | | | | |
| 3. Experience of Key Personnel | 10 | 10 | | |
| | | | | |
| Comments: Software heavy | | | × | |
| Local people on team | | | | |
| | | | | |

| 4. Sponsorship Appro- to the Project | 30 | 20 | | |
|--|--|-------------------------------|--|------------------------|
| Comments: | | | | |
| Not detailed plan Plenty of opportunity | to advertise. | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| We have an | 0.5 | <u></u> | | |
| 5. Price | 05 | 5 | | |
| Comments: | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| 6 D 6 | | | | |
| 6. References | 05 | 5 | · | |
| Comments: | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| Total | 100 | 85 | | |
| | | Evaluator Certification | , | · |
| The point values and final r of the Merits of the Offeror | ank indicated above 's proposal. Attach a | unless otherwise noted in the | e form, reflect my bes ts as necessary. | t independent judgemen |
| Rod Delostrinos | | Signature | 1 | April 5, 2017 |
| Name | | Signature | - | Date |

| Name of Offeror: | ZAGS | TER | | |
|---------------------------------|---------------|-----------------------|----------------------|------------|
| Item | Max Points | Independent Points | With Panel Points | Final Rank |
| 1. Past Performance | | | | |
| on Similar Projects | 25 | 23 | | |
| Comments: | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | · * - | | |
| 2. Project Approach and Product | 25 | 22 | | |
| Comments: | | | | |
| NGEPS | | | | |
| BIKE HAS CHAIN | CATED | | | |
| * | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| 3. Experience of | | | | |
| Key Personnel | 10 | 8 | | |
| Comments: | | | | |
| | | | | |

| Name of Offeror: | Zoaste | 5 | | |
|---|--|-----------------------|---|------------|
| Item | Max Points | Independent Points | With Panel Points | Final Rank |
| 1. Past Performance on Similar Projects | 25 | 25 | | |
| Comments: 170 | programs | nathuide | - | |
| 80 | nd 25 0/16 = Aug 1/16 = Oct 10/6-8 by E | <u>23</u> | Best bike Next best No GPS Still good ADA | > , |
| 3. Experience of Key Personnel | 10 | 9 | | |
| Comments: | Sul compl | ine t | | |
| | Dich't see | n as h | onds on in t | TWO. |
| | Billingue | 2 | | |

| 4. Sponsorship Approach to the Project 30 |
|--|
| Deteiled sponsor package. Work is us to id. Grow as sponsors grow. City pays for City sites = #77 (so, not free) |
| 5. Price 05 |
| Comments: Busness Model = Shiles + 91 / 80 bikes = 16 hi |
| We get & back. We pay for stots too) |
| Cannot tell if best deal for us at this momen |
| Uss risk, you growth. |
| 6. References |
| Comments: Sconsorship hard Haddald Shah Sell, ? New lacks |
| Total 100 <u>89</u> |
| Evaluator Certification |
| The point values and final rank indicated above unless otherwise noted in the form, reflect my best independent judgement of the Merits of the Offeror's proposal. Attach additional sheets for comments as necessary. |
| Alisantinas Signature 4/5/17 Name Date |

| Appear Price Price Perf. | 2 88 | Moore sect down? 98 Free, but 4 Free, but 4 Free, but 4 Free, but 4 Free, but | |
|--|--------------------|---|--|
| Approach Spesell 3 Rey Se within 3 Rey 3 Ley 4 Ley 3 Ley 3 Ley 4 Ley 5 Ley 5 Ley 5 Ley 6 L | Best week transfer | Best bile bilings 3 phase MON 3 phase MON MONE 3 | |
| School Abrahaman Post Post Post Post Post Post Post Post | Secial 35 | S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S | |

| Name of Offeror: | otch | 2 | | |
|---|---------------|-----------------------|----------------------|------------|
| Item | Max Points | Independent Points | With Panel Points | Final Rank |
| 1. Past Performance on Similar Projects | 25 | 23 | | |
| Comments: | | | | |
| Mostly Universiti | s, but | Kw is that s | ize. | |
| Listed Social 7 | | | all ? | 616-2017 |
| Gotcha still | had An | Boy 10 of - | their own, | w 3 muni |
| Mox # Chali | slan, st | ill worls to | launch. | |
| 2. Project Approach and Product | 25 | 23 | | |
| Comments: Like & | osid bi | hes. Like | moveble I | olates. |
| Qu = 75/10 Stocks | | | req @ affo | |
| Cashmred i | 5 Chal | uston. | | GPS |
| Appears to be | expand | phoses anu | digs | ADA? |
| | | | so bast bike | |
| 3. Experience of Key Personnel | 10 | 10 | | |
| Comments: 3,000 bik | es in 17 | location (s. | me or Soci | 10 |
| | | ote for a | | 1 |

| 4. Sponsorship Approto the Project | pach 30 | 26 | | |
|---|---|---|--|------------------------------------|
| Little of Did not | unky loo | ng, thugh examples | t of spors | |
| May op | | | | |
| 5. Price Comments: 9/10/bike Cosh options: Alow incore? Not enough | 5. | x 12 99,00 | | Does that fund Staff?? |
| Comments: Good Refe | rences ACN | ss the b | ad. | |
| Total | 100 | 87 | | |
| | <u>E</u> | Evaluator Certification | | |
| The point values and final ra of the Merits of the Offeror's Name | ank indicated above unless proposal. Attach addit | ess otherwise noted in stional sheets for comme | the form, reflect my best ents as necessary. | independent judgement U/S// Date |
| | | / | | |

| Homes Price Ref | Should show hims. \$499/year 17 months! \$499/year 17 months! \$499/year 17 months! \$499/year 17 months! | 88 | Moore sext dury? As one sext dury? As one sext dury? | 305 | |
|--|---|------------------|--|-------------|--|
| Approcess Spessell of Red Colored Red Red Colored Red Red Colored Colo | My Pous de | Best wert market | 3 Q | Charle Core | |
| The Horse | 1 | Sciol 35 | 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 | | |

| Name of Offeror: | Socia | \ | | |
|---|---------------|-----------------------|----------------------|------------|
| Item | Max Points | Independent Points | With Panel Points | Final Rank |
| 1. Past Performance on Similar Projects | 25 | 25 | | |
| | in 30t lo | | | |
| Section 2000 | | of efforts. | | |
| Title & | ponsor ex | ρ. | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| 2. Project Approach and Product | 25 | 25 | 2 | |
| Comments: 2 Phos | s! 60 d | iays of | and bos | t bike |
| 60/10 plu | | | Best 10 | |
| 60/10 pto | 0 30 7 | | tred by | st GPS |
| | | | THE THE | 31 01 0 |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| 3. Experience of Key Personnel | 10 | 10 | | |
| Comments: | | | I | |
| Gret cen | a across | . He 2000 | e é | |
| 2 locals | | | * | |

| 4. Sponsorship Approach to the Project 3 | 30 | 28 | |
|---|---------------------------------------|-----------------------------|--|
| Comments: But listed a Lok of of | | ltf. | |
| 5. Price 0. | 5 | Ø | |
| less risk | to all | 7 | rev to City. I deal for us at the |
| 6. References 05 Comments: Mostly 97 Portland 1 Exposue is | _ | PS issues, | hill board maybe? In sun?? |
| | | 92 | |
| | Evalu | ator Certification | |
| The point values and final rank indicate of the Merits of the Offeror's proposal. | ed above unless o Attach additiona | otherwise noted in the form | n, reflect my best independent judgement necessary. |

| Jest 1 | Lis Pri | 7 | For correspond | |
|-----------------|---|-----------------|---|--|
| Price 5 | S. Stoppy See The See | | Se de | |
| or sanget) | Snotur 2000 HWS. | 88 | No one sect during | |
| Spesel (18) | | Christel States | 3 Q | |
| Approcess 3 Yes | Sto withing | Best were | Bost bilbe 3 sheet 3 sheet 3 chied done? | |
| Post sexual | 2016-2017 2013-2017 | 8 | S | |
| | Softena Softena Softena | Sciol | 58 S | |

| RFP Number: #003-17 Ci | ty of Key West | Bikeshare System | | |
|--|----------------|-----------------------|----------------------|------------|
| Name of Offeror: | | | | |
| Item | Max Points | Independent Points | With Panel Points | Final Rank |
| Past Performance Similar Projects | 25 | 15 | | |
| Comments: | | | | |
| 2. Project Approach and Product Comments: | 25 | 70 | | |
| 3. Experience of Key Personnel Comments: | 10 | _5_ | 2 | |

| 4. Sponsorship Approach to the Project | 30 | 75 | | |
|--|--|-------------------------|--|-----------------------|
| Comments: | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| 5. Price | 05 | 3 | 5 | |
| Comments: | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| 6. References Comments: | 05 | 5 | | |
| Comments. | | | | |
| | | | | |
| Total | 100 | 13 | | |
| | | Evaluator Certification | 9 | 1 |
| The point values and final rank income of the Merits of the Offeror's property | licated above u osal. Attach add | | e form, reflect my best | independent judgement |
| John wilkes | ************************************** | | with the constant of the const | B 1/4/2015 |
| Name | | Signature | | Date |

Vendor Offeror Evaluation Form RFP Number: #003-17 City of Key West Bikeshare System

Comments:

| | only of facy we | st bikeshare System | | |
|--|-----------------|----------------------------|----------------------|------------|
| Name of Offeror: | OCTALE | 3. cyles | | |
| Item | Max Points | / Independent Points | With Panel Points | Final Rank |
| Past Performance Similar Projects | 25 | 20 | | |
| Comments: Key b | vest | | £ | |
| 2. Project Approach and Product Comments: | 25 ere | 20 | | |
| 3. Experience of Key Personnel | 10 | 10 | | |

| 4. Sponsorship Approach to the Project | 30 | 25 | |
|--|--------------|-------------------------------|---|
| Comments: | | | |
| 5. Price Comments: | 05 | 5 | |
| 6. References Comments: | 05 | _5_ | |
| Total | 100 | 45 | |
| The point values and final rank inc | licated ab | Evaluator Certification | |
| of the Merits of the Offeror's prope | osal. Attach | additional sheets for comment | e form, reflect my best independent judgement ts as pecessary. |
| John Wilkins | 5 | Signature | 4/4/2017 |

| Name of Offeror: | Agster | _ | | |
|---|---------------|-----------------------|----------------------|------------|
| Item | Max Points | Independent Points | With Panel Points | Final Rank |
| 1. Past Performance on Similar Projects | 25 | 25 | , | |
| Comments: | | | | |
| 2. Project Approach and Product Comments: B. Karkakus | 25 | 15 | | |
| 3. Experience of Key Personnel Comments: | 10 | _bo_ | | |
| 1. OCAR | | | | |

| 4. Sponsorship Approach to the Project | 30 | 25 | : | |
|--|--|------------------------------|--|-----------------------|
| Comments: | | | | |
| 5. Price Comments: | 05 | | 5 | |
| 6. References Comments: | 05 | _5_ | | |
| Total | 100 | Evaluator Certification | | |
| The point values and final rank is of the Merits of the Offeror's pro- | ndicated above ur posal. Attach ada | nless otherwise noted in the | form, reflect my best as necessary. | independent judgement |

RFP Number: #003-17 City of Key West Bikeshare System

| Name of Offeror: God | na Bike | | | -: |
|--|---------------|-----------------------|----------------------|------------|
| Item | Max Points | Independent Points | With Panel Points | Final Rank |
| Past Performance on Similar Projects | 25 | 25 | 20_ | |
| Comments: AHhous | h Galcha | launched in | 2015 they | nave |
| well over 1,000 | bikes and | chents with | LATTE UNIVERSIT | Y thick |

also w/ small & large city which mimic Kexwest.

Comments: viith add powered by Social bikes And Learning with Partnership w/Sobi. I believe they much and exceed with what the city was requesting in the RFP AS CAT AS REAL-TIME gps and back-and Supposet.

3. Experience of Key Personnel 10 ______

Comments:

BOLLA COMPANIES WAS KEY PERSONNEL W/ DEPARTMENT OF

Transportation experience which plays A major role when

Emplementes a project as this one. From web/Mobile development

to graphic design most of these key personnel have what it

LAKES to make Bikeshare Keymest werk.

| 4. Sponsorship A to the Project | pproach 30 | _30 | | |
|---|---|-------------------------------|---|------------------|
| Comments: | Provides de Sponsors loc | idicated Jean | to axel and. | 506UPC |
| 5. Price Comments: | 05 Provides bea | _5_ st price out | of All 3 prop | POJA1. |
| 6. References Comments: | 05 All positive | 19 recence. | | |
| Total | 100 | 99 Evaluator Certification | | |
| The point values and for the Merits of the Of | inal rank indicated above feror's proposal. Attach a | unless otherwise noted in the | e form, reflect my best indepe s as necessary. | endent judgement |

4-6-17-Date

| Name of Offeror: Zags- | er | | | |
|--|---------------------|-----------------------------------|------------------------------|------------|
| Item | Max Points | Independent Points | With Panel Points | Final Rank |
| Past Performance on Similar Projects | 25 | _20_ | 25 | |
| Comments: Has | s Hc folleges to | most experience Small and Imge | and clients system | rangma |
| 2. Project Approach and Product | 25 | _20_ | | |
| Comments: | The King Par. | Approach is Sin | nilar to what oduct the bile | Key Wes L |
| | | The GPS. | | o me m |
| | | | | |
| 3. Experience of Key Personnel | 10 | _/0 | | |
| Comments: | | n - 2 | | |
| All Key bike Shipre | | has when it | trule to m | ALC |

| 4. Sponsorship Approach to the Project | 30 | 25 | | |
|--|------------------|----------------------------|------------------|-------------------------|
| Comments: | willing | to tailer. to | city umt | s é rreds. |
| 5. Price | 05 | _ 4 | _5_ | |
| Comments: 6. References Comments: | 05 | +0 city. | | |
| Total The point values and final rank inc | | Evaluator Certification | GO | independent independent |
| of the Merits of the Offeror's prop | osal. Attach ada | ditional sheets for commen | ts as necessary. | Independent judgement |

| Name of Offeror: Soci | al Bigy | cles | | |
|--|---------------|-----------------------|----------------------|------------|
| Item | Max Points | Independent Points | With Panel Points | Final Rank |
| Past Performance on Similar Projects | 25 | <u>a5</u> | | |
| Comments: | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| 2. Project Approach and Product | 25 | _15_ | , | |
| Comments: | proach | differ from | what only | |
| will look | to do. | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| 3. Experience of Key Personnel | 10 | _10 | | |
| Comments: | | | | |
| | | Lie Jean Men | | |
| For | DOT | pgonex and | pring quat | expense |
| W | ulem. | | | |

| 4. Sponsorship Approto the Project | each 30 | 30 | 9 | |
|--|--|------------------|-----------|----------------|
| Comments: | Williams to wi Spansor in a Project. | order to plan | to obtain | fund |
| 5. Price | 05 | _5_ | | |
| Comments: 6. References Comments: | 05 Positie | Regense | | |
| Total | 100 | _89 | | |
| Evaluator Certification The point values and final work indicated above release above in the form and active to the control of the control o | | | | |
| The point values and final rank indicated above unless otherwise noted in the form, reflect my best independent judgement of the Merits of the Offeror's proposal. Attach additional sheets for comments as necessary. | | | | |
| Name Leva | rdez (| Signature Jeanne | | U-6-17 Date |