

### Historic Architectural Review Commission Staff Report for Item 14

To:	Chairman Bryan Green and Historic Architectural Review Commission Members
From:	Kathleen McDonald, MHP Historic Preservation Planner II
Meeting Date:	February 24, 2021
Applicant:	Robert L. Delaune
Address:	#511 Frances Street

Description of Work: Construction of new pool house structure.

### Site Facts:

The site under review is located on the SE corner of Frances Street and Curry Lane. According to our survey, the primary structure at 511 Frances is historic and contributing to the historic district. A small accessory structure appears on the property on the 1948 and 1962 Sanborn maps, but appears to be of a different proportion than the existing accessory structure on site. The existing accessory structure is considered non-contributing.

### Guidelines Cited on Review:

- Guidelines for New Construction in the Historic District (pages 38a-38q), specifically guidelines 1, 6, 11, 12, 13, 14, 18, 23 and 24.
- Guidelines for Outbuildings: Carports, Gazebos, Garages, Sheds, Shelters and Accessory Structures (pages 40-41), specifically guidelines 1, 3, 4, 9 and 11.

### Staff Analysis:

The Certificate of Appropriateness under review proposes an approximately 120 square foot pool house accessory structure at the rear of the property at 511 Frances Street. The newly proposed accessory structure will reach a height of approximately 12 feet from grade. The proposed pool house structure will have a v-crimp gable roof and will utilize HardiPlank for siding material. New impact-rated fenestrations are proposed on each elevation of the new accessory structure.

To make way for the new pool house structure, this application also includes demolition of an existing wood trellis and a pool equipment enclosure.

### Consistency with Cited Guidelines:

Staff finds the proposed addition to an accessory structure to be consistent with the cited guidelines for new construction, as well as the cited guidelines for outbuildings.

The proposed pool house structure is compatible with the size, scale, material and character of the district and the structure is of similar scale, height, proportion, form and massing to buildings of the same typology in the area. Being at the east rear corner of the property, the proposed accessory structure is located on the site as to be unobtrusive when viewed from Curry Lane. The proposed materials for the pool house structure are appropriate for a new structure and will be harmonious to the adjacent historic context.

## APPLICATION



### HARC MAJOR PROJECTS CERTIFICATE OF APPROPRIATENESS

A pre-application meeting with HARC staff is *required* prior to submittal \$420 Non-Refundable Base Application Fee - Other Fees May Be Applicable

City of Key West	HARC COA # 2021-0006	REVISION #	INITIAL & DATE
KEY WEST, FLORIDA	FLOOD ZONE	ZONING DISTRICT	BLDG PERMIT #
ADDRESS OF PROPOSED PROJECT:	511 Frances Street	1	
NAME ON DEED:	Tony Weil & Ann Orr		PHONE NUMBER (734) 657-1181
OWNER'S MAILING ADDRESS:	618 Stratford Drive		EMAIL tonylweil@gmail.com
	Ann Arbor, MI 48104	1	
APPLICANT NAME:	Robert L Delaune Arch	nitect PA	PHONE NUMBER (305) 304-4842
APPLICANT'S ADDRESS:	619 Eaton Street, Sui	te 1	EMAIL robdelaune@bellsouth.net
	Key West, FL 33040		
APPLICANT'S SIGNATURE:	hitspele	ne	DATE 1 19/20
ANY PERSON THAT MAKES C	HANGES TO AN APPROVED		RIATENESS MUST SUBMIT A NEW APPLICATIO
FLORIDA STATUTE 837 06. WHOEVER KN	OWINGLY MAKES & FALSE STATE		HE INTENT TO MISLEAD A PUBLIC SERVANT IN THE
PERFORMANCE OF HIS OR HER OFFICIAI 775.083.	DUTY SHALL BE GUILTY OF A MI	SDEMEANOR OF THE SECOND	HE INTENT TO MISLEAD A PUBLIC SERVANT IN THE -DEGREE PUNISHABLE PER SECTION 775.082 OR
THE APPLICANT FURTHER HEREBY ACKN	IOWLEDGES THAT THE SCOPE OF	WORK AS DESCRIBED IN THE	APPLICATION SHALL BE THE SCOPE OF WORK THAT
CONTEMPLATED BY THE APPLICANT AND	THE CITY. THE APPLICANT FURT	HER STIPULATES THAT SHOUL	D FURTHER ACTION BE TAKEN BY THE CITY FOR
OI .			FLICTING INFORMATION BETWEEN THE DESCRIPTION
WORK AND THE SUBMITTED PLANS, THE	AFOREMENTIONED DESCRIPTION	OF WORK SHALL BE CONTRO	LLING.
PROJECT INCLUDES: REPLACEME	NT OF WINDOWS RE	LOCATION OF A STRUCTU	RE ELEVATION OF A STRUCTURE
PROJECT INVOLVES A CONTRIBUTIN	NG STRUCTURE: YES NO	X INVOLVES	A HISTORIC STRUCTURE: YESNOX
PROJECT INVOLVES A STRUCTURE	THAT IS INDIVIDUALLY LISTE		
DETAILED PROJECT DES	CRIPTION INCLUDING MATE	RIALS, HEIGHT, DIMENSIO	NS, SQUARE FOOTAGE, LOCATION, ETC.
GENERAL: remove existing 'poolhouse' stru	trellis structure & pool ec	uipment enclsoure and	construct new enclosed
		and the second	
		-	
MAIN BUILDING:			
MAN BOLDING.			
DEMOLITION (PLEASE FILL OUT AND	ATTACH DEMOLITION APPE	ENDIX):	
remove existing wood	trellis structure and pool e	quipment enclosure	

Page 1 of 2

### HARC MAJOR PROJECTS CERTIFICATE OF APPROPRIATENESS

ACCESSORY STRUCTURE(S): construct new e	
construct new e	nclosed 'poolhouse' structure
PAVERS:	FENCES:
DECKS:	PAINTING:
SITE (INCLUDING GRADING, FILL, TREES, ETC):	
	POOLS (INCLUDING EQUIPMENT):
ACCESSORY EQUIPMENT (GAS, A/C, VENTS, ETC.):	OTHER:

### APPLICATIONS MUST BE SUBMITTED IN PERSON WITH HARD COPIES BY 3PM ON THE SCHEDULED DEADLINE PLEASE SEND AN ELECTRONIC COPY OF ALL DOCUMENTS: <u>City\_HARC@CITYOFKEYWEST-FL.GOV</u>

OFFICIAL USE ONLY:	AL USE ONLY: HARC COMMISSION REVIEW			EXPIRES ON:	
MEETING DATE:	APPROVED	NOT APPROVED	DEFERRED FOR FUTURE CONSIDERATION	INITIAL:	
MEETING DATE:	APPROVED	NOT APPROVED	DEFERRED FOR FUTURE CONSIDERATION	INITIAL:	
MEETING DATE:	APPROVED	NOT APPROVED	DEFERRED FOR FUTURE CONSIDERATION	INITIAL:	
STAFF REVIEW COMMENTS:					
FIRST READING FOR DEMO:		SECO	ND READING FOR DEMO:		
HARC STAFF SIGNATURE AND DATE:		HARC	HARC CHAIRPERSON SIGNATURE AND DATE:		

### HARC Certificate of Appropriateness: Demolition Appendix



HARC COA # Initial & Date BLDG Permit # Zoning District

1300 WHITE STREET KEY WEST, FLORIDA 33040

ADDRESS OF PROPOSED PROJECT: 615 Frances Street
PROPERTY OWNER'S NAME: Tony Weil & Ann Orr
APPLICANT NAME: Robert L Delaune Architect PA

I hereby certify I am the owner of record and that the work shall conform to all applicable laws of this jurisdiction. By receiving a Certificate of Appropriateness, I realize that this project will require a Building Permit approval PRIOR to proceeding with the work outlined above and that a final inspection is required under this application. I also understand that any changes to an approved Certificate of Appropriateness must be submitted for review.

ann C. On PROPERTY OWNER'S SIGNATURE

1/19/2021 Ann C. Orr DATE AND PRINT NAME

DETAILED PROJECT DESCRIPTION OF DEMOLITION

demolish non-historic wood trellis structure in rear yard

CRITERIA FOR DEMOLITION OF CONTRIBUTING OR HISTORIC STRUCTURES:

Before any Certificate of Appropriateness may be issued for a demolition request, the Historic Architectural Review Commission must find that the following requirements are met (please review and comment on each criterion that applies);

(1) If the subject of the application is a contributing or historic building or structure, then it should not be demolished unless its condition is irrevocably compromised by extreme deterioration or it does not meet any of the following criteria:

(a) The existing condition of the building or structure is irrevocably compromised by extreme deterioration.

not a contributing or historic structure

(2) Or explain how the building or structure meets the criteria below:

(a) Embodies no distinctive characteristics of a type, period, or method of construction of aesthetic or historic significance in the city and is not a significant and distinguishable building entity whose components may lack individual distinction.

the structure in question is a contemporary wood trellis structure

Page 1 of 3

e.				
4	4			
4	4			
-	۰.			

(b) Is not specifically associated with events that have made a significant contribution to local, state, or national history.

none

(c) Has no significant character, interest, or value as part fo the development, heritage, or cultural characteristics of the city, state or nation, and is not associated with the life of a person significant in the past.

none

(d) Is not the site of a historic event with significant effect upon society.

it is not

(e) Does not exemplify the cultural, political, economic, social, or historic heritage of the city.

they do not

(f) Does not portray the environment in an era of history characterized by a distinctive architectural style.

they do not

(g) If a part of or related to a square, park, or other distinctive area, nevertheless should not be developed or preserved according to a plan based on the area's historic, cultural, natural, or architectural motif.

they do not

(h) Does not have a unique location or singular physical characteristic which represents an established and familiar visual feature of its neighborhood or of the city and does not exemplify the best remaining architectural type in a neighborhood.


Page 2 of 3


Nothing in this application is intended to alter the authority of the Building Official to condemn for demolition dangerous buildings, as provided in Section 102-218 of the Land Development Regulations and Chapter 14 of the Code of Ordinances.

(i) Has not yielded, and is not likely to yield, information important in history. highly unlikely CRITERIA FOR DEMOLITION OF NON-CONTRIBUTING OR NON-HISTORIC STRUCTURES: The following criteria will also be reviewed by the Historic Architectural Review Commission for proposed demolitions. Th Commission shall not issue a Certificate of Appropriateness that would result in the following conditions (please review an comment on each criterion that applies); (1) Removing buildings or structures that are important in defining the overall historic character of a district or neighborhood so that the character is diminished. not applicable (2) Removing historic buildings or structures and thus destroying the historic relationship between buildings or structures and open space. not applicable (3) Removing an historic building or structure in a complex; or removing a building facade; or removing a significant later addition that is mportant in defining the historic character of a site or the surrounding district or neighborhood. not applicable (4) Removing buildings or structures that would otherwise qualify as contributing. not applicable	they do not
highly unlikely         CRITERIA FOR DEMOLITION OF NON-CONTRIBUTING OR NON-HISTORIC         STRUCTURES:         The following criteria will also be reviewed by the Historic Architectural Review Commission for proposed demolitions. Th         Commission shall not issue a Certificate of Appropriateness that would result in the following conditions (please review an comment on each criterion that applies);         (1) Removing buildings or structures that are important in defining the overall historic character of a district or neighborhood so that the character is diminished.         not applicable         (2) Removing historic buildings or structures and thus destroying the historic relationship between buildings or structures and open space.         not applicable         (3) Removing an historic building or structure in a complex; or removing a building facade; or removing a significant later addition that is mortant in defining the historic character of a site or the surrounding district or neighborhood.         not applicable         (3) Removing an historic building or structure in a complex; or removing a building facade; or removing a significant later addition that is mortant in defining the historic character of a site or the surrounding district or neighborhood.         not applicable	
highly unlikely         CRITERIA FOR DEMOLITION OF NON-CONTRIBUTING OR NON-HISTORIC         STRUCTURES:         The following criteria will also be reviewed by the Historic Architectural Review Commission for proposed demolitions. Th         Commission shall not issue a Certificate of Appropriateness that would result in the following conditions (please review an comment on each criterion that applies);         (1) Removing buildings or structures that are important in defining the overall historic character of a district or neighborhood so that the character is diminished.         not applicable         2) Removing historic buildings or structures and thus destroying the historic relationship between buildings or structures and open space.         not applicable         3) Removing an historic building or structure in a complex; or removing a building facade; or removing a significant later addition that is mortant in defining the historic character of a site or the surrounding district or neighborhood.         not applicable         3) Removing an historic building or structure in a complex; or removing a building facade; or removing a significant later addition that is mortant in defining the historic character of a site or the surrounding district or neighborhood.         not applicable	
highly unlikely CRITERIA FOR DEMOLITION OF NON-CONTRIBUTING OR NON-HISTORIC STRUCTURES: The following criteria will also be reviewed by the Historic Architectural Review Commission for proposed demolitions. Th Commission shall not issue a Certificate of Appropriateness that would result in the following conditions (please review an comment on each criterion that applies); (1) Removing buildings or structures that are important in defining the overall historic character of a district or neighborhood so that the character is diminished. not applicable 2) Removing historic buildings or structures and thus destroying the historic relationship between buildings or structures and open space. not applicable 3) Removing an historic building or structure in a complex; or removing a building facade; or removing a significant later addition that is mportant in defining the historic character of a site or the surrounding district or neighborhood. not applicable 4) Removing buildings or structures that would otherwise qualify as contributing.	
highly unlikely         CRITERIA FOR DEMOLITION OF NON-CONTRIBUTING OR NON-HISTORIC         STRUCTURES:         The following criteria will also be reviewed by the Historic Architectural Review Commission for proposed demolitions. Th         Commission shall not issue a Certificate of Appropriateness that would result in the following conditions (please review an comment on each criterion that applies);         (1) Removing buildings or structures that are important in defining the overall historic character of a district or neighborhood so that the character is diminished.         not applicable         (2) Removing historic buildings or structures and thus destroying the historic relationship between buildings or structures and open space.         not applicable         (3) Removing an historic building or structure in a complex; or removing a building facade; or removing a significant later addition that is mportant in defining the historic character of a site or the surrounding district or neighborhood.         not applicable         (4) Removing buildings or structures that would otherwise qualify as contributing.	(i) Has not vielded, and is not likely to vield, information important in history
CRITERIA FOR DEMOLITION OF NON-CONTRIBUTING OR NON-HISTORIC STRUCTURES: The following criteria will also be reviewed by the Historic Architectural Review Commission for proposed demolitions. Th Commission shall not issue a Certificate of Appropriateness that would result in the following conditions (please review an comment on each criterion that applies); (1) Removing buildings or structures that are important in defining the overall historic character of a district or neighborhood so that the character is diminished. not applicable 2) Removing historic buildings or structures and thus destroying the historic relationship between buildings or structures and open space. not applicable 3) Removing an historic building or structure in a complex; or removing a building facade; or removing a significant later addition that is moot applicable	
STRUCTURES: The following criteria will also be reviewed by the Historic Architectural Review Commission for proposed demolitions. The Commission shall not issue a Certificate of Appropriateness that would result in the following conditions (please review and comment on each criterion that applies); (1) Removing buildings or structures that are important in defining the overall historic character of a district or neighborhood so that the character is diminished. not applicable (2) Removing historic buildings or structures and thus destroying the historic relationship between buildings or structures and open space. not applicable 3) Removing an historic building or structure in a complex; or removing a building facade; or removing a significant later addition that is mportant in defining the historic character of a site or the surrounding district or neighborhood. not applicable 4) Removing buildings or structures that would otherwise qualify as contributing.	
STRUCTURES: The following criteria will also be reviewed by the Historic Architectural Review Commission for proposed demolitions. Th Commission shall not issue a Certificate of Appropriateness that would result in the following conditions (please review an comment on each criterion that applies); (1) Removing buildings or structures that are important in defining the overall historic character of a district or neighborhood so that the character is diminished. not applicable 2) Removing historic buildings or structures and thus destroying the historic relationship between buildings or structures and open space. not applicable 3) Removing an historic building or structure in a complex; or removing a building facade; or removing a significant later addition that is mort applicable 4) Removing buildings or structures that would otherwise qualify as contributing.	
STRUCTURES: The following criteria will also be reviewed by the Historic Architectural Review Commission for proposed demolitions. Th Commission shall not issue a Certificate of Appropriateness that would result in the following conditions (please review an comment on each criterion that applies); (1) Removing buildings or structures that are important in defining the overall historic character of a district or neighborhood so that the character is diminished. not applicable 2) Removing historic buildings or structures and thus destroying the historic relationship between buildings or structures and open space. not applicable 3) Removing an historic building or structure in a complex; or removing a building facade; or removing a significant later addition that is mort applicable 4) Removing buildings or structures that would otherwise qualify as contributing.	
STRUCTURES: The following criteria will also be reviewed by the Historic Architectural Review Commission for proposed demolitions. Th Commission shall not issue a Certificate of Appropriateness that would result in the following conditions (please review an comment on each criterion that applies); (1) Removing buildings or structures that are important in defining the overall historic character of a district or neighborhood so that the character is diminished. not applicable (2) Removing historic buildings or structures and thus destroying the historic relationship between buildings or structures and open space. not applicable (3) Removing an historic building or structure in a complex; or removing a building facade; or removing a significant later addition that is mportant in defining the historic character of a site or the surrounding district or neighborhood. not applicable (4) Removing buildings or structures that would otherwise qualify as contributing.	
Commission shall not issue a Certificate of Appropriateness that would result in the following conditions (please review an comment on each criterion that applies); (1) Removing buildings or structures that are important in defining the overall historic character of a district or neighborhood so that the character is diminished. not applicable (2) Removing historic buildings or structures and thus destroying the historic relationship between buildings or structures and open space. not applicable (3) Removing an historic building or structure in a complex; or removing a building facade; or removing a significant later addition that is mortant in defining the historic or neighborhood. not applicable (4) Removing buildings or structures that would otherwise qualify as contributing.	
character is diminished. not applicable (2) Removing historic buildings or structures and thus destroying the historic relationship between buildings or structures and open space. not applicable (3) Removing an historic building or structure in a complex; or removing a building facade; or removing a significant later addition that is mportant in defining the historic character of a site or the surrounding district or neighborhood. not applicable (4) Removing buildings or structures that would otherwise qualify as contributing.	commission shall not issue a Certificate of Appropriateness that would result in the following conditions (please review an
<ul> <li>(2) Removing historic buildings or structures and thus destroying the historic relationship between buildings or structures and open space. not applicable</li> <li>3) Removing an historic building or structure in a complex; or removing a building facade; or removing a significant later addition that is mportant in defining the historic character of a site or the surrounding district or neighborhood. not applicable</li> <li>4) Removing buildings or structures that would otherwise qualify as contributing.</li> </ul>	(1) Removing buildings or structures that are important in defining the overall historic character of a district or neighborhood so that the character is diminished.
not applicable         3) Removing an historic building or structure in a complex; or removing a building facade; or removing a significant later addition that is mportant in defining the historic character of a site or the surrounding district or neighborhood.         not applicable         4) Removing buildings or structures that would otherwise qualify as contributing.	not applicable
not applicable         (3) Removing an historic building or structure in a complex; or removing a building facade; or removing a significant later addition that is mportant in defining the historic character of a site or the surrounding district or neighborhood.         not applicable         (4) Removing buildings or structures that would otherwise qualify as contributing.	
not applicable         3) Removing an historic building or structure in a complex; or removing a building facade; or removing a significant later addition that is mportant in defining the historic character of a site or the surrounding district or neighborhood.         not applicable         4) Removing buildings or structures that would otherwise qualify as contributing.	(2) Removing historic buildings or structures and thus destroying the historic relationship between buildings or structures and open space
<ul> <li>3) Removing an historic building or structure in a complex; or removing a building facade; or removing a significant later addition that is mportant in defining the historic character of a site or the surrounding district or neighborhood.</li> <li>not applicable</li> <li>4) Removing buildings or structures that would otherwise qualify as contributing.</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>a portant in defining the historic character of a site or the surrounding district or neighborhood.</li> <li>not applicable</li> <li>4) Removing buildings or structures that would otherwise qualify as contributing.</li> </ul>	
not applicable 4) Removing buildings or structures that would otherwise qualify as contributing.	
not applicable 4) Removing buildings or structures that would otherwise qualify as contributing.	3) Removing an historic building or structure in a complex; or removing a building facade; or removing a significant later addition that is mportant in defining the historic character of a site or the surrounding district or neighborhood.
not applicable	4) Removing buildings or structures that would otherwise qualify as contributing.
	not applicable
	not applicable


Page 3 of 3

## SANBORN MAPS






1948 Sanborn with the property at 511 Frances Street indicated in red.




# PROJECT PHOTOS



Historic photo of 511 Frances Street from 1965.



VIEW ACROSS BACK YARD TO EXISTING TRELLIS (TO BE REMOVED) AND SHED




VIEW BEHIND TRELLIS OF POOL EQUIPMENT SHED TO BE REMOVED (POOL EQUIPMENT TO REMAIN)

### NEW POOL CABANA @ 511 FRANCES STREET, KEY WEST, FLORIDA


### **Robert L. Delaune, Architect, p.a.** 619 Eaton Street, Suite 1, Key West, FL 33040

619 Eaton Street, Suite 1, Key West, FL 33040 ph/fax: (305) 293-0364 FL Lic. #AA0003594 SHEET 5 of 5

### SURVEY



NOTE: This Survey Map is not full and complete without the attached Survey Report.



### Boundary Survey Report of Lot 3, Square 44, Island of Key West

### NOTES:

- 1. The legal descriptions shown hereon were furnished by the client or their agent.
- 2. Underground foundations and utilities were not located.
- 3. All angles are 90° (Measured & Record) unless otherwise noted.
- 4. Street address: 511 Frances Street, Key West, FL.
- 5. This survey is not valid without the signature and the original raised seal of a Florida licensed surveyor and mapper.
- 6. Lands shown hereon were not abstracted for rights-of-way, easements, ownership, or other instruments of record.
- 7. North Arrow is assumed and based on the legal description.
- 8. Adjoiners are not furnished.
- 9. Date of field work: January 9, 2019.
- 10. This Survey Report is not full and complete without the attached Survey Map.

BOUNDARY SURVEY OF: Part of Lot Three (3) in Square Forty-Four (44) according to the Plan of said City, delineated by Wm. A. Whitehead in February, 1829; but is better known and designated on a Diagram of the subdivision of the said lot and square, made by Charles Howe, and recorded in Book G, Page 485 of Monroe County, Florida Records, on 20 February 1870, said piece or parcel of land commencing at the corner of Frances Street and a fifteen foot alley (said alley leading from Frances Street to White Street) and running on said Frances Street Fifty-two (52) feet and Six (6) inches; thence at right angles and parallel to the direction of said fifteen foot Alley a distance of One Hundred (100) feet and Six (6) inches; thence at right angles and parallel with Frances Street Fifty-Two (52) feet and Six (6) inches; thence at right angles running on said fifteen foot alley a distance of One Hundred (100) feet and Six (6) inches to the Place of Beginning.

### LESS AND EXCEPT;

On the Island of Key West, known and delineated as part of Lot Three (3) in Square Forty-Four (44), according to a plan of said City delineated in February, A.D. 1829, by Wm. A. Whitehead but which is more particularly designated and described by reference to a diagram of a subdivision of said lot made by Charles Howe, and recorded in Deed Book G, Page 485, of Monroe County, Florida records: COMMENCE at the intersection of the Northeasterly right of way line of Frances Street with the Southeasterly right of way line of Curry Lane; thence in a Northeasterly direction along said Southeasterly right of way of Curry Lane for a distance of 98.00 feet to the Point of Beginning of the parcel of land being described herein; thence continue along said Southeasterly right of way line of Curry Lane in a Northeasterly direction for a distance of 2.5 feet; thence at right angles and in a Southeasterly direction for a distance of 52.50 feet; thence at right angles and in a Southwesterly direction for a distance of 52.50 feet; thence in a Northwesterly direction with a deflection angle of 90°32'44" to the right for a distance of 52.50 feet back to the Point of Beginning.


### AND LESS AND EXCEPT;

A parcel of land on the Island of Key West and known as a part of Lot 3, in Square 44, according to the Plan of said City, delineated by Wm. A. Whitehead in February, 1829, said parcel being more particularly described by metes and bounds as follows: COMMENCE at the intersection of the Northeasterly right of way line of Frances Street with the Southeasterly right of way line of Curry Lane and run thence Northeasterly along the Southeasterly right of way line of the said Curry Lane for a distance of 96.67 feet to the Point of Beginning; thence continue Northeasterly along the Southeasterly right of way line of the said Curry Lane for a distance of 1.33 feet; thence Southeasterly and at right angles for a distance of 42.75 feet; thence Southwesterly and at right angles for a distance of 1.33 feet; thence Northwesterly and at right angles for a distance of 42.75 feet back to the Point of Beginning.

BOUNDARY SURVEY FOR: Anthony L. Weil & Ann C. Orr;

Bank of America; Spottswood, Spottswood, Spottswood & Sterling, PLLC; Chicago Title Insurance Company; I HEREBY CERTIFY that this survey was made under my responsible charge and meets the Standard of Practice as set forth by the Florida Board of Professional Surveyors & Mappers in Chapter 5J-17, Florida Administrative Code, pursuant to Section 472.027, Florida Statutes. J. LYNN O'FLYNN, INC. J. Lynn ØFlynn, PSM Sheet Two of Two Florida Reg. #6298 THIS SURVEY J. LYNN O'FLYNN, Inc. IS NOT ASSIGNABLE January 10, 2019 Professional Surveyor & Mapper PSM #6298 3430 Duck Ave., Key West, FL 33040 (305) 296-7422 FAX (305) 296-2244

# **PROPOSED DESIGN**



ph/fax: (305) 293-0364 FL Lic. #AA0003594

22 JANIARY 2021

SITE DATA:

LOT AREA: 5075 S.F.

LAND USE DISTRICT: HISTORIC HIGH DENISTY RESIDENTIAL (HHDR)

FEMA FLOOD ZONE: AE-6


	ALLOWED/ REQUIRED	EXISTING	PROPOSED
BLDG. COVERAGE: HOUSE: SHED: TRELLIS: POOL EQ. SHED: NEW POOLHOUSE:		2058 S.F. 58 91 33 ZERO	2058 S.F. 58 ZERO ZERO 120
TOTAL:	2538 S.F. (50%)	2240 S.F. (44.1%)	2236 S.F. (44.1%)
IMPERVIOUS SURFACE RATIO: BLDGS.: POOL: BRICK PAVING: EQUIP. PADS: TOTAL:	3045 S.F. (60%)	2240 S.F. 140 380 43 2803 S.F. (55.2%)	2236 S.F. 140 380 43 2799 S.F. (55.2%)
OPEN SPACE RATIO:			
IMPERVIOUS: WD. DECKS (<30" ABV. GRADE): TOTAL NON-OPEN:		2803 S.F. 764 3607 S.F.	2834 S.F. 751 S.F. 3585 S.F.
OPEN SPACE:	1776 S.F. (35%)	1468 S.F. (28.9%)	1490 S.F. (29.4%)
HEIGHT:			
PRIMARY STRUCTURE: PROPOSED STRUCTURE:	35' 35'	25' +/- N/A	NO CHANGE 12' +/-

### NEW POOL CABANA @ 511 FRANCES STREET, KEY WEST, FLORIDA


### **Robert L. Delaune, Architect, p.a.** 619 Eaton Street, Suite 1, Key West, FL 33040

ph/fax: (305) 293-0364 FL Lic. #AA0003594


SHEET 2 of 5



ph/fax: (305) 293-0364 FL Lic. #AA0003594



WESTELEVATIONEASTELEVATIONscale:1/4"=1'-O"scale:1/4"=1'-O"



SOUTH ELEVATION 1/4"=1'-0" scale:

### NEW POOL CABANA @ 511 FRANCES STREET, KEY WEST, FLORIDA

### Robert L. Delaune, Architect, p.a.

619 Eaton Street, Suite 1, Key West, FL 33040 ph/fax: (305) 293-0364 FL Lic. #AA0003594 SHEET 4 of 5

## NOTICING

The Historic Architectural Review Commission will hold a public meeting at <u>5:00 p.m., February 24, 2021 at</u> <u>City Hall, 1300 White Street</u>, Key West, Florida. In order to view the live feed of the meeting, you can tune in to Comcast channel 77, AT&T Uverse channel 99, or type this link into your browser:

http://keywestcity.granicus.com/MediaPlayer.php?publish\_id=1&embed=1 If you wish to participate virtually, please contact HARC staff at 305-809-3975. The purpose of the hearing will be to consider a request for:

### CONSTRUCTION OF NEW POOL HOUSE STRUCTURE. DEMOLITION OF EXISTING WOOD TRELLIS STRUCTURE AND POOL EQUIPMENT ENCLOSURE.

### **#511 FRANCES STREET**

Applicant – Robert L. Delaune Application #H2021-0006

If you wish to see the application or have any questions, you may visit the Planning Department during regular office hours at 1300 White Street, call 305-809-3975 or visit our website at <u>www.cityofkeywest-fl.gov</u>. <u>THIS NOTICE CAN NOT BE REMOVED FROM THE SITE UNTIL HARC FINAL DETERMINATION</u>

**ADA ASSISTANCE:** It is the policy of the City of Key West to comply with all requirements of the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA). Please call the TTY number at 800-955-8771 or 800-955-8770 (Voice) or the ADA Coordinator at 305-809-3811 at least five business days in advance for sign language interpreters, assistive listening devices, or materials in accessible format.

### HARC POSTING AFFIDAVIT

### STATE OF FLORIDA: COUNTY OF MONROE:

BEFORE ME, the undersigned authority, personally appeared

**Robert Delaune**, who, first being duly sworn, on oath, depose and says that the following statements are true and correct to the best of his/her knowledge and belief:

1. That a legal notice for Public Notice of Hearing of the Historic Architectural Review Commission (HARC) was placed on the following address: 511 Frances Street

			UTUIC
16th	day of February	, 20 <b>21</b> .	

This legal notice(s) contained an area of at least 8.5"x11".

The legal notice(s) is/are clearly visible from the public street adjacent to the property.

The Certificate of Appropriateness number for this legal notice is H2021-0006

2. A photograph of that legal notice posted in the property is attached hereto.


Signe	d Na	me	of Affiant:
Date:	2	14	2021
Addre	SS:	1019	EATON ST
City:	ILE	=>(	WEST
State,	Zip:	È	L 33040

The forgoing instrument was acknowledged before me on this  $\frac{16^{++}}{16^{-+}}$  day of  $\frac{765}{16^{-+}}$ .

By (Print name of Affiant) <u>Delaune Robert Le once</u> who is personally known to me or has produced <u>Florida</u>, <u>Driver License</u> as identification and who did take an oath.

NOTARY PUBLIC	
Sign Name: Know	
Print Name: Veronica Cleare	
Notary Public - State of Florida (seal)	VERONICA CLEARE
My Commission Expires: 1/25/2025	Commission # HH 084046
	Expires January 25, 2025 Bonded Thru Troy Fain Insurance 800-385-7019





CONSTRUCTION OF NEW POOL HOUSE STRUCTURE, DEMOLITION OF EXISTING WOOD TRELLIS STRUCTURE AND POOL EQUIPMENT ENCLOSURE.

#511 FRANCES STREET

Applicant - Robert L. Delaune Application #H2021-0006 f you wish to see the application or have any questions, you may visit the Planning Department during regular rffice hours at 1300 White Street, call 305-809-3975 or visit our website at <u>more cloudle-week-flowe</u>. <u>THIS NOTICE CAN NOT HE REMOVED FROM THE NTE UNTER HARC FINAL DETERMINATION</u>

LDA ASSISTANCE: It is the policy of the City of Key West to comply with all requirem all the TTY number at 800-955-8771 or 800-955-8770 (Voice) or the ADA Coordinato nts of the Americans with Do # 305-809-3811 at least five

# PROPERTY APPRAISER INFORMATION

9/14/2020

### 

### Disclaimer

The Monroe County Property Appraiser's office maintains data on property within the County solely for the purpose of fulfilling its responsibility to secure a just valuation for ad valorem tax purposes of all property within the County. The Monroe County Property Appraiser's office cannot guarantee its accuracy for any other purpose. Likewise, data provided regarding one tax year may not be applicable in prior or subsequent years. By requesting such data, you hereby understand and agree that the data is intended for ad valorem tax purposes only and should not be relied on for any other purpose.

By continuing into this site you assert that you have read and agree to the above statement.

### Summary

Parcel ID	00006980-000000
Account#	1007234
Property ID	1007234
Millage Group	10KW
Location	511 FRANCES St, KEY WEST
Address	
Legal	KW PT LT 3 SQR 44 G38-313/14 OR9-104/06 OR648-34/36 OR672-828/31
Description	OR698-386/87 OR1308-989/90P/R OR1572-1882 OR1776-2037 OR2249-
	1828 OR2285-1693 OR2339-997/98 OR2528-207/09 OR2740-2098/100
	OR2946-1409
	(Note: Not to be used on legal documents.)
Neighborhood	6108
Property	SINGLE FAMILY RESID (0100)
Class	
Subdivision	
Sec/Twp/Rng	06/68/25
Affordable	No
Housing	



### Owner

ORRANN	WEIL ANTHONY L
618 Stratford Dr	618 Stratford Dr
Ann Arbor MI 48104	Ann Arbor MI 48104

### Valuation

	2020	2019	2018	2017
+ Market Improvement Value	\$808,134	\$748,099	\$509,281	\$497,573
+ Market Misc Value	\$31,617	\$32,942	\$23,658	\$22,556
+ Market Land Value	\$750,187	\$790,685	\$703,542	\$703,542
= Just Market Value	\$1,589,938	\$1,571,726	\$1,236,481	\$1,223,671
= Total Assessed Value	\$1,589,938	\$1,360,129	\$1,236,481	\$1,223,671
- School Exempt Value	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
= School Taxable Value	\$1,589,938	\$1,571,726	\$1,236,481	\$1,223,671

### Land

Land Use	Number of Units	Unit Type	Frontage	Depth	
RES SUPERIOR DRY (01SD)	5,075.00	Square Foot	52.8	96.7	

### Buildings

FLA		R LIV AREA	1,743	1,743	276			
OPX	FXC O	PEN PORCH	310	0	114			
Code	Descri	ption	Sketch Area	Finished Area	Perimeter	č		
		ALL DD/ WD WAL			Number of Fire Pl	0		
Interior Wa		ALL BD/WD WAL			Grade	650		
Depreciatio					Half Bathrooms	0		
Economic C					Full Bathrooms	3		
Functional Obs 0				Bedrooms	3			
Perimeter		00			Heating Type	FCD/AIR DUCTED with 0% NONE		
Condition	G	OOD			Flooring Type	CONC S/B GRND		
Stories	1	Floor			Roof Coverage	METAL		
Finished Sq	Ft 1	743			Roof Type	GABLE/HIP		
Gross Sq Ft	t 2	053	53 Foundation WD CONC PADS			WD CONC PADS		
<b>Building Ty</b>	pe S.	F.R R1 / R1			EffectiveYearBuilt	2015		
Style	1	1 STORY ELEV FOUNDATION			Year Built	Built 1983		
uilding ID 464					Exterior Walls	CUSTOM with 3% WD FRAME		

 $https://qpublic.schneidercorp.com/Application.aspx?ApplD=605\&LayerID=9946\&PageTypeID=4\&PageID=7635\&Q=1449974687\&KeyValue=00006980-000000 \\ 1/4 & 1/4$ 

### qPublic.net - Monroe County, FL - Report: 00006980-000000

### Yard Items

Description	Year Built	Roll Year	Quantity	Units	Grade
BRICK PATIO	1985	1986	1	228 SF	4
FENCES	2017	2018	1	240 SF	2
FENCES	2017	2018	1	504 SF	2
WATER FEATURE	1994	1995	1	1 UT	3
CUSTOM POOL	1994	1995	1	140 SF	5
WOOD DECK	2014	2015	1	1054 SF	2
BRICK PATIO	2014	2015	1	108 SF	2
FIN DET UTILIT	1985	1986	1	64	2

### Sales

Sale Date	Sale Price	Instrument	Instrument Number	Deed Book	Deed Page	Sale Qualification	Vacant or Improved
1/22/2019	\$1,915,000	Warranty Deed	2203630	2946	1409	01 - Qualified	Improved
5/11/2015	\$1,350,000	Warranty Deed		2740	2098	37 - Unqualified	Improved
7/28/2011	\$825,000	Warranty Deed		2528	207	02 - Qualified	Improved
4/1/1994	\$249,000	Warranty Deed		1308	0989	Q - Qualified	Improved
2/1/1977	\$25,000	Conversion Code		698	386	Q - Qualified	Improved

### Permits


Number 🖨	Date Issued 🖨	Date Completed 🗘	Amount 🖨	Permit Type 🗘	Notes 🗢
17-1149	4/4/2017	12/5/2017	\$5,200	Residential	
17-801	3/6/2017	12/5/2017	\$6,500	Residential	INSTALL NEW 83LF PICKET FENCE
14-3998	9/3/2014	12/16/2014	\$9,500		R & R FRONT PORCH 240SF R&R REAR DECK 400SF
14-1350	7/3/2014	12/16/2014	\$9,500		REPLACE EXISTING DECK BOARDS WITH NEW DECK OF SAME WOOD
05-4316	10/3/2005	8/9/2006	\$2,475	Residential	INSTALL A/C AND DUCTWORK
05-1695	5/20/2005	8/9/2006	\$9,295		1000SF VCRIMP ON NEW ADD ON
05-1060	4/6/2005	8/9/2006	\$101,500		MASTER BEDROOM ADDITION 3405F
02-3343	12/12/2002	8/8/2003	\$5,400	Residential	REPAIR DECK BOARDS
02-3259	12/11/2002	8/8/2003	\$2,600	Residential	REPLASTER POOL
9601309	3/1/1996	8/1/1996	\$500	Residential	RENOVATIONS

### View Tax Info

View Taxes for this Parcel

Sketches (click to enlarge)

### 9/14/2020



### Photos



9/14/2020

### qPublic.net - Monroe County, FL - Report: 00006980-000000

### 9/14/2020

Map



### **TRIM Notice**

2020 TRIM Notice(PDF)

### 2020 Notices Only

### No data available for the following modules: Commercial Buildings, Mobile Home Buildings, Exemptions.

The Monroe County Property Appraiser's office maintains data on property within the County solely for the purpose of fulfilling its responsibility to secure a just valuation for ad valorem tax purposes of all property within the County. The Monroe County Property Appraiser's office cannot guarantee its accuracy for any other purpose. Likewise, data provided regarding one tax year may not be applicable in prior or subsequent years. By requesting such data, you hereby understand and agree that the <u>User Privacy Policy</u> <u>GDPR Privacy Notice</u>

Last Data Upload: 9/11/2020, 5:17:23 AM

Version 2.3.84

Schneider