

Staff Report for Item 3

To: Chairman Bryan Green and Historic Architectural Review

Commission Members

From: Kelly Perkins, MHP

HARC Assistant Planner

Meeting Date: November 16, 2016

Applicant: William Rowan Architects

Application Number: H16-03-0020

Address: #820 Carsten Lane

Description of Work:

After the fact enclosure of second floor rear addition and renovation of siding and windows. Renovations to historic building. New enclosed side stairway. Paint to match. Elevate house one foot.

Site Facts:

The house at 820 Carsten Lane is listed as a contributing resource. First appearing on the 1912 Sanborn map as a one-story structure, the house has undergone a few changes since then, such as siding replacement, new skylights, a new side addition, and a large two-story addition in the rear.

This property came to HARC in March, but was postponed by the Commission due to questions over the accuracy of the plans and whether what was built matched the HARC approval in 2006.

After conducting research, it appears that a rear porch addition with a height of 23 feet was approved by the HARC Commission on November 28, 2006. A building permit was submitted and picked up in early 2007. Two inspections were conducted (auger holes and framing), but the applicant never scheduled any more inspections or closed out the permit. It appears that the structure was built, but without the necessary inspections and approvals. The plans that were issued were kept by the architect, and now the City has a copy. The City Attorney has opined that the existing two story massing is very similar to the massing that was approved in the 2007 plans. Therefore, the massing was approved

by HARC in 2006, but not the side staircase or the current enclosure. There is currently a code case for the rear addition.

Guidelines Cited in Review:

Secretary of the Interior's Standards for Rehabilitation (pages 16-17), specifically Standards 2, 5, 6, 9, and 10.

The 2013 HARC Guidelines for Additions and Alterations/New Construction (pages 36-38a), specifically guidelines for additions and alterations 1, 3, 4, 5, 6, and 7 and guidelines 2, 3, 4 and 5 of new construction (pages 38-38a).

Ordinance for Relocation (see attached section), specifically criteria 1, 2, 4, and 5.

Staff Analysis

This Certificate of Appropriateness proposes renovations to a contributing structure. Changes to the main house include extending a side wall out to the same location as the main structure wall. From the Sanborn maps, it appears that the existing jog was created sometime between 1948 and 1962. The new proposed wall on the southwest side will be on the same wall plane as the front of the house. The new wall will have the same board and batten as the main house with 6/6, true divided light, wood windows. The house will also be elevated one foot. The current porch on the rear will be enclosed with a new rear covered porch will be constructed on the back.

The two-story addition in the rear was approved by HARC in 2006 as an open porch with a staircase that went through the center of the porch addition. Over time, the central staircase was removed and a new exterior staircase was built on the side of the house – without a Certificate of Appropriateness. The second story porch was also enclosed without benefit of a Certificate of Appropriateness. The submitted project proposes to add new board and batten siding to match the rest of the house. New impact windows will be installed. New sliding doors will be installed on the rear of the

The application also proposes to shift the house 3 inches southwest to make the structure and new addition compliant with setbacks.

Consistency with Guidelines

1. Side Addition: The house currently has an existing two-story addition, whose height and massing were approved by HARC in 2006. The existing two-story addition towers over its neighbors, as most neighboring structures are one-story structures. The guidelines are clear that "no existing structure shall be enlarged so that its proportions are out of scale with its surroundings." The existing two-story is already out of scale with its surroundings, and enlarging the addition will only have more of a negative impact on the surrounding historic properties. As the original design had a staircase that fit inside the existing 3-dimensional footprint,

- it seems possible that the architect could design a plan that incorporates a new interior staircase without having to extend the existing two-story addition.
- 2. New Roof on Rear Addition: The plans also propose a new roof with a lesser pitch to retain the rear addition's current height even with the structure's elevation of one foot. The new roof will help lessen the massing, and with the elevation of the one-story structure, the rear two-story addition's impact on Carsten Lane will be lessened slightly.
- 3. Renovations to the Contributing House: The proposed extension of the wall on the main house will create one long wall plane. From the Sanborn maps, the southwest side of the house has changed over time, and it appears that the main house did have a rectangular footprint, but the wall on the southwest side was demolished sometime between 1948 and 1962. The proposed plan will return the house to a more original footprint.
- 4. Elevation of the House: The elevation of the house of one foot does not appear to be a requirement of FEMA, as the house is located in the AE-6 zone, and the structure is currently at 7.2 NGVD (BFE+1). The HARC Guidelines state that the elevation of buildings is to comply with federal regulations, and this building currently complies with federal regulations. On the other hand, the structure is very low to ground with no crawl space underneath. It does not appear to have much of relationship with its neighboring structures, as most of the neighboring structures are more elevated off the ground. As such, staff feels that the elevation does not interfere with the essential form and integrity of properties in the neighborhood. The Urban Forestry Manager has stated that the roots of the large nearby Strangler Fig could cause issues with the foundation of the house in the future, and that elevation will help protect the Strangler Fig so it will be able to continue to grow.
- 5. Relocation: The plans call for shifting the house around 3 inches to the southwest to have the new addition comply with setbacks. Really, the house will be pivoted, with most of the change impacting the rear of the house. The front will generally remain the same. Because the shifting is so slight, the "relocation" complies with the ordinance.

It is staff's opinion that the proposed design is consistent with the guidelines regarding the renovations of the house, but the proposed side addition is inconsistent with the guidelines in regards to additions and alterations, as the new side addition will enlarge a structure that already overwhelms the neighboring historic structures. The elevation of the house is against the guidelines, but the elevation of the structure will have positive impacts on the structure and the nearby Strangler Fig.

ORDINANCE FOR RELOCATION

DIVISION 4. - BUILDING RELOCATION

Sec. 102-251. - Application and fee.

Application for a certificate of appropriateness for relocating a historic building or structure in the historic districts shall be submitted to the historic architectural review commission, together with an application fee as determined by resolution by the city commission. Applications for relocating a historic building or structure shall be reviewed by the historic architectural review commission during a regular meeting. Notice of the meeting shall be published as for a regular meeting, pursuant to section 90-143.

(Ord. No. 97-10, § 1(3-10.3(E)(3)(a)), 7-3-1997; Ord. No. 11-11, § 6, 8-2-2011)

Sec. 102-252. - Requirements precedent to relocation.

The National Register of Historic Places discourages the moving of historic structures because the significance of properties is embodied in their sites and settings as well as in the structures themselves. Any National Register building that is removed from its original foundation is removed from the National Register. After reconsideration, it may be placed back on the National Register if specific criteria are followed, but the building's National Register designation is not guaranteed. The historic architectural review commission shall not issue a certificate of appropriateness for relocating a historic building or structure unless the historic architectural review commission renders a finding that the applicant has submitted a relocation plan demonstrating that the proposed relocation satisfies the following criteria:

- (1) The built environment for the new site should be similar to the old one in terms of context, the age of the surrounding buildings, their height, materials, setback, and architectural details.
- (2) The historic relationship between buildings and streetscape and landscaped features must be maintained.
- (3) When a building may be moved, documentation of the building and the relocation must be provided.
- (4) The relocated building must be placed so that the orientation of its principal facade and front and side setbacks are compatible with surrounding buildings.
- (5) The new foundation's design, height, and facing materials must be comparable with the original historical foundation.
- (6) Relocating a contributing building outside of the historic district is prohibited.
- (7) Relocating a building to a site where the surrounding buildings date from a different period or are architecturally incompatible due to their height, materials, setback, and detailing is prohibited.
- (8) Destruction or alteration of significant features, structures, or archeological sites at the new location is prohibited.
- (9) Improperly locating a building on its new site so that its orientation and front and side setbacks are incompatible with surrounding buildings is prohibited.
- (10) Placing the building on a new foundation whose design and materials are incompatible with the original is prohibited.

about:blank

3/20/2015

(Ord. No. 97-10, § 1(3-10.3(E)(3)(b)), 7-3-1997)

Secs. 102-253—102-280. - Reserved.

APPLICATION

COMBINATION APPLICATION: FLOODPLAIN, CONSTRUCTION AND HARC \$50.00 APPLICATION FEE NON-REFUNDABLE



City of Key West

3140 FLAGLER AVENUE KEY WEST, FLORIDA 33040

Phone: 305.809.3956
BLDG@CITYOFKEYWEST-FL.GOV

HARC PERMI	T NUMBER	BUILDING P	ERMIT NUMBE	INITIAL & DATE
H16-03	8-0015			11-2-14
FLOODPLAIN PERMIT		ZONING		REVISION#
FLOOD ZONE	PANEL#	ELEV. L. FL.	SUBSTANTIAL IN	MPROVEMENT
			YES	NO%

ADDRESS OF PROPOSED PROJECT:	820 Carsten La	ine		# OF UNITS
RE # OR ALTERNATE KEY:	1011720			
NAME ON DEED:	Donald and Sus	san Lynch	PHONE NUMBER 274-619-9163	
OWNER'S MAILING ADDRESS:	820 Carsten La	ine	EMAIL Lynch.Don@co	mcast.net
	Key West FL 33	040		
CONTRACTOR COMPANY NAME:	N/A		PHONE NUMBER N/A	
CONTRACTOR'S CONTACT PERSON:	N/A		EMAIL N/A	
ARCHITECT / ENGINEER'S NAME:	William Rowan		PHONE NUMBER 305-394-4773	
ARCHITECT / ENGINEER'S ADDRESS:	321 Peacon Lan	е	EMAIL wlrowan@gma:	il.com
	Key West, FL 3	3040		
HARC: PROJECT LOCATED IN HISTORIC			SEE PART C FOR HARC AP	PLICATION.)
CONTRACT PRICE FOR PROJECT OR ES	TIMATED TOTAL FOR MAT'L	, LABOR & PROFIT:	\$	
FLORIDA STATUTE 837.06: WHOEVER KNOWINGL' PERFORMANCE OF HIS OR HER OFFICIAL DUTY S				
DETAILED Project Description(The app work that is considered by the City. Shou as described herein versus the scope of vaforementioned decription of work shall be see attached.	ld further action be taken by t work shown on the plans or o be controlling.)	he City for exceeding th	e scope of the decription o	f work
Printed name of property owner or licensed co	ntractor. Sig	nature.		
Donald Lynch		Mer.	. As Altorn	o in fa
lotary Signature as to applicant. State of F		rn to and subscribed be	for the state of t	www,
Patrui Da	e Danik	,	PATRICIA GAE G MY COMMISSION : EXPIRES: September	ANISTER \$ 4 FF 153175 \$ 7 20, 2018
ersonally known or produced	as identification.		mmmmm	mmš

Official Use Only:

PART B:

SUPPLEMENTARY PROJECT DETAILS TO AVOID DELAYS / CALL-BACKS

PROPERTY STRUCTURES AFFECTED BY PROJECT: MAIN STRUCTURE ACCESSORY STRUCTURE SITE						
ACCESSORY STRUCTURES: GARAGE / CARPORT DECK FENCE OUTBUILDING / SHED FENCE STRUCTURES: 4 FT 6 FT. SOLID 6 FT. / TOP 2 FT. 50% OPEN POOLS: INGROUND ABOVE GROUND SPA / HOT TUB PRIVATE PUBLIC PUBLIC POOLS REQUIRE BD. OF HEALTH LICENSE APPLICATION AT TIME OF CITY APPLICATION. PUBLIC POOLS REQUIRE BD. OF HEALTH LICENSE PRIOR TO RECEIVING THE CITY CERTIFICATE OF OCCUPANCY. ROOFING: NEW ROOF-OVER TEAR-OFF REPAIR AWNING						
SUBCONTRACTORS / SPECIALTY CONTRACTORS SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: MECHANICAL:DUCTWORKCOMMERCIAL EXH. HOODINTAKE / EXH. FANS LPG TANKS A / C:COMPLETE SYSTEMAIR HANDLERCONDENSERMINI-SPLIT ELECTRICAL:LIGHTINGRECEPTACLESHOOK-UP EQUIPMENTLOW VOLTAGE SERVICE:OVERHEADUNDERGROUND1 PHASE3 PHASEAMPS PLUMBING:ONE SEWER LATERAL PER BLDGINGROUND GREASE INTCPTRSLPG TANKS RESTROOMS:MEN'SWOMEN'SUNISEXACCESSIBLE PART C: HARC APPLICATION FOR A CERTIFICATE OF APPROPRIATENESS APPLICATION FEES: PAINTING SINGLE FAMILY: \$10						
PLEASE SEND ELECTRONIC SUBMISSIONS TO: harc@cityofkeywest-fl.gov INDICATE TYPE OF CERTIFICATE. OF APPROPRIATENESS:GENERALDEMOLITIONSIGNPAINTINGOTHER						
ADDITIONAL INFORMATION:						
PROJECT SPECIFICATIONS: PLEASE PROVIDE PHOTOS OF EXISTING CONDITIONS, PLANS, PRODUCT SAMPLES, TECHNICAL DATA						
ARCHITECTURAL FEATURES TO BE ALTERED: ORIGINAL MATERIAL: PROPOSED MATERIAL:						
Board and batten Board and batten						
DEMOLITION: PLEASE FILL OUT THE HARC APPENDIX FOR PROPOSED DEMOLITION.						
DEMOLITION OF HISTORIC STRUCTURES IS NOT ENCOURAGED BY THE HISTORIC ARCHITECTURAL REVIEW COMMISSION.						
SIGNAGE: (SEE PART B) BUSINESS SIGN BRAND SIGN OTHER:						
RUSINESS LICENSE #						

		SIGN SPEC	FICATIONS			
SIGN COPY:		PROPOSED M.	ATERIALS:		SIGNS WITH	ILLUMINATION:
					TYPE OF LTO	3 .:
					LTG, LINEAL	FTG.:
MAX. HGT. OF FONTS:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				COLOR AND	TOTAL LUMENS:
IF USING LIGHT FIXTURES I	PLEASE INDICATE HOW MA	NY: INCLUDE S	SPEC. SHEET W	ITH LOCATIONS	AND COLORS.	
OFFICIAL USE ONLY:		HARC STAFF OR COM	IMISSION RE	VIFW		
APPROVED	NOT APPROVED				TABLEI	D FOR ADD'L. INFO.
HARC MEETING DATE:	_	HARC MEETING			HARC MEETIN	
REASONS OR CONDITIONS:				·	.,, ., .,	
		-		-		
STAFF REVIEW COMMENTS:						
-						
	_					
IARC PLANNER SIGNATURE	AND DATE:		HARC CHAIRPI	ERSON SIGNATU	RE AND DATE:	
PART D:	STATE OF FLOR	DIDA OEEICIAL I	NOTIFICA	TIONS AN	ID WADN	INCC
LORIDA STATUTE 713.135:						
						A COPY POSTED ON THE JOB SITE
EFORE THE FIRST INSPECT						
LORIDA STATUTE 469: ABES	STOS ABATEMENT. AS OW	NER / CONTRACTOR / AGE	NT OF RECORD	FOR THE CONST	RUCTION APPL	IED FOR IN THIS APPLICATION,
						LISH / REMOVE ASBESTOS.
						RESTRICTIONS APPLICABLE TO THIS
ROPERTY THAT MAY BE FO NTITIES SUCH AS AQUADUC						UIRED FROM OTHER GOVERNMENT FEDERAL AGENCIES.
EDERAL LAW REQUIRES LE						
FICIAL USE ONLY BY PLAN ARC FEES:	S EXAMINER OR CHIEF BU IBLDG, FEES:		CE.	IMPACT SEES		CBO OR PL. EXAM. APPROVAL:
and feed.	BLDG. FEE3:	FIRE MARSHAL F	EE:	IMPACT FEES:		
						DATE:
	1					
		1				l

REVISED

Attachment to Combination Application: Floodplain, Construction and HARC HARC Permit No. H16-03-0015

Architectural features to be altered:

- After the fact enclosure of second floor rear addition and renovation of siding, windows and second floor side addition.
- Renovations to historic building.
- Paint to match.
- Elevate house one (1) foot and re-locate house to the southwest three (3) inches to comply with setbacks.
- Demolition of non-historic rear roof and exterior staircase. Partial demolition of southwest wall and rear wall of original building.
- New rear covered porch.
- New roof on second floor addition to lower roof pitch one (1) foot to retain exiting height of roof.

CITY OF KEY WEST

CERTIFICATE OF APPROPRIATENESS APENDIX FOR DEMOLITIONS



APPLICATION NUMBER H- 16 - 03- - 0015

	(2) For a contributing historic or noncontributing building or structure, a complete construction plan for the site is approved by the Historic Architectural Review Commission.
	(a) A complete construction plan for the site is included in this application
	Yes Number of pages and date on plans
	X No Reason HARC requirements only
Commissio	ing criteria will also be reviewed by the Historic Architectural Review Commission for proposed demolitions. The n shall not issue a Certificate of Appropriateness that would result in the following conditions (please review ent on each criterion that applies); Non-Historic roof and staircase.
	(1) Removing buildings or structures that are important in defining the overall historic character of a district or neighborhood so that the character is diminished. N/A
	(2) Removing historic buildings or structures and thus destroying the historic relationship between buildings or structures and open space; and N/A
AND	(3) Removing an historic building or structure in a complex; or removing a building facade; or removing a significant later addition that is important in defining the historic character of a site or the surrounding district or neighborhood. N/A

CITY OF KEY WEST

CERTIFICATE OF APPROPRIATENESS APENDIX FOR DEMOLITIONS



APPLICATION NUMBER H- 16 - 03- - 0015

This document applies only to those properties located within the City of Key West Historic Zoning Districts, properties outside the historic zoning districts which are listed as contributing in the Historic Architectural Survey and or properties listed in the National Register of Historic Places.

Applications must meet or exceed the requirements outlined by the Secretary of the Interior's Standards for Rehabilitation and Guidelines for Rehabilitation and the Key West's Historic Architectural Guidelines. Once submitted, the application shall be reviewed by staff for completeness and scheduled for presentation to the Historic Architectural Review Commission for the next available meeting, unless the demolition request is for a bona fide Code Compliance case, in which case staff may review and approve the demolition request. The applicant must be present at this meeting. Any person that makes changes to an approved Certificate of Appropriateness must submit a new application with such modifications.

The filing of this application does not ensure approval as submitted. Applications that do not possess the required submittals or documentation will be considered incomplete and will not be reviewed for approval.

CRITERIA FOR DEMOLITIONS

Before any Certificate of Appropriateness may be issued for a demolition request, the Historic Architectural Review Commission must find that the following requirements are met (please review and comment on each criterion that applies);


(1)	demol	subject of the application is a contributing or historic building or structure, then it should not be ished unless its condition is irrevocably compromised by extreme deterioration or it does not meet the following criteria:
	(a)	The existing condition of the building or structure is irrevocably compromised by extreme deterioration. N/A
THAT '		JILDING OR STRUCTURE; SW and rear wall (Historic) Embodies no distinctive characteristics of a type, period, or method of construction of aesthetic or historic significance in the city and is not a significant and distinguishable building entity whose components may lack individual distinction.
		The subject walls that are proposed to be demolished have been completely altered except several studs within. They were modified and used as a wall for the two-story addition in the 1990's.
	(b)	Is not specifically associated with events that have made a significant contribution to local, state, or national history. No

OR


(c)	Has no significant character, interest, or value as part of the development, heritage, or cultural characteristics of the city, state or nation, and is not associated with the life of a person significant in the past. N/A
(d)	Is not the site of a historic event with a significant effect upon society. N/A
(e)	Does not exemplify the cultural, political, economic, social, or historic heritage of the city. N/A
have been co modified and The overall the proposed (g)	Does not portray the environment in an era of history characterized by a distinctive architectural style. The subject walls that are proposed to be demolished impletely altered except several studs within. They were used as a wall for the two-story addition in the 1990's. historic appearance and preservation is being enhanced by work. If a part of or related to a square, park, or other distinctive area, nevertheless should not be developed or preserved according to a plan based on the area's historic, cultural, natural, or architectural motif.
(h)	Does not have a unique location or singular physical characteristic which represents an established and familiar visual feature of its neighborhood or of the city, and does not exemplify the best remaining architectural type in a neighborhood. See (f) above.
(i)	Has not yielded, and is not likely to yield, information important in history. N/A

Nothing in this application is intended to alter the authority of the Building Official to condemn for demolition dangerous buildings, as provided in Section 102-218 of the Land Development Regulations and Chapter 14 of the Code of Ordinances.


receiving a Certificate of Appropriateness, proceeding with the work outlined above a	, I realize that and that there	work shall conform to all applicable laws of this jurisdiction. By this project will require a Building Permit, approval PRIOR to will be a final inspection required under this application. I also to far of Appropriateness must be submitted for review.			
PROPERTY OWNER'S SIGNATURE:		attorner in fect for Bonald Lynd. Date and PRINT NAME:			
	OFFICE USE ONLY				
	BUILDING	DESCRIPTION:			
Contributing Year built Not listed Year built	Style	Listed in the NRHP Year			
A TOS ANDERES A PERA O VICTOR	Comment				
Reviewed by Staff on Notice of hearing posted First reading meeting date Second Reading meeting date TWO YEAR EXPIRATION DATE	_	ff Comments			




1912 Sanborn Map



1926 Sanborn Map



1948 Sanborn Map



1962 Sanborn Map


PROJECT PHOTOS



Property Appraiser's Photograph, c.1965. Monroe County Public Library.












































CERTIFICATION made to:

Chicago Title Insurance Company Sanchez & Ashby, P.A.

KWRH, LLC

LEGAL DESCRIPTION:

Part of Lot 1 Square 58, Plat Book A-5, Page 212 & 213, also known as Lot 8 of Plot Book 1, Page 27 of the Public Records of Monroe County, Florida and being better described by metes and bounds as follows: Commencing at the intersection of the Southwesterly Right-of-Way line of Margaret Street and the Southeasterly Right-of-Way line of Carstens Lane; thence along the said Southeasterly Right-of-Way line of Carstens Lane in a Southwesterly direction 105.0 feet to the Point of Beginning; thence at right angles in a Southeasterly direction 86 feet to Carey Lane; thence at right angle and along the Northwesterly Right-of-Way line of Carey Lane; thence at right angle angles along the Northwesterly Right-of-Way line of Carey Lane. and in a Southwesterly direction 32 feet; thence at right angles and in a Northwesterly direction 86 feet to the Right-of-Way of Carstents Lane; thence at right angles and in a Northeasterly direction along the Southeasterly Right-of-Way line of Carstens Lane 32 feet to the Poignt of Beginning.

SURVEYOR'S NOTES:

North arrow based on assumed median Bearing based on R/W Margaret Street 3.4 denotes exisiting elevation
Elevations based on N.G.V.D. 1929 Datum
Sench Mark No.: Basic. Elevation:14.324 Title search has not been performed on soid or surrounding properties

o = Set 1/2" Iron Pipe, P.L.S. No. 2749 • = Found 1/2" Iron Pipe

I HEREBY CERTIFY that the attached BOUNDARY SURVEY is true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief; that it meets the minimum technical standards adopted by the Florida Board of Land Surveyors. Chapter 5J-17 Florida Status Section 472,027, and the American land Title Association, and that there are no viable engrouphy unless shown hereon.

FREDERICK H. HILDEBRANDT Professional Land Surveyor & Mapper No. 2749 Professional Engineer No. 36810 State of Florida

NOT VALID UNLESS EMBOSSED WITH RAISED SEAL & SIGNATURE


MONUMENTATION:

. = Found P.K. Nail

4 = Set P.K. Neil, P.L.S. No. 2749

CARSTEN LANE (25' R/W) 32.00'm.&d MARGARET Clinar 86.00 86 STREET 3 00 1.25 3 0.3'± porch clear 80 0 20 0.3'± 32.00 m.&d. NOTE: All angles ore 90'00'00" unless LANE otherwise described

Field Work performed on: 3/18/15



PROPOSED DESIGN

10/6/2016 9/27/2016 8/24/2016 6/14/2016 6/10/2016 5/6/2016 DATE: 3/26/2016

OF 5

LYNCH RESIDENCE RESIDENTIAL RENOVATION

820 CARSTON LANE KEY WEST, FLORIDA 33040



VIEW FROM CARSTON LN.



VIEW FROM CAREY LN.

	Allowed	Existing	Proposed
Zoning HHDR		J	,
Flood AE-6		7.2' NGVD	8.2' NGVD
Lot Size		2,752 S.F.	
Building Coverage	50% (1,376 S.I	=.)	
<i>y</i>		40% (1,123 S.F.)	
			49% (1,367 S.F.)
mpervious ratio	60% (1,651 5.	F.)	
•		44% (1,231 S.F.)	
			53% (1,475 S.F.)
Open Space	35% min. (963 S	5.F.)	
1 1		55% (1,521 S.F.)	
			A1% (1143 S.F.)
Setbacks *(FROM E	AVES - NOT E	XTEŘIOŘ WALL:	S AS SURVEY)*
Front	IO'	2.9'	2.9' N.C.
Side	5'	5.ľ	5.5'
Side	5'	3.75'	3.75' N.C
Rear	20'	27.4'	20.6'


GENERAL NOTES

All work shall comply with the Florida Building Code, latest edition, and all applicable laws, codes and ordinances of the city, county, and the state of Florida. In the city of Key West, applicable Codes forming the basis of this design and compliance requirements for the contractor include:

BUILDING: Florida Building Code, 2014

ELECTRICAL: National Electrical Code, 2014 PLUMBING: Florida Building Code (Plumbing), 2014
MECHANICAL: Florida Building Code (Mech.), 2014
GAS: LP Gas Code, 2014 edition (NFPA 58)

This project is designed in accordance with A.S.C.E 7-10 to resist wind loads of 180 mph (gusts) (Exposure C)



RSTEN LANE (25' R/W)


CARSTEN

SURVEY


NOTE: All angles are 90°00'00" unless otherwise described

CAREY LANE

2 2 OF 5




1/4" = 1'-0"




 $2ND FLOOR \qquad (EXISTING)$ 1/4" = 1'-0"


Site Data Existing Proposed Allowed Zoning HHDR Flood AE-G 7.2' NGVD 2,752 S.F. 8.2' NGVD Lot Size 50% (1,376 S.F.) Building Coverage 40% (1,123 S.F.) 49% (1,367 S.F.) 60% (1,651 S.F.) Impervious ratio 44% (1,231 S.F.) 53% (1,475 S.F.) 35% min. (963 S.F.) Open Space 55% (1,521 S.F.) Setbacks *(FROM EAVES - NOT EXTERIOR WALLS AS SURVEY)* 2.9' 5.1' 2.9' N.C. Front Side 5.5' 3.75' 3.75' N.C. Side

3 0F 5










1ST FLOOR / SITE PLAN (PROPOSED)


1/4" = 1'-0"


NOTE: RESIDENCE TO BE RAISED 1'-0" FROM EXISTING F.F. ELEVATION TO CREATE GROWTH SPACE AT TREE ON SOUTHWEST SIDE OF HOUSE.

EXISTING ROOF "PEAK ELEVATION" TO REMAIN AS EXISTING WITH REDUCTION OF 2ND FLOOR ROOF SLOPE PER RENOVATION PLANS.

AT TIME OF FINISHED FLOOR ELEVATION CHANGE, RESIDENCE IS TO BE SHIFTED SOUTH WEST 3"± FOR CLEAR 5'-0" SETBACK AT SECOND FLOOR










NOTE: RESIDENCE TO BE RAISED 1'-0" FROM EXISTING F.F. ELEVATION TO CREATE GROWTH SPACE AT TREE ON SOUTHWEST SIDE OF HOUSE.

EXISTING ROOF PEAK ELEVATION TO REMAIN AS EXISTING WITH REDUCTION OF 2ND FLOOR ROOF SLOPE PER RENOVATION PLANS.

AT TIME OF FINISHED FLOOR ELEVATION CHANGE, RESIDENCE IS TO BE SHIFTED SOUTH WEST 3"± FOR CLEAR 5'-0" SETBACK AT SECOND FLOOR








SITE DETAIL (EXISTING)

1/4" = 1'-0"

NOTE: ALL CIRCLED DIMENSIONS ARE FROM FINISHED EAVE OF ROOF TO PROPERTY LINE

PROPOSED ROOF COVERAGE

PROPERTY SETBACK LINE



DATE : 10/28/2016

PROJECT NO :

RESIDENTIAL RENOVATION
820 CARSTON LANE KEY WEST, FLORIDA 33040

SITE DETAIL (PROPOSED)

1/4" = 1'-0"

1 OF 1

PREVIOUSLY SUBMITTED DESIGN

6/14/2016 5/6/2016 DATE: 2/18/2016


OF 5

LYNCH RESIDENCE RESIDENTIAL REMODEL

820 CARSTON LANE KEY WEST, FLORIDA 33040



VIEW FROM CARSTON LN.



VIEW FROM CAREY LN.

	Allowed	Existing	Proposed
Zoning HHDR		J	1
Flood AE-6		7.2' NGVD	8.2' NGVD
Lot Size		2,752 S.F.	
Building Coverage	50% (1,376 S.F.))	
		40% (1,123 S.F.)	
			46% (1,284 S.F.)
Impervious ratio	60% (1,651 S.	F.)	
1		44% (1,231 S.F.)	
			50% (1,392 S.F.)
Open Space	35% min. (963 S	5.F.)	
1 1		55% (1,521 S.F.)	
			49% (1360 S.F.)
Sefbacks	\		* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
Front	Ю'	3. 4 ′	3.4' N.C.
Side	5'	5.ľ	5.1' N.C.
Side	5'	3.75'	3.75' N.C.
Rear	20'	28.9'	22.ľ

GENERAL NOTES


All work shall comply with the Florida Building Code, latest edition, and all applicable laws, codes and ordinances of the city, county, and the state of Florida. In the city of Key West, applicable Codes forming the basis of this design and compliance requirements for the contractor include:

BUILDING: Florida Building Code, 2014

ELECTRICAL: National Electrical Code, 2014

BUILDING: Florida Building Code, 2014 PLUMBING: Florida Building Code (Plumbing), 2014
MECHANICAL: Florida Building Code (Mech.), 2014
GAS: LP Gas Code, 2014 edition (NFPA 58)

This project is designed in accordance with A.S.C.E 7-10 to resist wind loads of 180 mph (gusts) (Exposure C)



SURVEY




2ND FLOOR (EXISTING)


1/4" = 1'-0"

NOTE: DEMO ENTIRE SECOND FLOOR

BEDROOM

DOWN







	Allowed	Existing	Proposed
Zoning HHDR		J	,
Flood AE-6		7.2' NGVD	8.2' NGVD
Lot Size		2,752 S.F.	
Building Coverage	50% (1,376 S.F.)		
<i>y</i>		40% (1,123 S.F.)	
			46% (1,284 S.F.)
Impervious ratio	60% (1,651 S.F	=.)	
•		44% (1,231 S.F.)	
			50% (1,392 S.F.)
Open Space	35% min. (963 S	.F.)	
, ,		55% (1,521 S.F.)	
			49% (1360 S.F.)
Setbacks			
Front	IO'	3.4 [']	3.4' N.C.
Side	5'	5.ľ	5.1' N.C.
Side	5'	3.75'	3.75' N.C.
Rear	20'	28.9'	22.ľ




3 OF 5



















PROPOSED PLAN

LYNCH RESIDENC RESIDENTIAL REMO


M I LLIAM ROWA NA ARCHITECTURE

ZI PEACON LANE

6/14/2016 6/10/2016 5/6/2016 3/26/2016 DATE: 2/18/2016

PROJECT NO :

4 OF 5



LYNCH RESIDENCE
RESIDENTIAL REMODEL
820 CARSTON LANE KEY WEST, FLORIDA 330-

WILLIAM ROWA N
ARCHITECTURE

PROJECT NO:

6/14/2016
6/10/2016
5/6/2016
3/26/2016
DATE: 2/18/2016


5 5 of 5

LYNCH RESIDENCE
RESIDENTIAL REMODEL
820 CARSTON LANE KEY WEST, FLORIDA 330


PROJECT NO

5.0.16 DATE 2/18/2016

A



MISCELLANEOUS INFORMATION



ŀ

TABLED ITEMS

T1. H06-11-03-1617 **820** Carstens Lane, Susan Schock Rear porch addition.

Susan Schock presented the project with here revised drawings. The house is 630 square feet the new addition will be 266 square feet. She has taken the Sanborn fire map and added all of the additions her neighbors have built over the years. This shows her addition is smaller and her lot coverage is 40%. All of the other houses on Carey Lane have a gable roofs that are parallel to the street. The addition would be visible only between the houses as it is set far back. It is 23' high. Abundant photographs were provided of her property and neighboring properties. 1101 Angela Street

Michael Miller said she has provided a detailed presentation. There are no floor plans. These drawing are conceptual. There will be some complications bringing the gable roofs together.

Ms. Schock can not afford an architect at this stage. She would like to get these plans approved and then have an architect draw them. She did not know she needed a floor plan. This is an open structure and the stairway is shown.

Nils Muench said the applicant has done a fine job. He does not feel a floor plan should be required.

Mr. Mancini took exception to this.

Mr. Miller said the first 25% of the drawings the architect does is what would be submitted to HARC.

Ms. Schock said the Planning Department and HARC said structural drawings are required when she applies for a permit. She has provided what they have asked for.

Mr. Miller said typically an architect would do schematic drawings and then refine them.

Nils Muench motioned to approve. Vincent Mancini seconded the motion.

Michel Miller said if we approve this we are accepting a sub-standard application. A floor plan is required as per the application requirements. He does not feel the project will work as drawn. This is a rough conceptual drawing. It needs to go to a professional. You do not have a clue how the columns go together or the balustrades go together. The presentation of context is excellent the architecture is not. If you had hired a professional you would be 20% into your working drawings.

APPROVE	X	DISAPPROVE	TABLE

Michel Miller and Terry Garcia objected to the motion.



THE CITY OF KEY WEST Code Compliance Division

P.O. BOX 1409 KEY WEST, FL 33041 (305) 809-3740

AMENDED NOTICE OF CODE VIOLATION

DATE: April 28, 2016

RE: CASE NUMBER 16-0455

CERTIFIED MAIL RECEIPT#:

7013 2630 0000 9542 4127

To: Donald R. Lynch 1200 Fourth St # 138 Key West FL 33040 Subject Address: 820 Carsten Ln Key West, Florida 33040

According to the records of The City of Key West, you are the current property owner/ representative or the business owner at the above-referenced property. You are hereby noticed that your property is in violation of the City of Key West Code of Ordinances for the following reason(s):

Count 1 of 2: Sec. 14-37 Building Permits, Display

To Wit: Upon receiving this complaint I visited the subject address on Monday, April 25th. I was greeted by Mrs. Valerie Roach in front of the home. She advised she, and her husband Mike Roach have been living at the residence for a week and no construction has taken place in that time. A six month lease had been signed and she advised when her husband returned, myself and Code Ofc. Hernandez were welcome back to take a look at the property as well as the rental agreement. Shortly after leaving Code Ofc. Hernandez received a call from Mike who invited us back to take a look around. When we arrived Mike presented the rental agreement which were then photographed for evidence and placed into OptiView. Mike escorted us through the living space, bedroom, kitchen, and backyard. While observing the back of the property I noticed the upstairs unit had 2 sets of three glass paneled windows, with what appeared to be a set of French doors in between. Mike advised the unit was locked and he did not have access inside. I then asked if we could take a look inside through the windows and he escorted us up to the unit. A shower was visible from the deck, along with wooden boards leaning up against the wall, and a few stools. Mike was later advised a stop work order (Red Tag) would be placed on the unit. Further investigation shows a permit (07-0029) was pulled for two story porch in the rear of the residence. The permit never made it further than plan check.

Corrective Action: Please either visit the City of Key West Building Department to obtain after the fact permit(s), and obtain a HARC certificate of appropriateness, or apply for demo permit and hire a licensed contractor for the demolition of flight of stairs, 2nd story porch, and enclosure.

Count 2 of 2: Sec. 66-87 Sec. 66-87. - Business tax receipt required for all holding themselves out to be engaged in business.

To Wit: While conducting an investigation on Count 1 it was discovered that you do not hold an active non-transient rental license.

Corrective Action: Please visit the City of Key West Licensing Department to obtain a non-transient rental license.

Florida Statutes Chapter 162 and Key West Code of Ordinances Article VI authorize code enforcement proceedings. You have ten (10) days after receipt of this notice to take corrective action on the above-described violation(s). PLEASE CONTACT THE UNDERSIGNED CODE COMPLIANCE OFFICER so that we can assist you in achieving compliance and scheduling a re-inspection. If corrective action is not taken within the specified 10 days, this matter will be referred to The Special Magistrate for an administrative non-criminal hearing concerning the alleged violation(s).

The violation listed herein does not necessarily constitute all the violations that may exist with regard to this matter/property. Lack of enforcement proceedings at this time does not constitute a waiver of the right to any future notices of violations.

IF THE VIOLATION IS CORRECTED AND THEN RECURS OR IF THE VIOLATION IS NOT CORRECTED BY THE TIME SPECIFIED BY THE CODE OFFICER, THE CASE MIGHT BE PRESENTED TO THE SPECIAL MAGISTRATE EVEN IF THE VIOLATION HAS BEEN CORRECTED PRIOR TO THE HEARING DATE.

PER FLORIDA STATUTES SECTION 162.09, YOUR FAILURE TO CORRECT THE VIOLATION (S) MAY RESULT IN THE IMPOSITION OF A FINE OF UP TO \$250.00/DAY, AND \$500.00/DAY FOR A REPEAT VIOLATION. IF THE VIOLATION (S) IS IRREPARABLE OR IRREVERSIBLE, A FINE OF UP TO \$5000.00 MAY BE IMPOSED BY THE SPECIAL MAGISTRATE. FINES MAY BE IMPOSED ON A PER DAY/ PER VIOLATION BASIS.

FINES THAT ARE NOT PAID MAY BECOME LIENS UPON YOUR PROPERTY AND BE RECORDED IN THE PUBLIC RECORDS OF MONROE COUNTY.

Kenneth JW Waite Code Compliance Officer City of Key West (305) 809-3753

TREE COMMISSION

Memorandum For the File

DATE: November 7, 2016

RE: HARC Application for 820 Carsten Lane

FROM: Karen DeMaria, Urban Forestry Manager

I have been asked to comment regarding the proposal to elevate the structure at 820 Carsten Lane. A primary reason for the proposed elevation change is due to the existence of a large strangler fig tree and its root system that is growing on the property line with 818 Carsten Lane (see photos below).

As the manager of the Tree Commission and the City Arborist, the elevating of this structure is a positive, proactive measure that will allow continued survival and growth of a protected and native tree species. This will also prevent any future damage to the structure from the root system of the tree. Therefore, I support the proposal with conditions; no impacts will occur to any trees or palms on the property including their roots and canopy branches, during the construction.



Note: a large trunk/branch from this tree was recently removed by an arborist (Tree Commission permit issued)

820

818



View standing on 818 Carsten Lane. A large section of this tree was able to be removed to prevent the immediate future damage to the house at 818. Note the large amount of roots that appear to be on 820 Carsten Lane, left of the fence. No additional major trimming of this tree can occur at this time.

The Historic Architectural Review Commission will hold a public hearing at 5:30 p.m., November 16, 2016 at Old

The Historic Architectural Review Commission will hold a public hearing at 5:30 p.m., November 16, 2016 at Old City Hall, 510 Greene Street, Key West, Florida. The purpose of the hearing will be to consider a request for:

AFTER THE FACT ENCLOSURE OF SECOND FLOOR REAR ADDITION AND RENOVATION OF SIDING AND WINDOWS. NEW SIDE ADDITION TO TWO STORY ADDITION. RENOVATIONS TO HISTORIC BUILDING. ELEVATE HOUSE ONE FOOT AND SHIFT STRUCTURE 3 IN. SOUTHWEST. NEW ROOF ON SECOND FLOOR ADDITION TO RETAIN EXISTING HEIGHT. NEW REAR COVERED PORCH. PAINT TO MATCH. DEMOLITION OF NON-HISTORIC REAR ROOF AND EXTERIOR STAIRCASE. PARTIAL DEMOLITION OF SW WALL AND REAR WALL OF ORIGINAL BUILDING.

FOR-#820 CARSTEN LANE

Applicant – William Rowan Architects

Application #H16-03-0015

If you wish to see the application or have any questions, you may visit the Planning Department during regular office hours at 3140 Flagler Avenue, call 305-809-3975 or visit our website at www.cityofkeywest-fl.gov.

THIS NOTICE CAN NOT BE REMOVED FROM THE SITE UNTIL HARC FINAL DETERMINATION

ADA ASSISTANCE: It is the policy of the City of Key West to comply with all requirements of the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA). Please call the TTY number at 800-955-8771 or 800-955-8770 (Voice) or the ADA Coordinator at 305-809-3731 at least five business days in advance for sign language interpreters, assistive listening devices, or materials in accessible format.

HARC POSTING AFFIDAVIT

STATE OF FLO	RIDA:
COUNTY OF M	ONROE

BEFORE ME, the undersigned authority, personally appeared, who, first being duly sworn, on oath,
depose and says that the following statements are true and correct to the best of his/her knowledge and belief:
1. That a legal notice for Public Notice of Hearing of the Historic Architectural Review Commission (HARC) was placed on the following address: 800 CACSTEN WAVE KEYWEST FC 33040 on the 2 day of NOV. , 20/6.
This legal notice(s) contained an area of at least 8.5"x11".
The property was posted to notice a public hearing before the Key West Historic Architectural Review Commission to be held on
The legal notice(s) is/are clearly visible from the public street adjacent to the property.
The Certificate of Appropriateness number for this legal notice is 16-03-0015.
2. A photograph of that legal notice posted in the property is attached hereto.
Signed Name of Affiant:
Date:
The forgoing instrument was acknowledged before me on this day of, 20_16
By (Print name of Affiant) BARPY 61BSON who is personally known to me or has produced as dentification and who did take an oath.
The state of the s

PROPERTY APPRAISER INFORMATION



Key West (305) 292-3420 Marathon (305) 289-2550 Plantation Key (305) 852-7130

Website tested on IE8, IE9, & The Offices of the Property Appraiser will be closed Friday Firefox. November 11th in observance of Veterans Day.

Requires Adobe Flash 10.3 or higher

Property Record Card -

Maps are now launching the new map application version.

Alternate Key: 1011720 Parcel ID: 00011420-000000

Ownership Details

Mailing Address:

LYNCH DONALD AND SUSAN 820 CARSTEN LN KEY WEST, FL 33040-7102

Property Details

PC Code: 01 - SINGLE FAMILY

Millage Group: 10KW Affordable No Housing:

Section-Township-Range: 06-68-25

Property Location: 820 CARSTEN LN KEY WEST

Legal Description: KW PT LOT 1 SQR 58 J1-242 OR1047-1032D/C OR1047-1036D/C OR1050-1121 OR1295-1886/88

OR1295-1889/90AFF OR1449-1113/15R/S OR1507-223/25 OR2723-911/12C/T OR2728-1295/97 OR2735-2007/08

Click Map Image to open interactive viewer

11/9/2016 5:28 PM 1 of 6



Land Details

Land Use Code	Frontage	Depth	Land Area
01LN - SFR LANE	32	86	2,752.00 SF

Building Summary

Number of Buildings: 1 Number of Commercial Buildings: 0 **Total Living Area:** 612

Year Built: 1908

11/9/2016 5:28 PM 2 of 6

Building 1 Details

 Building Type R1
 Condition G
 Quality Grade 550

 Effective Age 3
 Perimeter 164
 Depreciation % 1

 Year Built 1908
 Special Arch P
 Grnd Floor Area 612

Functional Obs 0 Economic Obs 0

Inclusions: R1 includes 1 3-fixture bath and 1 kitchen.


Roof Type GABLE/HIP Roof Cover METAL Foundation WD CONC PADS
Heat 1 NONE Heat 2 NONE Bedrooms 2

 Heat 1 NONE
 Heat 2 NONE
 Bedrooms

 Heat Src 1 NONE
 Heat Src 2 NONE

Extra Features:

2 Fix Bath 0 Vacuum 3 Fix Bath **Garbage Disposal** 4 Fix Bath Compactor 0 5 Fix Bath Security 6 Fix Bath Intercom 0 7 Fix Bath Fireplaces 0 Extra Fix 0 Dishwasher 0



Sections:

Nbr	Type	Ext Wall	# Stories	Year Built	Attic	A/C	Basement %	Finished Basement %	Area
0	SBF		1	1995					6
0	SBF		1	1995					18
0	OPF		1	2000					248
0	OPX		1	2000					266
1	FLA	2:B & B	1	1989	N	N	0.00	0.00	462
2	OPF	2:B & B	1	1989	N	N	0.00	0.00	75
3	OPF	2:B & B	1	1989	N	N	0.00	0.00	20
4	FLD	2:B & B	1	1998	N	N	0.00	0.00	150
		The second secon		,					

3 of 6 11/9/2016 5:28 PM

6	<u>OPU</u>	1	2000	30
---	------------	---	------	----

Misc Improvement Details

Nbr	Туре	# Units	Length	Width	Year Built	Roll Year	Grade	Life
1	WD2:WOOD DECK	24 SF	8	3	1995	1996	2	40
2	FN2:FENCES	480 SF	80	6	1997	1998	2	30
3	PT2:BRICK PATIO	330 SF	0	0	1997	1998	2	50
5	FN2:FENCES	124 SF	4	31	2000	2001	2	30
6	PO4:RES POOL	105 SF	15	7	2004	2005	5	50

Appraiser Notes

3/97 SALE DOES NOT FIT MARKET 1/26/05 - POOL IS NOT ATTACHED TO PATIO - BKC

Building Permits

Bldg	Number	Date Issued	Date Completed	Amount	Description	Notes
	07-1472	03/27/2007	12/23/2008	2,400		360SF OF 5 VCRIMP ROOFING
	07-0031	01/29/2007	12/23/2008	3,000		NEW OUTLETS, FANS, LITES IN NEW PORCH ADDITION
	07-0029	01/09/2007	12/23/2008	0		2 STORY PORCH ADDITION AT REAR OF RESIDENCE
	B950538	02/01/1995	08/01/1996	1,300		REPAIRS TO SIDING
	9500111	12/01/1995	08/01/1996	14,000		ADDITIONS
	9600704	02/01/1996	08/01/1996	1		ELECTRIC
	9600773	02/01/1996	08/01/1996	1,100		FIRE ALARM
1	9700079	01/07/1997	12/31/1998	625	Residential	ELECTRICAL
1	9701612	06/12/1997	12/31/1998	1,500	Residential	WOOD FENCE
1	9701876	06/12/1997	12/31/1998	14,000	Residential	NEW ADDITION
1	9702003	06/24/1997	12/31/1998	3,000	Residential	PLUMBING
1	9702303	07/14/1997	12/31/1998	1,000	Residential	ALTERATIONS/RENOVATIONS
1	9702461	07/22/1997	12/31/1998	1,200	Residential	ELECTRICAL
1	9703350	10/02/1997	12/31/1998	800	Residential	UPGRADE SERVICE
	9901189	04/08/1999	10/25/1999	1,100		ELECTRICAL SERVICE
	9901039	03/26/1999	10/25/1999	500		FENCE
	0001591	06/12/2000	10/26/2000	1,000		FENCE
	0001599	06/14/2000	10/26/2000	500		PORCH RAILING
	03-3822	06/24/2004	12/31/2004	12,600		POOL

Parcel Value History

Certified Roll Values.

View Taxes for this Parcel.

Roll Total Bldg Total Misc Improvement Total Land Total Just (Market) Total Assessed School Exempt School Taxable

4 of 6 11/9/2016 5:28 PM

Year	Value	Value	Value	Value	Value	Value	Value
2016	240,148	14,271	404,180	658,599	658,599	0	658,599
2015	76,672	12,383	451,441	540,496	504,638	0	540,496
2014	71,664	10,897	376,201	458,762	458,762	0	458,762
2013	72,646	11,232	427,246	511,124	511,124	0	511,124
2012	74,609	11,583	383,703	469,895	466,343	0	469,895
2011	75,591	11,943	336,415	423,949	423,949	0	423,949
2010	76,776	12,279	358,485	447,540	447,540	0	447,540
2009	86,452	12,630	424,871	523,953	523,953	0	523,953
2008	84,556	13,079	481,600	579,235	579,235	0	579,235
2007	123,868	10,775	367,392	502,035	502,035	0	502,035
2006	281,869	11,075	261,440	554,384	485,914	25,000	460,914
2005	223,706	11,383	236,672	471,761	471,761	25,000	446,761
2004	138,079	4,311	206,400	348,790	348,790	0	348,790
2003	160,928	4,466	103,008	268,402	268,402	0	268,402
2002	207,216	4,629	77,952	289,797	289,797	0	289,797
2001	162,735	4,771	77,952	245,458	245,458	0	245,458
2000	162,735	3,432	57,072	223,239	223,239	0	223,239
1999	130,046	2,791	57,072	189,909	189,909	0	189,909
1998	77,871	1,099	57,072	136,042	136,042	0	136,042
1997	66,735	1,039	51,504	119,278	119,278	0	119,278
1996	24,380	0	51,504	75,884	75,884	0	75,884
1995	22,213	0	51,504	73,717	73,717	0	73,717
1994	19,865	0	51,504	71,369	71,369	0	71,369
1993	19,498	0	51,504	71,002	71,002	0	71,002
1992	19,498	0	51,504	71,002	71,002	0	71,002
1991	19,498	0	51,504	71,002	71,002	0	71,002
1990	19,498	0	36,888	56,386	56,386	0	56,386
1989	14,319	0	36,192	50,511	50,511	0	50,511
1988	12,573	0	32,016	44,589	44,589	0	44,589
1987	12,422	0	18,799	31,221	31,221	0	31,221
1986	12,492	0	18,291	30,783	30,783	0	30,783
1985	12,128	0	11,331	23,459	23,459	0	23,459
1984	11,371	0	11,331	22,702	22,702	0	22,702
1983	11,371	0	11,331	22,702	22,702	0	22,702
1982	11,580	0	9,605	21,185	21,185	0	21,185

Parcel Sales History

NOTE: Sales do not generally show up in our computer system until about two to three months after the date of sale. If a recent sale does not show up in this list, please allow more time for the sale record to be processed. Thank you for your patience and understanding.

Sale Date	Official Records Book/Page	Price	Instrument	Qualification
4/17/2015	2735 / 2007	795,000	WD	02

5 of 6 11/9/2016 5:28 PM

3/3/2015	2728 / 1295	677,000	<u>WD</u>	<u>37</u>
2/4/2015	2723 / 911	651,000	<u>CT</u>	<u>12</u>
3/1/1997	1449 / 1113	212,000	<u>WD</u>	<u>o</u>
2/1/1994	1295 / 1886	1	WD	<u>M</u>

This page has been visited 107,445 times.

Monroe County Property Appraiser Scott P. Russell, CFA P.O. Box 1176 Key West, FL 33041-1176

6 of 6