City Attorney Performance Evaluation

January 2020

					•	anual	ty 2020		
RATING SCALE I	DEFINITIONS (1-5)								
Unsatisfactory (1) -	 The employee's work performance is inadequate and definitely inferior to the standards of performance required for the job. Performance at this level cannot be allowed to continue. 								
Improvement (2) Needed	The employee's work performance does not consistently meet the standards of the position. Serious effort is needed to improve performance.								
Meets Job (3) Standard	The employee's work performance consistently meets the standards of the position.								
Exceeds Job (4) Standard	The employee's work performance is frequently or consistently above the level of a satisfactory employee.								
Outstanding (5)	The employee's work performance is consistently excellent when compared the standards of the job.					npared to			
Not evaluated (NE)	d (NE) The employee's work performance was not observed during period.				luring t	ng this evaluation			
I. <u>Performance Evaluation and Achievements</u>									
1. City Commission	Boards Relationships	<u>NE</u>	1	2	3	4	_5_		
A. Provides sound legal advice to the City Commission, Boards, Commissions and City staff.									
B. Reporting to the Cand City staff is tin	ity Commission, Boards, nely, clear, concise and thorough.								
C. Accepts direction/i	nstructions in a positive manner.						/		

of the position.

Commissioners.

D. Keeps the City Commission, Boards, and City

E. Dedicates the time necessary to the responsibilities of the position and is readily available to

staff informed of issues relevant to the requirements

Comments:	Shawn and I have der	elope	da	grea	t wo	rkin	n
relationship. He has helped me with the understanding						audin	
	of some complex legal iss					e and	red 0
	hum for information, he in a timely fashion, even	has whil	mac e on	le hi	i <u>msel</u> tion.	ava	establ
2. Legal Re	esearch and Review	<u>NE</u>	1	_2_	3	_4_	_5_
A. Effective research a	ly identifies legal issues and performs and investigations.						
B. Effective reports an	ly reviews and interprets legal instruments, id documents prepared by departments.						
Comments:	as our City attorney, Shaw		4	A	201 124	sti	for
	helped in reaching settlem	mos	e cn	Krus	U, 9	hus !	has
	to the lite.	unus	would	n ar	e ga	vosa	lile
3. Employee	e/Public Relations	<u>NE</u>	1	_2_	_3_	4_	_5_
A. Works well with other employees.							
B. Meeting an recognizing	nd handling the public while g ethical obligation to the City.						/
Comments:	Since Shawn's job is to re	Dhess	east to	he Con	 	AAROL	1.0
	and mayor, he puts us fi	rst u	hen o	riven	g le	aal	<i></i>
advice on issues, when asked he has sat in on							
	meetings with me and my	4 Con	stitu	ents.			
	V						
4. Communi	<u>cation</u>	<u>NE</u>	1	_2_	_3_	_4_	_5_
A. Oral comm	nunication is clear, concise and articulate.						
B. Written con and other le accurate.	mmunications (e.g.) contracts, resolutions, egal documents are clear, concise and						
						•	
Comments:	Whenever I am dealing wer	tha	comp	elain	tor	asu	e
with Retential legal roncer way on anything							
from a constituent, lieftre responding on anything with patential legal consequences I always get his Performance Evaluation - City Attorney input and I get a timely run. Page 2 of 4							

5. Quantit	y/Quality	<u>NE</u>	1	2	3	_4_	_5_
A. Amount of work performed.							_
B. Complet	ion of work on time.						V
C. Accuracy	y.						
D. Thoroug	hness.						
Comments: The most recent example of his accurate and thorough analysis was demonstrated during the first round on the case for ROGOS. He put us in the liest fosition to move our case forward.							
6. Personal	Traits	<u>NE</u>	1		3	4	_5_
A. Initiative							
B. Judgement.							
C. Fairness a	and Impartiality.						<u> </u>
D. Analytical Ability.							
Comments: Detrust that the information he is giving me is a fair and impartial position, even if it is not exactly what I was hoping to hear.							
7. Litigation	Administrative Proceedings	<u>NE</u>	_1_	2	3	4	_5_
A. Provides timely and effective representation of the City's interest in litigation.							\checkmark
B. Controls a of retained	nd monitors costs and performance loutside legal counsel.						✓
Comments: answer to #2 also applies here.							

II. Summary Rating	
Overall Performance Rating – Considering the results obtained against established performance standards as well as overall job performance, the following rating is provided (circle one):	
Unsatisfactory Improvement Needed Meets Job Standards Exceeds Job Standards Outstanding	
Comments: Shawn fulfills his duties under each	
and ordinances of our cety. I look forward to another Successful year.	
III. Future Goals and Objectives	
Specific goals and objectives to be achieved in the next evaluation period: Continue the good work	VŁ.
1) work on legislation to protect our trees	
a) assist the commission with affordable housing changes	
3) write an effective change to ordinances to cover	
all forms of transportation including I like e-scroters	
Mary Low Homes, pedal wagous etc.	
COMMISSIONER MARY LOU HOOVER	
SHAWN D. SMITH, CITY ATTORNEY	
here Imits	
CHERYL SMITH, GITY CLERK Dated 1/29/20	