# THE CITY OF KEY WEST

Director of Building P.O.Box 1409, Key West, FL 33040



## ORDER TO REPAIR, ALTER, OR IMPROVE BUILDINGS

COMES NOW, Ron Wampler, as the Chief Building Official for the City of Key West, by and through his authority pursuant to the Florida Building Code, F.S. 553.80, and Ch. 14, Art. III of the Code of Ordinances for the City of Key West and files this Order to Repair, Alter, or Improve Buildings as per Sec. 14-107, Code of Ordinances for the City of Key West and in support thereof would state the following:

- A hearing was conducted before Ron Wampler, Chief Building Official for the City of Key West on Monday, February 12<sup>th</sup>, 2018 at 3:00 p.m. pursuant to Sec. 14-106 of the Code of Ordinances for the City of Key West.
- 2. At said hearing the following conditions were found by the undersigned to exist at the City property known as the Diesel Generating Plant, Key West, FL 33040 consisting of five buildings:
  - Buildings 2, 3, 4, and 5 are seriously and structurally unsound as reported by Atlantic Engineering Services (AES) in their document dated August 2016.
  - Buildings 2, 3, 4 have deteriorated or open roofs causing accelerated deterioration.
  - Buildings 2, 3, 4 and 5 have deteriorating exterior brick and/or concrete exterior walls.
- 3. The following are the corresponding corrective measures to be taken at the listed property:
  - Demolition of Building 5 to provide a fire lane between the existing Keys Energy Electrical Substation and the Diesel Generating Plant Buildings.
  - Demolition of Buildings 3 and 4 to protect the life, safety and health of those residents along Fort and Geraldine Streets.
  - Repairs to Buildings 1 and 2 to include:
    - 1. Storm resistant roofing to Building 2 both covering and structural.
    - 2. Closing of all openings in Buildings 1 and 2 to improve storm resistance.
    - 3. Structural repairs to Buildings 1 and 2 exterior walls to consist of historic brick and mortar compatible with the existing.
    - 4. All exterior repairs are to be approved by the Historic Architectural Review Commission (HARC).

Buildings 1 and 2 have been vacant and derelict for over 30 years and have no valid Certificate of Occupancy. The above orders will result in 'shell buildings' with no occupancy or use until proper permit application has been made to involve full compliance with the current edition of the Florida Building

Code and applicable City Ordinances. The property owner shall have until 5:00 p.m. on June 1st, 2019 to complete the items herein to the satisfaction of the Chief Building Official.

If the Property has <u>not</u> been brought into compliance to the satisfaction of the Chief Building Official on said date and time as listed in paragraph 4, above, further action may be taken including but not limited to: injunctive relief, removal of the electrical meter or preventing ingress and egress to said building or any other remedy appropriate under law.

| HAND DELIVERED TO: Lames Bo                          |                    | •                 |
|--|--------------------|-------------------|
| Under penalty of perjury, I declare that day of 2018 | Ron Wampier CFM/BO | rered on this 213 |



February 22<sup>nd</sup>, 2018

Old Island Restoration Foundation, Inc. Attn.: Chris Hamilton, Pres. 322 Duval Street Key West, Florida 33040

Re: Unsafe Structures: 5 Buildings known as the KW Diesel Generating Plant (see enclosed).

The contributing structures at the listed address are not habitable and are becoming unstable due to age and neglect. Several have openings in the roof and walls allowing precipitation to enter and accelerate the disintegration process. These structures have been posted 'Unsafe'.

City of Key West Ordinances Chapter 14 Buildings and Building Regulations, Section 14-76. Notification of demolition in the historic district requires the Chief Building Official to give written notice to your organization that demolition of said structure is a possibility. Your organization has thirty (30) days from receipt of this letter to respond to me in writing if you intend to negotiate in regards to the repair or relocation of said structure. It shall be the duty of the Chief Building Official to diligently examine all dwellings and buildings located in the City for the purpose of locating and taking action with respect to such dwellings and buildings as appear to be unfit for human habitation and such buildings as appear to be dangerous.

Sincerely,

Ron Wampler, CFM Chief Building Official



February 22<sup>nd</sup>, 2018

Historic Florida Keys Foundation D. E. Silvia, PhD., Exec. Dir. 510 Greene Street Key West, Florida 33040

Re: Unsafe Structures: 5 Buildings at KW Diesel Generating Plant (re: enclosure)

The contributing structures at the listed address are not habitable and are becoming unstable due to age and neglect. Several have openings in the roof and walls allowing precipitation to enter and accelerate the disintegration process. These structures have been posted 'Unsafe'.

City of Key West Ordinances Chapter 14 Buildings and Building Regulations, Section 14-76. Notification of demolition in the historic district requires the Chief Building Official to give written notice to your organization that demolition of said structure is a possibility. Your organization has thirty (30) days from receipt of this letter to respond to me in writing if you intend to negotiate in regards to the repair or relocation of said structure. It shall be the duty of the Chief Building Official to diligently examine all dwellings and buildings located in the City for the purpose of locating and taking action with respect to such dwellings and buildings as appear to be unfit for human habitation and such buildings as appear to be dangerous.

Sincerely,

Ron Wampler, CFM Chief Building Official



# Structural Condition Assessment Key West Diesel Plant

Key West, Florida

# **Prepared For**

AMEC Foster Wheeler Environmental & Infrastructure, Inc. 5845 NW 158th Street Miami Lakes, Florida 33014

# Prepared By

Atlantic Engineering Services of Jacksonville 6501 Arlington Expressway, Building B, Suite 201 Jacksonville, FL 32211

> AES Project No. 316-048 August 3, 2016



## **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

| <u>SECTION</u> PAGE   |
|---|
| BACKGROUND  |
| OBSERVATIONS  |
| EVALUATION AND RECOMMENDATIONS  |
| CONCLUSIONS   |
| PHOTOGRAPHS   |
| APPENDIX A – SURVEY DRAWINGS  |
| APPENDIX B – CHLORIDE AND CARBONATION TESTING                               |
| APPENDIX C – DEFINITION OF TERMS ASSOCIATED WITH THE DURABILITY OF CONCRETE |
| APPENDIX D – EXISTING STRUCTURAL CONDITIONS EVALUATION CRITERIA             |



6501 Arlington Expy.
Building B, Suite 201
Jacksonville, FL 32211
PH: 904.743.4633
FX: 904.725.9295
jax@aespj.com
www.aespj.com

August 3, 2016

Mr. Greg W. Corning, P.E. AMEC Foster Wheeler Environmental & Infrastructure, Inc. 5845 NW 158th Street Miami Lakes, Florida 33014-6721

Re: Structural Condition Assessment

Key West Diesel Plant Key West, Florida

Dear Greg:

Atlantic Engineering Services of Jacksonville (AES) has completed its structural condition assessment of the Key West Diesel Plant also known as the Angela Street Diesel Plant, which is located at the corner of Fort Street and Geraldine Street, along the extension of Fort Street to Angela Street in Key West, Florida. Our assessment consisted of a visual review of the abandoned Diesel Plant structure on June 21, 22 and 23, 2016, along with carbonation and chloride testing. Concrete chloride testing was performed by AMEC Foster Wheeler Environmental Infrastructure, Inc. and carbonation testing was performed by AES. Present at the site was Mr. Mark J. Keister, P.E.

## **BACKGROUND**

The Key West Diesel Plant also known as the Angela Street Diesel Plant was the original site of a manufactured gas plant operated by the former Key West Gas Light Company dating back to the late 1880's. By 1887, the name of the Key West Gas Light Company had changed to the Key West Gas and Electric Light Company, and in 1889 discontinued the manufacturing of gas on the property and erected an electrical lighting power house. In 1898, Key West Electric Company was incorporated and carried on electric generation at the site. In 1943, the city of Key West acquired the Key West Electric Company and the electric company was subsequently referred to as the City Electrical System. Diesel Plant operations ceased in the late 1960's and in 2002 the name of the company was changed to Keys Energy Services. Keys Energy Services is the current owner of the property with the Diesel Plant abandoned and an electrical substation occupying the remainder of the site.

The abandoned Diesel Plant consists of four (4) connected one-story, buildings with the three (3) eastern buildings housing four (4) electric dynamos and the southwestern building having a lower roof that probably housed a shop and support spaces. The northernmost eastern building (Building I) houses one (1) dynamo and consists of a high new pre-engineered wood truss framed roof with plywood sheathing and metal roofing supported by perimeter multi-wythe brick walls and interior steel beams and columns (see Photographs 1 and 2). The easternmost center building (Building II) houses two (2) dynamos and consists of metal roofing on plywood sheathing, on wood joists and beams supported by heavy timber trusses and steel beams and columns that support crane beams (see Photographs 3 and 4). The heavy timber roof trusses are supported by perimeter multi-wythe brick walls. The southernmost eastern building (Building IV) houses one (1) dynamo and consists of metal roofing on wood sheathing on wood joists and beams supported by heavy timber trusses, and perimeter low multi-wythe brick walls and upper concrete walls (see Photographs 5 and 6).



**Mr. Greg W. Corning, P.E.** August 3, 2016 Page 2 of 33

AES Project: #316-048

Below the roof structure is a gantry crane supported by a steel crane beam and steel columns independent of the perimeter brick walls. To the east of this building is an exterior concrete exhaust structure (Building V), which consists of a concrete roof slab with concrete parapets supported by concrete walls (see Photographs 7 and 8). The southwestern building (Building III) consists of metal roofing on wood sheathing on wood joists, and beams supported by interior steel beams and columns and perimeter multi-wythe brick walls, CMU walls and concrete walls (see Photographs 9 and 10).

All of the dynamos are in pits filled with water and there is extensive trenching with fuel and coolant supply lines around the dynamos, which is also filled with water. In the eastern center building (Building II), there is an interior concrete platform with perimeter steel framed concrete platforms, which appears to have been a central control area (see Photograph 11). In the southwestern building (Building III), there are shallow trenches and numerous equipment foundations. It is unknown what the foundations are, but they are either piles bearing on shallow rock or shallow foundations bearing on the shallow rock.

#### **OBSERVATIONS**

Our structural condition assessment consisted of a visual review of the structure. The survey plans (see Appendix A), approximately locates the deteriorated areas pinpointed during our survey. Concrete carbonation testing was determined at four (4) locations and concrete chloride testing was also determined at four (4) locations. The testing locations are noted on the survey plans (see Appendix A). The results for the concrete carbonation testing are shown in Appendix B and the results for chloride testing results are also shown in Appendix B.

Fresh concrete has a PH of approximately 12 to 13, which creates a layer of passivity on embedded reinforcing that protects the reinforcing from corrosion. With exposure to atmospheric carbon dioxide, concrete PH slowly decreases over time as carbon dioxide penetrates the concrete. When the concrete PH reduces to a value of about 9 to 10, the passivating layer protecting the reinforcing is destroyed and the reinforcing can corrode due to exposure to oxygen and water. The PH at all four (4) locations is 9.5 or lower at the face of reinforcing and the concrete is no longer protecting the reinforcing from corrosion near the surface of the concrete.

Chlorides in concrete greatly accelerate corrosion and the lower the concrete PH, the greater the impact of chloride induced corrosion. Chloride content in concrete exposed to moisture should be less than .15% of Cl to weight of cement and the chloride corrosion threshold is 1.2 lbs. of chloride per cubic yard of concrete, which works out to .0317% Cl for concrete weighing 140 lbs. /cubic yard. Of the four (4) samples tested for chlorides, all exceeded the chloride corrosion threshold with three (3) being very high in chloride content.

I. The northernmost, eastern building (Building I) has been recently re-roofed and the original wood structure supported by the steel beams and trusses have been replaced with plywood sheathing and wood trusses. The new roofing and roof structure is in excellent condition except that the roofing screws are not stainless steel and are corroding (see Photograph 12). The original steel beams, trusses and columns are in good condition with surficial corrosion except for one (1) column, which has a badly corroded base with more than 20% material loss (see Photograph 13). The slab on grade is cracked and uneven and there is a large crack at the interior door to the adjacent building, and several of the western window sills are spalled with corroded reinforcing and the remainder are cracked (see Photographs 14, 15 and 16).



**Mr. Greg W. Corning, P.E.** August 3, 2016 Page 3 of 33

AES Project: #316-048

The exterior masonry walls are in good condition but there are numerous cracks, corroded embedments, deteriorated mortar, corroded lintels, deteriorated face brick, brick cracks with vegetation and random cracks in the concrete water table (see Photographs 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22 and 23). At the western return metal panel wall, there is a badly corroded angle purlin and a large column spall with corroded reinforcing and an area of missing metal wall panel (see Photographs 24, 25 and 26). The remaining metal panels are corroded and in poor condition (see Photograph 27).

II. The eastern center building (Building II) is in much worse condition than the Building I with metal roofing in extremely poor condition with large areas of missing metal roofing and large areas of deteriorated roof decking (see Photograph 28). The roof wood structure is in good condition as well as the steel structure with surficial corrosion except for several badly corroded columns and post bases with more than 20% material loss (see Photographs 29 and 30).

The stair to the concrete platform has badly corroded stair stringer bases with more than 20% material loss and the concrete platform has extensive large concrete spalls with exposed corroding reinforcing (see Photographs 31, 32 and 33). The exterior masonry walls are in good condition, but there are numerous cracks, corroded embedments, deteriorated mortar, deteriorated face brick, infilled arch brick with no lintel, large areas of missing brick, corroding lintels and brick cracks with vegetation (see Photographs 34, 35, 36, 37, 38 and 39).

- III. The southwestern building (Building III) is in worse condition than Building I, but in better condition than the Building II and Building IV. The western metal roof is in good condition with several areas of corroded metal roofing and corroded roofing screws. The eastern metal roof is in poor condition with areas of missing roofing, extensive metal roofing deterioration and areas of deteriorated wood decking (see Photograph 46). The roof wood joists, beams, steel beams and columns are in good condition with surficial steel corrosion on the steel members and a deteriorated wood beam kicker (see Photograph 47). The slab on grade is cracked and uneven and the exterior high concrete has large areas of spalling concrete and a spalling concrete lintel (see Photographs 48 and 49). The exterior CMU and brick has several cracks, corroded embedments and delaminating stucco.
- IV. The southernmost eastern building (Building IV) is in worse condition than Building II with a large area of missing metal roofing and wood sheathing, a deteriorated bottom roof truss chord and a wall opening with numerous loose and missing bricks with bricks falling (see Photographs 40, 41 and 42). The wood roof joists are in poor condition but the wood trusses appear in good condition. The steel structure is in good condition with surficial corrosion but many of the steel column bases are severely corroded with more than 20% material loss (see Photograph 43). The exterior masonry walls are in good condition but there are numerous cracks, corroded embedments, deteriorated mortar and loose and missing face brick. The upper concrete walls have large areas of honey combed concrete and an area of spalled concrete with corroded reinforcing (see Photographs 44 and 45).
- V. The concrete exhaust building (Building V) is in good condition with corroded steel ladders; a badly corroded pipe support, a cracked housekeeping pad and a hairline crack in the roof parapet (see Photographs 50, 51, 52 and 53). The exterior pit and chute walls are in poor condition with cracked and spalling concrete with corroding reinforcing (see Photograph 54). All of the interior pits are full of water and breeding mosquitos. The interior trench and pit edge angles are in poor condition and badly corroded (see Photograph 55). All of the dynamos are in poor condition and badly corroded (see Photograph 56).



Mr. Greg W. Corning, P.E. August 3, 2016 Page 4 of 33

AES Project: #316-048

### **EVALUATION AND RECOMMENDATIONS**

Building I is in good condition with the exterior masonry requiring repair and several window lintels requiring replacement. The steel structure is in good condition with surficial corrosion except for one (1) column that needs reinforcement due to excessive corrosion at its base. All of the steel needs to be cleaned of corrosion and coated with a corrosion inhibiting coating. The slab on grade is cracked and uneven and is due for replacement. The exterior masonry requires repointing and all of the corroded embedments and steel lintels need to be cleaned of corrosion and coated with a corrosion inhibiting coating. The deteriorated face brick needs to be removed and replaced and the vegetation in the wall cracks needs to be removed and the cracks repointed. At the western return metal panel wall, the badly corroded angle purlin needs replacement as well as the wall metal panels. The concrete spall in this wall also requires repair.

The remainder of the buildings are in worse condition than Building I with Building IV being in the worst condition with a portion of missing roof. These buildings are stable but require new roofs with extensive roof sheathing replacement and some wood structure reinforcement and replacement. At the areas of missing and badly deteriorated roofing, these roofs could sustain significant damage in a severe wind event losing the remainder of their roofing and sheathing. In general, the steel structure is in good condition with surficial corrosion but several columns will need reinforcement due to excessive corrosion at their bases. All of the steel needs to be cleaned of corrosion and coated with a corrosion inhibiting coating. At Building IV, the wall opening with numerous loose and missing bricks and falling bricks needs to be shored or repaired immediately to prevent further deterioration of the wall. The exterior masonry walls are in good condition except for the northwest corner of Building II where there is a large area of missing brick that needs to be rebuilt. The remaining walls need to be repointed, deteriorated face brick removed and replaced and the vegetation in the wall cracks removed and the cracks repointed. Like Building I, all of the corroded embedments and steel lintels need to be cleaned of corrosion and coated with a corrosion inhibiting coating. In many of the arched brick openings, brick has been infilled with no lintel installed. This infill brick is being held in place by the mortar alone and in areas is cracking. This infill brick needs to be removed or steel lintels installed to support it. At Building II, the concrete platform is in poor condition with large spalls and the concrete carbonated to the reinforcing with the concrete containing high levels of chlorides. If it is the owner's desire to rehabilitate this platform when the concrete is repaired, it should be treated with a penetrating corrosion inhibitor or an active cathodic protection system installed. Due to the thickness of the platform walls, both will be expensive, therefore strong consideration should be taken for demolishing this platform. The exterior concrete walls and pits, except for Building V, are in poor condition requiring extensive repair. Like the Building II concrete platform, the concrete contains high levels of chlorides and once repaired, the walls should be treated with a penetrating corrosion inhibitor or an active cathodic protection system installed, and the exterior concrete coated with a penetrating sealer. The exterior pits and chutes are abandoned and should be cleaned of debris, the top of the deteriorated walls demolished to 8" below grade and filled with clean fill or stone. All of the interior trench and pit edge angles are in poor condition and badly corroded. All of the dynamo pits are full of water and breeding mosquitos. When the deteriorated dynamos are removed, the pits need to be pumped empty of water. The pits and trenches need to be dewatered, cleared of debris with the edge angles removed, and the pits and trenches filled with clean fill or stone with a concrete slab on grade placed, drilled and adhesived into the pit and trench walls level with the surrounding concrete slabs on grade. If any of the dynamos are kept for display, their pits should be pumped empty of water and filled and capped as described above.



**Mr. Greg W. Corning, P.E.** August 3, 2016 Page 5 of 33

AES Project: #316-048

### **CONCLUSIONS**

Building I is in good condition having been re-roofed but does require repair as outlined above. The remainder of the buildings are in worse condition, with Building IV being in the worst condition with a large portion of roof missing and a wall opening with numerous loose, missing and falling bricks. This opening needs to be shored or repaired immediately. These buildings are stable but they need to be re-roofed and damaged sheathing and roofing members replaced. At the areas of missing and badly deteriorated roofing, these roofs could sustain significant damage in a severe wind event losing the remainder of their roofing and sheathing. The exterior masonry requires extensive repointing and isolated areas of rebuilding. All of the concrete has a high chloride content and the walls and center building platform requires extensive concrete repair. Strong consideration should be taken for removing this platform in lieu of repair. All of the structural steel requires cleaning of corrosion and coating with a corrosion inhibiting coating. Many of the column bases require reinforcement due to excessive corrosion. The exterior walls have a great deal of corroding embedments, which require cleaning of corrosion and coating with a rust inhibitive coating. All of the dynamo pits are full of water and their pits should be filled and capped. All of the remaining pits and trenches should also be dewatered, filled and capped as well as the exterior chutes and pits.

It has been a pleasure serving you as a consulting structural engineer. Please contact our office if there are any questions regarding this correspondence, or if you need any additional information.

Very truly yours,

ATLANTIC ENGINEERING SERVICES OF JACKSONVILLE FLORIDA CERTIFICATE OF AUTHORIZATION #791

Mark J. Keister, P.E.

Principal

MJK/drg

NO 37435
NO 37435
NO AT DE CONTRACTOR DE CON

08/08/16



**Mr. Greg W. Corning, P.E.** August 3, 2016 Page 6 of 33



PHOTOGRAPH 1 (BUILDING I)



PHOTOGRAPH 2 (BUILDING I)



Mr. Greg W. Corning, P.E. August 3, 2016 Page 7 of 33



PHOTOGRAPH 3 (BUILDING II)



PHOTOGRAPH 4 (BUILDING II)



**Mr. Greg W. Corning, P.E.** August 3, 2016 Page 8 of 33



PHOTOGRAPH 5 (BUILDING IV AND V)



PHOTOGRAPH 6 (BUILDING IV)



Mr. Greg W. Corning, P.E. August 3, 2016 Page 9 of 33





PHOTOGRAPH 8 (BUILDING V)



Mr. Greg W. Corning, P.E. August 3, 2016 Page 10 of 33



PHOTOGRAPH 9 (BUILDING III)



PHOTOGRAPH 10 (BUILDING III)



Mr. Greg W. Corning, P.E. August 3, 2016 Page 11 of 33



PHOTOGRAPH 11 (Building II)



PHOTOGRAPH 12 (BUILDING I)



Mr. Greg W. Corning, P.E. August 3, 2016 Page 12 of 33



PHOTOGRAPH 13 (BUILDING I)



PHOTOGRAPH 14 (BUILDING I)



Mr. Greg W. Corning, P.E. August 3, 2016 Page 13 of 33



PHOTOGRAPH 15 (BUILDING I)





Mr. Greg W. Corning, P.E. August 3, 2016 Page 14 of 33



PHOTOGRAPH 17 (BUILDING I)



PHOTOGRAPH 18 (BUILDING I)



Mr. Greg W. Corning, P.E. August 3, 2016 Page 15 of 33



PHOTOGRAPH 19 (BUILDING I)



PHOTOGRAPH 20 (BUILDING I)



**Mr. Greg W. Corning, P.E.** August 3, 2016 Page 16 of 33



PHOTOGRAPH 21 (BUILDING I)



PHOTOGRAPH 22 (BUILDING I)



Mr. Greg W. Corning, P.E. August 3, 2016 Page 17 of 33



PHOTOGRAPH 23 (BUILDING I)



PHOTOGRAPH 24 (BUILDING I)



Mr. Greg W. Corning, P.E. August 3, 2016 Page 18 of 33



PHOTOGRAPH 25 (BUILDING I)



PHOTOGRAPH 26 (BUILDING I)



Mr. Greg W. Corning, P.E. August 3, 2016 Page 19 of 33



PHOTOGRAPH 27 (BUILDING I)



PHOTOGRAPH 28 (BUILDING II)



**Mr. Greg W. Corning, P.E.** August 3, 2016 Page 20 of 33



PHOTOGRAPH 29 (BUILDING II)



PHOTOGRAPH 30 (BUILDING II)



Mr. Greg W. Corning, P.E. August 3, 2016 Page 21 of 33



PHOTOGRAPH 31 (BUILDING II)



PHOTOGRAPH 32 (BUILDING II)



Mr. Greg W. Corning, P.E. August 3, 2016 Page 22 of 33



PHOTOGRAPH 33 (BUILDING II)



PHOTOGRAPH 34 (BUILDING II)





PHOTOGRAPH 35 (BUILDING 11)



PHOTOGRAPH 36 (BUILDING II)



Mr. Greg W. Corning, P.E. August 3, 2016 Page 24 of 33



PHOTOGRAPH 37 (BUILDING II)



PHOTOGRAPH 38 (BUILDING II)



Mr. Greg W. Corning, P.E. August 3, 2016 Page 25 of 33



PHOTOGRAPH 39 (BUILDING II)



PHOTOGRAPH 40 (Building IV)



Mr. Greg W. Corning, P.E. August 3, 2016 Page 26 of 33



PHOTOGRAPH 41 (BUILDING IV)



PHOTOGRAPH 42 (BUILDING IV)



Mr. Greg W. Corning, P.E. August 3, 2016 Page 27 of 33



PHOTOGRAPH 43 (BUILDING IV)



PHOTOGRAPH 44 (BUILDING IV)



Mr. Greg W. Corning, P.E. August 3, 2016 Page 28 of 33



PHOTOGRAPH 45 (BUILDING IV)



PHOTOGRAPH 46 (BUILDING III)



**Mr. Greg W. Corning, P.E.** August 3, 2016 Page 29 of 33



PHOTOGRAPH 47 (BUILDING III)



PHOTOGRAPH 48 (BUILDING III)



Mr. Greg W. Corning, P.E. August 3, 2016 Page 30 of 33



PHOTOGRAPH 49 (BUILDING III)



PHOTOGRAPH 50 (BUILDING V)



Mr. Greg W. Corning, P.E. August 3, 2016 Page 31 of 33

AES Project: #316-048



PHOTOGRAPH 51 (BUILDING V)



PHOTOGRAPH 52 (BUILDING V)



Mr. Greg W. Corning, P.E. August 3, 2016 Page 32 of 33

AES Project: #316-048



PHOTOGRAPH 53 (BUILDING V)



PHOTOGRAPH 54



Mr. Greg W. Corning, P.E. August 3, 2016 Page 33 of 33

AES Project: #316-048



PHOTOGRAPH 55 (BUILDING III)



PHOTOGRAPH 56 (BUILDING I)





## **APPENDIX A**

## **SURVEY DRAWINGS**

Project Status SEAL: MARK J KEISTER PE 37436



































## DIESEL PLANT STRUCTURAL SURVEY





## DIESEL PLANT STRUCTURAL SURVEY









## DIESEL PLANT STRUCTURAL SURVEY









## **APPENDIX B**

## **CHLORIDE AND CARBONATION TESTING**

#### REPORT OF ACID SOLUBLE CHLORIDE TESTING



PROJECT: Laboratory Testing

PROJECT NO.:

6738-13-5286

CLIENT: Atlantic Engineering Services

DATE TESTED:

July 8, 2016

As requested, AMEC Foster Wheeler has completed testing of 4 concrete fragments received from Atlantic Engineering Services on June 30, 2016. The samples were crushed and tested in general accordance with Florida Test Method FM 5-516. Results are outlined below.

#### **Choride Content**

| Sample ID  | % CI  | ppm      |
|--|-------|----------|
| 1 - Ph. 9.5 @ 1.5" below concrete surface at reinforcing | 12.98 | 129814.0 |
| 2 - Ph. 6.5 @ 5" below concrete surface at reinforcing   | 3.601 | 36007.4  |
| 3 - Ph. 8.5 @ 12" below concrete surface at reinforcing  | 11.10 | 111003.0 |
| 4 - Ph. 7.5 @ 3" below concrete surface at reinforcing   | 10.46 | 104594.1 |

Respectfully submitted

Mike J. Holm, P.E.



### **APPENDIX C**

# DEFINITION OF TERMS ASSOCIATED WITH THE DURABILITY OF CONCRETE



### DEFINITION OF TERMS ASSOCIATED WITH THE DURABILITY OF CONCRETE (From ACI 201.1R-08)

#### 1 CRACKING

Crack- A complete or incomplete separation, of either concrete or masonry, into two or more parts produced by breaking or fracturing.

- 1.1 Checking- Development of shallow cracks at closely spaced but irregular intervals on the surface of plaster, cement paste, mortar, or concrete (See also cracks and crazing).
- 1.2 Craze cracks- Fine random cracks or fissures in a surface of plaster, cement paste, mortar or concrete.
  Crazing- The development of craze cracks; the pattern of craze cracks existing in a surface (See also checking and cracks).
- 1.3 D-cracks- A series of cracks in concrete near and roughly parallel to joints and edges.
- 1.4 Diagonal crack- In a flexural member, an inclined crack, caused by shear stress, usually at approximately 45 degrees to the axis; or a crack in a slab, not parallel to either the lateral or longitudinal directions.
- **1.5** Hairline cracks- Cracks in an exposed-to-view concrete surface having widths so small as to be barely perceptible.
- **1.6** Longitudinal cracks- A crack that develops parallel to the length of the member.
- Map cracking- 1) Intersecting cracks that extend below the surface of hardened concrete; caused by shrinkage of the drying surface concrete that is restrained by concrete at greater depths where either little or no shrinkage occurs; vary in width from fine and barely visible to open and well defined; or 2) the chief symptom of a chemical reaction between alkalis in cement and mineral constituents in aggregate within hardened concrete; due to differential rate of volume change in different members of the concrete; cracking is usually random and on a fairly large scale and, in severe instances, the cracks may reach a width of 12.7 mm (0.50 in.) (See also checking and crazing; also known as pattern cracking).
- **1.8** Pattern cracking- Cracking on concrete surfaces in the form of a repeated sequence; resulting from a decrease in volume of the material near the surface, or an increase in volume of the material below the surface, or both (see *map cracking*).
- **1.9** Plastic shrinkage cracking- Cracking that occurs in the surface of fresh concrete soon after it is placed and while it is still plastic.
- 1.10 Random cracks- Uncontrolled cracks that develop at various directions away from the control joints.
- **1.11** Shrinkage cracking- Cracking of a structure or member due to failure in tension caused by external or internal restraints as reduction in moisture content develops, carbonation occurs, or both.
- 1.12 Temperature cracking- Cracking due to tensile failure, caused by temperature drop in members subjected to external restraints or by a temperature differential in members subjected to internal restraints.
- **1.13** *Transverse cracks* Cracks that occur across the longer dimension of the member.



#### **DEFINITION OF TERMS ASSOCIATED WITH THE DURABILITY OF CONCRETE**

Page 2 of 4

#### 2 DISTRESS

Deterioration- 1) Physical manifestation of failure of a material (for example, cracking, delamination, flaking, pitting, scaling, spalling, and staining) caused by environmental or internal autogenous influences on rock and hardened concrete as well as other materials; or 2) Decomposition of material during either testing or exposure to service (See also disintegration).

- **2.1** Chalking- Formation of a loose powder resulting from the disintegration of the surface of concrete or an applied coating, such as cementitious coating.
- 2.2 Curling- The distortion of concrete member from its original shape such as the warping of a slab due to differences in temperature or moisture content in the zones adjacent to its opposite faces (See also warping).
- 2.3 Deflection- Movement of a point on a structure or structural element, usually measured as a linear displacement or as succession displacements transverse to a reference line or axis.
- 2.4 Deformation- A change in dimension or shape.
- 2.5 Delamination- A separation along a plane parallel to a surface, as in the case of a concrete slab, a horizontal splitting, cracking, or separation within a slab in a plane roughly parallel to, and generally near, the upper surface; found most frequently in bridge decks and caused by the corrosion of reinforcing steel or freezing or thawing; similar to spalling, scaling, or peeling except that delamination affects large areas and can often only be detected by non-destructive tests, such as tapping or chain dragging.
- **2.6** Disintegration- Reduction into small fragments and subsequently into particles (See also deterioration).
- 2.7 Distortion- See Deformation.
- **2.8** Drummy area- area where there is a hollow sound beneath a layer of concrete due to a delamination, poor consolidation, or void (See also delamination).
- 2.9 Dusting- The development of a powdered material at the surface of hardened concrete (See also chalking).
- 2.10 Efflorescence- A deposit of salts, usually white, formed on a surface, the substance having emerged in solution from within either concrete or masonry and subsequently been precipitated by a reaction, such as carbonation or evaporation.
- **2.11** Exfoliation- Disintegration occurring by peeling off in successive layers; swelling up, and opening into leaves or plates like a partly opened book.
- 2.12 Exudation- A liquid or viscous gel-like material discharged through a pore, crack, or opening in the surface of concrete.
- **2.13** *Joint deficiencies* Expansion, contraction, and construction joints not functioning in intended service conditions.
  - 2.13.1 Joint spall- A spall adjacent to a joint.
  - **2.13.2** *Joint sealant failure-* Joints opened due to a cracked and/or debonded sealant.
  - 2.13.3 Joint leakage- Liquid migrating through the joint.
  - 2.13.4 Joint fault- Differential displacement of a portion of a structure along a joint.
- 2.14 Leakage- Contained material is migrating through the concrete member.
  - 2.14.1 Leakage, liquid-Liquid is migrating through the concrete.
  - **2.14.2** Leakage, gas- Gas is migrating through the concrete.



#### **DEFINITION OF TERMS ASSOCIATED WITH THE DURABILITY OF CONCRETE**Page 3 of 4

- **2.15** *Mortar flaking-* A form of scaling over course aggregate.
- **2.16** Peeling- A process in which thin flakes of mortar are broken away from a concrete surface, such as by deterioration or by adherence of surface mortar to forms as forms are removed.
- **2.17** *Pitting* Development of relatively small cavities in a surface; in concrete, localized disintegration, such as a popout; localized corrosion evident as minute cavities on the surface.
- **2.18** *Popout* The breaking away of small portions of a concrete surface due to localized internal pressure that leaves a shallow, typical conical, depression with a broken course aggregate at the bottom.
  - **2.18.1** Popouts, small- Popouts leaving depressions up to 10 mm (0.4 in.) in diameter, or the equivalent.
  - 2.18.2 Popouts, medium- Popouts leaving depressions between 10 and 50 mm (0.4 and 2 in.) in diameter.
  - 2.18.3 Popouts, large- Popouts leaving depressions greater than 50 mm (2 in.) in diameter.
- **2.19** Scaling- Local flaking or peeling away of the near-surface portion of hardened concrete or mortar (See also peeling and spalls).
  - **2.19.1** *Scaling, light-* Loss of surface mortar without exposure of coarse aggregate.
  - **2.19.2** Scaling, medium- Loss of surface mortar 5 to 10 mm (0.2 to 0.4 in.) in depth and exposure of coarse aggregate.
  - **2.19.3** Scaling, severe- Loss of surface mortar 5 to 10 mm (0.2 to 0.4 in.) in depth with some loss of mortar surrounding aggregate particles 10 to 20 mm (0.4 to 0.8 in.) in depth.
  - **2.19.4** Scaling, very severe- Loss of coarse aggregate particles as well as surface mortar, generally to a depth greater than 20 mm (0.8 in.).
- **2.20** Spall- A fragment, usually in the shape of a flake, detached from a concrete member by a blow, by the action of weather, by pressure, by fire, or by expansion within the larger mass.
  - **2.20.1** Small spall- A roughly circular depression not greater than 20 mm (0.8 in.) in depth and 150 mm (6 in.) in any dimension.
  - **2.20.2** Large spall- May be roughly circular or oval or, in some cases, elongated, and is more than 20 mm (0.8 in.) in depth and 150 mm (6 in.) in greatest dimension.
- **2.21** Warping- Out-of-plane deformation of the corners, edges, and surface of a pavement, slab, or wall panel from its original shape (See also *curling*).



#### DEFINITION OF TERMS ASSOCIATED WITH THE DURABILITY OF CONCRETE

#### 3 TEXTURAL FEATURES AND PHENOMENA RELATIVE TO THEIR DEVELOPMENT.

- 3.1 Air void- A space in cement paste, mortar, or concrete filled with air; an entrapped air void is characteristically 1 mm (0.04 in.) or greater in size and irregular in shape; entrained air void is typically between 10 µm and 1 mm (0.04 mil and 0.04 in.) in diameter and spherical or nearly so.
- **3.2** Blistering- the irregular raising of a thin layer at the surface of placed mortar or concrete during or soon after the completion of the finishing operation; also, bulging of the finish plaster coat as it separates and draws away from the base coat.
- **3.3** Bugholes- Small regular or irregular cavities, usually not exceeding 15 mm (0.6 in.) in diameter, resulting from entrapment of air bubbles at the surface of formed concrete during placement and consolidation (Also known as surface air voids).
- 3.4 Cold joint- A joint or discontinuity resulting from a delay in placement of sufficient duration to preclude intermingling and bonding of the material in two successive lifts of concrete, mortar, or the like.
- **3.5** Cold-joint lines- Visible lines on the surfaces of formed concrete indicating the presence of a cold joint where one layer of concrete had hardened before subsequent concrete was placed.
- 3.6 Discoloration- Departure of color from that which is normal or desired (See also staining).
- **3.7** Honeycomb- Voids left in concrete due to failure of the mortar to effectively fill the spaces among coarse aggregate particles.
- **3.8** *Incrustation* A crust or coating, generally hard, formed on the surface of concrete or masonry construction or on aggregate particles.
- 3.9 Laitance- A layer of weak material known as residue derived from cementitious material and aggregate fines either: 1) carried by bleeding to the surface or to the internal cavities of freshly placed concrete; or 2) separated from the concrete and deposited on the concrete surface or internal cavities during placement of concrete underwater.
- **3.10** Sand pocket- A zone in concrete or mortar containing fine aggregate with little or no cement material.
- 3.11 Sand streak- A streak of exposed fine aggregate in the surface of formed concrete, caused by bleeding.
- **3.12** Segregation- The differential concentration of the components of mixed concrete, aggregate, or the like, resulting in nonuniform proportions in the mass.
- **3.13** *Staining* Discoloration by foreign matter.
- **3.14** Stalactite- A downward-pointing deposit formed as an accretion of mineral matter produced by evaporation of dripping liquid from the surface of concrete, commonly shaped like an icicle (See also stalagmite).
- **3.15** Stalagmite- An upward-pointing deposit formed as an accretion of mineral matter produced by evaporation of dripping liquid, projecting from the surface of rock or of concrete, commonly roughly conical in shape (See also stalactite).
- 3.16 Stratification- The separation of overwet or overvibrated concrete into horizontal layers with increasingly lighter material toward the top; water, laitance, mortar, and coarse aggregate tend to occupy successively lower positions in that order; a layered structure in concrete resulting from placing of successive batches that differ in appearance; occurrence in aggregate stockpiles of layers of differing grading or composition; a layered structure in a rock foundation.



### **APPENDIX D**

# **EXISTING STRUCTURAL CONDITIONS EVALUATION CRITERIA**



#### **EXISTING STRUCTURAL CONDITIONS EVALUATION CRITERIA**

**EXCELLENT** Meets or exceeds current structural code requirements.

> Capable of safely carrying proposed occupancies. No significant vibrations, cracking or deflections. No structural reinforcement or repairs required.

Very minor, if any, maintenance required.

GOOD Meets current structural code requirements.

> Capable of safely carrying proposed occupancies. Deflections, cracking, vibrations may be observable.

No structural reinforcement required. Minor structural repairs required.

Some significant maintenance repairs required.

**FAIR** Majority of structure meets structural code requirements.

> Portions of structure are not capable of carrying proposed occupancies. Deflections, cracking, vibrations, structural distress is observable. Structural reinforcement required in limited portions of the structure.

Structural repairs required generally.

Many significant maintenance repairs required.

**POOR** Majority of structure does not meet structural code requirements.

Much of the building is not capable of carrying proposed occupancies.

Deflections, cracking, vibrations, structural distress commonly

observable throughout the structure.

Major reinforcement or reconstruction of the structure is required.

Major maintenance repairs are required.

**EXTREMELY POOR** Collapse of structure is imminent.

Structure exhibits significant deflections, cracking, vibrations,

structural distress.

Structure requires extensive reinforcement or reconstruction of

impractical scope.

NOTE: Some parts of each definition may not apply.