

## 2017 TIF Application Scoring Sheet

Criteria #1-#12	Elks Lodge 1107 Whitehead St  Request: \$19,000	AME Zion 702 Whitehead St  Request: \$38,268.21	American Legion 803 Emma St  Request: \$131,000	Mrs. Edwards 213 Petronia St  Request: \$77,500 Amount needed to finish project: \$32,350
1. Application Completeness				
2. General Blight				
3. Long Term Blight				
4. Equal Opportunity				
5. Need				
6. Budget Analysis				
7. Fundraising				
8. Matching Funds				
9. Tax Increment Growth				
10. Partnerships				
11. Sustainability				
12. Maintenance				
Total:				

### Points System Methodology:

Please use the following points system to rank each of the applications unless otherwise noted:

Yes: One (1) point if the objective has been met  
 No or unanswered: Zero (0) points if the objective has not been met  
 Exceeded: Two (2) points if the objective has been exceeded

### Methodology:

Applicants shall be considered and ranked based on the 2010 CRA Principals, the Bahama Village Primary Objectives, and application strength as summarized below into yes/no criteria format. The total amount of funding for the 2017 Community Redevelopment Trust Fund (CRTF) appropriation is \$200,000.

## Criteria:

**Criteria 1** – (CRA) – Was the application submitted complete and was it submitted by the due date of July 31, 2017? (see 2017 CRA Application for Tax Increment Appropriation 2017/2018 Schedule for Application Cycles and Review)

**Criteria 2** – Did the application demonstrate how the proposed project will alleviate blight in the subarea? (see Application item 4./b.)

**Criteria 3** – Has the applicant shown how the proposed project has the long-term ability to alleviate blight? (see Application item 4./b.)

**Criteria 4** – Is the facility or program open to the public regardless of the individual's race, color, sex, gender identity or expression, religion, disability, national origin, ancestry, sexual orientation, marital status, parental status, or source of income? (see Application item 5.)

**Criteria 5** – Has the applicant provided adequate justification of the need for public funding, demonstrated through referenced data, research, analysis, etc.? (see Application item 6.)

**Criteria 6** – Has the applicant provided adequate justification for the use of ALL public funding, demonstrated through each the Budget's specific line item requests and how each item enhances the projects and alleviates blight (detailed justification of time usage, wages, cost materials, etc.)? (see Application item 6.)

**Criteria 7** – Has the applicant attempted to raise funds for the project to date and/or provided adequate information describing future planned fundraising events and alternative funding sources? (see Application item 6.)

**Criteria 8** – Does the application provide matching funds and/or in-kind services for the proposed request? (see Application item 6.)

**Criteria 9** – As a result of the project, are property tax increases expected that will create tax increment? (see Application item 6.)

**Criteria 10** – Is the request well leveraged? Are multiple partnerships provided or large volunteer efforts? (see Application item 6.)

**Criteria 11** – Has the applicant demonstrated how energy and water consumption will be reduced by 15% as part of the proposed project/program?

**Criteria 12** –

- a. For Construction/Restoration Projects, has the applicant fully described the plan for maintaining the proposed project including how the project will be funded if multi-phased? (see Application item 8.)
- b. For a Program, has the applicant fully described the plan for maintaining the proposed project including, but not limited to, a financial plan describing how the program will sustain itself over time? (see Application item 8.)