617 Frances Street – Fritz-Gosline Residence

HARC MAJOR PROJECT COA APPLICATION

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. APPLICATION	Page	IV. ADDITIONAL INFORMATION	Page
Major Projects Application	3	Survey (O'Flynn)	26
Pre-Application Meeting, Fees & Receipt	5	Site Photos	27
Demolition Application	6	Adjacent/Context Photos	28
II. ARCHITECTURAL PLANS Sanborn Historical Site Plan Existing Site Plan Proposed Site Plan (incl. pool & deck) Proposed Design Plan Site Data Table Demolition Plan Demolition Structures Photos Existing & Proposed Elevations Existing & Proposed Streetscape % Historical Fabric	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 19 21 22	Materials Specifications a) A/C Unit b) Fencing c) Pool Equipment d) Porch Decking e) Porch Balusters & Railing f) Siding – front Conch, rear Cottage g) Exterior Paint Colors h) Pavers (deck, walkway, tire treads) i) Roofing j) Windows (replacement) k) Shutters l) Front Door Tree Inventory	29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 39 43 44 45
<u>III. RELOCATION</u> (on current lot) Relocation Site Plan Relocation Methodology (see Elevation Methodology)	24 50	V. ELEVATING STRUCTURE Existing 1 st Floor Height (E.C. Survey) FEMA Required Height Proposed 1 st Floor Height vs. Existing Elevation Methodology	47 48 49 50

617 Frances Street – Fritz-Gosline Residence HARC MAJOR PROJECT COA APPLICATION TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. APPLICATION

Major Projects Application Pre-Application Form – (fee calculation) Demolition Appendix

Page

- 3 (Appendix 1)
- 5 (Appendix 2)
- 6 (Appendix 3)

Appendix copies are identical, but shown in full size resolution)

HARC MAJOR PROJECTS CERTIFICATE OF APPROPRIATENESS

\$400 NON-REFUNDABLE BASE APPLICATION FEE - OTHER FEES MAY BE APPLICABLE

Major Project Application Page 1 (Appendix #1)



City of Key West
300 WHITE STREET
EY WEST, FLORIDA 33040

West	HARC COA #	REVISION #	INITIAL & DATE
eet Da 33040	FLOOD ZONE	ZONING DISTRICT	BLDG PERMIT #

A PRE-APPLICATION MEETING WITH HARC STAFF IS REQUIRED PRIOR TO SUBMITTAL

ADDRESS OF PROPOSED PROJECT:	617 Frances Street	
NAME ON DEED:	Trusts of Thomas J. Gosline & William F. Fritz	PHONE NUMBER 847-849-0013
OWNER'S MAILING ADDRESS:	595 Lincoln Avenue	EMAIL TJGosline@gmail.com
	Glencoe, IL 60022	
APPLICANT NAME:	Thomas J. Gosline & William F. Fritz	PHONE NUMBER 847-849-0013
APPLICANT'S ADDRESS:	595 Lincoln Avenue	EMAIL TJGosline@gmail.com
	Glencoe, IL 60022	
APPLICANT'S SIGNATURE:	Thomas & Doslas Mijoms Al.	DATE May 25, 2021

ANY PERSON THAT MAKES CHANGES TO AN APPROVED CERTIFICATE OF APPROPRIATENESS MUST SUBMIT A NEW APPLICATION.

FLORIDA STATUTE 837.06: WHOEVER KNOWINGLY MAKES A FALSE STATEMENT IN WRITING AND WITH THE INTENT TO MISLEAD A PUBLIC SERVANT IN THE PERFORMANCE OF HIS OR HER OFFICIAL DUTY SHALL BE GUILTY OF A MISDEMEANOR OF THE SECOND DEGREE PUNISHABLE PER SECTION 775.082 OR 775.083. THE APPLICANT FURTHER HEREBY ACKNOWLEDGES THAT THE SCOPE OF WORK AS DESCRIBED IN THE APPLICATION SHALL BE THE SCOPE OF WORK THAT IS CONTEMPLATED BY THE APPLICANT AND THE CITY. THE APPLICANT FURTHER STIPULATES THAT SHOULD FURTHER ACTION BE TAKEN BY THE CITY FOR EXCEEDING THE SCOPE OF THE DESCRIPTION OF WORK, AS DESCRIBED HEREIN, AND IF THERE IS CONFLICTING INFORMATION BETWEEN THE DESCRIPTION OF WORK AND THE SUBMITTED PLANS, THE AFOREMENTIONED DESCRIPTION OF WORK SHALL BE CONTROLLING.

PROJECT INCLUDES:	REPLACEMENT OF WINDOWS X	RELOCATION OF	A STRUCTURE X	ELEVATION OF A STRU	
PROJECT INVOLVES A	CONTRIBUTING STRUCTURE: YES	K NO	INVOLVES A HISTORIC	STRUCTURE: YES X	NO
PROJECT INVOLVES A	STRUCTURE THAT IS INDIVIDUALLY I	LISTED ON THE NA	TIONAL REGISTER: YE	s no ^x	

DETAILED PROJECT DESCRIPTION INCLUDING MATERIALS, HEIGHT, DIMENSIONS, SQUARE FOOTAGE, LOCATION, ETC.

GENERAL: This property has surprised us a number of times already, not the least is that it's actually a "2 for 1" historic property. Two homes, separated by nearly 50 years were joined in an unhappy marriage. The older rear 1880's Wreckers Cottage with mortise & tenon construction, appears to have been owned and built by a noted historical figure Nicholas Matcovich for his wife Elisa, who relocated to Key West in 1876 with some of their children following a devastating hurricane at their farm on No Name Key. The front 1920's Conch House appears to have built by his son John Matcovich who moved and reoriented Elisa's Cottage, opening the lot to the north at 615 Frances. This extensive project involves the renovation and elevation of the 2 Historic Structures, and they will also be separated and moved apart to enable each to regain its appropriate and distinct historic character, with the Wrecker's Cottage reclaiming its original west-facing orientation on the lot. NOTE: The required Variances have been granted by the Key West Planning Department & Board.

MAIN BUILDING: The front 1920's Conch house interior is to be completely renovated, replacing all systems - plumbing, electric, HVAC, flooring, insulation, frame strapping, etc. The exterior will also be restored using existing materials where possible and like replacements where necessary, including siding, roofing, and porch flooring, posts and roofing. Some systems which are dilapidated or unsafe will be replace entirely, including installation of new foundation piers raised to BFE +3", and installation of approved wood impact windows. To maintain the structural integrity of the interior Dade County Pine, the existing siding will be removed & salvaged for reinstallation following the application of new cladding & tyvek barrier.

DEMOLITION (PLEASE FILL OUT AND ATTACH DEMOLITION APPENDIX):

The contemporary replacement cement & steel front porch to be removed and replaced with wood, per architectural renderings.

Major Project Application Page 2

APPLICATIONS MUST BE SUBMITTED IN PERSON WITH HARD COPIES BY 3PM ON THE SCHEDULED DEADLINE PLEASE SEND AN ELECTRONIC COPY OF ALL DOCUMENTS TO HARC@CITYOFKEYWEST-FL.GOV

ACCESSORY STRUCTURE: The rear 1880's Wrecker's Cottage will be raised to BFE +3", returned to its original 25'x13' size, and restored on the lot to its original west-facing orientation per Sanborn Maps. The interior is to be completely renovated, replacing all systems - plumbing, electric, HVAC, flooring, insulation, frame strapping, etc. The exterior will be recreated using photos of a similar home built by the first owner Nicholas Matcovich, AS NO ORIGINAL EXTERIOR ELEMENTS SURVIVE. Proposed exterior is baton & board, roofing is shake shingle, board & baton 'shutters, and impact rated wood casement windows (see photo of Matcovich Cottage on No Name Key). Some historical frame construction details will be exposed/accessible as fire codes allow.

ACCESSORY STRUCTURES - OTHER: A non-contributing shed will be demolished, and a non-contributing addition to the 1880's Cottage will be removed and demolished.

PAVERS:	FENCES:
Natural Thermal Blue Stone	Existing rear & side fencing to remain, front picket fence to be
	replaced with new 1" x 3" pressure treated white picket tence.
DECKS:	PAINTING: Siding: HC-144 Palladian Blue
Natural Thermal Blue Stone	Trim: OC-64 White Porch Ceiling: CW-585 Ewing Blue
	Shutters: HC-155 Newberryport Blue
SITE (INCLUDING GRADING, FILL, TREES, ETC):	POOLS (INCLUDING EQUIPMENT):
See Site Plan, there is no Landscape Plan submission at this time. All paim trees to be removed and replaced with native/	24' x 14' pool between structures in back yard.
approved trees, shrubs, etc	Equipment to be located along north side of house.
ACCESSORY EQUIPMENT (GAS, A/C, VENTS, ETC.):	OTHER:
2 ground mounted a/c compressors, pool filter,	
stove to vent below building.	

OFFICIAL USE ONLY:		HARC COM	MISSION REVIEW	E	XPIRES ON:
MEETING DATE:	APPROVED	NOT APPROVE		CONSIDERATION	INITIAL:
MEETING DATE:	APPROVED	NOT APPROVE	D DEFERRED FOR FUTURE		INITIAL:
MEETING DATE:	APPROVED	NOT APPROVE	DDEFERRED FOR FUTURE	CONSIDERATION	INITIAL:
REASONS OR CONDITIONS:					
STAFF REVIEW COMMENTS:					
FIRST READING FOR DEMO:			SECOND READING FOR DEMO:		
HARC STAFF SIGNATURE AND D	ATE:		HARC CHAIRPERSON SIGNATURE AND D	ATE:	
L					

THIS APPLICATION MAY BE REVIEWED BY PLANNING DEPARTMENT STAFF.

Pre-Application Form & Fees

(Appendix 2)



*

HARC FEE SCHEDULE (EFFECTIVE December 2, 2020 - RES. 20-233) CITY OF KEY WEST, FLORIDA • PLANNING DEPARTMENT 1300 White Street * Key West, Florida 33040-4602 * www.cityofkeywest-fl.gov

CERTIFICATE OF APPROPRIATENESS PRE-APPLICATION FORM FOR PROJECTS TO BE REVIEWED BY COMMISSION

This form must be submitted with your application

Application Type	Current Food	Code
Major Projects Base Fee- Commission review projects	content rees	code
Plus the Following Schedule if The Project Proposes:	\$420.00	H2
Demolition of non-historic or non-contributing structures	\$105.00	H4
Demolition of historic or contributing structures	\$210.00	HA
Relocation of a structure on its current site	\$210.00	HB
Relocation of a structure on another site	\$315.00	HC
Request of non-contributing value	\$157.50	HD
Nomination for contributing resource or review a nomination for the National Register of Historic Places	\$157.50	HE
Mural Projects	\$105.00	HG
Outdoor Display Exception	\$275.00	ing
Outdoor Display Exception Extension	\$100.00	
Verification Letter for Historic Status	\$400.00	H9
Economic Hardship Application Fee		
Residential Owner with Homestead	\$15.75	HJ
Residential Owner without Homestead	\$52.50	HK
All Commercial properties	\$105.00	HL
All Fees Will Be Double for All After the Fact Projects	\$x 2	HF
TOTAL OF APPLICATION FEE: \$_	739	
Comments: lef printed full are inkertorial diawings, a photos with captions, be fore and after elevations, % of "Replacing siding, all p	street view d F's.	<i>v</i> -
Date of Pre-Application Meeting: 12426.2021 Via 1000		
By Staff: Emd & Kathkeen		
& revision of boards briten in elucations	need between	w ford
& tant elucation to show tooters, nate nal to ne	Contra (Contra	PERH

* revision of label of electrons (north-south)

Demolition Application Page 1 (*Appendix 3*)

HARC Certificate of Appropriateness: Demolition Appendix

STIL OF THE CITY	City of	Kov West	HARC CO	A #	INITIAL & DATE	
	City Of	ney west	7011110	NETRICT		
	1300 WHI	TE STREET	ZONING	DISTRICT	BLDG PERMIT #	
HI REAL PROPERTY IN	KEY WEST,	, FLORIDA 33040				
ADDRESS OF PROPOSED P	PROJECT:	617 Frances Street				
PROPERTY OWNER'S NAME	E:	Thomas J. Gosline & William F. Fritz				
APPLICANT NAME:		Thomas J. Gosline & William F. Fritz				
I hereby certify I am the own	ner of record a	and that the work shall conform to all appli	cable laws of this jurisdic	tion. By rece	iving a Certificate of	
Appropriateness, I realize the	at this project	t will require a Building Permit approval P	RIOR to proceeding wit	the work	outlined above and that	
submitted for review .	naor and app	noulon. I also anasistana that any onang	jee te un apprerea eer		opropriatorioco maet 20	
	-11	My in AR	May 25, 2021			
PROPERTY OWNER'S SIGNATURE	/hona	y Dostro Mums (""	Thomas J. Gosline &	William F. F	TITZ	
		DETAILED PROJECT DESCRIPTIO	N OF DEMOLITION			
Three items are pro	oposed for d	emolition:				
1) Non-Contributing	Accessory St	ructure (shed) to be demolished				
 2) Non-Contributing 3) Non-contributing f 	front concrete	to historic 1880's Cottage to be demolished	1 e removed from historic 1	920's House		
o) Non contributing i		porori noor a otopo ana motar rainingo to b		0200110000		
	CRITERIA	FOR DEMOLITION OF CONTRIBUTIN	G OR HISTORIC STRU	CTURES:		
Before any Certificate of must find that the following	Appropriating requirem	eness may be issued for a demolition nents are met (please review and com	n request, the Historie ment on each criterio	c Architecton that appli	ural Review Commissio es);	
(1) If the subject of the appl	lication is a c	contributing or historic building or structu	re, then it should not be e following criteria:	demolishe	d unless its condition is	
(a) The existin	na condition	of the building or structure is irrevocably	compromised by extre	ne deteriora	ition.	
Non-Contril	buting Structu	ires or Elements				
(2) Or explain how the build	ding or struct	ture meets the criteria below:				
 (a) Embodies city and is not 	no distinctiv t a significan	e characteristics of a type, period, or me t and distinguishable building entity who	ethod of construction of se components may lac	aesthetic or k individual	historic significance in the distinction.	
None						
		Page 1 of 3				

Demolition Application Page 2

Nothing in this application is intended to alter the authority of the Building Official to condemn for demolition dangerous buildings, as provided in Section 102-218 of the Land Development Regulations and Chapter 14 of the Code of Ordinances.

(b) Is not specifically associated with events that have made a significant contribution to local, state, or national history.

None

(c) Has no significant character, interest, or value as part fo the development, heritage, or cultural characteristics of the city, state or nation, and is not associated with the life of a person significant in the past.

None

(d) Is not the site of a historic event with significant effect upon society.

None

(e) Does not exemplify the cultural, political, economic, social, or historic heritage of the city.

None

(f) Does not portray the environment in an era of history characterized by a distinctive architectural style.

None

(g) If a part of or related to a square, park, or other distinctive area, nevertheless should not be developed or preserved according to a plan based on the area's historic, cultural, natural, or architectural motif.

Not Applicable

(h) Does not have a unique location or singular physical characteristic which represents an established and familiar visual feature of its neighborhood or of the city, and does not exemplify the best remaining architectural type in a neighborhood.

Nothing in this application is intended to alter the authority of the Building Official to condemn for demolition dangerous buildings, as provided in Section 102-218 of the Land Development Regulations and Chapter 14 of the Code of Ordinances.

1.0110

Demolition Application

Page 3

(i) Has not yielded, and is not likely to yield, information important in history.

None

CRITERIA FOR DEMOLITION OF NON-CONTRIBUTING OR NON-HISTORIC STRUCTURES:

The following criteria will also be reviewed by the Historic Architectural Review Commission for proposed demolitions. The Commission shall not issue a Certificate of Appropriateness that would result in the following conditions (please review and comment on each criterion that applies);

(1) Removing buildings or structures that are important in defining the overall historic character of a district or neighborhood so that the character is diminished.

None

(2) Removing historic buildings or structures and thus destroying the historic relationship between buildings or structures and open space.

None

(3) Removing an historic building or structure in a complex; or removing a building facade; or removing a significant later addition that is important in defining the historic character of a site or the surrounding district or neighborhood.

None

(4) Removing buildings or structures that would otherwise qualify as contributing.

None

617 Frances Street – Fritz-Gosline Residence HARC MAJOR PROJECT COA APPLICATION TABLE OF CONTENTS

II. ARCHITECTURAL PLANS Page

Sanborn Historical Site Plan	10
Existing Site Plan (Appendix 4)	11
Proposed Site Plan (Appendix 5)	12
Proposed Design Plan (Appendix 6)	13
Site Data Table (in App 5)	14
Demolition Plan	15
Demolition Structures Photos	16-18
Existing & Proposed Elevations (Appendix 7/8)	19
Existing & Proposed Streetscape (Appendix 9)	21
% Historical Fabric	22

Appendix copies are identical, but shown in full size resolution)



Existing Site Plan (Appendix 4)





Proposed Design Plan (Appendix 6)



REMODELED SECOND FLOOR SCALE: 1/4" = 1'-0" 501 SF



Story is build when the second s	JEFFREY ROBERT STEELE AIA I	1 42 wyn oak mashville tennessee 37205 1 1 mobile 615 804.1431 jeffstedearchiter(@gmail.com
	Ramodeling 1880s Wrecker's Coutage and a 1920's Const House For: FRITZ - GOSLINE	G g 617 Francis Street Key West, FL
	T	Sheets

Site Development Summary – Variances Approved May 20, 2021

SITE DATA:

TOTAL SITE AREA: LAND USE: FLOOD ZONE:	3,060 SQ.FT HHDR ZONE X			REQUIRED EXISTING PROPOSED	50% MAX. (±1,530 SQ.F 39.38% (±1,205 SQ.FT.) 59.28% (±1,814 SQ.FT	T.) INCLUDING
SETBACKS		SETBACKS - ACCESSOR	<u>RY</u>		POOL DECK AND POOL VARIANCE REQUIRED	.)
FRONT: REQUIRED EXISTING PROPOSED SIDE: REQUIRED EXISTING PROPOSED SIDE: REQUIRED EXISTING PROPOSED REAR: REQUIRED EXISTING PROPOSED	10'-0" ±6'-11' ±6'-11" 5'-0" ±0'-3" (±5'-7") ±7'-3" 5'-0" ±6'-7" ±6'-7" ±5'-0" 20'-0" ±1'-10" ±43'-0"	FRONT: REQUIRED PROPOSED SIDE: REQUIRED PROPOSED SIDE: REQUIRED PROPOSED VARIANCE REQUIRED REAR: REQUIRED PROPOSED	10'-0" 68'-6" 5'-0" 5'-0" 4'-0" 5'-0" 5'-0"	MINIMUM OPEN SPACE: REQUIRED EXISTING PROPOSED <u>MAXIMUM HEIGHT</u> : EXISTING PROPOSED <u>REAR SETBACK COVERAGE</u> TOTAL AREA: RELOCATED BUILDING: COVERED BY EXIS. SHED: TOTAL COVERAGE:	35% (±1,071.0 SQ.FT) 38.80% (± 1,170.0 SQ.FT) 35.35% (±1,082.0 SQ.FT) 30 FT 23'-9" 24'-9" <u>36</u> 50% (±204 SQ.FT.) ±680 SQ.FT. ±383 SQ.FT. ±9 SQ.FT. ±392 SQ.FT. (57.65%) VARIANCE REQUIRED	T.) STATUS: TATUS: ARTIBL 3710 N. KEY WES (305) 30 WWW.ART CA # 30
MAXIMUM IMPERVIOUS	SURFACE RATIO:					
REQUIRED EXISTING PROPOSED	60% (1,836 SQ.FT.) 58.00% (±1,775 SQ.F 48.93 (±1,497.5 SQ.F <u>IMPROVEMENT</u>	T.) T.)			THE DRAWING IS NOT VALID WITHOUT THE SUBNITURE AND OBSIDNL SEAL	PROJECT: 6
BUILDINGS POOL	±1,048 SQ.FT. ±336 SQ.FT.					SITE: 6

POOL EQUIPMENT ±33 SQ.FT. PERMEABLE PAVERS ±113 SQ.FT. @50%=± 56.5 SQ.FT. EXISTING SHED ±9 SQ.FT.(ENCROACHMENT) CONC. PAD AND STAIRS ±15 SQ.FT.

TOTAL

± 1,497.5 SQ.FT.

MAXIMUM BUILDING COVERAGE:

	59.28% (±1,205 SQ.FT.) 59.28% (±1,814 SQ.FT IN POOL DECK AND POOL) VARIANCE REQUIRED	NCLUDING 584	4 SQ.FT. F	OR 40"			
PACE:							
	35% (±1,071.0 SQ.FT) 38.80% (±1,170.0 SQ.FT.) 35.35% (±1,082.0 SQ.FT.)						
<u> </u>	30 FT 23'-9" 24'-9"	REV: DESCRIPTION STATUS:	4:	BY:	DATE:		
OVERAGE:	< 30% (±204 SQ.FT.) ±680 SQ.FT.			AND PLA			
.DING: S. SHED: E:	4383 SQ.FT. ±9 SQ.FT. ±392 SQ.FT. (57.65%) VARIANCE REQUIRED	ARTIBUS DESIGN 3710 N. ROOSEVELT BLVD KEY WEST, FL 33040 (305) 304-3512 WWW.ARTIBUSDESIGN.COM CA # 30835					
		THOMAS G	OSLINE &	WILLIA	M FRITZ		
	THE DRAWING IS NOT VALID WITHOUT THE BIDNATURE AND ORIGINAL SEAL	SITE: 617 Key V TITLE: SITE	FRANCE Vest, FL 3 PLAN	5 STRI 33040	EET		
	Signature:	SCALE AT 11×17: AS SHOWN	DATE: 03/16/21	VF	CHECKED: SM		

2103-09

C-101

SERGE MASHTAKOV PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER STATE OF FLORIDA

1

Demolition Plan



PROPOSED DEMOLITION – REAR NON-CONTRIBUTING 8' × 15' SHED



South view.



West view.



Southwest view.

REAR NON-CONTRIBUTING 10' × 13' ADDITION **PROPOSED DEMOLITION –**



Overhead view. (from south) Note – Pergola structure was removed by prior owner.

FRONT CONCRETE BLOCK PORCH & STEEL RAILS **PROPOSED DEMOLITION**



To be replaced with historically representative wood posts, balusters, and porch decking. Porch roof to be repaired/restored as needed.



Existing Elevations (Appendix 7)



Proposed Elevations (Appendix 8)

Existing & Proposed Streetscape (Appendix 9)

% Historical Fabric

To maintain the interior Dade County pine, this project proposes to strengthen the structure to code requirements by recladding the exterior, among other corrective measures. Existing historical siding on the Conch house will be removed, stored on site, stripped of loose paint, and reinstalled. Due to condition and a lack of proper maintenance, some siding will need replacement with new wood as needed. Historic siding will be massed toward the front of the Conch house, with replacement boards used toward the rear of the structure.

Based on a preliminary assessment, the **% Historical Siding Fabric to maintained is estimated at 75%+** on the 1920's two-story Conch House.

Note that no historic exterior fabric remains on the rear 1story cottage, which was stripped of exterior elements during a late 20th century addition. As such, this structure is proposed to be entirely reclad in its original board & batten material, per architectural drawings.

617 Frances Street – Fritz-Gosline Residence HARC MAJOR PROJECT COA APPLICATION TABLE OF CONTENTS

III. RELOCATION(on current lot)Page

Relocation Site Plan

24

Relocation Methodology (see Elevation Methodology, page 50)

Appendix copies are identical, but shown in full size resolution)

Relocation Site Plan

- Front structure is raised to comply with new FEMA BFE elevation +15 inches (12" requirement + a 3" cushion) AND moved 18 inches south to create side yard parking area for EV vehicle port. (south parking is not an option due to utility pole location)
- Rear structure is moved to east and reoriented to original west placement AND raised to BFE +15".

617 Frances Street – Fritz-Gosline Residence

HARC MAJOR PROJECT COA APPLICATION

TABLE OF CONTENTS

IV. ADDITIONAL INFORMATION	Page
Survey of 617 Frances (Appendix 10)	26
Site Photos	27
Adjacent/Context Photos	28
Materials Specifications	
a) A/C Units	29
b) Fencing	30
c) Pool Equipment	31
d) Porch Decking	32
e) Porch Balusters & Railing	33
f) Siding – historic, new addition	34
g) Exterior Paint Colors	35
h) Pavers (deck, walkway, tire treads)	36
i) Roofing	37
j) Windows (restoration, new/replacement)	39-42
k) Shutters	43
l) Front Door	44
Tree Inventory	45

Appendix copies are identical, but shown in full size resolution)

Sealed Survey – 10/20/2020

(original hardcopy provided, also in Appendix 10)

Site Photos

West view – Frances Street

West view – utility pole obstruction

East view (rear).

South view.

North view.

North view.

Adjacent Context Photos – Frances & Ashe Streets

Photos show prevalence of rear & side additions and both conforming/non-conforming setbacks. (<u>No setback variances</u> are sought for this project.)

Materials Specifications –

a) A/C Units: American Standard ground-mounted compressor, situated on concrete pad along north side front structure and located behind fencing – per site plan drawing.

Front Conch House A/C Unit

AccuComfort[™] Variable Speed Platinum 20 Air Conditioner

Rear Cottage A/C Unit

Silver 16 Low Profile Air Conditioner

4A7L6018A

1.5 Tonnage

onnage

18000 Capacity

14.75" × **36.75**" × **40**" Dimensions

165lbs Shipping Weight Materials Specifications – b) Fencing: 1" x 3" P.T. Pickets / 2"x4" Rails (on edge) with Redwood posts Caps.

Height to match neighboring fences, but less than 48" Painted white to match neighboring fence color.

Sample Design

Materials Specifications – c) Pool Equipment

Proposed Equipment:

Pentair for the pumps, filter and salt system, and Aquacal for the heat pump. Equipment to be located along north side of front structure, conforming to required setbacks.

 \rightarrow

- 1. Filter pump, Pentair model 340038 1HP superflo pump
- 2. Filter, Pentair model 160317 clean and clear 150 sqft cartridge filter.
- 3. Pentair ICHLOR | 30 Salt Chlorine ------Generator

4. Aquacal SQR 120 Heater/Chiller → 34" x 34" x 44"

5. Waterfall pump, Pentair model 340038 1HP superflo pump.

Materials Specifications – d) Porch Decking: 5/4" x 4" T&G Pressure Treated Decking, painted gray.

Example finished floor style

Materials Specifications – e) Porch Balusters & Railing: Designed to complement historical but NOT replicate it.

Materials Specifications – f) Siding: 1920's Structure – 1/2" x 6"Pressure Treated Siding, as needed for repair. 1880's Structure – Baton & Board Cement Board

1920's Structure Siding Repairs

1880's Structure Batten & Board Replicating

L > R are Dr. David Fairchild, Mrs. Mirian Fairchild, William J. Krome, Nicholas Matcovich and Samuel Hamilton. Photographer was James Hare of Colliers magazine February 29, 1912

Materials Specifications – g) Exterior Paint Colors & Locations (Benjamin Moore Color Palette)

SIDING – HC 144

Palladian Blue	
HC-144	

TRIM – OC 64

Pure White

SHUTTERS – HC 155

Newburyport Blue HC-155

PORCH CEILING – CW 585

Ewing Blue CW-585

Materials Specifications

h) Pavers – Deck, Rear Porch & Path Areas: Thermal Blue Stone laid on permeable grate (no mortar)

Proposed construction technique is wood joists strung across concrete piers, with silca-style open grating (pictured below) laid in an alternating brick pattern for horizontal strength. Note that the stone pavers are not mortared to allow rain water to flow freely between stones.

Silca grate on a traditional wood frame

Materials Specifications – i) Roofing for Front House: *Berridge Victorian Metal Shingles to replace existing*

COLOR – GRAY (reco?)

EXISTING

Materials Specifications –

i. con't) Roofing for Rear Cottage: Watkins Fire Rated Shake Shingles (or similar) - replicating appearance of likely original cottage roofing

Class B Rated Roof: Class B rated shakes or shingles are placed over a minimum 1/2" solid sheathing or placed over spaced sheathing, same as untreated products.

ROOFING

RETAR

PREMIUM GRADE CEDAR SHAKE

Same manufacturing specs as Regular Grade Shakes, however, only 100% edge grain wood is used. Premium grade shakes exceeds the national industry standard.

Recommended Use: For walls and roofs 4:12 pitch and steeper and where a premium quality product is needed or desired.

Pressure treated cedar roofing is treated with a superior fire retardant, which is pressure impregnated into the inner cells of the wood, resulting in a permanently fire resistant product.

Materials Specifications – j) Windows – PROPOSED REPLACEMENT

<u>All historic windows in the front structure are severely compromised</u>, suffering from water damage, extensive dry rot, termite damage and alterations, . <u>Restoration is NOT recommended for 3 reasons</u>:

- COST: Restoration Cost Exceeds Replacement. Restoration of existing windows is estimated at <u>+</u>\$24,000 for the surviving 12 historic windows, ranging from \$1,500 to \$2,500 per window – and this cost may go higher. In contrast, in-kind style/materials replacement windows would cost approx. \$12,000 installed.
- 2. HISTORIC FABRIC LOSS: 65% 90% Replacement Is Required. The extensive damage to wood components has compromised window structural integrity, which must be restored via extensive use of replacement wood, estimated to range between 65% and 90% of historical wood fabric. Additionally, original hardware and many mechanical components (latches, handles, weights, chains) have been removed by prior owners, and multiple panes of glass are cracked or scratched. The result of any "restoration" attempt would defeat the point of maintaining original historic fabric and argues to "a condition beyond reasonable repair" an allowed basis for historic window replacement. Additionally the Florida Dept. of State Division of Historic Resources (p21) provides guidance that "Windows that are in good condition should be retained" - yet these windows DO NOT MEET that criteria by any stretch (see next page).
- 3. SAFETY: <u>ADA Accommodation is Requested</u> for "Passive" Impact Protection. Replacement wood impactrated windows are requested for protection of a seriously handicapped individual and his medical caregiver who will be occupying the residence four to six months each year. This occupant and his caregiver are unable to close shutters, oversee the installation of hurricane protection devices, and/or relocate from premises in a hurried manner for a sudden evacuation.

Per Project Architect & Contractor (licensed professionals):

"The deferred maintenance on the historic structure did <u>enormous damage</u> to the existing windows, both exterior and interior. The frames are <u>no longer structural or rigid</u>, counter weights and many original elements have been removed, the muntins and glass are frequently cracked, broken and / or missing, the <u>frames are no longer tied to the building</u>. It is our opinion that there is <u>not sufficient salvageable historical</u> <u>fabric remaining</u> with structural integrity to attempt restoration, and therefor windows should be replaced." frame, rails, muntins, sill

Rails, stiles, frame

Rails, stiles, frame, stops

Rails, stiles, muntins

Rails, sill, casings, drip cap

Rails, stiles

Frame, stile

First Floor Examples

Second Floor Examples

<u>Materials Specifications – Window Conditions Con't.</u>

Rails, stiles, sashes, muntins

Rails, stiles, sill, frame

Materials Specifications – j) Windows Con't.: Replacement Wood Impact Windows

All windows are proposed to be replaced with similar appearing <u>6-over-6 wood windows</u> in the 1920's Conch Structure that are impact resistant. The rear Cottage will use casement style windows to replicate the look of open air windows & shutters used in the original structure (see next page).

NOTE: See architect drawings for actual appearance, below are manufacturer reference specs only.

While Marvin windows are listed, we are happy to use the preferred manufacturer.

MARVIN 🥯

Solutions Inspiration Why Marvin

ULTIMATE WOOD DOUBLE HUNG

Previously known as Wood Ultimate Double Hung

Products

The all-wood Marvin Signature[™] Ultimate Wood Double Hung window is a classic style ideal for historic projects where a wood exterior is needed to match original architectural details. Flexible design options like wood species and stains coupled with single hung or stationary sash configurations assist with historical accuracy, while wash mode makes cleaning easy.

> VIEW DESIGN OPTIONS

FOR THE PROS

Find technical documents for this product, including installation instructions, sizes and elevations, 3D drawings, architectural detail manuals and more.

Front Conch House: West, North, South Elevations

Features of the Ultimate Double Hung G2 Window

- Available in heights up to 10.5 feet or widths up to 5.5 feet
- Marvin's exclusive auto-lock activates when sashes are closed for convenient security
- Unique wash mode allows cleaning of both sides of glass from indoors
- Narrow checkrail maximizes view, while maintaining historical accuracy
- Retractable screen option is nearly invisible when the screen is not in use
- Available with the same features in a single hung style, with optional Lift Lock
- Additional configurations include round top, stationary transom or picture window
- Hidden Lock Status Sensor option connects with your smart home to indicate when windows are closed and locked
- Available with IZ3 coastal/hurricane certification

All Cottage & East Facing (rear) of Conch House

Features of the Coastline Casement Window

- Available in widths up to 6 feet and heights up to 7 feet. Max of 18.5 square feet
- Fixed, or non-operational casements available
- Standard multi-point locks add an additional layer of security
- Eleven colors and wood grain finishes to choose from
- Custom color possibilities provide exceptional design flexibility
- Combine with round top or transom windows
- Impact glass provides more noise reduction than standard glass
- Energy saving Low-E glass coatings, tinting, and insulated glass
 options
- Withstands flying debris caused by hurricane force winds

Materials Specifications j) Windows Con't.: <u>1880's Cottage</u> – Replacement (no surviving historic)

No original windows survive in the historic cottage. Photos from the era picturing the first owner in a similar structure he built suggest open or inward casement-style windows may have been originally used. As such, wood casement windows with impact glass are proposed, allowing the window to disappear when opened.

HISTORIC EXAMPLE

EXISTING COTTAGE

NEW

Coastline

Marvin Coastline, previously known as SIW, combines a beautiful aesthetic, design flexibility, and a rigorous impact performance to help fulfill even the most ambitious visions for coastal homes and storefronts. Coastline windows and doors are available in expansive sizes allowing you to leverage stunning panoramic views. And if your home is ever threatened by a severe storm on hurricane, you can rest easy knowing that even your largest Coastline window or door will provide unsurpassed protection. Available in select southeast coastal regions, Coastline meets the most stringent building codes in the State of Florida, which includes Miami-Dade County.

Materials Specifications – k) Shutters: Proposed Timberlane Resilience Impact Shutters

Existing shutters and hardware have all been replaced over the years, resulting in multiple designs, styles and function. <u>All shutters</u> <u>on any historic non-impact windows are proposed to be replaced with aluminum impact-rated shutters</u> (Miami-Dad HVHZ Category 5 rated). *These identical shutters were also installed on 405 Frances Street.*

NOTE: Conforming wood replacement shutters would be used if impact rated wood windows are allowed to be installed.

Resilience Shutters

Aluminum Shutter Material

Specifically crafted to resemble the look of traditional shutters while offering the trusted strength of aluminum, our Resilience Shutters are designed to complement any home or commercial property. Available in both hurricane-rated and decorative profile options.

Traditionally Designed Shutters with the Added Strength of Aluminum

Our Resilience Shutters allow for a traditional look with the strength and durability of aluminum. Resilience Shutters offer the latest in styling and design to complement any home or commercial property This shutter material comes in either standard or hurricane-rated options.

With an available 10-year warranty against defects and workmanship as well as a 5-year warranty on factory-applied finishes, Timberlane ensures the expectations of your Resilience Shutters are met.

- ✓ Available in hurricane-rated and standard profile options
- Provides a traditional look with the added protection of Aluminum
- ✓ Ideal solution for those interested in Bermuda Shutters
- ✓ Preferred choice for those looking for a hurricane-rated shutter

Materials Specifications – I) Front Door: Custom impact rated paneled wood with glass panes (per architectural drawing)

Existing front door and hardware <u>is not original</u>, based on frame indications of replacement hinges & catch. Proposal is to replace with half glazed wood impact resistant door in similar historic style.

Existing Trees

(26 Christmas Palms, 1 Coconut Palm, 1 Alexander Palm

1- Xmas palm - single 2 - Cocan et Value - single 3 - alexander Palm - single 4- X-maspalle - single dauble X-mas pales x-mas palm - double dable xmas palm alle Singly x-mas tunks Dalu x-mas / duble x-mas palm 12- x-mas palle - dable 13 - X-1145 Palm - Single (weng location) 14 - X-1145 Palm - Single x-maspale - single 16 - x-mas palm - single 17 - x-mas palu - dable 18 - x-mas palen - single +4 trucks 19- x-maspalm Ymas palu dable 20-- x-mas palm triple 21 - X-mas pack - single (not on plan) 22 - X-mas palle - single (not on plan) 23 - X-mas palle - single (not on plan)

SOUTH

EAST

WEST

617 Frances Street – Fritz-Gosline Residence HARC MAJOR PROJECT COA APPLICATION TABLE OF CONTENTS

V. ELEVATING STRUCTURE Page

Existing 1 st Floor Height (Appendix 11 - E.C.)	47
FEMA Required Height	48
Proposed 1 st Floor Height	49
Elevation Methodology	50

Existing Building Elevation (2020 EC; O'Flynn Survey) (Appendix 11)

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY	
Federal Emergency Management Agency	
National Flood Insurance Program	

OMB No. 1660-0008 Expiration Date: November 30, 2022

ELEVATION CERTIFICATE Important: Follow the instructions on pages 1-9.

SECTION ALL DURING SECTION	DN A - PROPERTY INF	ORMATION		FOR INSU	RANCE COMPANY U
A1. Building Owner's Name THOMAS J. GOSLINE & WILLIAM	F. FRITZ			Policy Num	iber:
A2. Building Street Address (inclu Box No.	ding Apt., Unit, Suite, an	nd/or Bidg. No.)	or P.O. Route and	Company N	AIC Number:
617 FRANCES STREET					
KEY WEST		State		ZIP Code	
A3 Property Description // at and	Plask Numbers To D	Florida		33040	
(TAX PARCEL #00010430-000000)) (KW PT LOT 4 SQR 5	ice! Number, Le	egal Description, e	etc.)	
A4. Building Use (e.g., Residentia	I, Non-Residential, Addit	tion, Accessory,	etc.) RESIDE	NTIAL	
A5. Latitude/Longitude: Lat. 24.5	586 N Long	g81.7945 W	Horizont	al Datum: NAD 1	1927 VAD 1083
A6. Attach at least 2 photographs	of the building if the Cer	tificate is being	used to obtain flor	od insurance.	1927 K NAD 1903
A7. Building Diagram Number	5				
8. For a building with a crawlspa	ce or enclosure(s):				
a) Square footage of crawlspa	ace or enclosure(s)		N/A sq ft		
b) Number of permanent flood	openings in the crawlsp	ace or enclosur	re(s) within 1.0 for	t above adjacent or	ade N/A
c) Total net area of flood open	ings in A8.b	N/A so i	n		
d) Engineered flood openings	Yes No				
9. For a building with an attached	garage;				
a) Square footage of attached	garage	N/A so f	1		
b) Number of permanent flood	openings in the attaches				
c) Total net area of flood acon	openings in the attached	u garage within	1.0 foot above ad	jacent grade N/A	
 d) Engineered flood openings? 	Yes X No	N/A so	i in		
SECT	ION B - FLOOD INSU	RANCE RATE	MAP (FIRM) IN	ORMATION	
ITY OF KEY WEST 12016	munity Number 8	B2. County MONROE	Name		B3. State Florida
Map/Panel B5. Suffix B6	5. FIRM Index B7. I	FIRM Panel	B8. Flood	B9. Base Flood El	levation(s)
Number	Date	Effective/ Revised Date	Zone(s)	(Zone AO, use	Base Flood Depth)
087C1516 K 02	-18-2005 02-1	8-2005	x		
0. Indicate the source of the Bas	e Flood Elevation (BFE)	data or base fl	ood denth enteror	in Itom BO	
FIS Profile X FIRM	Community Determined	Other/Sou	Irce:	an non po.	
11. Indicate elevation datum used	for BFE in Item B9: 🔀	NGVD 1929	NAVD 1988	Other/Source:	
	antal Desries Des	System (CBRS) area or Otherwis	- e Protected Area (O	
2. Is the building located in a Co	astal barrier Resources		/	C	I Les X N
 Is the building located in a Co Designation Date: 	astal Barrier Resources				
 Is the building located in a Co Designation Date: 	CBRS	DPA			

IMPORTANT: In these spaces, copy the corresponding information from Section A. Building Street Address (including Apt., Unit, Suite, and/or Bidg. No.) or P.O. Route and Box No. 617 FRANCES STREET					FOR INSURANCE COMPANY USE Policy Number:				
SECTI	ON C - BUILDING ELEVATION IN	NFORMATION (SURVEY	REQUIR	ED)					
C1. Building elevations are base	ed on: Construction Drawings*	Building Under Cons	truction*	IV Finis	hed Construction				
*A new Elevation Certificate	will be required when construction o	f the building is complete.							
C2. Elevations – Zones A1–A30 Complete Items C2.a–h belo Benchmark Utilized: BASIC	, AE, AH, A (with BFE), VE, V1–V30 ow according to the building diagram	, V (with BFE), AR, AR/A, A specified in Item A7. In Pue	R/AE, AF erto Rico	R/A1-A30, only, enter	AR/AH, AR/AO. meters.				
Indicate elevation datum use	ed for the elevations in items a) through	(ah h) helow							
X NGVD 1929	NAVD 1988 O Other/Source:	Sirii) 06:044.							
Datum used for building elev	ations must be the same as that use	ed for the BFE.							
a) Top of hollow Roos (lash			Ch	neck the me	easurement used.				
a) Top of bottom floor (inclu	ding basement, crawlspace, or enclo	osure floor)	9.3	🔀 feet	meters				
b) Top of the next higher flo	or		19.0	🔀 feet	meters				
c) Bottom of the lowest horiz	zontal structural member (V Zones o	nly)	N/A	feet	meters				
 d) Attached garage (top of s 	slab)		N/A	feet	meters				
 e) Lowest elevation of mach (Describe type of equipm) 	ninery or equipment servicing the bui ent and location in Comments)	Iding	7.1	🗙 feet	meters				
f) Lowest adjacent (finished)) grade next to building (LAG)		6.5	X feet	meters				
g) Highest adjacent (finished	d) grade next to building (HAG)		6.9	X feet	meters				
 h) Lowest adjacent grade at structural support 	lowest elevation of deck or stairs, in	cluding	6.5	🗙 feet	meters				
SECT	ION D - SURVEYOR, ENGINEER	OR ARCHITECT CERTI	FICATIO)N					
This certification is to be signed a I certify that the information on thi statement may be punishable by I	nd sealed by a land surveyor, engine s Certificate represents my best effo	eer, or architect authorized l orts to interpret the data avai	by law to ilable. I u	certify elev	vation information. that any false				
Were latitude and longitude in Se	ction A provided by a licensed land s	surveyor? XYes No		Check her	e if attachments.				
Certifier's Name	License Nu	mber							
J. LYNN O'FLYNN	6298								
PSM									
Company Name				P	lace				
J. LYNN O'FLYNN, INC.			1	S	lool				
Address			-		/oai				
3430 DUCK AVENUE				m	lere				
City	State	ZIP Code	-						
KEY WEST	Florida	33040							
Signature	Date 10-19-2020	Telephone (305) 296-7422	Ext.						
Copy all pages of this Elevation Cer	tificate and all attachments for (1) con	nmunity official, (2) insurance	e agent/c	ompany, an	d (3) building owner				
Comments (including type of equir	oment and location, per C2(e) if ann	licable)			, consideration				
C2. e) - WATER HEATER									
ONGITUDE & LATITUDE WERE	DETERMINED BY USING GOOGL	E EARTH							

FEMA Conforming Required Height (per Scott Fraser, Floodplain Administrator)

Note that all foundation piers require replacement, and we are proposing to move the original structure approximately 2' south (the current street setback will not be changed) to create off-street parking in the north side yard for an electric vehicle.

Under the proposed new FEMA flood maps, the first finished floor of this building would be at exactly +8-ft NAVD in an 8-foot flood zone (Base Flood Elevation of +8-ft NAVD). Thus, the building would be at the new flood level. It was the Elevation Certificate measurement conversion calculations (sent earlier) that showed such. The conversions were necessary, because the current and proposed FEMA flood maps are based upon different vertical datums (NGVD-29 -> NAVD-88).

Given that this is a Contributing Historic Structure, the 50% rule requiring elevation to the Base Flood Elevation plus one-foot wouldn't apply; unless 50% or more of the foundation is replaced or the building is moved.

If a new addition to this structed is contemplated, and the cost of that addition, along with the cost of any renovations to the existing historic structure, meets or exceeds 50% of the value of the existing building, then elevation of the new addition (yes, only the addition) would be required (resulting split level). In which case, voluntary elevation of the existing historic structure may be worth considering; HARC approval would be necessary.

Scott

Scott Fraser, CFM - Floodplain Administrator T: 305-809-3810 | <u>sfraser@cityofkeywest-fl.gov</u>| <u>www.CityofKeyWest-FL.gov/Flood</u>

Scott Fraser Elevation Conversion Calculations

Location: 617 Frances St.					Conversion factor	
	BFE-29	0	BFE-88	8	-1.342	
Elvevation Certificate Conversions - NGVD-192	9 vs NAV	D-198	8			
	NGVD-	NGVD-1929 NAVD - 1988				
a) Top of bottom floor (including basement, crawlspace, or enclosure floor)	9.3	feet	8.0	feet		
b) Top of the next higher floor	19.0	feet	17.7	feet		
c) Bottom of the lowest horizontal structural member (V Zones only)		feet	-1.3	feet		
d) Attached garage (top of slab)		feet	-1.3	feet		
e) Lowest elevation of machinery or equipment servicing the building	7.1	feet	5.8	feet		
f) Lowest adjacent (finished) grade next to building (LAG)	6.5	feet	5.2	feet	Grade vs BFE	-2.8
g) Highest adjacent (finished) grade next to building (HAG)	6.9	feet	5.6	feet		
h) Lowest adjacent grade at lowest elevation of deck or stairs, including structureal support.	6.5	feet	5.2	feet		
	Grade	5.2	vs FFF	8.0	2.8	

Proposed Building Elevation

EXISTING

Existing Elevation:AtElevation Above Grade:24Existing Structure Height:23Allowed Structure Height:30

At BFE of 8.0 feet 24" 23' 7" 30'

PROPOSED

Proposed Elevation:BFE + 1' 3" (15")Elevation Above Grade:40"Proposed Structure Height:24' 11"Allowed Structure Height:30'

LaRue House Movers & Sons Inc. 315 South Vermont Ave Green Cove Springs Florida 32043 904-284-3317 Email <u>laruehousemovers@bellsouth.net</u>

With over 65 years of combined experience between LaRue brothers Louie and Larry in the business of house moving, we have acquired a unique skill of moving and raising brick, block, and slab buildings weighing hundreds of tons. You can put your trust in LaRue House Movers & Sons Inc. We specialize in house-building moving & raising, Foundation repair, Underpinning, Pressure Grouting, Slab jacking Heavy Hauling, rigging, Large Boat, barge moving & all types of shoring. serving Florida, Georgia & beyond. State certified building contractor & foundation contractor. We provide personal service and exceptional quality. We have been a family business for over 60 years. Our unparalleled service, ingenuity, can do attitude, competitive prices, and overall value are why our loyal customers won't go anywhere else. We are positive we can prepare a plan for

your project as we have for many satisfied customers in the past.We look forward to serving you!

