## **City Attorney Performance Evaluation**

December 2017

R	RATING SCALE DEFINITIONS (1-5)								
Unsatisfactory (1) -		The employee's work performance is inadequate and definitely inferior to the standards of performance required for the job. Performance at this level cannot be allowed to continue.							
Improvement (2) Needed		The employee's work performance does not consistently meet the standards of the position. Serious effort is needed to improve performance.							
Meets Job (3) Standard		The employee's work performance consistently meets the standards of the position.							
Exceeds Job (4) Standard		The employee's work performance is frequently or consistently above the level of a satisfactory employee.							
Outstanding (5)		The employee's work performance is consistently excellent when compared to the standards of the job.							
Not evaluated (NE)		The employee's work performance was not observed during this evaluation period.							
I.	I. Performance Evaluation and Achievements								
1.	City Commission	/ Boards Relationships	NE	_1_	2	3	4	_5_	
A.	. Provides sound legal advice to the City Commission, Boards, Commissions and City staff.							X	
В.	Reporting to the City Commission, Boards, and City staff is timely, clear, concise and thorough.							X	
C.	Accepts direction/instructions in a positive manner.							X	
D.	. Keeps the City Commission, Boards, and City staff informed of issues relevant to the requirements of the position.						_	<u> </u>	
E. Dedicates the time necessary to the responsibilities of the position and is readily available to Commissioners.								lx	

Performance Evaluation - City Attorney

(	Comments:							
2	Legal Re	esearch and Review	<u>NE</u>	1	2	3	_4_	_5_
A	research :	ly identifies legal issues and performs and investigations.			_			X
В	Effective reports ar	y reviews and interprets legal instruments, ad documents prepared by departments.						<u> </u>
C	omments:							
3.	Employee	Public Relations	<u>NE</u>	1	2	_3_	4	_5_
A.	. Works we	ll with other employees.						<i>K</i>
	Meeting a	nd handling the public while g ethical obligation to the City.		_				
Co	mments:	7						
C	munems:	NOT RATED A	5	HAN	1021A	14 7	HE	
		PUBLIC" IS NO						
		AS ONE OF	HIS	RES	PON	5181	C1778	ວ
	_							
4.	Communi	cation	<u>NE</u>	_1_	2	3	_4_	5_
A.	Oral comm	unication is clear, concise and articulate.						K
B.	and other le	mmunications (e.g.) contracts, resolutions, egal documents are clear, concise and						
	accurate.							K
Co	mments:							

Performance Evaluation - City Attorney

Page 2 of 4

MaQ

	f work performed. n of work on time.	<u>NE</u>	Attractories	_ <u>2</u> 		<u>4</u> —	5 X K K K
<ul><li>6. Personal T</li><li>A. Initiative.</li><li>B. Judgement.</li><li>C. Fairness an</li><li>D. Analytical</li><li>Comments:</li></ul>	d Impartiality.	<u>NE</u>	1		3		<u>\$</u>
A. Provides tir. City's interes  B. Controls an	Administrative Proceedings  nely and effective representation of the est in litigation.  d monitors costs and performance outside legal counsel.	<u>NE</u>				_4_	<u>5</u>
Comments:							

Performance Evaluation - City Attorney

II. Sumn	nary Rating		
Overall Performas well as over	nance Rating – Considering the results obtained a all job performance, the following rating is provide	gainst established performed (circle one):	nance standards
Unsatisfactory	Improvement Needed Meets Job Standards	Exceeds Job Standards	Outstanding
Comments:			
2	Goals and Objectives		
/N COM	nd objectives to be achieved in the next evaluation	n period:	2 2-7.11
TO USE	OF OUTSIDE COUNSEL	THE THE	RECHING
Margaro		a	
COMMISSION	ER MARGARET ROMERO		
HAWN D. SM	ITH, CITY ATTORNEY		
THST:	ul Smith		
HERYL SMIT	H, CITY CLERK	Dated 12-5=	17

Performance Evaluation - City Attorney

Page 4 of 4

Max